

NN_py_23Nov

November 23, 2024

```
[1]: from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer  
cancer = load_breast_cancer()
```

```
[2]: cancer.keys()
```

```
[2]: dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names',  
              'filename', 'data_module'])
```

```
[3]: print(cancer['DESCR'])
```

```
.. _breast_cancer_dataset:
```

```
Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset
```

```
-----
```

```
**Data Set Characteristics:**
```

```
:Number of Instances: 569
```

```
:Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class
```

```
:Attribute Information:
```

- radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)
- texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
- perimeter
- area
- smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
- compactness (perimeter² / area - 1.0)
- concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
- concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
- symmetry
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.

- class:
 - WDBC-Malignant
 - WDBC-Benign

:Summary Statistics:

	Min	Max
radius (mean):	6.981	28.11
texture (mean):	9.71	39.28
perimeter (mean):	43.79	188.5
area (mean):	143.5	2501.0
smoothness (mean):	0.053	0.163
compactness (mean):	0.019	0.345
concavity (mean):	0.0	0.427
concave points (mean):	0.0	0.201
symmetry (mean):	0.106	0.304
fractal dimension (mean):	0.05	0.097
radius (standard error):	0.112	2.873
texture (standard error):	0.36	4.885
perimeter (standard error):	0.757	21.98
area (standard error):	6.802	542.2
smoothness (standard error):	0.002	0.031
compactness (standard error):	0.002	0.135
concavity (standard error):	0.0	0.396
concave points (standard error):	0.0	0.053
symmetry (standard error):	0.008	0.079
fractal dimension (standard error):	0.001	0.03
radius (worst):	7.93	36.04
texture (worst):	12.02	49.54
perimeter (worst):	50.41	251.2
area (worst):	185.2	4254.0
smoothness (worst):	0.071	0.223
compactness (worst):	0.027	1.058
concavity (worst):	0.0	1.252
concave points (worst):	0.0	0.291
symmetry (worst):	0.156	0.664
fractal dimension (worst):	0.055	0.208

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign

:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian

:Donor: Nick Street

:Date: November, 1995

This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets.
<https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2>

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in:
[K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

```
ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/
```

.. dropdown:: References

- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.
- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995.
- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.

```
[4]: cancer['data'].shape
```

```
[4]: (569, 30)
```

```
[5]: cancer['target']
```

```
[5]: array([0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1,
          0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
          0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0,
          1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0,
          0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1,
          1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0,
          0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0,
          1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
          0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,
          0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0,
          0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
          1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1])
```

```
[6]: # Acomodar mis datos y labels
```

```
X = cancer['data']
```

```
y = cancer['target']
```

```
[7]: # Separando en datos de entrenamiento y de prueba
```

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X,y)
```

```
[8]: # Creando un escalador para normalizar los datos, ya que las redes neuronales
      ↪son muy sensibles a las escalas
```

```
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
```

```
scaler = StandardScaler()
```

```
[9]: # Usando matriz de entrenamiento para escalar
```

```
scaler.fit(X_train)
```

```
[9]: StandardScaler()
```

```
[10]: # Aplicar la transformación (escalación) a mis matrices de entrenamiento y
      ↪ prueba
      X_train = scaler.transform(X_train)
      X_test = scaler.transform(X_test)
```

```
[11]: # importando la libreria para generar mi modelo
      from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier

      # Creando mi modelo vacio
      mlp = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes = (30,30,30))
```

```
[14]: # Entrenar modelo con los datos de entrenamieento

      mlp.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
/Users/haydeml/Library/Python/3.9/lib/python/site-
packages/sklearn/neural_network/_multilayer_perceptron.py:690:
ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and
the optimization hasn't converged yet.
      warnings.warn(
```

```
[14]: MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=(30, 30, 30))
```

```
MLPClassifier(activation='relu', alpha=0.0001, batch_size='auto', beta_1=0.9, beta_2=0.999,
early_stopping=False, epsilon=1e-08, hidden_layer_sizes=(30, 30, 30), learning_rate='constant',
learning_rate_init=0.001, max_iter=200, momentum=0.9, nesterovs_momentum=True,
power_t=0.5, random_state=None, shuffle=True, solver='adam', tol=0.0001, valida-
tion_fraction=0.1, verbose=False, warm_start=False)
```

```
[15]: # Vamos a realizar predicciones
      predictions = mlp.predict(X_test)
```

```
[16]: # Metricas de evaluación del modelo
      from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix

      print(confusion_matrix(y_test, predictions))
```

```
[[51  0]
 [ 0 92]]
```

```
[17]: print(classification_report(y_test, predictions))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	51
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	92
accuracy			1.00	143

macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	143
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	143

```
[18]: len(mlp.coefs_)
```

```
[18]: 4
```

```
[19]: len(mlp.coefs_[0])
```

```
[19]: 30
```

```
[20]: len(mlp.intercepts_[0])
```

```
[20]: 30
```

```
[21]: mlp.coefs_[3][15]
```

```
[21]: array([-0.5005188])
```

```
[22]: mlp.intercepts_[2]
```

```
[22]: array([-0.08658847, -0.12701628,  0.06334852,  0.04849757, -0.03796079,
          0.19704078,  0.18497155,  0.03085578,  0.01943396, -0.10751456,
          0.30646628,  0.07643416,  0.22906505,  0.26764353,  0.24471413,
          0.00184975,  0.00473911,  0.03222891,  0.0474509 ,  0.18090716,
         -0.26674729, -0.05707896, -0.30733615,  0.3147931 ,  0.24656542,
          0.3266493 ,  0.08486382, -0.15490899,  0.01424937, -0.0308315 ])
```

```
[ ]:
```