# Mnemosyne: A Functional Language for Systems Programming CMPSC600 Senior Thesis Proposal

Hawk Weisman

Department of Computer Science
Allegheny College

November 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015

## Proposal

To implement and evaluate a prototype compiler for the Mnemosyne programming language.

- Mnemosyne is a functional language for systems programming, with compile-time automatic memory management.
- ▶ But what does that mean?

- ► Functional programming models computation as the evaluation of functions [11, 25]
  - ▶ It focuses on immutability, purity, and function composition

- ► Functional programming models computation as the evaluation of functions [11, 25]
  - ▶ It focuses on immutability, purity, and function composition
  - ► Advantages: expressiveness [10, 11], modularity [10, 11], safety

- ► Mnemosyne is inspired by:
  - ► Lisp's syntax and homoiconicity [22, 24].
  - ► Haskell and ML's type system [9, 13] and pattern matching [13, 15, 17]
  - ► Rust's memory management [2]

A functional language for systems programming, with compile-time automatic memory management.

➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [19, 20].

A functional language for systems programming, with compile-time automatic memory management.

➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [19, 20].

- ➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [19, 20].
- ► High quality systems are necessary for high quality applications.

- ➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [19, 20].
- ► High quality systems are necessary for high quality applications.
- ▶ But there are some significant challenges in this field [2, 20]

A functional language for systems programming, with compile-time automatic memory management.

► Almost all systems programming today is done in C [7, 20]

- ▶ Almost all systems programming today is done in C [7, 20]
- ▶ Why? C manages memory at compile-time

- ► Almost all systems programming today is done in C [7, 20]
- ▶ Why? C manages memory at compile-time
  - ▶ Most languages use through garbage collection (GC) [1]
  - ► GC is unsuitable for most low-level systems [7, 8, 20]
  - ► C manages memory manually (malloc()/free()) [8, 14, 20]

- ► Manual memory management leads to errors such as buffer overflows, memory leaks, and null pointer dereferences [7, 20]
- ► What if there was another way?

- ► Mnemosyne manages memory automatically at compile time
- ► How?

- ► Mnemosyne manages memory automatically at compile time
- ► How?
  - ► Stack allocation [3, 6, 18]
  - ► Ownership analysis [18]
  - ► Controlled mutability [18]

#### Methods

Manganese, the Mnemosyne compiler, is implemented in Rust

- ► Combinator parsing [4, 5, 12, 23] using combine and combine-language
- ► Analysis including type checking and lifetime analysis [18, 21]
- ► Code generation using librustc-llvm [16]

#### Methods

Assessing Mnemosyne's correctness

- ➤ Unit and integration testing to validate the compiler implementation
- ► **Demonstration** by implementing example code, including parts of the prelude
- ► Benchmarking compiled Mnemosyne binaries

#### References I

- David H. Bartley. "Garbage Collection". In: *Encyclopedia of Computer Science*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., pp. 743–744. ISBN: 0-470-86412-5.
- Jim Blandy. Why Rust? 1st ed. 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472.: O'Reilly Media. Inc. Sept. 2015. ISBN: 978-1-491-92730-4.
- Erik Corry. "Optimistic Stack Allocation for Java-like Languages". In: Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Memory Management. ISMM '06. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: ACM, 2006, pp. 162–173. ISBN: 1-59593-221-6.
- Nils Anders Danielsson. "Total Parser Combinators". In: SIGPLAN Not. 45.9 (Sept. 2010), pp. 285–296. ISSN: 0362-1340.
- Richard A Frost, Rahmatullah Hafiz, and Paul Callaghan. "Parser combinators for ambiguous left-recursive grammars". In: *Practical Aspects of Declarative Languages*. Springer, 2008, pp. 167–181.
- Chris Hanson. "Efficient Stack Allocation for Tail-recursive Languages". In: Proceedings of the 1990 ACM Conference on LISP and Functional Programming. LFP '90. Nice, France: ACM, 1990, pp. 106–118. ISBN: 0-89791-368-X.

#### References II

- Chris Hawblitzel et al. "Low-level linear memory management". In:
  Proceedings of the 2nd workshop on Semantics, Program Analysis and
  Computing Environments for Memory Management. 2004.
- Matthew Hertz and Emery D. Berger. "Quantifying the Performance of Garbage Collection vs. Explicit Memory Management". In: Proceedings of the 20th Annual ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-oriented Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications. OOPSLA '05. San Diego, CA, USA: ACM, 2005, pp. 313–326. ISBN: 1-59593-031-0.
- Paul Hudak and Joseph H Fasel. "A gentle introduction to Haskell". In: ACM Sigplan Notices 27.5 (1992), pp. 1–52.
- Paul Hudak and Mark P. Jones. Haskell vs. Ada vs. C++ vs. Awk vs. ... An Experiment in Software Prototyping Productivity. Research Report YALEU/DCS/RR-1049. New Haven, CT: Department of Computer Science, Yale University, 1994.
- John Hughes. "Why functional programming matters". In: The Computer Journal 32.2 (1989), pp. 98–107.
- Graham Hutton and Erik Meijer. Monadic parser combinators. Tech. rep. NOTTCS-TR-96-4, 1996.

#### References III

- Simon L Peyton Jones. *Haskell 98 language and libraries: the revised report*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- Brian W Kernighan, Dennis M Ritchie, and Per Ejeklint. The C programming language. Vol. 2. Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, 1988.
- Neelakantan R. Krishnaswami. "Focusing on Pattern Matching". In: SIGPLAN Not. 44.1 (Jan. 2009), pp. 366–378. ISSN: 0362-1340.
- Chris Lattner and Vikram Adve. "LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis & Transformation". In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization: Feedback-directed and Runtime Optimization. CGO '04. Palo Alto, California: IEEE Computer Society, 2004, pp. 75—. ISBN: 0-7695-2102-9.
- Luc Maranget. "Warnings for pattern matching". In: Journal of Functional Programming 17.03 (2007), pp. 387–421.
- Nicholas D. Matsakis and Felix S. Klock II. "The Rust Language". In: Proceedings of the 2014 ACM SIGAda Annual Conference on High Integrity Language Technology. HILT '14. Portland, Oregon, USA: ACM, 2014, pp. 103–104. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3217-0.

#### References IV

- Thomas Narten. "Systems Programming". In: Encyclopedia of Computer Science. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., pp. 1739–1741. ISBN: 0-470-86412-5.
- Jonathan Shapiro. "Programming Language Challenges in Systems Codes: Why Systems Programmers Still Use C, and What to Do About It". In: Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Programming Languages and Operating Systems: Linguistic Support for Modern Operating Systems. PLOS '06. San Jose, California: ACM. 2006. ISBN: 1-59593-577-0.
- Patrick G Sobalvarro. "A lifetime-based garbage collector for LISP systems on general-purpose computers". PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1988.
- Gerry Sussman, Harold Abelson, and Julie Sussman. Structure and interpretation of computer programs. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass, 1983.
- S Doaitse Swierstra. "Combinator parsers: From toys to tools". In: Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science 41.1 (2001), pp. 38–59.
- Luke VanderHart and Stuart Sierra. "Macros and Metaprogramming". In: *Practical Clojure*. Springer, 2010, pp. 167–178.

#### References V



David S. Wise. "Functional Programming". In: Encyclopedia of Computer Science. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., pp. 736–739. ISBN: 0-470-86412-5.