

---

## ASSIGNMENT 5

MATH 356

---



## QUESTION 1

*Qualitative*

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be  $\text{Ber}(p_1)$  and  $\text{Ber}(p_2)$ , respectively.  $X_1 + X_2$  tallies  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  in a series of  $n$  trials, i.e.  $X_1 + X_2$  counts  $E_1 + E_2$ , where  $E_1 = 1$  and  $E_2 = 1$  with probabilities  $p_1, p_2$ , and neither can occur ( $=1$ ) simultaneously.

Note that  $p_1 + p_2 < 1$ , since the variables  $E_3 \sim \text{Ber}(p_3)$  and  $E_4 \sim \text{Ber}(p_4)$  also exist behind the scenes.

$\mathbb{P}(E_1 + E_2 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(E_1 = 1 \cup E_2 = 1)$ , and by additivity this is  $p_1 + p_2$ . Thus,  $X_1 + X_2$  is  $\text{Bin}(n, p_1 + p_2)$ .

*Quantitative*

$\mathbb{P}(X_1 + X_2 = k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \mathbb{P}(X_1 = i, X_2 = k - i)$ . Using marginal probability, we have:

Note that, since  $X_1 + X_2 = k$ ,  $X_3 + X_4 = n - k$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x_3, x_4 \\ x_3 + x_4 = n - k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \rho(i, k - 1, x_3, x_4) &= \sum_{\substack{x_3, x_4 \\ x_3 + x_4 = n - k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{i, k - 1, x_3, x_4} p_1^i p_2^{k-1} p_3^{x_3} p_4^{x_4} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x_3, x_4 \\ x_3 + x_4 = n - k}} \frac{1}{k!} \binom{n}{x_3, x_4} p_3^{x_3} p_4^{x_4} \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i, k - 1} p_1^i p_2^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By multinomial theorem} &= \sum_{\substack{x_3, x_4 \\ x_3 + x_4 = n - k}} \frac{1}{k!} \binom{n}{x_3, x_4} p_3^{x_3} p_4^{x_4} (p_1 + p_2)^k \\ &= \frac{n!}{k!(n - k)!} (p_1 + p_2)^k \sum_{\substack{x_3, x_4 \\ x_3 + x_4 = n - k}} \binom{n - k}{x_3, x_4} p_3^{x_3} p_4^{x_4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{By multinomial theorem} = \frac{n!}{k!(n - k)!} (p_1 + p_2)^k (p_3 + p_4)^{n - k} = \binom{n}{k} (p_1 + p_2)^k [1 - (p_1 + p_2)]^{n - k}$$

$$\implies X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_1 + p_2)$$

□

## QUESTION 2

The  $dy$  integral is  $\sqrt{2\pi}$ , since we are only “shifting” the normal curve. In general,  $\int e^{-(x\pm a)^2} = \int e^{-x^2}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . This was discussed in class.

**Part (a):** One requires that  $\int \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}} ce^{-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2}} = 1$ . Consider

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2}} = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx}_{=\sqrt{2\pi}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2}} dy}_{=\sqrt{2\pi}} = 2\pi$$

Thus,  $c = \frac{1}{2\pi}$

**Part (b):** All we’ve got to do is integrate:

$$f_X(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2}} dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2}} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2 - \frac{y^2}{2} + xy} dx = \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2 + xy} dx \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(x-y/2)^2 + y^2/4} dx = \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{4}}}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(x-y/2)^2} dx}_{=\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

**Part (c):** We see that  $f_X(x)f_Y(y) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{4}}$ , so  $f_{X,Y} \neq f_X f_Y$ , and we conclude that  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent.

## QUESTION 3

**Part (a):** Let  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(r, \lambda)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(s, \lambda)$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, we can write their joint probability density as follows:

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda^r x^{r-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(r)} \frac{\lambda^s y^{s-1} e^{-\lambda y}}{\Gamma(s)} = \frac{\lambda^{r+s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} x^{r-1} y^{s-1} e^{-\lambda(x+y)}$$

Let  $B : (x, y) \mapsto \frac{x}{x+y}$  and  $G : (x, y) \mapsto x + y$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are defined for non-negative values (call this region  $K$ ), we have that  $(B, G) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) =: L$ , where  $B$  and  $G$  are continuously differentiable on this region.

Define  $q(b, g) = bg$ . Then  $bg = \frac{x}{x+y}(x+y) = x$ .

Similarly, define  $r(b, g) = g(1 - b) = (x+y)\left(1 - \frac{x}{x+y}\right) = (x+y) - x = y$ . We have

$$f_{B,G}(b, g) = f_{X,Y}(q, r) |\text{Jac}(b, g)| = \frac{\lambda^{r+s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} (bg)^{r-1} [g(1-b)]^{s-1} e^{-\lambda g} |\text{Jac}(b, g)|$$

Lastly,  $\text{Jac}(b, g) = \begin{vmatrix} g & b \\ -g & 1-b \end{vmatrix} = g(1-b) + gb = g$ , so

$$f_{B,G}(b, g) = \frac{\lambda^{r+s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} (bg)^{r-1} [g(1-b)]^{s-1} e^{-\lambda g} g \quad \text{for } (b, g) \in L, 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

**Part (b):** Rearranging the joint density from above, we get

$$f_{B,G}(b, g) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma(r+s)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} b^{r-1} (1-b)^{s-1} \right] \left[ \frac{g^{r-1} g^{s-1} e^{-\lambda g} g \lambda^{r+s}}{\Gamma(r+s)} \right] = \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\Gamma(r+s)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} b^{r-1} (1-b)^{s-1} \right]}_{f_B \Rightarrow B \sim \text{Beta}(r,s)} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{g^{r+s-1} e^{-\lambda g} \lambda^{r+s}}{\Gamma(r+s)} \right]}_{f_G \Rightarrow G \sim \text{Gamma}(r+s, \lambda)}$$

We arrive at the following with regards to part (a):

1.  $X, Y$  are independent with  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(r, \lambda)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(s, \lambda)$
- 2a.  $B = \frac{X}{X+Y}$  and  $G = X + Y$       2b.  $X = BG$  and  $Y = G(1 - B)$
3.  $B, G$  are independent with  $B \sim \text{Beta}(r, s)$  and  $G \sim \text{Gamma}(r + s, \lambda)$

One sees that the variables described in part (b) are defined and distributed precisely as  $B, G, X, Y$  from part (a), and we conclude that the distribution of  $(BG, G(1 - B))$  is just

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{\lambda^{r+s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} x^{r-1} y^{s-1} e^{-\lambda(x+y)} \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in K, 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

QUESTION 4

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent, exponentially distributed variables with parameter  $\lambda_i$  for  $X_i$ . By independence, their joint density is

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_{X_1}(x_1) \dots f_{X_n}(x_n) = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n e^{-x_1 \lambda_1 - \dots - x_n \lambda_n}$$

Let  $Y = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $I = i : X_i = Y$ , defined for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(I = i) &= \mathbb{P}(X_i = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq X_1, \dots, X_i \leq X_n) \\ &= \int_{X_i \leq X_j: i \neq j} \dots \int f = \int_0^\infty \int_{x_i}^\infty \dots \int_{x_i}^\infty \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n e^{-x_1 \lambda_1 - \dots - x_n \lambda_n} dx_1 \dots dx_n dx_i \\ &= \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \int_0^\infty e^{-x_i \lambda_i} \underbrace{\int_{x_i}^\infty e^{-x_n \lambda_n} \dots \int_{x_i}^\infty e^{-x_1 \lambda_1} dx_1 \dots dx_n}_{n-1 \text{ times}} dx_i \quad \star \end{aligned}$$

For any  $j \neq i$ , we have

$$\int_{x_i}^\infty e^{-x_j \lambda_j} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \left[ e^{-x_j \lambda_j} \right]_{x_i}^\infty = \frac{e^{-x_i \lambda_j}}{\lambda_j}$$

Evaluating for the  $j^{\text{th}}$  integrand and moving leftward, as in  $\star$ , we see that  $\lambda_j$  cancels and the remaining  $e^{-x_i \lambda_j}$  term may be moved into the first ( $dx_i$ ) integral. We are then left with

$$\star = \lambda_i \int_0^\infty e^{-x_i(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} = -\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} \left[ e^{-x_i(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} \right]_0^\infty = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n}$$

□

## QUESTION 5

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  be independent variables distributed as  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Their joint PDF is

$$f_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \lambda^3 e^{-\lambda(x_1+x_2+x_3)} = \lambda^3 e^{-\lambda x_1} e^{-\lambda x_2} e^{-\lambda x_3}$$

Notice that  $f$  is symmetric, and so  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  are exchangeable, and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 < X_2 < X_3) = \mathbb{P}(X_{\sigma(1)} < X_{\sigma(2)} < X_{\sigma(3)})$$

Since  $X_i$  are continuous variables, we can remove any cases of equality and still maintain an intact & equivalent probability. Also, notice that there are 6 permutations  $\sigma(i)$ , so in particular

$$6\mathbb{P}(X_1 < X_2 < X_3) = 1 \implies \mathbb{P}(X_1 < X_2 < X_3) = \frac{1}{6}$$

One can check manually by integrating over the constraints  $X_1 < X_2$  and  $X_2 < X_3$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^3 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x_2} dx_2 \int_{x_2}^\infty e^{-\lambda x_3} dx_3 \int_0^{x_2} e^{-\lambda x_1} dx_1 &= \lambda^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x_2} (1 - e^{-\lambda x_2}) dx_2 \int_{x_2}^\infty e^{-\lambda x_3} dx_3 \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-2\lambda x_2} - e^{-3\lambda x_2} dx_2 = \frac{1}{6} \end{aligned}$$

□