

## 第 1 次作业

$$1. \mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1' \\ \mathbf{x}_2' \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix}_{n \times p}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{1}_n,$$

$$\text{其中 } \mathbf{1}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{p \times 1}. \quad \circ \quad \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' = \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}, \quad \text{问: } \mathbf{A} = ?$$

**Solution.** 首先要知道,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' = \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{1}_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' - \mathbf{x}_i \bar{\mathbf{x}}' - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}_i' + \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}') \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \right) \bar{\mathbf{x}}' - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i' \right) + n \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' - n \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}' - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \cdot n \bar{\mathbf{x}}' + n \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' - n \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} - n \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{1}_n \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n' \mathbf{X} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \left( \mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n' \right) \mathbf{X} \\ &\triangleq \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n' \right) \end{aligned}$$

■

## 第 2 次作业

1. 若  $A > 0$ ,  $B > 0$ ,  $A - B > 0$ , 则  $B^{-1} - A^{-1} > 0$ , 且  $|A| > |B|$ 。

**Proof.** 思路 1: 首先给出分块矩阵的逆,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ , 若  $A$  为可逆矩阵,  $A_{11}$  为方阵

$$(1) \text{ 若 } |A_{11}| \neq 0, \text{ 则 } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & -A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \\ -A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & A_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2) \text{ 若 } |A_{22}| \neq 0, \text{ 则 } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1} & -A_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \\ -A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1} & A_{22}^{-1} + A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) 特别地, 当  $|A_{11}| \neq 0$  且  $|A_{22}| \neq 0$  时

$$A_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1} = (A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})^{-1} = A_{11}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}$$

$$A_{22 \cdot 1}^{-1} = (A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12})^{-1} = A_{22}^{-1} + A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}$$

$$A = B - (B - A) = B - C$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= (B - C)^{-1} \triangleq (A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})^{-1} \quad (\text{其中 } A_{11} = B, A_{12} = A_{21} = I, A_{22} = C^{-1}) \\ &= (A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})^{-1} = A_{11}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} \\ &= B^{-1} + B^{-1}(A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12})^{-1}B^{-1} \\ &= B^{-1} - B^{-1}((A - B)^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

因此,  $B^{-1} - A^{-1} = B^{-1}((A - B)^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1} > 0$ 。

$A > B$ , 令  $A = (A - B) + B$ , 基于 weyl 不等式,  $\lambda_i(A) \geq \lambda_i(B) \Rightarrow |A| > |B|$ 。 ■

**Proof.** 思路 2:

$$\begin{aligned} A - B > 0 &\Rightarrow B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A - B)B^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} > I \quad (\text{the eigenvalues of } B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 1) \\ &\Rightarrow B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}} < I \\ &\Rightarrow B^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-1} - B^{-1})B^{\frac{1}{2}} < 0 \Rightarrow A^{-1} < B^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

已知

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lambda I - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\ &= \left| B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \left| \lambda I - B^{-1}A \right| \left| B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\ &= \left| \lambda I - B^{-1}A \right|, \end{aligned}$$

则 the eigenvalues of  $B^{-1}A > 1 \Rightarrow |A| = |B| |B^{-1}A| > |B|$ 。 ■

**Proof.** 思路 3:  $A > B$ ,  $\lambda_i \triangleq \lambda_i(A) \geq \lambda_i(B) \triangleq \gamma_i$ ,  $B^{-1} - A^{-1}$  的特征值为

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \frac{\lambda_i - \gamma_i}{\gamma_i \lambda_i} > 0 \Rightarrow B^{-1} - A^{-1} > 0$$

■

2. 设  $A$  和  $B$  分别为  $p \times q$  和  $q \times p$  的矩阵, 则  $|I_p + AB| = |I_q + BA|$ 。

**Proof.**

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_p & A \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -A \\ B & I_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p + AB & 0 \\ B & I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ -B & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -A \\ B & I_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -A \\ B & I_q + BA \end{bmatrix}.$$

两边同时取行列式得,  $\begin{vmatrix} I_p & -A \\ B & I_q \end{vmatrix} = |I_p + AB| = |I_q + BA|$ 。直接利用分块矩阵的知识, 令  $A_{11} = I_p$ ,  $A_{12} = A$ ,  $A_{21} = -B$ ,  $A_{22} = I_q$ , 则

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= |A_{22}| |A_{11,2}| = |A_{22}| |A_{11} - A_{12} A_{22}^{-1} A_{21}| = |I_p + AB| \\ &= |A_{11}| |A_{22,1}| = |A_{11}| |A_{22} - A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}| = |I_q + BA| \end{aligned}$$

■

## 第 3 次作业

1.  $X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $A$  和  $B$  是对称矩阵, 证明  $\text{Cov}(X'AX, X'BX) = ?$

**Proof.** 首先给出一些结论: 设  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$ , 则有

a. 设  $a$  为  $p$  元向量,  $A$  为对称矩阵, 则

$$\text{Cov}(a'Y, Y'AY) = 0$$

**Proof.**  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p)'$ ,  $a'Y = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i Y_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y'AY &= (Y_1, \dots, Y_p) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1p} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{p1} & a_{p2} & \cdots & a_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^p a_{i1} Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^p a_{i2} Y_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ip} Y_i \right) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij} Y_i Y_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(a'Y, Y'AY) &= \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{i=1}^P a_i Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij} Y_i Y_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^P a_i a_{ii} E[(Y_i - EY_i)(Y_i^2 - EY_i^2)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^P a_i a_{ii} [E(Y_i^3) - EY_i EY_i^2] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^P a_i a_{ii} E(Y_i^3) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

b. 设  $A, B$  为对称矩阵, 则

$$\text{Cov}(Y'AY, Y'BY) = 2\text{tr}(AB)$$

**Proof.** 由于  $Y'AY = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}Y_iY_j$ ,  $Y'BY = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p b_{kl}Y_kY_l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Y'AY, Y'BY) &= E(Y'AYY'BY) - \underbrace{E(Y'AY)}_{\text{tr}(A)} \underbrace{E(Y'BY)}_{\text{tr}(B)} \\ E(Y'AYY'BY) &= E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}Y_iY_j \cdot \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p b_{kl}Y_kY_l \right] \\ E(Y_iY_jY_kY_l) &= \begin{cases} 3, & i = j = k = l \rightarrow EY^4 = \prod_{i=1}^2 (2i-1) = 3 \\ 1, & i = j \neq k = l \\ & i = k \neq j = l \\ 0, & \text{其他} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

则

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y'AYY'BY) &= E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}Y_iY_j \cdot \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p b_{kl}Y_kY_l \right] \\ &= 3 \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}b_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq k \leq p} a_{ii}b_{kk} + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq p} a_{ij}b_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq k \leq p} a_{ik}b_{ki} \\ &= 3 \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}b_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq k \leq p} a_{ii}b_{kk} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq p} a_{ij}b_{ij} \\ &= 3 \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}b_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p a_{ii}b_{kk} - \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}b_{ii} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}b_{ij} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}b_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p a_{ii}b_{kk} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}b_{ij} \\ &= \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B) + 2 \text{tr}(AB), \end{aligned}$$

则  $\text{Cov}(Y'AY, Y'BY) = \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B) + 2 \text{tr}(AB) - \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B) = 2 \text{tr}(AB)$ 。 ■

设  $X = \mu + CY$ ,  $Y \sim N_p(0, I_p)$ ,  $CC' = \Sigma$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Cov}(X'AX, X'BX) \\
&= \text{Cov}((\mu + CY)'A(\mu + CY), (\mu + CY)'B(\mu + CY)) \\
&= \text{Cov}(\mu'A\mu + \mu'ACY + Y'C'A\mu + Y'C'ACY, \mu'B\mu + \mu'BCY + Y'C'B\mu + Y'C'BCY) \\
&= \text{Cov}(2\mu'ACY + Y'C'ACY, 2\mu'BCY + Y'C'BCY) \\
&= \text{Cov}(2\mu'ACY, 2\mu'BCY) + \text{Cov}\left(Y' \underbrace{C'AC}_{\text{symmetric}} Y, Y' \underbrace{C'BC}_{\text{symmetric}} Y\right) \\
&= 4\mu'AC\text{Var}(Y)C'B\mu + 2\text{tr}(C'ACC'BC) \\
&= 4\mu'A\Sigma B\mu + 2\text{tr}(C'A\Sigma BC) \\
&= 4\mu'A\Sigma B\mu + 2\text{tr}(A\Sigma BCC') \\
&= 4\mu'A\Sigma B\mu + 2\text{tr}(A\Sigma B\Sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

■

2. 求  $\text{Var}(S^2) = ?$

**Solution.** 设  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ , 一组大小为  $n$  的随机样本为  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)'$

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} x' \left( I_n - \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n' \right) x.
\end{aligned}$$

已知,  $\text{Var}(X'AX) = 2\text{tr}(A\Sigma A\Sigma) + 4\mu'A\Sigma A\mu$ , 将  $A = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( I_n - \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n' \right)$ ,  $\Sigma = I_n$ ,  $\mu = 0_n$  代入即可得  $\text{Var}(S^2)$ 。

■

3. 用特征函数证明定理 2.2.3: 服从正态分布的随机向量的线性变换仍服从正态分布。

**Proof.** 设  $X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $B$  为  $q \times p$  的常数矩阵,  $\theta$  为  $q \times 1$  常向量, 令  $Z = BX + \theta$ , 则  $Z \sim N_q(B\mu + \theta, B\Sigma B')$ 。随机向量  $X$  的特征函数为

$$\varphi_X(t) = \exp \left\{ it'\mu - \frac{1}{2} t'\Sigma t \right\}$$

由特征函数的性质, 得  $Z = BX + \theta$  的特征函数为

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_Z(s) &= \exp\{is'\theta\} \varphi_X(B's) \\ &= \exp\{is'\theta\} \exp\left\{is'B\mu - \frac{1}{2}s'B\Sigma B's\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{is'(B\mu + \theta) - \frac{1}{2}s'B\Sigma B's\right\},\end{aligned}$$

则  $Z \sim N_q(B\mu + \theta, B\Sigma B')$ . ■

## 第 4 次作业

1. 设  $\xi \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ , 证明  $E(\xi_i - \mu_i)(\xi_j - \mu_j)(\xi_k - \mu_k)(\xi_l - \mu_l) = \sigma_{ij}\sigma_{kl} + \sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jl} + \sigma_{il}\sigma_{jk}$ .

参考链接: [Isserlis 定理: 如何计算多元正态分布的高阶矩?](#)

2. 设  $y_i = x_i^\top \beta + \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , 论证  $\hat{\beta}$  与  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  相互独立。

**Proof.** 回归系数  $\beta$  和方差  $\sigma^2$  的估计为:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= (X'X)^{-1} X'Y = (X'X)^{-1} X'(X\beta + \varepsilon) \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

$$(n-p)\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = (Y - \hat{Y})'(Y - \hat{Y}),$$

其中  $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^{-1} X'Y \triangleq HY$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}Y - \hat{Y} &= Y - HY = (I - H)Y = (I - H)(X\beta + \varepsilon) \\ &= (I - H)\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

其中  $\varepsilon \sim N_n(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $H = X(X'X)^{-1} X'$  为帽子/投影矩阵, 是对称幂等矩阵,  $I - H$  也是对称幂等矩阵, 则:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} \varepsilon'(I - H)(I - H)\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n-p} \varepsilon'(I - H)\varepsilon.$$

基于以下定理:



## 线性型和二次型的独立性

正态分布的二次型和线性型

郭旭

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

### 定理 2

设  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $A$  是对称矩阵,  $B$  是  $m \times n$  矩阵, 令  $\xi = \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} = B \mathbf{X}$ , 若  $BA = 0$ , 则  $\xi$  和  $\mathbf{Z}$  相互独立。

**证明:** 设  $\text{rank}(A) = r > 0$ , 则存在正交矩阵  $\Gamma$  使得

$$\Gamma^T A \Gamma = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0),$$

其中  $\lambda_i$  是  $A$  的非零特征值,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ 。注意到:

$$\begin{aligned} BA &= B \Gamma \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0) \Gamma^T \\ &= (C_1 \ C_2) \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^T \\ &= (C_1 \Lambda_r \ 0) \Gamma^T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

根据条件  $BA = 0$ , 可得  $C_1 = 0$ 。



## 线性型和二次型的独立性

正态分布的二次型和线性型

郭旭

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

**证明:** 令  $\mathbf{Y} = \Gamma^T \mathbf{X}$ , 即  $\mathbf{X} = \Gamma \mathbf{Y}$ , 则  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\Gamma^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 即  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  相互独立。注意到:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}^T \Gamma^T A \Gamma \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i Y_i^2; \\ \mathbf{Z} &= B \mathbf{X} = B \Gamma \mathbf{Y} = (C_1 \ C_2) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} = C_2 \begin{pmatrix} Y_{r+1} \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

由于  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  和  $Y_{r+1}, \dots, Y_n$  相互独立, 因此  $\xi$  和  $\mathbf{Z}$  相互独立。



因为  $I - H$  是对称矩阵,  $(X'X)^{-1}X'(I - H) = (X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X' = 0$ , 则  $(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon$  与  $\varepsilon'(I - H)\varepsilon$  相互独立, 从而  $\hat{\beta}$  与  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  相互独立。 ■

## 第 5 次作业

1. 设  $X \sim N_3(\mu, \Sigma)$ , 其中

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1$$

试求条件分布  $(X_1 \ X_2) | X_3$  和  $X_1 | (X_2 \ X_3)$ 。

**Solution.** 首先给出多元正态随机变量的条件分布: 设  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\Sigma > 0$ , 对  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  和  $\Sigma$  作如下剖分,

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{X}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

其中

- $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}$  和  $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}$  为  $q \times 1$  的向量
- $\Sigma_{11}$  为  $q \times q$  矩阵
- $\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$  和  $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}$  为  $(p - q) \times 1$  的向量
- $\Sigma_{22}$  为  $(p - q) \times (p - q)$  矩阵
- $\Sigma_{12} = \Sigma'_{21}$  为  $q \times (p - q)$  矩阵

(1) 给定  $\mathbf{X}^{(2)} = \mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  时  $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}$  的条件分布服从  $q$  元正态分布, 即

$$(\mathbf{X}^{(1)} | \mathbf{X}^{(2)} = \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1 \cdot 2}, \Sigma_{11 \cdot 2})$$

其中  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1 \cdot 2} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)})$ ,  $\Sigma_{11 \cdot 2} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$ 。

(2) 给定  $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)}$  时  $\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$  的条件分布服从  $p - q$  元正态分布, 即

$$(\mathbf{X}^{(2)} | \mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)}) \sim N_{p-q}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2 \cdot 1}, \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1})$$

其中  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2 \cdot 1} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)})$ ,  $\Sigma_{22 \cdot 1} = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$ 。

这里  $p = 3$ ,  $q = 2$ 。设  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} X^{(1)} \\ X^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{(1)} \\ \mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$  以及

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}。$$

则  $(X_1, X_2) | X_3 = x_3 =: X^{(1)} | X^{(2)} = x^{(2)} \sim N_2(\mu_{1 \cdot 2}, \Sigma_{11 \cdot 2})$ , 其中

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{1 \cdot 2} &= \mu_{11} + \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (x^{(2)} - \mu^{(2)}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \end{pmatrix} (x_3 - \mu_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 + \rho(x_3 - \mu_3) \\ \mu_2 + \rho(x_3 - \mu_3) \end{pmatrix} \\ \Sigma_{11 \cdot 2} &= \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \end{pmatrix} (\rho \quad \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \rho^2 & \rho - \rho^2 \\ \rho - \rho^2 & 1 - \rho^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

从而得到

$$(X_1, X_2) | X_3 = x_3 \sim N_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 + \rho(x_3 - \mu_3) \\ \mu_2 + \rho(x_3 - \mu_3) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \rho^2 & \rho - \rho^2 \\ \rho - \rho^2 & 1 - \rho^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

同理可得

$$X_1 | (X_2, X_3) \sim N \left( \mu_1 + \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} (x_2 + x_3 - \mu_2 - \mu_3), 1 - \frac{2\rho^2}{1 + \rho} \right)$$

■

2. 设  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \end{pmatrix}' \sim N_2(0, I_2)$ , 试求在  $\mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2$  给定下  $\mathbf{X}_1$  的条件分布。

**Solution.**  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N_2(0, I_2)$ , 则

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_1 + X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$


类似于第 1 题的 solution, 可得

$$(X_1 | X_1 + X_2 = x_1 + x_2) \sim N \left( \frac{1}{2} (x_1 + x_2), \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

■

## 第 6 次作业

1. 利用正态分布二次型和线性型的知识证明以下问题。



正态分布的二次型和线性型

郭旭

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

### 正态分布的均值和方差估计

**例 1**

设  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , 那么  $\mu$  和  $\sigma^2$  的估计为:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i; \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$


令  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T \sim N_n(\mu \mathbf{1}_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ 。这里  $\mathbf{1}_n$  表示全为 1 的列向量,  $I_n$  表示单位矩阵。那么有:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{X}; \quad (n-1)\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathbf{X}^T \left( I_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T \right) \mathbf{X}.$$

问题:

- $\hat{\mu} \sim ??, \hat{\sigma}^2 \sim ??$
- $\hat{\mu}$  和  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  是否独立?

**Solution.** 主要用到推论 1 和定理 1:



正态分布的二次型和线性型

郭旭

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

### 非中心情形

由非中心卡方分布的定义和上述定理的证明可得:

**推论 1**

设  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $A^T = A$  则二次型

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} \sim \chi_r^2(\delta) \Leftrightarrow A^2 = A$$

其中非中心参数  $\delta = \boldsymbol{\mu}^T A \boldsymbol{\mu} / \sigma^2$  且  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ 。

证明留作作业

线性型和二次型的独立性

定理 2

设  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\mu, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $A$  是对称矩阵,  $B$  是  $m \times n$  矩阵, 令  $\xi = \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} = B \mathbf{X}$ . 若  $BA = 0$ , 则  $\xi$  和  $\mathbf{Z}$  相互独立。

证明: 设  $\text{rank}(A) = r > 0$ , 则存在正交矩阵  $\Gamma$  使得

$$\Gamma^T A \Gamma = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0),$$

其中  $\lambda_i$  是  $A$  的非零特征值,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . 注意到:

$$\begin{aligned} BA &= B \Gamma \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0) \Gamma^T \\ &= (C_1 \ C_2) \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^T \\ &= (C_1 \Lambda_r \ 0) \Gamma^T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

根据条件  $BA = 0$ , 可得  $C_1 = 0$ .

线性型和二次型的独立性

证明: 令  $\mathbf{Y} = \Gamma^T \mathbf{X}$ , 即  $\mathbf{X} = \Gamma \mathbf{Y}$ , 则  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\Gamma^T \mu, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 即  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  相互独立。注意到:

$$\xi = \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}^T \Gamma^T A \Gamma \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i Y_i^2;$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = B \mathbf{X} = B \Gamma \mathbf{Y} = (C_1 \ C_2) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} = C_2 \begin{pmatrix} Y_{r+1} \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

由于  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  和  $Y_{r+1}, \dots, Y_n$  相互独立, 因此  $\xi$  和  $\mathbf{Z}$  相互独立。

已知  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T \sim N_n(\mu 1_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 以及

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &= \frac{1}{n} 1_n^T X =: BX, \\ (n-1)\hat{\sigma}^2 &= X^T \left( I_n - \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n^T \right) X =: X^T A X. \end{aligned}$$

则  $\hat{\mu} \sim N(B\mu 1_n, B\sigma^2 I_n B^T) = N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ .

注意到:  $A^2 = A$  且  $A^T = A$ , 故  $A$  是对称幂等矩阵, 可得:


$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{tr}(A) = n - \frac{1}{n} 1_n^T 1_n = n - 1.$$

根据推论 1, 我们有  $\frac{(n-1)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_r^2(\delta)$ , 其中  $r = \text{rank}(A) = n - 1$ ,  $\delta = \frac{\mu 1_n A (\mu 1_n)^T}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\mu^2 1_n^T (I_n - \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n^T) 1_n}{\sigma^2} = 0$ , 从而

$$\frac{(n-1)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2.$$

利用定理 2, 因为  $BA = \frac{1}{n} 1_n^T \left( I_n - \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n^T \right) = 0$ , 则  $\hat{\mu}$  与  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  相互独立。 ■

## 2. 利用正态分布二次型和线性型的知识证明以下问题。



### 回归分析中的回归系数和方差估计

正态分布的二次型和线性型

**郭旭**

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

例 2

设  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, \mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \in N_n(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 考虑回归模型:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{e}.$$

那么回归系数  $\beta$  和方差  $\sigma^2$  的估计为:


$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} = \beta + (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{e};$$

$$(n-p)\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{e}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{e}.$$

这里  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$  称为帽子矩阵。问题:

- $\hat{\beta} \sim ??, (n-p)\hat{\sigma}^2 \sim ??$
- $\hat{\beta}$  和  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  是否独立?

**Solution.** 主要用到定理 1 和定理 2:



### 一般情况

正态分布的二次型和线性型

**郭旭**

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

现考虑一般情况下的理论结果

定理 1

设  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $A$  是对称矩阵, 且  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ , 则二次型  $\mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_r^2 \Leftrightarrow A^2 = A$ , 即  $A$  为对称幂等矩阵, 或者所谓投影矩阵。

**证明:** 由于  $A$  是对称矩阵, 且  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ , 则存在正交矩阵  $\Gamma$  使得


$$\Gamma^T A \Gamma = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0),$$

其中  $\lambda_i$  是  $A$  的非零特征值,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . 令  $\mathbf{Z} = \Gamma^T \mathbf{X} \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 且  $\mathbf{X} = \Gamma \mathbf{Z}$ , 则

$$\xi = \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} / \sigma^2 = \mathbf{Z}^T \Gamma^T A \Gamma \mathbf{Z} / \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i Z_i^2 / \sigma^2.$$

又  $Z_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), i = 1, \dots, r$  且相互独立, 则  $Z_i^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_1^2$  且相互独立。  $\xi$  的特征函数为:

$$(1 - 2i\lambda_1 t)^{-1/2} (1 - 2i\lambda_2 t)^{-1/2} \dots (1 - 2i\lambda_r t)^{-1/2}.$$



### 一般情况

正态分布的二次型和线性型

**郭旭**

两个例子

二次型的分布及其独立性

一般二次型的分布性质

**证明:**  $\Rightarrow$ : 现由条件知:  $\xi \sim \chi_r^2$ , 则  $\xi$  的特征函数为:  $(1 - 2it)^{-r/2}$ 。从而有:

$$(1 - 2i\lambda_1 t)^{-1/2} \dots (1 - 2i\lambda_r t)^{-1/2} = (1 - 2it)^{-r/2}.$$

由此可得  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = 1$ 。从而

$$\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = \Gamma^T A \Gamma.$$

易知  $A^2 = A = \Gamma \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \Gamma^T$ 。

$\Leftarrow$ : 由于  $A$  是对称幂等矩阵, 则其特征值非 0 即 1, 也就是  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = 1$ 。所以  $\xi$  的特征函数为:

$$(1 - 2i\lambda_1 t)^{-1/2} \dots (1 - 2i\lambda_r t)^{-1/2} = (1 - 2it)^{-r/2}.$$

这表明  $\xi$  服从自由度为  $r$  的卡方分布。

线性型和二次型的独立性

定理 2

设  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $A$  是对称矩阵,  $B$  是  $m \times n$  矩阵, 令  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} = B \mathbf{X}$ , 若  $BA = 0$ , 则  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  和  $\mathbf{Z}$  相互独立。

证明: 设  $\text{rank}(A) = r > 0$ , 则存在正交矩阵  $\Gamma$  使得

$$\Gamma^T A \Gamma = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0),$$

其中  $\lambda_i$  是  $A$  的非零特征值,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . 注意到:

$$\begin{aligned} BA &= B \Gamma \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0) \Gamma^T \\ &= (C_1 \ C_2) \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^T \\ &= (C_1 \Lambda_r \ 0) \Gamma^T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

根据条件  $BA = 0$ , 可得  $C_1 = 0$ .

线性型和二次型的独立性

证明: 令  $\mathbf{Y} = \Gamma^T \mathbf{X}$ , 即  $\mathbf{X} = \Gamma \mathbf{Y}$ , 则  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\Gamma^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 即  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  相互独立。注意到:

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}^T \Gamma^T A \Gamma \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i Y_i^2;$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = B \mathbf{X} = B \Gamma \mathbf{Y} = (C_1 \ C_2) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} = C_2 \begin{pmatrix} Y_{r+1} \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

由于  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  和  $Y_{r+1}, \dots, Y_n$  相互独立, 因此  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  和  $\mathbf{Z}$  相互独立。

已知  $e \sim N_n(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , 以及

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \beta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T e =: \beta + B e, \\ (n-p)\hat{\sigma}^2 &= e^T (I - H) e =: e^T A e. \end{aligned}$$

则  $\hat{\beta} \sim N_n(\beta, B \sigma^2 I_n B^T) = N_n(\beta, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1})$ , 又已知  $A$  是对称幂等矩阵, 可得

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(A) &= \text{tr}(A) = n - \text{tr}(X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) \\ &= n - \text{tr}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T X) \\ &= n - \text{tr}(I_p) = n - p. \end{aligned}$$

根据定理 1 得

$$\frac{(n-1)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2.$$

利用定理 2,  $BA = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (I - H) = 0$ , 则  $\hat{\beta}$  与  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  相互独立。 ■

## 第 7 次作业

1. 设  $p$  维随机向量  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)' \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{I}_p)$ ,  $y = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} \sim \chi^2(p, \lambda), \lambda = \boldsymbol{\mu}'\boldsymbol{\mu}$ , 证明:

(1)  $E(y) = p + \lambda$

(2)  $\text{Var}(y) = 2p + 4\lambda$

**Solution.** 思路 1: 因为  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)' \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{I}_p)$ , 则  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$  相互独立,  $x_i \sim N(\mu_i, 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ 。从而可得

$$E(y) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^2\right) = \sum_{i=1}^p E x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p (1 + \mu_i^2) = p + \lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(y) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^2\right) = \sum_{i=1}^p \text{Var}(x_i^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p [E x_i^4 - (E x_i^2)^2] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p [(\mu_i^4 + 6\mu_i^2 + 3) - (1 + \mu_i^2)^2] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p (4\mu_i^2 + 2) = 4\lambda + 2p \end{aligned}$$

■

**Solution.** 思路 2: 利用二次型的性质, 设多元正态随机变量  $X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $A$  和  $B$  为  $p$  阶对称矩阵, 则

- $E(X'AX) = \mu' A \mu + \text{tr}(A \Sigma)$
- $\text{Cov}(X'AX, X'BX) = 2\text{tr}(A \Sigma B \Sigma) + 4\mu' A \Sigma B \mu$

带入  $\Sigma = I_p$ ,  $A = I_p$ ,  $B = I_p$  得

$$E(y) = E(x'x) = \mu' \mu + \text{tr}(I_p) = \lambda + p$$

$$\text{Var}(y) = \text{Var}(x'x) = 2\text{tr}(I_p) + 4\mu' \mu = 2p + 4\lambda$$

■

**Solution.** 思路 3: 已知非中心  $\Gamma$  分布  $\Gamma(\alpha, \lambda, \delta)$  的特征函数为

$$\varphi(t) = \left(1 - \frac{it}{\lambda}\right)^{-\alpha} \exp\left\{\frac{it\delta/2}{\lambda - it}\right\}$$

当形状参数  $\alpha = n/2$ , 尺度参数  $\lambda = 1/2$  时非中心  $\Gamma$  分布为非中心  $\chi_n^2(\delta)$  分布。 ■



## 第 8 次作业

1. 高惠璇老师书上的习题 3-11, 表 3.4 给出 15 名两周岁婴儿的身高 ( $X_1$ ), 胸围 ( $X_2$ ) 和上半臂围 ( $X_3$ ) 的测量数据. 假设男婴的测量数据  $X_{(\alpha)}$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, 6$ ) 为来自总体  $N_3(\mu_1, \Sigma)$  的随机样本; 女婴的测量数据  $Y_{(\alpha)}$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, 9$ ) 为来自总体  $N_3(\mu_2, \Sigma)$  的随机样本. 试利用表 3.4 中的数据解决如下问题.

- (1) 检验两个总体的均值向量是否相同,  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$  ( $\alpha = 0.05$ );
- (2) 对总体均值向量的差构造置信域;
- (3) 对每个分量构造单独置信区间和联合置信区间, 并比较它们的差异.

# 输入样本

```
X1 <- c(78, 76, 92, 81, 81, 84, 80, 75, 78, 75, 79, 78, 75, 64, 80)
X2 <- c(60.6, 58.1, 63.2, 59, 60.8, 59.5, 58.4, 59.2, 60.3, 57.4, 59.5,
        58.1, 58, 55.5, 59.2)
X3 <- c(16.5, 12.5, 14.5, 14, 15.5, 14, 14, 15, 15, 13, 14, 14.5, 12.5,
        11, 12.5)
gender <- rep(c("male", "female"), c(6, 9))
baby.body <- data.frame(gender, X1, X2, X3)
baby.body
```

```
##      gender X1    X2    X3
## 1      male 78 60.6 16.5
## 2      male 76 58.1 12.5
## 3      male 92 63.2 14.5
## 4      male 81 59.0 14.0
## 5      male 81 60.8 15.5
## 6      male 84 59.5 14.0
## 7  female 80 58.4 14.0
## 8  female 75 59.2 15.0
## 9  female 78 60.3 15.0
## 10 female 75 57.4 13.0
## 11 female 79 59.5 14.0
```

```
## 12 female 78 58.1 14.5
## 13 female 75 58.0 12.5
## 14 female 64 55.5 11.0
## 15 female 80 59.2 12.5
```

**Solution.** (1) 两正态总体均值向量检验

$$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

已知两正态总体的样本量分别为  $m$  和  $n$ ,  $V_1$  和  $V_2$  分别为对应总体的样本离差阵, 当两总体协方差阵  $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \Sigma$  未知时, 检验统计量  $T^2$  如下, 服从 Hotelling  $T^2$  分布:

$$T^2 = \frac{mn(m+n-2)}{m+n} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^T (V_1 + V_2)^{-1} (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \sim T^2(p, m+n-2)$$

其中

$$V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})^T$$

$$V_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(y_i - \bar{y})^T$$

将 Hotelling  $T^2$  分布转化为  $F$  分布:

$$F = \frac{(m+n-p-1)T^2}{(m+n-2)p} = \frac{mn(m+n-p-1)}{(m+n)p} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^T (V_1 + V_2)^{-1} (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \sim F_{p, m+n-p-1}$$

```
diff_mtest_unknown <- function(data1, data2, alpha=0.05) {
  # H0: mu1 - mu2 = 0 when Sigma0 is unknown
  # This is a F testing

  #-----input-----#
  # data1 and data2: design matrix
  # mu1 and mu2: mu1 - mu2 = 0 for null hypothesis
  # alpha: the significant level, default value=0.05
  #-----output-----#
  # Reject.area: reject region
```

```

# p.value: p-value

data1 <- as.matrix(data1) # 将数据框转化为矩阵
data2 <- as.matrix(data2)
n <- nrow(data1); m <- nrow(data2)
p <- ncol(data1)
X.bar <- colMeans(data1); Y.bar <- colMeans(data2)
V1 <- (n-1)*cov(data1); V2 <- (m-1)*cov(data2)
f.stat <- n*m*(n+m-p-1)/((n+m)*p)*t(X.bar-Y.bar)%*%solve(V1+V2)%*%(X.bar-Y.bar)
low.q <- qf(1-alpha, p, n+m-p-1) # 求下侧分位点, 上侧: lower.tail=FALSE
reject <- matrix(c(f.stat, low.q), nrow=1) # 按行排
rownames(reject) <- c("Reject") # 行名
colnames(reject) <- c("Obs", paste0("> ", 1-alpha)) # 列名
pval <- 1 - pf(f.stat, p, n+m-p-1)
return(list(Reject.area=reject, p.value=pval))
}

male.body <- baby.body[baby.body$gender=="male",-1]
female.body <- baby.body[baby.body$gender=="female",-1]
diff_mtest_unknown(male.body, female.body, alpha=0.05)

## $Reject.area
##           Obs    > 0.95
## Reject 1.498179 3.587434
##
## $p.value
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.2692616

# Alternative using package ICSNP
library(ICSNP)
HotellingsT2(male.body, female.body, mu=rep(0,(ncol(baby.body)-1)))

```

```
##
## Hotelling's two sample T2-test
##
## data:  male.body and female.body
## T.2 = 1.4982, df1 = 3, df2 = 11, p-value = 0.2693
## alternative hypothesis: true location difference is not equal to c(0,0,0)
```

**结论:** 从拒绝域来看, 检验统计量没有落入拒绝域; 而且  $p\text{-value} < \alpha = 0.05$ , 所以不拒绝原假设。我们认为两个总体的均值向量是相同的。

(2) 构造两总体均值向量差的置信域

$$F = \frac{(m+n-p-1)T^2}{(m+n-2)p} = \frac{mn(m+n-p-1)}{(m+n)p} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^T (V_1 + V_2)^{-1} (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \sim F_{p, m+n-p-1}$$

则  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  的  $1 - \alpha$  置信域为

$$D = \{\mu_1 - \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid F \leq c_\alpha\} \\ = \left\{ \mu_1 - \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid [(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - (\bar{x} - \bar{y})]^T (V_1 + V_2)^{-1} [(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - (\bar{x} - \bar{y})] \leq \frac{(m+n)p}{mn(m+n-p-1)} c_\alpha \right\}$$

其中  $c_\alpha$  为  $F_{p, m+n-p-1}$  分布的上侧  $\alpha$  分位点,  $P(F_{p, m+n-p-1} > c_\alpha) = \alpha$ 。

```
X.bar <- colMeans(male.body); Y.bar <- colMeans(female.body)
X.bar - Y.bar
```

```
## X1 X2 X3
## 6.0 1.8 1.0
```

```
m <- nrow(male.body); n <- nrow(female.body)
p <- ncol(female.body); alpha <- 0.05
V1 <- (m-1)*cov(male.body)
V2 <- (n-1)*cov(female.body)
solve(V1+V2)
```

```
## X1 X2 X3
```

```
## X1  0.008844188 -0.02841209  0.007911044
## X2 -0.028412087  0.14739623 -0.067973510
## X3  0.007911044 -0.06797351  0.081017053
```

```
(m+n)*p/(m*n*(m+n-p-1))*qf(1-alpha, p, m+n-p-1)
```

```
## [1] 0.2717753
```

结论: 置信域为

$$\left\{ \mu_1 - \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \left[ (\mu_1 - \mu_2) - \begin{pmatrix} 6.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^T \begin{bmatrix} 0.0088 & -0.0284 & 0.0079 \\ -0.0284 & 0.1474 & -0.0680 \\ 0.0079 & -0.0680 & 0.0810 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left[ (\mu_1 - \mu_2) - \begin{pmatrix} 6.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq 0.2717753 \right\}$$

(3) a. 构造联合置信区间

对于任意的  $a$ , 考虑均值向量  $\mu$  的线性组合  $a^T \mu$  的置信区间便能够得到想要的联合置信区间。若取  $a = e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)'$ , 我们便同时得到  $\mu_i (i = 1, \dots, p)$  的置信度均为  $1 - \alpha$  的  $T^2 = n(\bar{x} - \mu)' S^{-1} (\bar{x} - \mu) \leq c^2$  区间

$$\bar{x}_i - c \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}} \leq \mu_i \leq \bar{x}_i + c \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}}$$

其中  $c = \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)} F_\alpha}$ ,  $s_{ii}$  为样本协方差阵  $S$  的第  $i$  个对角元素.

b. 构造单独置信区间

单个分量的置信度为  $1 - \alpha$  的置信区间为

$$\bar{x}_i - t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}} \leq \mu_i \leq \bar{x}_i + t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}}$$

```
comb_CI <- function(data, alpha, a, seperate=FALSE) {
  data <- as.matrix(data)
  n <- nrow(data)
  p <- ncol(data)
  if (p!=length(a)) {
```

```

    stop("the length of a is not matching to the column number of data")
}

if(seperate == TRUE) {
  # 单独置信区间
  if (length(which(a==1))==1 & length(which(a==0))==p-1) {
    k <- qt(1-alpha/2,n-1)
  } else {
    stop("when seperate is TRUE, this must be only one 1 in vector a")
  }
} else {
  k <- sqrt(((n-1)*p*qf(1-alpha,p,n-p))/(n-p))
}
S <- cov(data)
Xbar <- as.matrix(colMeans(data))
lowBound <- t(a) %*% Xbar - k * sqrt((t(a) %*% S %*% a)/n)
upBound <- t(a) %*% Xbar + k * sqrt((t(a) %*% S %*% a)/n)
interval <- c(lowBound, upBound)
inter.len <- upBound - lowBound
return(list(interval=interval, inter.len=inter.len))
}

```

比较单独置信区间和联合置信区间的区别

```

compare_fun <- function(data, gender) {
  feature <- c(" 身高", " 胸围", " 上半臂围")
  for (i in 1:ncol(data)){
    a <- rep(0, 3); a[i] <- 1
    single.out <- comb_CI(data, 0.05, a, seperate=TRUE)
    single.CI <- single.out$interval
    single.len <- single.out$inter.len
    comb.out <- comb_CI(data, 0.05, a, seperate=FALSE)
    comb.CI <- comb.out$interval
  }
}

```

```

comb.len <- comb.out$inter.len

cat(" ", gender, feature[i], " 单独 95% 的置信区间为 (",
    single.CI[1], ",", single.CI[2],")",
    " 区间长度为", single.len,
    "\n ",
    gender, feature[i], " 联合 95% 的置信区间为 (",
    comb.CI[1], ",", comb.CI[2],")",
    " 区间长度为", comb.len)
cat("\n")
}
}

```

```
compare_fun(male.body, " 男生")
```

```

## 男生 身高 单独95%的置信区间为( 76.10072 , 87.89928 ) 区间长度为 11.79857
## 男生 身高 联合95%的置信区间为( 66.3704 , 97.6296 ) 区间长度为 31.25921
## 男生 胸围 单独95%的置信区间为( 58.33094 , 62.06906 ) 区间长度为 3.738113
## 男生 胸围 联合95%的置信区间为( 55.24811 , 65.15189 ) 区间长度为 9.903781
## 男生 上半臂围 单独95%的置信区间为( 13.05345 , 15.94655 ) 区间长度为 2.893094
## 男生 上半臂围 联合95%的置信区间为( 10.66751 , 18.33249 ) 区间长度为 7.664984

```

```
compare_fun(female.body, " 女生")
```

```

## 女生 身高 单独95%的置信区间为( 72.19529 , 79.80471 ) 区间长度为 7.609425
## 女生 身高 联合95%的置信区间为( 68.80284 , 83.19716 ) 区间长度为 14.39432
## 女生 胸围 单独95%的置信区间为( 57.32112 , 59.47888 ) 区间长度为 2.157754
## 女生 胸围 联合95%的置信区间为( 56.35915 , 60.44085 ) 区间长度为 4.081702
## 女生 上半臂围 单独95%的置信区间为( 12.46515 , 14.53485 ) 区间长度为 2.069702
## 女生 上半臂围 联合95%的置信区间为( 11.54243 , 15.45757 ) 区间长度为 3.915139

```

可以看出，男婴/女婴数据的 95% 联合置信区间长度比 95% 单独置信区间长度更长。对于每个特征，区间下限都更低，上限都更高。说明，单独置信区间是更加严格的。

## 第9次作业

考虑三个总体的 Bayes 的判别问题, 先验概率、误判损失以及密度函数在新的样本点处的值如下表, 要求

- (1) 根据错判平均损失最小的规则, 应把  $x_0$  判给哪一个总体?
  - (2) 若所有错判损失相等, 此时  $x_0$  应判入哪个总体? 并计算其后验概率.
- 错判损失:  $L(1/1) = 0, L(1/2) = 500, L(1/3) = 100; L(2/1) = 10, L(2/2) = 0, L(2/3) = 50;$   
 $L(3/1) = 50, L(3/2) = 200, L(3/3) = 0;$
  - 先验概率:  $q_1 = 0.2, q_2 = 0.3, q_3 = 0.5;$
  - 密度函数:  $f_1(x_0) = 0.01, f_2(x_0) = 0.85, f_3(x_0) = 0.01.$

**Solution.** 基于错判平均损失最小的规则,

$$h_1(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^3 q_i L(1|i) f_i(x_0) = 0.3 \times 500 \times 0.85 + 0.5 \times 100 \times 0.01 = 128$$

$$h_2(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^3 q_i L(2|i) f_i(x_0) = 0.2 \times 10 \times 0.01 + 0.5 \times 50 \times 0.01 = 0.27$$

$$h_3(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^3 q_i L(3|i) f_i(x_0) = 0.2 \times 50 \times 0.01 + 0.3 \times 200 \times 0.85 = 51.1$$

$h_2(x_0) < h_3(x_0) < h_1(x_0)$ , 因此  $x_0$  应该判给第二个总体  $G_2$ .

当所有错判损失相等时, Bayes 的判别问题等价于后验概率最大的判别, 后验概率分别为

$$P(G_1|x_0) = \frac{q_1 f_1(x_0)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 q_i f_i(x_0)} = \frac{2}{262}$$

$$P(G_2|x_0) = \frac{q_2 f_2(x_0)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 q_i f_i(x_0)} = \frac{255}{262}$$

$$P(G_3|x_0) = \frac{q_3 f_3(x_0)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 q_i f_i(x_0)} = \frac{5}{262}$$

$P(G_2|x_0) > P(G_3|x_0) > P(G_1|x_0)$ , 因此  $x_0$  应该判给第二个总体  $G_2$ . ■



## 第 10 次作业

(ESL, Ex. 4.2) Suppose we have features  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , a two-class response, with class sizes  $N_1, N_2$ , and the target coded as  $-N/N_1, N/N_2$ .

(a) Show that the LDA rule classifies to class 2 if

$$x^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) > \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\mu}_1)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) - \log(N_2/N_1)$$

and class 1 otherwise.

(b) Consider minimization of the least squares criterion

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i^T \beta)^2$$

Show that the solution  $\hat{\beta}$  satisfies

$$\left[ (N-2)\hat{\Sigma} + N\hat{\Sigma}_B \right] \beta = N(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

(after simplification), where  $\hat{\Sigma}_B = \frac{N_1 N_2}{N^2} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T$ .

(c) Hence show that  $\hat{\Sigma}_B \beta$  is in the direction  $(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$  and thus

$$\hat{\beta} \propto \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

Therefore the least-squares regression coefficient is identical to the LDA coefficient, up to a scalar multiple.

**Solution. Part (a):** Under zero-one classification loss, for each class  $\omega_k$  the Bayes' discriminant functions  $\delta_k(x)$  take the following form

$$\delta_k(x) = \ln(p(x | \omega_k)) + \ln(\pi_k) \quad (1)$$

If our conditional density  $p(x | \omega_k)$  is given by a multidimensional normal then its function form is given by

$$p(x | \omega_k) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma_k|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x - \mu_k) \right\}$$

Taking the logarithm of this expression as required by Equation (1) we find

$$\ln(p(x | \omega_k)) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x - \mu_k) - \frac{p}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(|\Sigma_k|)$$

so that our discriminant function in the case when  $p(x | \omega_k)$  is a multidimensional Gaussian is given by

$$\delta_k(x) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x - \mu_k) - \frac{p}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(|\Sigma_k|) + \ln(\pi_k). \quad (2)$$

We will now consider some specializations of this expression for various possible values of  $\Sigma_k$  and how these assumptions modify the expressions for  $\delta_k(x)$ . Since linear discriminant analysis (LDA) corresponds to the case of equal covariance matrices our decision boundaries (given by Equation (2)), but with equal covariances ( $\Sigma_k = \Sigma$ ). For decision purposes we can drop the two terms  $-\frac{p}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(|\Sigma|)$  and use a discriminant  $\delta_k(x)$  given by

$$\delta_k(x) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_k) + \ln(\pi_k)$$

Expanding the quadratic in the above expression we get

$$\delta_k(x) = -\frac{1}{2} (x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k) + \ln(\pi_k)$$

Since  $x^T \Sigma^{-1} x$  is a common term with the same value in all discriminant functions we can drop it and just consider the discriminant given by

$$\delta_k(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k + \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} x - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k + \ln(\pi_k).$$

Since  $x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k$  is a scalar, its value is equal to the value of its transpose so

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k = (x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k)^T = \mu_k^T (\Sigma^{-1})^T x = \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} x$$

since  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is symmetric. Thus the two linear terms in the above combine and we are left with

$$\delta_k(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k + \ln(\pi_k).$$

Next we can estimate  $\pi_k$  from data using  $\pi_i = \frac{N_i}{N}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and we pick class 2 as the classification outcome if  $\delta_2(x) > \delta_1(x)$  (and class 1 otherwise). This inequality can be written as

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \ln\left(\frac{N_2}{N}\right) > x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + \ln\left(\frac{N_1}{N}\right)$$

or moving all the  $x$  terms to one side

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1) > \frac{1}{2} \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + \ln\left(\frac{N_1}{N}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{N_2}{N}\right)$$

as we were to show.

**Part (b):** To minimize the expression  $\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta^T x_i)^2$  over  $(\beta_0, \beta)'$  we know that the solution  $(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta})'$  must satisfy the normal equations which in this case is given by

$$X^T X \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = X^T \mathbf{y}$$

Our normal equations have a block matrix  $X^T X$  on the left-hand-side given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{N_1} & x_{N_1+1} & x_{N_1+2} & \cdots & x_{N_1+N_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^T \\ 1 & x_2^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{N_1}^T \\ 1 & x_{N_1+1}^T \\ 1 & x_{N_1+2}^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{N_1+N_2}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

When we take the product of these two matrices we find

$$\begin{bmatrix} N & \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^T \\ \sum_{i=1}^N x_i & \sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

For the case where we code our response as  $-\frac{N}{N_1}$  for the first class and  $+\frac{N}{N_2}$  for the second class (where  $N = N_1 + N_2$ ), the right-hand-side or  $X^T y$  of the normal equations becomes When we take the product of these two matrices we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 \left(-\frac{N}{N_1}\right) + N_2 \left(\frac{N}{N_2}\right) \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} x_i\right) \left(-\frac{N}{N_1}\right) + \left(\sum_{i=N_1+1}^N x_i\right) \left(\frac{N}{N_2}\right) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -N\mu_1 + N\mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that we can simplify the (1, 2) and the (2, 1) elements in the block coefficient matrix  $X^T X$  in Equation (3) by introducing the class specific means (denoted by  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} x_i + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N x_i = N_1\mu_1 + N_2\mu_2$$

Also if we pool all of the samples for this two class problem ( $K = 2$ ) together we can estimate the pooled covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}$  (see the section in the book on linear discriminant analysis) as

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N - K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i:g_i=k} (x_i - \mu_k)(x_i - \mu_k)^T$$

When  $K = 2$  this is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Sigma} &= \frac{1}{N-2} \left[ \sum_{i:g_i=1} (x_i - \mu_1)(x_i - \mu_1)^T + \sum_{i:g_i=2} (x_i - \mu_2)(x_i - \mu_2)^T \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N-2} \left[ \sum_{i:g_i=1} x_i x_i^T - N_1 \mu_1 \mu_1^T + \sum_{i:g_i=2} x_i x_i^T - N_2 \mu_2 \mu_2^T \right]\end{aligned}$$

From which we see that the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T$  found in the  $(2, 2)$  element in the matrix from Equation (3) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T = (N-2)\hat{\Sigma} + N_1 \mu_1 \mu_1^T + N_2 \mu_2 \mu_2^T$$

Now that we have evaluated both sides of the normal equations we can write them down again as a linear system. We get

$$\begin{bmatrix} N & N_1 \mu_1^T + N_2 \mu_2^T \\ N_1 \mu_1 + N_2 \mu_2 & (N-2)\hat{\Sigma} + N_1 \mu_1 \mu_1^T + N_2 \mu_2 \mu_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -N \mu_1 + N \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

In more detail we can write out the first equation in the above system as

$$N \beta_0 + (N_1 \mu_1^T + N_2 \mu_2^T) \beta = 0$$

or solving for  $\beta_0$ , in terms of  $\beta$ , we get

$$\beta_0 = \left( -\frac{N_1}{N} \mu_1^T - \frac{N_2}{N} \mu_2^T \right) \beta$$

When we put this value of  $\beta_0$  into the second equation in Equation (4) we find the total equation for  $\beta$  then looks like

$$(N_1 \mu_1 + N_2 \mu_2) \left( -\frac{N_1}{N} \mu_1^T - \frac{N_2}{N} \mu_2^T \right) \beta + \left( (N-2)\hat{\Sigma} + N_1 \mu_1 \mu_1^T + N_2 \mu_2 \mu_2^T \right) \beta = N (\mu_2 - \mu_1)$$

Consider the terms that are outer products of the vectors  $\mu_i$  (namely terms like  $\mu_i \mu_j^T$ ) we see that taken together they look like

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Outer Product Terms} &= -\frac{N_1^2}{N} \mu_1 \mu_1^T - \frac{2N_1 N_2}{N} \mu_1 \mu_2^T - \frac{N_2^2}{N} \mu_2 \mu_2^T + N_1 \mu_1 \mu_2^T + N_2 \mu_2 \mu_1^T \\ &= \left( -\frac{N_1^2}{N} + N_1 \right) \mu_1 \mu_1^T - \frac{2N_1 N_2}{N} \mu_1 \mu_2^T + \left( -\frac{N_2^2}{N} + N_2 \right) \mu_2 \mu_2^T \\ &= \frac{N_1}{N} (-N_1 + N) \mu_1 \mu_1^T - \frac{2N_1 N_2}{N} \mu_1 \mu_2^T + \frac{N_2}{N} (-N_2 + N) \mu_2 \mu_2^T \\ &= \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \mu_1 \mu_1^T - \frac{2N_1 N_2}{N} \mu_1 \mu_2^T + \frac{N_2 N_1}{N} \mu_2 \mu_2^T \\ &= \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\mu_1 \mu_1^T - 2\mu_1 \mu_2^T - \mu_2 \mu_2^T) = \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\mu_1 - \mu_2) (\mu_1 - \mu_2)^T\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $N_1 + N_2 = N$ . If we introduce the matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}_B$  as

$$\hat{\Sigma}_B \equiv (\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T$$

we get that the equation for  $\beta$  looks like

$$\left[ (N - 2)\hat{\Sigma} + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\Sigma}_B \right] \beta = N (\mu_2 - \mu_1) \quad (5)$$

as we were to show.

**Part (c):** Note that  $\hat{\Sigma}_B \beta$  is  $(\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T \beta$ , and the product  $(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T \beta$  is a scalar. Therefore the vector direction of  $\hat{\Sigma}_B \beta$  is given by  $\mu_2 - \mu_1$ . Thus in Equation (5) as both the right-hand-side and the term  $\frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\Sigma}_B$  are in the direction of  $\mu_2 - \mu_1$  the solution  $\beta$  must be in the direction (i.e. proportional to)  $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$ . ■

## 第 11 次作业

1. 验证最短距离法的单调性;
2. 推导 Ward 法的距离迭代公式.

1. 记第  $L$  步的并类距离为  $D_L$ , 假设  $D_L = D_{pq}^{(L-1)}$ , 即

合并  $G_p, G_q$  为新类  $G_r$ , 所以  $D_{pq}^{(L-1)} = \min D_{ij}^{(L-1)}$

新类  $G_r$  与其它类  $G_k$  的距离为

$$D_{rk}^{(L)} = \min \{ D_{pk}^{(L-1)}, D_{qk}^{(L-1)} \} \geq D_{pq}^{(L-1)} = D_L \quad (k \neq p, q)$$

$$\forall i, j \neq r, p, q, \quad D_{ij}^{(L)} = D_{ij}^{(L-1)} \geq D_{pq}^{(L-1)} = D_L$$

因此第  $L+1$  步的并类距离  $D_{L+1} = \min D_{ij}^{(L)} \geq D_L$

2. Ward法定义  $D_{pq}^2 = W_Y - (W_p + W_q)$

假设将  $n$  个样本分为了  $k$  类, 记为  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$ ,

样本个数为  $n_t$ ,  $\bar{X}^{(t)}$  表为  $G_t$  的重心,  $X_{(i)}^{(t)}$  表示  $G_t$  中的第  $i$  个样本,  $t=1, \dots, k; i=1, \dots, n_t$

设  $G_p$  与  $G_q$  合并为  $G_r$ , 且  $n_p + n_q = n_r$ ,  $\bar{X}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{n_r}(n_p \bar{X}^{(p)} + n_q \bar{X}^{(q)})$

$$W_Y = \sum_{t=1}^{n_r} (X_{(t)}^{(r)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})^T (X_{(t)}^{(r)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}) \leftarrow G_r \text{ 中的总差异平方和}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n_p} (X_{(t)}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})^T (X_{(t)}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}) + \sum_{t=1}^{n_q} (X_{(t)}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})^T (X_{(t)}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n_p} (X_{(t)}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(p)} + \bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})^T (X_{(t)}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(p)} + \bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}) +$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n_q} (X_{(t)}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(q)} + \bar{X}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})^T (X_{(t)}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(q)} + \bar{X}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})$$

其中  $\sum_{t=1}^{n_p} (X_{(t)}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(p)})^T (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)})$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n_p} (X_{(t)}^{(p)T} X^{(p)} - X_{(t)}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(r)} - \bar{X}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(p)} + \bar{X}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(r)})$$

$$= n_p \bar{X}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(p)} - n_p \bar{X}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(r)} - n_p \bar{X}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(p)} + n_p \bar{X}^{(p)T} \bar{X}^{(r)}$$

$$= 0$$

同理可证其余交叉项, 得

$$W_Y = \|X^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(p)} + \bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}\|^2 + \|X^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(q)} + \bar{X}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}\|^2$$

$$= \|X^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(p)}\|^2 + \|\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}\|^2 + \|X^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(q)}\|^2 + \|\bar{X}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)}\|^2$$

代入  $\bar{X}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{n_r}(n_p \bar{X}^{(p)} + n_q \bar{X}^{(q)})$  得  $\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(r)} = \frac{n_q}{n_r}(\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)})$

$$\bar{X}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(r)} = \frac{n_p}{n_r}(\bar{X}^{(q)} - \bar{X}^{(p)})$$

$$W_Y = W_p + W_q + \left( \frac{n_q^2}{n_r^2} \cdot n_p + \frac{n_p^2}{n_r^2} \cdot n_q \right) (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)})^T (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)})$$

$$= W_p + W_q + \frac{n_p n_q}{n_p + n_q} (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)})^T (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)}) \Rightarrow D_{pq}^2 = \frac{n_p n_q}{n_p + n_q} (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)})^T (\bar{X}^{(p)} - \bar{X}^{(q)})$$

## 第 12 次作业

1. (高惠璇 7-3) 设  $p$  元总体  $X$  的协方差阵为

$$\Sigma = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \rho & \rho & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (0 < \rho \leq 1).$$

- (1) 试证明总体的第一主成分  $Z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_p)$ ; (2) 试求第一主成分的贡献率.

2. (高惠璇 7-6) 设三元总体  $X$  的协方差阵为  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 & 0 \\ \rho\sigma^2 & \sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 \\ 0 & \rho\sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$ , 试求总体主成分, 并计算每个主成分解释的方差比例 ( $|\rho| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ ).



7-3 4) 设  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho & \rho & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ , 求  $A$  的特征值和特征向量

$$|\lambda E - A| = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda-1 & -\rho & \cdots & -\rho \\ -\rho & \lambda-1 & \cdots & -\rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\rho & -\rho & \cdots & \lambda-1 \end{vmatrix} = [\lambda-1-\rho(p-1)] \cdot (\lambda-1+\rho)^{p-1} = 0$$

↓

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} a & b & \cdots & b \\ b & a & \cdots & b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & b & \cdots & a \end{vmatrix}_{p \times p} &= \begin{vmatrix} a+(p-1)b & a+(p-1)b & \cdots & a+(p-1)b \\ b & a & \cdots & b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & b & \cdots & a \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (a+(p-1)b) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ b & a & \cdots & b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & b & \cdots & a \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (a+(p-1)b) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ b & a-b & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & 0 & \cdots & a-b \end{vmatrix} = (a+(p-1)b)(a-b)^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

∴ 特征根为  $\lambda_1 = 1+\rho(p-1)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1-\rho$  ( $p-1$ 重根),

因为  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ , 所以  $\lambda_1 = 1+\rho(p-1) > 1-\rho$  为最大特征根, 对应的特征向量为

$$\lambda_1 E - A \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1-\rho & \cdots & 1-\rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1-\rho & \cdots & 1-\rho \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\rho & \cdots & \rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -\rho & \cdots & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -\rho x_2 + \rho x_p = 0 \\ -\rho x_3 + \rho x_p = 0 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + (1-\rho)x_p = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ 单位化后得 } \eta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

因此矩阵  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \dots & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \dots & \rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho & \rho & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  对应的第一大特征根为  $\lambda_1 = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \rho(p-1)$ ,

对应的特征向量为  $a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \end{pmatrix}$

第一主成分  $Z_1 = a_1^T x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p)$

$$(2) Z_1 \text{ 的贡献率为 } \frac{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \rho(p-1)}{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \rho(p-1) + \sigma^2(1-\rho)(p-1)} = \frac{1 + \rho(p-1)}{p}$$

7-6 设  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & 0 \\ \rho & 1 & \rho \\ 0 & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , 求  $A$  的特征值和特征向量

$$|A E - A| = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -\rho & 0 \\ -\rho & \lambda - 1 & -\rho \\ 0 & -\rho & \lambda - 1 \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda - 1)[(\lambda - 1)^2 - \rho^2] - \rho^2(\lambda - 1) \\ = (\lambda - 1)[(\lambda - 1)^2 - 2\rho^2]$$

得  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1 + \sqrt{2}\rho$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 1 - \sqrt{2}\rho$ , 从大到小排序得 ( $|\rho| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ )

$\lambda_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2}\rho$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 1 - \sqrt{2}\rho$ , 对应的特征向量为

$$\lambda_1 E - A = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\rho & -\rho & 0 \\ -\rho & \sqrt{2}\rho & -\rho \\ 0 & -\rho & \sqrt{2}\rho \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & \sqrt{2} & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}x_1 - x_2 = 0 \\ -x_1 + \sqrt{2}x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ -x_2 + \sqrt{2}x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ 同理得 } a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

可得总体主成分以及对应的解释方差比例为

$$Z_1 = \frac{x_1 + \sqrt{2}x_2 + x_3}{2} \rightarrow \text{Var}(Z_1) = \lambda_1 = \sigma^2(1 + \sqrt{2}\rho) \rightarrow \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}\rho}{3}$$

$$Z_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(x_1 - x_3) \rightarrow \text{Var}(Z_2) = \lambda_2 = \sigma^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}$$

$$Z_3 = \frac{x_1 - \sqrt{2}x_2 + x_3}{2} \rightarrow \text{Var}(Z_3) = \lambda_3 = \sigma^2(1 - \sqrt{2}\rho) \rightarrow \frac{1 - \sqrt{2}\rho}{3}$$

## 第 13 次作业

1. 主分量法的因子得分为

$$\hat{F} = (A'A)^{-1} A'x = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} l'_1 x \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}} l'_m x \end{pmatrix}$$

2. (高惠璇 8-2) 设标准化变量  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  的协方差阵 (即相关阵) 为

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1.00 & 0.63 & 0.45 \\ 0.63 & 1.00 & 0.35 \\ 0.45 & 0.35 & 1.00 \end{bmatrix},$$

$R$  的特征向量为

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 1.9633, & l_1 &= (0.6250, 0.5932, 0.5075)', \\ \lambda_2 &= 0.6795, & l_2 &= (-0.2186, -0.4911, 0.8432)', \\ \lambda_3 &= 0.3672, & l_3 &= (0.7494, -0.6379, -0.1772)'. \end{aligned}$$

(1) 取公共因子个数  $m = 1$  时, 求因子模型的主成分分解, 并计算误差平方和  $Q(1)$ ; (2) 取公共因子个数  $m = 2$  时, 求因子模型的主成分分解, 并计算误差平方和  $Q(2)$ ; (3) 试求误差平方和  $Q(m) < 0.1$  的主成分分解.

**Proof.** 1. 当使用主分量法估计因子载荷矩阵时,  $A = (\sqrt{\lambda_1} l_1, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_m} l_m)$ , 此时极小化

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_i^2 = \varepsilon^T \varepsilon = (x - AF)^T (x - AF) = \varphi(F)$$

使得  $\varphi(\hat{F}) = \min \varphi(F)$ , 即  $\hat{F}$  满足  $\frac{\partial \varphi(F)}{\partial F} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (x^T x - x^T AF - F^T A^T x + F^T A^T AF)}{\partial F} &= -2A^T x + 2A^T AF = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{F} &= (A^T A)^{-1} A^T x = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} l'_1 x \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}} l'_m x \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

■

**Solution.** 2. (1)  $m = 1$  时,

$$A = (\sqrt{\lambda_1} l_1) = \sqrt{1.9633} \begin{pmatrix} 0.6250 \\ 0.5932 \\ 0.5075 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8757 \\ 0.8312 \\ 0.7111 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 - 0.8757^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.00 & -0.8312^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2331 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3091 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.4943 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R - (AA^T + D) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 & 0.63 & 0.45 \\ 0.63 & 1.00 & 0.35 \\ 0.45 & 0.35 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 & 0.7279 & 0.6227 \\ 0.7279 & 1.00 & 0.5911 \\ 0.6227 & 0.5911 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -0.0979 & -0.1727 \\ -0.0979 & 0 & -0.2411 \\ -0.1727 & -0.2411 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$Q(1) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ij}^2 = 2 \times (0.0979^2 + 0.1727^2 + 0.2411^2) = 0.1951$$

(2)  $m = 2$  时,

$$A = \left( \sqrt{\lambda_1} l_1, \sqrt{\lambda_2} l_2 \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8757 & -0.1802 \\ 0.8312 & -0.4048 \\ 0.7111 & 0.6950 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 - 0.8757^2 - 0.1802^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.00 - 0.8312^2 - 0.4048^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.00 - 0.7111^2 - 0.6950^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.2007 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1452 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.01131 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R - (AA^T + D) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 & 0.63 & 0.45 \\ 0.63 & 1.00 & 0.35 \\ 0.45 & 0.35 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 & 0.8008 & 0.4975 \\ 0.8008 & 1.00 & 0.3097 \\ 0.4975 & 0.3097 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -0.1708 & -0.0475 \\ -0.1708 & 0 & 0.0403 \\ -0.0475 & 0.0403 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$Q(2) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ij}^2 = 2 \times (0.1708^2 + 0.0475^2 + 0.0403^2) = 0.06611$$

(3)  $m = 2$  时,  $Q(2) = 0.06611 < 0.1$ ,  $m = 2$  的主成分分解满足条件。 ■