

---

# ANALYSIS 3 NOTES

NICHOLAS HAYEK

*Lectures by Prof. Linan Chen*

---

## CONTENTS

|                    |          |
|--------------------|----------|
| <b>I Measure</b>   | <b>1</b> |
| Motivation         | 1        |
| $\sigma$ -Algebras | 1        |
| Measurable Spaces  | 2        |

# I Measure

## MOTIVATION

In Analysis 3, we will formalize the concept of measure and study integration further. As motivation, consider the lower and upper Riemann integral:

8/28/23

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\int_a^b} f(x) dx &:= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{f_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}} (x_i - x_{i-1}) \right\} \\ \underline{\int_a^b} f(x) dx &:= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{f_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}} (x_i - x_{i-1}) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

where  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . Recall that  $f$  is called Riemann integrable if  $\overline{\int_a^b} f = \underline{\int_a^b} f$ , and we write instead  $\int_a^b f$ . Note that not all functions are integrable in this sense. For example:

Consider  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and 0 otherwise. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^c$  are both dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and in particular  $[0, 1]$ , we conclude that  $\overline{\int_a^b} f = 1$  and  $\underline{\int_a^b} f = 0$ . Thus,  $f$  is not Riemann integrable.

We introduce the Lebesgue integral as an alternative. Let  $A_i := \{x \in [a, b] : y_i \leq f(x) < y_{i+1}\}$ , where the  $y_i$ 's are increasing. See that now  $\sum y_i |A_i| \approx \int_a^b f$ . The following questions arise from this:

1. What is the “size” of  $A_i$ ?
2. What sets *can* we measure?

## $\sigma$ -ALGEBRAS

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . We call  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  if the following hold:

1.  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ .
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  (“closed under compliments”).
3. If  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  (“closed under countable unions”).

We can derive the following from these axioms:

PROP. 1.1

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$

2. If  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$
3. If  $A_1, \dots, A_N \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cap A_i$  and  $\cup A_i \in \mathcal{F}$
4. If  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A \setminus B, B \setminus A$ , and  $A \Delta B \in \mathcal{F}$

$$A \Delta B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$$

For a set  $X$ , consider  $\mathcal{F} = 2^X := \{A : A \subseteq X\}$ , the powerset of  $X$ . This is the largest  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X$ . The smallest one can construct is  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ . If we'd like to include a particular subset of  $X$ , say  $A$ , we can write  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, X, A, A^c\}$ .

Let  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ , is defined by the following:

1.  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$
2. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ .

We also say that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the “ $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ ”

In other words,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra which contains  $\mathcal{C}$ . From the example above, we can write  $\sigma(A) = \{\emptyset, X, A, A^c\}$ .

PROP 1.2

We can state the following about  $\sigma$ -algebras generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ :

1.  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \cap\{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra, } \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$
2. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$
3. If  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  are such that  $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$ .

PROOFS.

1. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be some  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  denote all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , since  $\mathcal{D} \in \{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ . We also have to show that  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Clearly  $X \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ , since it must be in all  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . Now, let  $A \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{F}_i \forall i$ , so  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_i \forall i$ . Thus,  $A^c \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Similarly, suppose  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Then  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i \forall i$ , and therefore  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_i \forall i$ , so we conclude  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Hence,  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
2. Suppose otherwise. Then  $\exists$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing fewer subsets than  $\mathcal{C}$ , and yet containing at least all subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This cannot be.
3. Note that  $\{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}\} \supseteq \{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$ , since  $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$ . Thus,  $\cap\{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq \cap\{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$ , so  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$ .  $\square$

## MEASURABLE SPACES

A Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, denoted  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all the open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

PROP. 1.3

Recall that, for any open  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we can write  $G = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , where  $I_n$  are finite, disjoint, open intervals.

PROOF.

Let  $G$  be open. Consider any  $x \in G \cap \mathbb{Q}$ .  $G$  is open  $\implies \exists$  an open  $x \in I \subseteq G$ . Choose the largest such interval (i.e. the union of all intervals containing  $x$ ). One may associate any rational number in  $G$  with an interval of this kind.

Furthermore, for  $y \in G \cap \mathbb{Q}^c$ ,  $\exists$  a neighborhood which necessarily contains a rational number (by density), and is therefore contained within an  $I$ . Note now: the set of  $I$ 's are countable, since they are generated by elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; the set of  $I$ 's are pairwise disjoint, since, otherwise, the union of intersecting sets would constitute a larger-than-maximal set containing  $x$ .  $\square$

The generation of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is *not* unique, so while  $\sigma\{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}$  is obvious, there exist other equivalent descriptions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} &= \sigma\{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \\ &= \sigma\{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \\ &= \sigma\{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \\ &= \sigma\{(-\infty, c) : c \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \sigma\{(c, \infty) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}\end{aligned}$$

As proof of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\{[a, b) : a < b\})$ , it is sufficient to show that  $(a, b)$  is in this set, and similarly that  $[a, b)$  is in  $\sigma\{(a, b) : a < b\}$ . For the first, we can write  $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b)$ , and for the second,  $[a, b) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b)$

If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we call  $A$  a *Borel set*. All intervals on  $\mathbb{R}$  are Borel, and any set produced by a countable series of set operations (union, intersection, compliment, difference) is also Borel. Lastly, note that  $\{x\}$  are Borel for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so therefore all countable or finite sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  are Borel.

e.g. the Cantor set

Take  $[x - 1, x] \cap [x, x + 1]$ .

Given a space  $X$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$ , we call  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  a *measurable space*.

e.g.  $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R})$

Given a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ , define  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ .  $\mu$  is called a *measure* if the following hold:

1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

2. If  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , where  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$

Should feel similar to some of Kolmogorov's axioms...

We classify a few types of measures:

1. If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , we call  $\mu$  a *finite measure*.
2. If  $\mu(X) = 1$ , we call  $\mu$  a *probability measure*.
3. If  $\exists \{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$  and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty \forall n$ , we call  $\mu$  a  *$\sigma$ -finite measure*.

Finally, we call  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  a *measure space*.

### Examples:

$\mu : \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with  $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } A \text{ is finite} \\ \infty & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$  is called the “counting measure”

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\mu : \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with  $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$  is the “Dirac measure”

#### 1.1 Properties of Measure

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

- (i) If  $A_1, \dots, A_N \in \mathcal{F}$  are disjoint, then  $\mu(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i)$
- (ii) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .
- (iii) If  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$ . This also holds for finite collections.

PROOF.

- (i) Let  $A_i = \emptyset \forall i > N$ . The result follows from axiom 1.
- (ii) Write  $B = A \cup (B \setminus A) \implies \mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) \geq \mu(A)$ .
- (iii) Set  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_n = A_n \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $B_n$  are pairwise disjoint  $\forall n$ , so we can write  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$ . Lastly, notice that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  to conclude that  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$ .  $\square$

If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ , we call  $A$  a *null set*. Note that the union of null sets is a null set.

PROP 1.4  
(Continuity from Below)

Given  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  with  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ ,  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ .

We call  $\{A_n\}$  with  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$  *increasing*, and write  $A_n \uparrow$

$\square$

PROOF.