Mnemosyne

A Functional Systems Programming Language

Hawk Weisman

Department of Computer Science Allegheny College

November 11, 2015

A functional systems programming language with compile-time memory management.

▶ But what does that mean?

- ► Functional programming models computation as the evaluation of functions [4, 7]
 - ▶ It focuses on immutability, purity, and function composition

- ► Functional programming models computation as the evaluation of functions [4, 7]
 - ▶ It focuses on immutability, purity, and function composition
 - ► Advantages: expressiveness [3, 4], modularity (easy to test and parallelize) [3, 4], safety

A functional systems programming language with compile-time memory management.

➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [5, 6].

A functional systems programming language with compile-time memory management.

➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [5, 6].

- ➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [5, 6].
- ► High quality systems are necessary for high quality applications.

- ➤ **Systems programming** is the implementation of software that provide services to other software [5, 6].
- ► High quality systems are necessary for high quality applications.
- ▶ But there are some significant challenges in this field [2, 6]

A functional systems programming language with compile-time memory management.

► Almost all systems programming today is done in C and C++

- ► Almost all systems programming today is done in C and C++
- ▶ Why? C manages memory at compile-time

- ▶ Almost all systems programming today is done in C and C++
- ▶ Why? C manages memory at compile-time
 - ▶ Most languages manage memory through garbage collection (GC) [1]
 - ► This hurts performance and is unsuitable for most low-level systems
 - C programmers must manage memory manually (malloc() and free())

References

- David H. Bartley. "Garbage Collection". In: Encyclopedia of Computer Science. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., pp. 743–744. ISBN: 0-470-86412-5.
- Jim Blandy. Why Rust? 1st ed. 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472.: O'Reilly Media, Inc, Sept. 2015. ISBN: 978-1-491-92730-4.
- Paul Hudak and Mark P. Jones. Haskell vs. Ada vs. C++ vs. Awk vs. ... An Experiment in Software Prototyping Productivity. Research Report YALEU/DCS/RR-1049. New Haven, CT: Department of Computer Science, Yale University, 1994.
- John Hughes. "Why functional programming matters". In: The Computer Journal 32.2 (1989), pp. 98–107.
- Thomas Narten. "Systems Programming". In: Encyclopedia of Computer Science. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., pp. 1739–1741. ISBN: 0-470-86412-5.
- Jonathan Shapiro. "Programming Language Challenges in Systems Codes: Why Systems Programmers Still Use C, and What to Do About It". In: Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Programming Languages and Operating Systems: Linguistic Support for Modern Operating Systems. PLOS '06. San Jose, California: ACM, 2006. ISBN: 1-59593-577-0. DOI: 10.1145/1215995.1216004.
- David S. Wise. "Functional Programming". In: *Encyclopedia of Computer Science*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., pp. 736–739. ISBN: 0-470-86412-5