

CMPSC250 Final Project Proposal

Aubrey Collins and Hawk Weisman

March 13, 2016

Finger trees are a data structure used to implement other common data structures in a purely functional manner.¹ Finger trees are immutable and persistent, and can be used to implement structures such as sequences, indexed sequences, and other non-tree data structures, providing improved performance over other immutable data structures such as linked lists. In this proposal, we discuss our plan to develop a finger tree implementation as a library in the Scala programming language, and to demonstrate its performance and effectiveness.

¹ Ralf Hinze and Ross Paterson. Finger trees: A simple general-purpose data structure. *J. Funct. Program.*, 16(2): 197–217, March 2006. ISSN 0956-7968. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796805005769. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0956796805005769>

Motivation

IN FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING, the use of *pure functions* is either encouraged or enforced. Pure functions are those which do not cause modifications to external state;² rather, any state changes caused by a function must be encapsulated in its return value.³ While it may at first seem counterintuitive, requiring programmers to use only pure functions can have many benefits, such as making code more modular and easier to debug, eliminating common classes of concurrent-access errors, and enabling a wide variety of static analyses and compile-time optimizations.

² Often referred to as ‘side effects’.

³ Amr Sabry. What is a purely functional language? *Journal of Functional Programming*, 8:1–22, 1998. ISSN 1469-7653. URL http://journals.cambridge.org/article_S0956796897002943

In order to facilitate this style of programming, we should like to implement purely-functional data structures. Such data structures should be *immutable*, meaning that rather than being modified in-place, they are modified by creating a copy of the data structure with the changes applied.⁴ As choosing to use purely-functional data structures rather than mutable ones can have performance disadvantages, we should take care to ensure that our implementations of these structures are as efficient as possible.

⁴ Chris Okasaki. *Purely functional data structures*. PhD thesis, Cambridge University Press, 1999

FINGER TREES

References

Ralf Hinze and Ross Paterson. Finger trees: A simple general-purpose data structure. *J. Funct. Program.*, 16(2):197–217, March 2006. ISSN 0956-7968. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796805005769. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0956796805005769>.

Chris Okasaki. *Purely functional data structures*. PhD thesis, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

Amr Sabry. What is a purely functional language? *Journal of Functional Programming*, 8:1–22, 1998. ISSN 1469-7653. URL http://journals.cambridge.org/article_S0956796897002943.