Supplementary Materials

Environment-Wide Association Study of Chemical Biomarkers and Health Outcomes in NHANES 2017–2018

Hayden Farquhar

February 6, 2026

1   Table S1. All 26 FDR-Significant Associations from the Discovery Phase

Survey-weighted linear regression of log-transformed chemical biomarker on health outcome, adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, poverty–income ratio, BMI (when not the outcome), and smoking status. 95% CIs calculated as $\beta \pm 1.96 \times$ SE.

Chemical	Outcome	Class	β	95% CI	SE	P-value	FDR	N
Blood manganese	RBC count	Heavy metals	0.23	(0.19, 0.27)	0.020	9.8e-06	0.024	4,873
Blood selenium	Hemoglobin	Heavy metals	2.16	(1.74, 2.58)	0.215	2.0e-05	0.024	4,873
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	Urinary elements	1.21	(0.97, 1.45)	0.124	2.5e-05	0.024	1,579
MEOHP (phthalate)	Total bilirubin	Phthalates	-0.06	(-0.07, -0.05)	0.006	4.0e-05	0.024	1,580
Methylmercury	Alk Phosphatase	Heavy metals	-2.84	(-3.47, -2.21)	0.321	4.8e-05	0.024	4,834

Chemical	Outcome	Class	β	95% CI	SE	P-value	FDR	N
Blood manganese	Waist circumference	Heavy metals	7.16	(5.33, 8.99)	0.934	5.9e-05	0.024	4,693
MEHHP (phthalate)	Total bilirubin	Phthalates	-0.06	(-0.08, -0.05)	0.006	6.1e-05	0.024	1,580
Blood selenium	Total cholesterol	Heavy metals	39.59	(30.02, 49.15)	4.880	8.3e-05	0.029	4,855
Blood manganese	BMI	Heavy metals	2.59	(1.87, 3.30)	0.367	1.1e-04	0.030	4,876
Blood lead	Waist circumference	Heavy metals	-4.35	(-5.58, -3.13)	0.624	1.2e-04	0.030	4,693
Urinary lead	eGFR	Urinary metals	6.55	(5.09, 8.01)	0.746	1.2e-04	0.030	1,589
Blood lead	BMI	Heavy metals	-1.95	(-2.51, -1.38)	0.289	1.5e-04	0.030	4,876
Blood mercury (total)	Alk Phosphatase (log)	Heavy metals	-0.04	(-0.05, -0.03)	0.005	1.5e-04	0.030	4,833
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	Urinary metals	0.20	(0.15, 0.26)	0.027	1.5e-04	0.030	1,593
Urinary cesium	eGFR	Urinary metals	9.78	(7.48, 12.08)	1.174	1.6e-04	0.030	1,589
Glyphosate (serum)	BUN	Surplus serum	1.22	(0.89, 1.55)	0.170	1.8e-04	0.031	1,371

Chemical	Outcome	Class	β	95% CI	SE	P-value	FDR	N
Blood selenium	RBC count	Heavy metals	0.55	(0.40, 0.70)	0.077	1.9e-04	0.031	4,873
Blood lead	Total cholesterol	Heavy metals	9.10	(6.55, 11.65)	1.300	2.1e-04	0.032	4,855
Urinary thallium	eGFR	Urinary metals	7.68	(5.78, 9.59)	0.970	2.2e-04	0.032	1,589
Methylmercury	Waist circumference	Heavy metals	-1.78	(-2.34, -1.23)	0.282	2.3e-04	0.032	4,693
Oxychlordane	eGFR	VOC metabolites	3.23	(2.39, 4.06)	0.428	2.8e-04	0.036	1,589
Urinary cadmium	BUN	Urinary metals	-1.02	(-1.29, -0.76)	0.137	3.0e-04	0.036	1,589
Glyphosate (serum)	Chloride	Surplus serum	0.46	(0.32, 0.59)	0.069	3.0e-04	0.036	1,374
Blood lead	HbA1c	Heavy metals	-0.19	(-0.25, -0.13)	0.029	3.4e-04	0.039	4,874
Urinary cobalt	eGFR	Urinary metals	3.75	(2.74, 4.76)	0.516	3.4e-04	0.039	1,589
Urinary iodine	BMI	Urinary elements	1.18	(0.78, 1.58)	0.205	4.4e-04	0.047	1,600

2 Table S2. Dose–Response Quartile Analysis for 15 Validated Findings

Survey-weighted adjusted mean differences in outcome relative to the lowest exposure quartile (Q1, reference). P_{trend} from linear contrast across quartile midpoints.

Chemical	Outcome	Q2 β	Q3 β	Q4 β	P_{trend}	Monotonic	N
Blood selenium	Hemoglobin	0.43	0.60	0.83	1.1e-05	Yes	4,873
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	0.71	2.07	2.66	6.3e-05	Yes	1,579
Methylmercury	Alk Phosphatase	-2.07	-4.00	-7.91	1.7e-04	Yes	4,834
Blood manganese	Waist circumference	2.52	4.55	6.18	1.2e-04	Yes	4,693
Blood selenium	Total cholesterol	4.16	8.94	15.66	1.3e-04	Yes	4,855
Blood manganese	BMI	1.15	1.74	2.25	2.0e-04	Yes	4,876
Blood lead	Waist circumference	-4.31	-4.85	-9.09	1.5e-04	Yes	4,693
Blood lead	BMI	-1.77	-2.26	-4.13	1.5e-04	Yes	4,876
Blood mercury (total)	Alk Phosphatase (log)	-0.01	-0.03	-0.09	1.7e-04	Yes	4,833
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	0.05	0.25	0.34	4.7e-04	Yes	1,593

Chemical	Outcome	Q2 β	Q3 β	Q4 β	P_{trend}	Monotonic	N
Blood selenium	RBC count	0.10	0.13	0.21	3.4e-04	Yes	4,873
Blood lead	Total cholesterol	5.16	13.00	17.33	7.7e-05	Yes	4,855
Methylmercury	Waist circumference	-1.54	-2.68	-5.26	3.2e-04	Yes	4,693
Blood lead	HbA1c	-0.10	-0.24	-0.36	2.4e-04	Yes	4,874
Urinary iodine	BMI	2.17	1.95	2.75	4.3e-03	No	1,600

3 Table S3. Sensitivity Analysis Summary for 15 Validated Findings

Each finding was tested under 9 specifications: (1) primary model, (2) females only, (3) males only, (4) age < 50, (5) age ≥ 50, (6) excluding outliers > 99th percentile, (7) adjusting for education, (8) cotinine instead of binary smoking, and (9) adults aged 20+ only. A finding is "robust" if ≥ 7 of 9 specifications show concordant direction and $p < 0.05$.

Chemical	Outcome	Dir. Match	Sig. (p<0.05)	Median % $\Delta\beta$	Robust
Blood manganese	BMI	9/9	9/9	5.8%	Yes
Blood manganese	Waist circumference	9/9	9/9	4.1%	Yes
Blood lead	BMI	9/9	9/9	11.6%	Yes
Blood lead	Waist circumference	9/9	9/9	11.0%	Yes
Urinary iodine	BMI	9/9	9/9	0.7%	Yes
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	9/9	9/9	5.5%	Yes
Methylmercury	Alk Phosphatase	9/9	8/9	3.1%	Yes
Blood lead	HbA1c	9/9	8/9	10.5%	Yes
Blood lead	Total cholesterol	9/9	8/9	6.2%	Yes
Blood selenium	Hemoglobin	9/9	8/9	8.4%	Yes
Blood selenium	RBC count	9/9	8/9	2.1%	Yes
Blood selenium	Total cholesterol	9/9	8/9	2.9%	Yes

Chemical	Outcome	Dir. Match	Sig. (p<0.05)	Median % $\Delta\beta$	Robust
Blood mercury (total)	Alk Phosphatase (log)	9/9	8/9	2.8%	Yes
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	9/9	8/9	1.4%	Yes
Methylmercury	Waist cir-cumference	9/9	7/9	13.1%	Yes

4 Table S4. Detailed Sensitivity Results for HIGH-Novelty Findings

Effect estimates (β), standard errors, and p-values for each of the 9 sensitivity specifications for the three HIGH-novelty findings.

4.1 DMA (urinary) – Uric acid

Specification	β	SE	P-value	N	$\Delta\beta$ (%)
Primary model	0.202	0.027	1.5e-04	1,593	–
Females only	0.191	0.042	0.002	813	-5.4
Males only	0.227	0.077	0.018	780	+12.4
Age < 50	0.204	0.055	0.008	697	+1.4
Age $\geq$ 50	0.179	0.084	0.070	896	-11.4
Excl. outliers (>P99)	0.220	0.032	2.4e-04	1,575	+9.1
Adjust for education	0.203	0.030	0.021	1,593	+0.8
Cotinine for smoking	0.202	0.028	1.6e-04	1,593	+0.1
Adults 20+ only	0.202	0.027	1.5e-04	1,593	–

4.2 Urinary perchlorate – BUN

Specification	β	SE	P-value	N	$\Delta\beta$ (%)
Primary model	1.211	0.124	2.5e-05	1,579	–
Females only	1.444	0.229	2.3e-04	803	+19.3
Males only	0.870	0.233	0.006	776	-28.1
Age < 50	0.965	0.161	5.4e-04	692	-20.3
Age $\geq$ 50	1.382	0.238	6.6e-04	887	+14.2
Excl. outliers (>P99)	1.277	0.134	3.0e-05	1,563	+5.5
Adjust for education	1.209	0.122	0.002	1,579	-0.1
Cotinine for smoking	1.210	0.128	3.0e-05	1,579	0.0
Adults 20+ only	1.211	0.124	2.5e-05	1,579	–

4.3 Methylmercury – Waist circumference

Specification	β	SE	P-value	N	$\Delta\beta$ (%)
Primary model	-1.783	0.282	2.3e-04	4,693	–
Females only	-2.868	0.556	5.9e-04	2,413	+60.9
Males only	-0.909	0.403	0.050	2,280	-49.0
Age < 50	-2.474	0.458	6.5e-04	2,121	+38.8
Age ≥ 50	-1.166	0.551	0.067	2,572	-34.6
Excl. outliers (>P99)	-1.886	0.297	2.2e-04	4,643	+5.8
Adjust for education	-1.549	0.330	0.042	4,693	-13.1
Cotinine for smoking	-1.788	0.284	2.3e-04	4,693	+0.3
Adults 20+ only	-1.783	0.282	2.3e-04	4,693	–

5 Table S5. Additional Sensitivity Analyses

Four additional sensitivity specifications were applied to assess specific confounding concerns. Fish consumption (DBD895, number of fish/shellfish meals in 30 days) and physical activity (PAQ_J) were tested for all 15 validated findings. Alcohol consumption (ALQ_J) could not be assessed due to extensive missing data from NHANES skip patterns. Urinary creatinine adjustment (log-transformed) was applied to the three urinary biomarker associations to address dilution variation.

5.1 Fish consumption and physical activity adjustment

Adjustment for fish consumption did not materially change any of the 15 validated findings (all $|\Delta\beta| < 1\%$). Physical activity adjustment likewise produced no change ($|\Delta\beta| < 0.01\%$ for all findings). These results are consistent across all 15 associations and indicate that the primary findings are not confounded by fish intake frequency or recreational physical activity level.

5.2 Urinary creatinine adjustment

Chemical	Outcome	β (primary)	β (creatinine-adj)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	P (creatinine-adj)	Interpretation
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	1.211	1.217	+0.5%	0.001	Robust; not driven by dilution
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	0.202	0.135	-32.9%	0.012	Attenuated but significant; partial dilution contribution

Chemical	Outcome	β (primary)	β (creatinine-adj)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	P (creatinine-adj)	Interpretation
Urinary iodine	BMI	1.177	0.402	-65.9%	0.153	**Eliminated**; probable dilution artifact

The perchlorate–BUN association was unchanged by creatinine adjustment, confirming that urinary dilution variation does not explain this signal. The DMA–uric acid association was attenuated by approximately one-third but remained statistically significant, suggesting that urinary concentration contributes to but does not fully account for the observed relationship. The urinary iodine–BMI association was eliminated after creatinine correction, indicating that the original signal reflected systematic differences in urine concentration correlated with body size rather than genuine iodine exposure effects.

6   Figures S1–S15. Individual Sensitivity Forest Plots

Forest plots showing the effect estimate (β) and 95% confidence interval for each of the 9 sensitivity specifications. The vertical dashed line marks $\beta = 0$ (null). Findings are ordered by novelty tier.

6.1   HIGH Novelty

Figure 1: Figure S1. Sensitivity analysis: DMA (urinary) – Uric acid.

Figure 2: Figure S2. Sensitivity analysis: Urinary perchlorate – BUN.

Figure 3: Figure S3. Sensitivity analysis: Methylmercury – Waist circumference.

6.2 MODERATE Novelty

Figure 4: Figure S4. Sensitivity analysis: Methylmercury – Alkaline Phosphatase.

Figure 5: Figure S5. Sensitivity analysis: Blood manganese – BMI.

Figure 6: Figure S6. Sensitivity analysis: Blood manganese – Waist circumference.

Figure 7: Figure S7. Sensitivity analysis: Blood mercury (total) – Alkaline Phosphatase (log).

Figure 8: Figure S8. Sensitivity analysis: Urinary iodine – BMI.

6.3 LOW-MODERATE Novelty

Blood selenium -> Hemoglobin

Figure 9: Figure S9. Sensitivity analysis: Blood selenium – Hemoglobin.

Figure 10: Figure S10. Sensitivity analysis: Blood selenium – RBC count.

6.4 LOW Novelty

Figure 11: Figure S11. Sensitivity analysis: Blood lead – BMI.

Figure 12: Figure S12. Sensitivity analysis: Blood lead – Waist circumference.

Figure 13: Figure S13. Sensitivity analysis: Blood lead – HbA1c.

Figure 14: Figure S14. Sensitivity analysis: Blood lead – Total cholesterol.

Blood selenium -> Total cholesterol

Figure 15: Figure S15. Sensitivity analysis: Blood selenium – Total cholesterol.

7 Table S6. Within-Round vs. Global FDR Correction Comparison

The primary analysis applied Benjamini–Hochberg FDR correction globally across all 2,796 tests. This table compares the number of findings significant at FDR < 0.05 under global versus within-round correction (applying FDR separately within each of the four screening rounds: PFAS-thyroid, Broad, Expanded, and Novelty).

Screening Round	N Tests	N Sig (Global FDR)	N Sig (Within-Round FDR)
PFAS-thyroid	60	0	0
Broad	648	0	0
Expanded	1,920	19	27
Novelty	168	7	14
Total	**2,796**	**26**	**41**

Within-round FDR correction produces *more* significant findings (41 total) than global correction (26 total) because it adjusts for fewer tests per round, making the threshold less stringent. All 26 globally FDR-significant findings also passed within-round FDR correction, confirming that global correction is the more conservative approach. The additional 15 findings that reach within-round but not global significance repre-

21

sent associations that may warrant investigation in future studies but did not meet the stricter global threshold used for validation in this analysis.

8 Table S7. Sensitivity Analysis with 6-Level Race/Ethnicity

The primary analysis collapsed NHANES race/ethnicity (RIDRETH3) into three categories (Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Other) to preserve statistical power. This table shows results for blood biomarker findings when using the full 6-level RIDRETH3 classification (Mexican American, Other Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic Asian, Other/Multiracial).

Chemical	Outcome	β (3-level)	β (6-level)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	P-value	N
Blood manganese	RBC count	0.230	0.229	-0.4%	1.1e-05	4,873
Blood selenium	Hemoglobin	2.160	2.154	-0.3%	2.3e-05	4,873
Methylmercury	Alk Phosphatase	-2.842	-2.893	+1.8%	3.9e-05	4,834
Blood manganese	Waist cir-cumference	7.163	7.115	-0.7%	7.1e-05	4,693
Blood selenium	Total cholesterol	39.59	39.52	-0.2%	9.4e-05	4,855
Blood manganese	BMI	2.585	2.572	-0.5%	1.2e-04	4,876
Blood lead	Waist cir-cumference	-4.352	-4.361	+0.2%	1.3e-04	4,693
Blood lead	BMI	-1.946	-1.950	+0.2%	1.6e-04	4,876
Blood mercury (total)	Alk Phosphatase (log)	-0.037	-0.037	+0.8%	1.6e-04	4,833
Blood selenium	RBC count	0.551	0.549	-0.3%	2.1e-04	4,873
Blood lead	Total cholesterol	9.102	9.095	-0.1%	2.3e-04	4,855
Methylmercury	Waist cir-cumference	-1.783	-1.814	+1.8%	2.4e-04	4,693

Chemical	Outcome	β (3-level)	β (6-level)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	P-value	N
Blood lead	HbA1c	-0.189	-0.189	+0.0%	3.6e-04	4,874

All 13 blood biomarker findings remained significant ($p < 0.05$) with 6-level race/ethnicity adjustment, with effect estimate changes of $< 2\%$ in all cases. This indicates that the 3-level race categorization did not introduce meaningful confounding bias.

9 Table S8. Protein Intake Sensitivity Analysis for HIGH-Novelty Urinary Findings

Dietary protein intake influences both urinary arsenic metabolism (affecting DMA excretion) and serum urea (affecting BUN). This table shows results for the two HIGH-novelty urinary findings after adjusting for total protein intake (grams/day) from 24-hour dietary recall (DR1TOT_J).

Chemical	Outcome	Adjustment	β (Primary)	β (Adjusted)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	P-value	N	Robust
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	Protein intake (g/day)	0.202	0.178	-11.9%	0.0008	1,529	Yes
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	Protein density (g/1000 kcal)	0.202	0.186	-7.9%	0.0004	1,527	Yes
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	Protein intake (g/day)	1.211	1.102	-9.0%	0.0002	1,515	Yes
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	Protein density (g/1000 kcal)	1.211	1.138	-6.0%	0.0001	1,513	Yes

Both findings remained statistically significant after protein adjustment, with moderate attenuation (6–12%). Protein density adjustment (normalizing for total caloric intake) showed slightly smaller attenuation than absolute protein intake. The persistence of significant associations after dietary protein adjustment supports the interpretation that these relationships reflect genuine exposure–outcome associations rather than dietary confounding alone, though dietary factors likely contribute to the observed associations.

10 Table S9. Chemicals with 40–70% Detection Frequency

Chemicals with detection frequencies between 40–70% (above-LOD) fall in an intermediate range where LOD imputation may introduce bias but detection is sufficient for analysis. The primary analysis excluded chemicals with < 30% detection; this table lists chemicals in the 40–70% range that were retained.

Chemical	Variable	% Detected	N Samples
HPMMA (acrolein metabolite)	URXHPM	42.3%	1,545
Trans-3'-hydroxycotinine glucuronide	URXHPB	48.7%	1,580
4-Fluoro-3-phenoxybenzoic acid	URXFPB	51.2%	1,580
MCPP (phthalate)	URXMCP	55.8%	1,580
Mono-isobutyl phthalate	URXMIB	62.1%	1,580
2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	URX24D	67.3%	1,580

These 6 chemicals in the 40–70% detection range were included in analyses with LOD/$\sqrt{2}$ imputation for below-LOD values. None of these chemicals produced FDR-significant associations. No validated findings involved chemicals with < 70% detection, indicating that the results are not driven by chemicals with substantial LOD pile-up.

11 Table S10. Power Analysis: Minimum Detectable Effect Sizes

Post-hoc power calculations assuming 80% power, design effect (DEFF) of 2.0 (typical for NHANES complex sampling), and 7 predictors in the full model. Effect sizes expressed as Cohen's f^2 and partial R^2.

Subsample	N (raw)	N (eff)	Min f^2 (Bonf)	Min R^2 (Bonf)	Min f^2 (FDR)	Min R^2 (FDR)
Blood biomarkers (WT-MEC2YR)	4,870	2,435	0.0157	1.55%	0.0120	1.19%
Urinary subsample (WTSA2YR)	1,580	790	0.0491	4.68%	0.0375	3.62%
Surplus serum (WTSSBJ2Y)	1,370	685	0.0568	5.38%	0.0434	4.16%

These represent small effects (Cohen's $f^2 < 0.02$ for blood biomarkers), confirming adequate power for the effect sizes observed among validated findings. The urinary and surplus serum subsamples have reduced power for small effects. This power analysis characterizes the study's sensitivity for retrospective interpretation; it does not validate the original ExWAS design, which did not include pre-specification of effect sizes or primary hypotheses.

12 Table S11. STROBE Checklist for Cross-Sectional Studies

Item	Checklist Item	Manuscript Section
Title and abstract		
1a	Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or abstract	Title, Abstract
1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary	Abstract
Introduction		
2	Explain the scientific background and rationale	Introduction ¶1–2
3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction ¶3
Methods		
4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods 2.1
5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates	Methods 2.1
6	Give eligibility criteria and methods of participant selection	Methods 2.1
7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders	Methods 2.2–2.3
8	For each variable, give sources of data and methods of assessment	Methods 2.2–2.3
9	Describe efforts to address potential sources of bias	Methods 2.3, 2.4.1

Item	Checklist Item	Manuscript Section
10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Methods 2.1
11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled	Methods 2.3
12	Describe all statistical methods	Methods 2.4
Results		
13	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study	Table 1, Results 3.1
14	Give characteristics of study participants	Table 1
15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Results 3.2–3.5
16	Give unadjusted and confounder-adjusted estimates	Table 2, Figures
17	Report other analyses performed	Results 3.4–3.5
Discussion		
18	Summarize key results with reference to objectives	Discussion ¶1
19	Discuss limitations	Discussion – Limitations
20	Give cautious overall interpretation	Discussion ¶6–7
21	Discuss generalizability	Discussion ¶2–3
22	Give source of funding and role of funders	N/A (unfunded study)

13 Table S12. 24-Hour Dietary Recall Fish Adjustment for Mercury Findings

The three mercury-related findings (methylmercury–alkaline phosphatase, total mercury–alkaline phosphatase, methylmercury–waist circumference) were additionally adjusted for fish consumption from 24-hour dietary recall (total grams of fish/shellfish consumed on the recall day, derived from DR1TOT_J individual food codes). This provides a more granular measure of dietary fish intake than the 30-day frequency variable (DBD895) used in the primary fish adjustment.

Chemical	Outcome	Adjustment	β (Primary)	β (Adjusted)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	P-value	N
Methylmercury	Alk Phosphatase	24h dietary recall fish (g)	-2.84	-2.84	-0.02%	1.2e-04	4,834
Blood mercury (total)	Alk Phosphatase (log)	24h dietary recall fish (g)	-0.037	-0.037	+0.01%	3.2e-04	4,833
Methylmercury	Waist circumference	24h dietary recall fish (g)	-1.78	-1.80	-0.75%	3.5e-04	4,693

All three mercury findings remained essentially unchanged after adjustment for 24-hour dietary recall fish consumption, with effect estimate changes less than 1%. This consistency across both crude fish frequency (DBD895, number of meals in 30 days) and granular 24-hour recall measures suggests that either: (a) the mercury–health associations are not fully explained by fish consumption, or (b) a single 24-hour recall inadequately captures habitual fish intake patterns. The latter interpretation is more plausible for methylmercury, which bioaccumulates over weeks to months and is not expected to correlate strongly with a single day's intake. The persistence of the mercury–waist circumference association across multiple fish adjustment approaches is notable but should still be interpreted with caution given the strong a priori expectation of

fish-related confounding.

14 Table S13. Quadratic Age Sensitivity Analysis

To assess whether non-linear age effects confound the primary findings, models were re-run with a quadratic age term (age + age^2) in addition to all primary covariates. All 15 validated findings were tested.

Chemical	Outcome	β (Quadratic)	SE	P-value	β (Primary)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	Dir. Match	Age^2 β	Age^2 P	N
Blood selenium	Hemoglobin	2.13	0.218	6.7e-05	2.16	-1.6%	Yes	-2.3e-04	0.006	4,873
Urinary perchlorate	BUN	1.16	0.113	5.0e-05	1.21	-3.8%	Yes	1.8e-03	0.051	1,579
Methylmercury	Alkry Phosphatase	-2.85	0.318	1.1e-04	-2.84	-0.4%	Yes	-7.1e-04	0.727	4,834
Blood manganese	Waist circumference	6.94	0.917	1.3e-04	7.16	-3.2%	Yes	-7.4e-03	9.4e-04	4,693
Blood selenium	Total cholesterol	35.22	4.659	2.8e-04	39.59	-11.0%	Yes	-3.0e-02	5.6e-05	4,855
Blood manganese	BMI	2.48	0.363	2.5e-04	2.59	-4.1%	Yes	-3.4e-03	4.1e-04	4,876

Chemical	Outcome	β (Quadratic)	SE	P-value	β (Primary)	$\Delta\beta$ (%)	Dir. Match	Age² β	Age² P	N
Blood lead	Waist circumference	-4.41	0.636	2.2e-04	-4.35	-1.4%	Yes	-7.8e-03	6.9e-04	4,693
Blood lead	BMI	-1.97	0.292	2.7e-04	-1.95	-1.1%	Yes	-3.5e-03	3.2e-04	4,876
Blood mercury (total)	Alk Phosphatase (log)	-0.037	0.005	3.0e-04	-0.037	-0.5%	Yes	-1.0e-05	0.658	4,833
DMA (urinary)	Uric acid	0.19	0.029	5.4e-04	0.20	-4.3%	Yes	6.4e-04	0.002	1,593
Blood selenium	RBC count	0.54	0.078	4.5e-04	0.55	-2.1%	Yes	-7.6e-05	0.002	4,873
Blood lead	Total cholesterol	8.60	1.236	4.4e-04	9.10	-5.6%	Yes	-3.0e-02	4.7e-05	4,855
Methylmercury	Waist circumference	-1.85	0.283	3.3e-04	-1.78	-3.7%	Yes	-7.9e-03	6.5e-04	4,693
Blood lead	HbA1c	-0.19	0.029	5.8e-04	-0.19	-1.2%	Yes	-1.4e-04	0.025	4,874
Urinary iodine	BMI	1.34	0.234	7.1e-04	1.18	+14.0%	Yes	-4.3e-03	0.011	1,600

All 15 findings maintained direction and significance ($p < 0.001$) with quadratic age adjustment. Effect estimate changes ranged from -11.0% (selenium–cholesterol) to +14.0% (iodine–BMI), with a median change of -2.9%. The age² coefficient was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) for 10 of 15 models, indicating non-linear age effects are present, but including this term does not materially alter the exposure–outcome associations. The largest attenuation occurred for selenium–cholesterol (-11.0%), where age-squared effects may partially account for the original signal.

15 Table S14. Systematic MeSH-Based Literature Search for HIGH-Novelty Findings

To complement the keyword-based PubMed searches used in the primary novelty assessment, systematic MeSH-based searches were conducted for the three HIGH-novelty findings. Searches combined the chemical's MeSH term with the outcome's MeSH term to capture the broader literature landscape.

Finding	MeSH Search Strategy	N Articles	Sample PMIDs (first 10)
DMA – Uric acid	"Cacodylic Acid"[MeSH] OR "Dimethylarsinic Acid" AND "Uric Acid"[MeSH]	36	39003051, 38511628, 37726447, 37532974, 36860398, 36109472, 35809185, 35490746, 35461256, 34529244
Perchlorate – BUN	"Perchlorates"[MeSH] AND "Blood Urea Nitrogen"[MeSH]	72	41073342, 40441702, 40388306, 37154820, 36513174, 29025080, 23433158, 21342019, 20931854, 18833478
Methylmercury – Waist circumference	"Methylmercury Compounds"[MeSH] AND "Waist Circumference"[MeSH] OR "Adiposity"[MeSH]	51	40315758, 40070085, 25721244, 24243536, 1645078, 41205373, 39699706, 30629257, 30623835, 26911273

Interpretation: The MeSH-based searches identified substantially more articles than the keyword searches used in the primary novelty assessment (Table 3). However, manual review of the retrieved abstracts revealed that these articles generally address the broader chemical class (e.g., arsenic, perchlorate) or outcome domain (e.g., metabolic markers) rather than the specific chemical–outcome pair identified in this study:

- **DMA–Uric acid (36 articles):** Most retrieved articles examine total arsenic exposure and metabolic syndrome components, not dimethylarsonic acid specifically with serum uric acid. The broadening of the search to the arsenic MeSH tree captures arsenic–metabolism literature that does not directly test

the DMA–uric acid hypothesis.

- **Perchlorate–BUN (72 articles):** The majority of retrieved articles address perchlorate–thyroid relationships or general kidney toxicology. The two articles identified in the primary keyword search (Li et al. 2023, PMID: 37154820; Xue et al. 2025, PMID: 40441702) remain the only studies directly examining perchlorate–kidney function associations.

- **Methylmercury–Waist circumference (51 articles):** Retrieved articles predominantly address methylmercury neurotoxicity or general mercury–metabolic relationships. None specifically examined the methylmercury–waist circumference association we identified.

The MeSH search results do not change the novelty classifications assigned in Table 3, but they provide useful context: the chemical classes implicated in our HIGH-novelty findings have broader literatures that could inform mechanistic hypotheses and guide future targeted studies.

16 Table S15. eGFR-Adjusted Sensitivity Analysis for Perchlorate-BUN

To address potential reverse causation (impaired renal function could increase both urinary perchlorate through reduced clearance and BUN through reduced urea excretion), we conducted a sensitivity analysis adjusting for estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, calculated using the race-free 2021 CKD-EPI equation).

Model	β	SE	P-value	% Change	N
Primary (no eGFR)	1.21	0.124	2.5e-05	–	1,605
eGFR-adjusted	1.11	0.095	2.4e-05	-8.5%	1,605

Interpretation: The perchlorate-BUN association was attenuated by 8.5% after eGFR adjustment, remaining highly significant (p = 2.4×10^{-5}). This modest attenuation suggests that the observed association is not primarily driven by reverse causation through impaired renal function. The eGFR coefficient in the adjusted model was negative (β = -0.12, p < 0.0001), confirming the expected relationship where lower GFR is associated with higher BUN.

While residual confounding by renal function cannot be entirely excluded (eGFR is an imperfect measure of true GFR), these results support a perchlorate effect on BUN that operates through mechanisms other than general renal impairment. Prospective studies with baseline renal function measurements would be needed to definitively establish temporality.

17 Figure S16. Standardized Effect Size Volcano Plot

To enable comparison of effect sizes across outcomes measured in different units, effect estimates were converted to standardized effect sizes (t-statistic / $\sqrt{n}$) representing the t-statistic normalized by sample size.

Figure 16: Figure S16. Volcano plot showing standardized effect sizes (t/√n) for all 2,796 associations. Points above the dashed line exceed FDR < 0.05. Standardized effect sizes allow direct comparison across outcomes measured in different units; the x-axis scale is comparable across all findings. The strongest standardized effects (labeled) cluster around heavy metals and metabolic outcomes.

18   Figure S17. Partial R² Volcano Plot

Partial R² represents the proportion of outcome variance explained by the exposure after accounting for all covariates, providing an intuitive measure of effect magnitude.


Figure 17: Figure S17. Volcano plot showing partial R² (proportion of variance explained) for all 2,796 associations. Points above the dashed line exceed FDR < 0.05. The highest partial R² values are observed for blood selenium–hemoglobin and blood manganese–RBC count associations, consistent with the known role of these trace elements in hematopoiesis. Most significant associations explain less than 1% of outcome variance after covariate adjustment, typical for environmental exposure effects at population levels.

19 Figure S18. Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) for Covariate Selection

The following DAG illustrates the rationale for covariate selection in the primary analysis model.

19.1 Covariate Rationale

1. **Age** → E, Y: Older individuals have longer cumulative exposure; age affects nearly all health outcomes. Classic confounder.

2. **Sex** → E, Y: Sex differences in exposure patterns (occupation, diet) and metabolism/health outcomes. Classic confounder.

3. **Race/Ethnicity** → E, Y: Proxy for socioeconomic factors affecting exposure and health outcomes. Classic confounder (collapsed to 3 levels for model stability).

4. **Poverty-Income Ratio (PIR)** → E, Y: Lower SES → higher environmental exposures and worse health outcomes. Classic confounder.

5. **BMI** → E, Y (when Y is not anthropometric): Higher BMI can dilute blood biomarker concentrations; BMI affects metabolic and cardiovascular outcomes. **Omitted when Y = BMI or waist circumference** to avoid collider bias.

6. **Smoking** → E, Y: Major source of chemical exposure and independent risk factor for health outcomes. Classic confounder.

19.2 Variables NOT Adjusted (Potential Mediators)

- **Metabolic pathways** (glucose, lipids, etc.): May be on the causal pathway from E to Y. Adjusting would block the causal effect we aim to estimate.

19.3 DAG Limitations

1. Assumes no unmeasured confounding (strong assumption)
2. Diet, physical activity, medications not directly measured in primary model
3. Linear age assumption in primary model (quadratic age sensitivity in Table S13)
4. Race/ethnicity collapsed to 3 levels (6-level sensitivity in Table S7)

Figure 18: Figure S18. Directed acyclic graph (DAG) showing the assumed causal structure underlying covariate selection. Socioeconomic factors (age, sex, race/ethnicity, poverty-income ratio) are common causes of both chemical exposure and health outcomes. BMI is included as a covariate when the outcome is not anthropometric, but omitted when the outcome is BMI or waist circumference to avoid collider bias. Smoking is adjusted as both an exposure source and independent risk factor. Variables on the causal pathway (e.g., metabolic intermediates) are not adjusted to avoid blocking the effect of interest.

5. Cross-sectional design cannot establish temporality