

Recursion

Weiss Ch. 7, pp294-349

Recursive thinking

- *Recursion* is a programming technique in which a method can call itself to solve a problem
- A *recursive definition* is one which uses the word or concept being defined in the definition itself
- In some situations, a recursive definition can be an appropriate way to express a concept
- Before applying recursion to programming, it is best to practice thinking recursively

Recursive definitions

- Consider the following list of numbers:

24 -> 88 -> 40 -> 37 /

- A list can be defined recursively

Either LIST = null /
or LIST = element -> LIST

- That is, a LIST is defined to be either empty (null), or an element followed by a LIST
 - (The concept of a LIST is used to define itself)
 - How would we confirm that null is a LIST? That one element is a LIST? That three elements are a LIST?

More recursive definitions

- An arithmetic expression is defined as:
 - a numeric constant
 - an numeric identifier
 - an *arithmetic expression* enclosed in parentheses
 - 2 *arithmetic expressions* with a binary operator like + - / * %
- Note: The term arithmetic expression is defined by using the term arithmetic expression!
 - (not the first two bullets)

Recursive algorithms

- *A recursive algorithm* is one that refers to itself
- Show everything in a folder and all its subfolders:
 1. show everything in top folder
 2. show everything in each subfolder in the same manner
- Look up a word in a dictionary:
 1. look up a word (use alphabetical ordering) or
 2. look up word to define the word you are looking up

Factorial example

- The *factorial* for any positive integer N, written N!, is defined to be the product of all integers between 1 and N inclusive

$$n! = n \times (n - 1) \times (n - 2) \times \dots \times 1$$

```
public static int factorial(int n) {  
    int product = 1;  
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)  
        product *= i;  
    return product;  
}
```

Exercise: trace execution (show method calls) for n=5

Recursive factorial

- *factorial* can also be defined recursively:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} n \geq 1 \Rightarrow n \times f(n-1) \\ n = 0 \Rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$$

- A factorial is defined in terms of another factorial until the basic case of 0! is reached

```
public static int factorial(int n) {  
    if (n == 0)  
        return 1;  
    else  
        return n * factorial(n - 1);  
}
```

Recursive programming

- A method in Java can call itself; if written that way, it is called a *recursive method*
- A recursive method solves some problem. The code of a recursive method should be written to handle the problem in one of two ways:
 - **Base case:** a simple case of the problem that can be answered directly; does not use recursion.
 - **Recursive case:** a more complicated case of the problem, that isn't easy to answer directly, but can be expressed elegantly with recursion; makes a recursive call to help compute the overall answer

Recursive power example

- Write method `pow` that takes integers `x` and `y` as parameters and returns x^y .

$x^y = x * x * x * \dots * x$ (y times, in total)

- An iterative solution:

```
public static int pow(int x, int y) {  
    int product = 1;  
    for (int i = 0; i < y; i++)  
        product = product * x;  
    return product;  
}
```

Recursive power function

- Another way to define the power function:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{pow}(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= 1 \\ \text{pow}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{x} * \text{pow}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}-1), \quad \mathbf{y} > 0\end{aligned}$$

```
public static int pow(int x, int y) {  
    if (y == 0)  
        return 1;  
    else  
        return x * pow(x, y - 1);  
}
```

Why Do Recursive Methods Work?

Activation Records on the **Run-time Stack** are the key:

- Each time you call a function (any function) you get a new activation record.
- Each activation record contains a copy of all local variables and parameters for that invocation.
- The activation record remains on the stack until the function returns, then it is destroyed.

Try yourself: use your IDE's debugger and put a breakpoint in the recursive algorithm

Look at the call-stack.

How recursion works

- each call sets up a new instance of all the parameters and the local variables
- as always, when the method completes, control returns to the method that invoked it (which might be another invocation of the same method)

```
pow(4, 3) = 4 * pow(4, 2)
           = 4 * 4 * pow(4, 1)
           = 4 * 4 * 4 * pow(4, 0)
           = 4 * 4 * 4 * 1
           = 64
```

Activation records

- **activation record:** memory that Java allocates to store information about each running method
 - return point ("RP"), argument values, local variable values
 - Java stacks up the records as methods are called; a method's activation record exists until it returns
 - drawing the act. records helps us *trace* the behavior of a recursive method

x = [4]	y = [0]	pow(4, 0)
RP = [pow(4, 1)]		
x = [4]	y = [1]	pow(4, 1)
RP = [pow(4, 2)]		
x = [4]	y = [2]	pow(4, 2)
RP = [pow(4, 3)]		
x = [4]	y = [3]	pow(4, 3)
RP = [main]		
		main

Infinite recursion

- a definition with a missing or badly written base case causes **infinite recursion**, similar to an infinite loop
 - avoided by making sure that the recursive call gets closer to the solution (moving toward the base case)

```
public static int pow(int x, int y) {  
    return x * pow(x, y - 1);    // Oops!   Forgot base case  
}
```

```
pow(4, 3) = 4 * pow(4, 2)  
          = 4 * 4 * pow(4, 1)  
          = 4 * 4 * 4 * pow(4, 0)  
          = 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 * pow(4, -1)  
          = 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 * pow(4, -2)  
          = ... crashes: Stack Overflow Error!
```

Another Example: Broken Recursive Factorial

```
public static int Brokenfactorial(int n) {  
    int x = Brokenfactorial(n-1);  
    if (n == 1)  
        return 1;  
    else  
        return n * x;  
}
```

- What's wrong here? Trace calls “by hand”
 - BrFact(2) -> BrFact(1) -> BrFact(0) -> BrFact(-1) -> BrFact(-2) -> ...
 - Problem: we do the recursive call first before checking for the base case
 - Never stops! Like an infinite loop!

Tracing recursive methods

Consider the following method:

```
public static int mystery1(int x, int y)
{
    if (x < y)
        return x;
    else
        return mystery1(x - y, y);
}
```

For each call below, indicate what value is returned:

mystery1(6, 13)	_____
mystery1(14, 10)	_____
mystery1(37, 10)	_____
mystery1(8, 2)	_____
mystery1(50, 7)	_____

Tracing recursive methods

```
public static void mystery2(int n) {  
    if (n <= 1)  
        System.out.print(n);  
    else {  
        mystery2(n/2);  
        System.out.print(", " + n);  
    }  
}
```

For each call below, indicate what output is printed:

mystery2(1)	_____
mystery2(2)	_____
mystery2(3)	_____
mystery2(4)	_____
mystery2(16)	_____
mystery2(30)	_____
mystery2(100)	_____

Tracing recursive methods

```
public static int mystery3(int n) {  
    if (n < 0)  
        return -mystery3(-n);  
    else if (n < 10)  
        return n;  
    else  
        return mystery3(n/10 + n % 10);  
}
```

For each call below, indicate what value is returned:

mystery3(6)	_____
mystery3(17)	_____
mystery3(259)	_____
mystery3(977)	_____
mystery3(-479)	_____

Tracing recursive methods

```
public static void mystery4(String s) {  
    if (s.length() > 0) {  
        System.out.print(s.charAt(0));  
        if (s.length() % 2 == 0)  
            mystery4(s.substring(0, s.length() - 1));  
        else  
            mystery4(s.substring(1, s.length()));  
        System.out.print(s.charAt(s.length() - 1));  
    }  
}
```

For each call below, indicate what output is printed:

mystery4 ("")

mystery4 ("a")

mystery4 ("ab")

mystery4 ("bc")

mystery4 ("abcd")

Recursive Design

Recursive methods/functions **require**:

1) One or more (non-recursive) **base cases** that will cause the recursion to end.

```
if (n == 1) return 1;
```

2) One or more **recursive cases** that operate on smaller problems **and** get you closer to the base case.

```
return n * factorial(n-1) ;
```

Note: The base case(s) should always be checked before the recursive call.

Rules for Recursive Algorithms

- Base case - must have a way to end the recursion
- Recursive call - must change at least one of the parameters and make progress towards the base case
 - exponentiation (x,y)
 - base: if y is 0 then return 1
 - recursive: else return (multiply x times exponentiation(x,y-1))

How to Think/Design with Recursion

- Many people have a hard time writing recursive algorithms
- The key: focus **only** at the current “stage” of the recursion
 - Handle the base case, then...
 - Decide what recursive-calls need to be made
 - **Assume they work (as if by magic)**
 - Determine how to use these calls’ results

Example: List Processing

- Is an item in a list? First, get a reference *current* to the first node
 - (Base case) If *current* is null, return false
 - (Base case #2) If the first item equals the target, return true
 - (Recursive case – might be on the remainder of the list)
 - `current = current.next`
 - return result of recursive call on new current

Recursive numeric problems

- *Problem:* Given a decimal integer n and a base b , print n in base b .
(Hint: consider the $/$ and $\%$ operators to divide n .)
- *Problem:* Given integers a and b where $a \geq b$, find their greatest common divisor ("GCD"), which is the largest number that is a factor of both a and b . Use Euclid's formula, which states that:

$$\text{GCD}(a, b) = \text{GCD}(b, a \text{ MOD } b)$$

(Hint: What should the base case be?)

Recursive printing problem

- *Problem:* Write a method `starString` that takes an integer `n` as an argument and returns a string of stars (asterisks) 2^n long (i.e., 2 to the n th power). For example:

`starString(0)` should return `"*"` (because $2^0 == 1$)

`starString(1)` should return `"**"` (because $2^1 == 2$)

`starString(2)` should return `"****"` (because $2^2 == 4$)

`starString(3)` should return `"*****"` (because $2^3 == 8$)

`starString(4)` should return `"*****"` ($2^4 == 16$)

Recursive string problems

- *Problem:* Write a recursive method `isPalindrome` that takes a string and returns whether the string is the same forwards as backwards.
(Hint: examine the end letters.)
- *Problem:* Write a recursive method `areAnagrams` that takes two strings `w1` and `w2` and returns whether they are anagrams of each other; that is, whether the letters of `w1` can be rearranged to form the word `w2`.

Searching and recursion

- Problem: Given a sorted array a of integers and an integer i , find the index of any occurrence of i if it appears in the array. If not, return -1.
 - We could solve this problem using a standard iterative search; starting at the beginning, and looking at each element until we find i
 - What is the runtime of an iterative search?
- However, in this case, the array is sorted, so does that help us solve this problem more intelligently? Can recursion also help us?

Binary search algorithm

- Algorithm idea: Start in the middle, and only search the portions of the array that might contain the element i . Eliminate half of the array from consideration at each step.
 - can be written iteratively, but is harder to get right
- called **binary search** because it chops the area to examine in half each time
 - implemented in Java as method `Arrays.binarySearch` in `java.util` package

Binary search example

i = 16

0	4	← min
1	7	
2	16	
3	20	← mid (too big!)
4	37	
5	38	
6	43	← max

Binary search example, cont'd

i = 16

0	4	← min
1	7	← mid (too small!)
2	16	← max
3	20	
4	37	
5	38	
6	43	

Binary search example, cont'd

i = 16

0	4	
1	7	
2	16	← min, mid, max (found it!)
3	20	
4	37	
5	38	
6	43	

Binary search pseudocode

binary search array a for value i :
 if all elements have been searched,
 result is -1.
 examine middle element $a[mid]$.
 if $a[mid]$ equals i ,
 result is mid .
 if $a[mid]$ is greater than i ,
 binary search left half of a for i .
 if $a[mid]$ is less than i ,
 binary search right half of a for i .

Runtime of binary search

- How do we analyze the runtime of binary search?
- binary search either exits immediately, when input size ≤ 1 or value found (base case), or executes itself on $1/2$ as large an input (rec. case)
 - $T(1) = c$
 - $T(2) = T(1) + c$
 - $T(4) = T(2) + c$
 - $T(8) = T(4) + c$
 - ...
 - $T(n) = T(n/2) + c$
- How many times does this division in half take place?

Divide-and-conquer algorithms

- **divide-and-conquer algorithm:** a means for solving a problem that first separates the main problem into 2 or more smaller problems, then solves each of the smaller problems, then uses those sub-solutions to solve the original problem
 - 1: "divide" the problem up into pieces
 - 2: "conquer" each smaller piece
 - 3: (if necessary) combine the pieces at the end to produce the overall solution
- binary search is one such algorithm

Recurrences, in brief

- How can we prove the runtime of binary search?
- We can say that the runtime for a given input size n is $T(n)$.
- At each step of the binary search, we do a constant number c of operations, and then we run the same algorithm on $1/2$ the original amount of input.
Therefore:
 - $T(n) = T(n/2) + c$
 - $T(1) = c$
- Since T is used to define itself, this is called a **recurrence relation**.

Solving recurrences

- **Theorem 7.5** (modified):

A recurrence written in the form

$$T(n) = a * T(n / b) + f(n)$$

(where $f(n)$ is a function that is $O(n^k)$ for some power k)
has a solution such that

$$O(n^{\log_b a}), \quad a > b^k$$

$$T(n) = O(n^k \log n), \quad a = b^k$$

- this form of recurrence is very common for divide-and-conquer algorithms $O(n^k), \quad a < b^k$

Runtime of binary search

- Binary search is of the correct format:

$$T(n) = a * T(n / b) + f(n)$$

- $T(n) = T(n/2) + c$

- $T(1) = c$

- $f(n) = c = O(1) = O(n^0) \dots$ therefore $k = 0$

- $a = 1, b = 2$

- $1 = 2^0$, therefore:
 $T(n) = O(n^0 \log n) = \mathbf{O(\log n)}$
- (recurrences not needed for our exams)

Recursive backtracking

- **backtracking**: an elegant technique of searching for a solution to a problem by exploring each possible solution to completion, then "backing out" if it is unsatisfactory
 - often implemented using recursion
 - can yield straightforward solutions for otherwise extremely difficult problems
 - a "depth-first" algorithmic technique (tries each possible solution as deeply as possible before giving up)

Backtracking: escape a maze

- Consider the problem of escaping a maze, from start at 's' to exiting the edge of the board.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X X XX X		X X..XX X
X X X XXX X		..X X.X XXX X
X X X X X sX		X.X X.X X...X
X X X X X	-->	X.X X.....X X
X X X X X X X		X.X X.X X X X
X X X X X		X.....X X X X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Backtracking: escape a maze

- Maze escaping algorithm, cases of interest:
 - if I am on an open square on the edge of the board, ...
 - if I am on a square I have visited before, ...
 - if I am on an unvisited square and not on the edge, ...
(look for a way to escape)
- algorithm works because we exhaust one search for a path out before trying another, and we never try a path more than once

More recursion

- Add the following method to our `MyLinkedList` class:

```
public void reverse()
```

It should reverse the order of the elements. Use recursion to solve this problem. Use only a constant amount of external storage.

– (Is it easier without the recursion?)

Recursion vs. iteration

- every recursive solution has a corresponding iterative solution
 - For example, $N!$ can be calculated with a loop
- recursion has the overhead of multiple method invocations
- however, for some problems recursive solutions are often more simple and elegant than iterative solutions
- you must be able to determine when recursion is appropriate

Recursion can perform badly

- The *Fibonacci numbers* are a sequence of numbers F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n such that:
 $F_0 = F_1 = 1$
 $F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$ for any $i > 1$
- *Problem:* Write a method `fib` that, when given an integer i , computes the i^{th} Fibonacci number.
- Why might a recursive solution to this problem be a bad idea? (Let's write it...)
 - Can we fix it? If so, how?

Revisiting Fibonacci...

- recursive Fibonacci was expensive because it made many, many recursive calls
 - fibonacci(n) recomputed fibonacci(n-1 ... 1) many times in finding its answer!
 - this is a common case of "overlapping subproblems" or "divide poorly and reconquer", where the subtasks handled by the recursion are redundant with each other and get recomputed
 - is there a way that we could optimize this runtime to avoid these unneeded calls?

Dynamic programming

- **dynamic programming:** saving results of subproblems so that they do not need to be recomputed, and can be used in solving other subproblems
 - example: saving results from sub-calls in a list or table
 - can dramatically speed up the number of calls for a recursive function with overlapping subproblems
 - what is the change in Big-Oh for fibonacciR?
(non-trivial to calculate exactly)

Dynamic fibonacci pseudocode

```
table[]    {table[0] = 1, table[2] = 1}  
max = 2
```

```
fibonacciR(n):
```

```
    n is in table, return table[n]
```

```
    else
```

```
        compute fibonacciR(n-1) and fibonacciR(n-2)
```

```
        add them to get table[n] = fibonacciR(n)
```

```
        set max = n
```

- Let's write it...