# DISCRETE MATHEMATICS NICHOLAS HAYEK

Lectures by Prof. Sergie Norin

# CONTENTS

I	Preliminaries	1	
Defi	nitions	1	
Con	nectivity	2	
II	Trees and Forests	5	
Spanning Trees			
Eule	er's Theorem & Hamiltonian Cycles	9	

1 PRELIMINARIES

# I Preliminaries

#### DEFINITIONS

*Graph theory* is the study of pairwise relations between objects, e.g. computer networks, interpersonal relationships, airport flights. Graphs will help us simplify and abstract networks .

A graph G is comprised of a set of vertices, denoted V(G), where  $|V(G)| < \infty$ , a set DEF 1.2 of edges, denoted E(G), where every edge is associated with two vertices. At least in this course

We say that an edge *joins* the two vertices it's associated with. Similarly, an edge is *incident* to a vertex which is an end of it. Conversely, a vertex is incident to an edge if it is an end of it.

Two vertices are *adjacent* or *neighbors* if they are joined by an edge, and a vertex DEF 1.4 has *degree* edges incident to it.

The *null graph* is the graph such that  $V(G) = \emptyset$ . The *complete graph* on *n* vertices, denoted  $K_n$ , is such that  $|V(K_n)| = n$  and  $|E(K_n)|$  is maximal.

For a graph of *n* vertices, the maximal number of edges it may have is  $\binom{n}{2}$ .

Suppose every vertex is connected to every other vertex. Then  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) = n(n-1) \implies |E(G)| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \binom{n}{2}$ .

A graph of n vertices, where  $v_i$  is only adjacent to  $v_{i-1}$  and  $v_{i+1}$ , is called a path DEF 1.6 and is sometimes denoted  $P_n$ .  $v_1$  and  $v_n$  are called the ends of  $P_n$ .

For  $n \ge 3$ , a cycle  $C_n$  is a graph with  $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  and  $E(G) = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, ..., v_{n-1}v_n, v_nv_1\}$ .

An *adjacency matrix* is a matrix contained all vertices on both axis. Pairwise adjacency is denoted by a 1 entry, and 0 otherwise. For example, the following is an adjacency table for a 4 element cycle:

		i.			
		$v_1$	$v_2$	$v_3$	$v_4$
	$v_1$	×	1	0	1
	$v_2$	1	×	1	0
	$v_3$	0	1	×	1
	$v_4$	1	0	1	×

Similarly, an *incidence* matrix has rows in V(G) and columns in E(G), and marks DEF 1.9

with 1 pairs which are incident to eachother. The following is the incidence matrix for a 4 element cycle:

	$v_1$	$v_2$	$v_3$	$v_4$
$e_1$	1	1	0	0
$\overline{e_2}$	0	1	1	0
$e_3$	0	0	1	1
$e_4$	1	0	0	1

PROP 1.2

For a graph G, we always have  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) = 2|E(G)|$ .

PROOF.

Every edge has two vertices incident to it. Thus,  $\sum \deg(v)$  will be the number of times an edge is incident to a vertex, i.e. the number of edges  $\times$  2.

**DEF 1.10** 

*H* is a *subgraph* of *G* if  $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$  and  $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$ .

We cannot do the same for " $G \setminus H$ ," since we may delete vertices and keep their incident edges!

For two graphs G, H, the union  $G \cup H$  is a graph such that  $V(G \cup H) = V(G) \cup V(G)$  and  $E(G \cup H) = E(G) \cup E(H)$ . We similarly define the intersection  $G \cap H$  to be such that  $V(G \cap H) = V(G) \cap V(H)$  and  $E(G \cap H) = E(G) \cap E(H)$ .

PROP 1.3

There are  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  graphs with *n* vertices.

PROOF.

We know the maximal number of edges of this graph is  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Then, for each edge, one may make a binary choice whether to include it or not  $\therefore$  the number of graphs is  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ .

DEF 1.11

We can now ask: how many graphs are there with *n* vertices up to isomorphism?

An *isomorphism* between H and G is a bijection  $\varphi: V(G) \to V(H)$  such that  $uv \in E(G) \implies \varphi(u)\varphi(v) \in E(H)$ ..

CONNECTIVITY

DEF 1.12

A walk in G with ends  $u_0$  and  $u_k$  is a sequence  $(u_0, u_1, ..., u_k)$  such that  $u_i \in V(G)$  and  $u_i u_{i+1} \in E(G)$ . The length of this walk is k.

u and v are called *connected* if there exists a walk in G with ends u and v OR, equivalently, there exists a path  $P \subseteq G$  with ends u and v.

**PROP 1.4** 

 $\exists$  a walk in G with ends u and  $v \iff \exists$  a path  $P \subseteq G$  with ends u and v.

PROOF.

( $\iff$ ) Let  $P \subseteq G$  be a path with ends u and v. Then V(P) can be numbered  $u = v_0, v_1, ..., v_k = v$ , where  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(P)$ . Then  $(v_0, ..., v_k)$  is a walk in G.

[8pt]( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Let there exist a walk ( $u=v_0,...,v_k=v$ ) with  $v_iv_{i+1}\in E(G)$ . WLOG suppose this is the walk of minimal length. If  $v_i\neq v_j$ , i.e. are pairwise distinct, then we already have a path. Suppose otherwise, and let  $v_i=v_j$ . Then ( $v_0,...,v_i,v_{j+1},...,v_k$ ) is a *smaller* walk with ends u and v, which

3 PRELIMINARIES

establishes the contradiction \( \xi \). A graph G is called *connected* if  $\forall u, v \in V(G)$ , u and v are connected. **DEF 1.13** A partition of V(G) is  $(X_1, ..., X_k)$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i = V(G)$  and  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset \ \forall i \neq j$ . **DEF 1.14** A graph G is not connected  $\iff \exists$  a partition (X, Y) of V(G) such that no edge PROP 1.5 of G is incident to one vertex in X and one in Y.  $(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose *G* were connected. Then choose  $u \in X, v \in Y$  such that there PROOF. exists a walk  $(u = u_0, ..., u_k = v)$ . Let  $u_i$  be minimal over i such that  $u_i \in Y$ . Then  $u_{i-1} \in X$ , and  $u_{i-1}u_i \in E(G) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ .$  $[8pt](\Longrightarrow)$  Let  $u, v \in V(G)$  be such that there is no walk from u to v. Let Xbe the set of all  $w \in V(G)$  such that  $\exists$  a walk with ends u and w. Similarly, let  $Y = V(G) \setminus X$ . Clearly  $V(G) = X \cup Y$ ,  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ , and (X, Y) is a partition. Suppose there exists an edge from a vertex in X to a vertex in Y, i.e.  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Then we have the walk (u, ..., w, ..., x, y). But  $y \notin X \not \downarrow$ . Let G be a graph.  $H \subseteq G$  is called a connected component of G if H is a maximal **DEF 1.15** connected subgraph of G, i.e. if  $\exists H \subseteq H' \subseteq G$  with H' connected, then H = H'. Sometimes we just say "component." If  $H_1, H_2$  are connected graphs, and  $V(H_1) \cap V(H_2) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $H_1 \cup H_2$  is also **PROP 1.6** connected. Let  $u \in H_1$ ,  $v \in H_1 \cap H_2$ ,  $w \in H_2$ . Then (u, ..., v) and (v, ..., w) are both walks, PROOF. and thus (v, ..., v, ..., w) is a walk. Every  $v \in V(G)$  is a member of a unique connected component  $H \subseteq G$ . PROP 1.7  $\{v\}$  is connected. If there does not exist  $H \supseteq \{v\}$  also connected, then we are PROOF. done. Otherwise, we may choose the maximal such connected superset. [8pt]Suppose  $v \in H_1$  and  $H_2$ , two connected components. Then by Prop 1.6,  $H_1 \cup H_2$  is connected. But since  $H_1 \cup H_2 \supseteq H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , this violates maximality. We conclude that  $H_1 = H_2$ . Let G be a graph, and let  $H \subseteq G$  be a non-null and connected subgraph. Then H **PROP 1.8** is a connected component of  $G \iff \forall e \in E(G)$  with an end in V(H), we have  $e \in E(H)$ . PROOF. For  $(\implies)$ , let e = uv, with  $u \in V(H)$ . If  $v \in V(H)$ , then we are done. Otherwise, suppose  $e \notin E(H)$ . We know v is a member of a unique connected component. But adding e to H would yield a further connected graph: take the graphs of  $\{uv\}$  and H. Both are clearly connected, so  $H \cup \{uv\}$  is connected.

 $[8pt](\longleftarrow)$  Proof idea.

Obtained from *G* by deleting *e* 

For  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $G \setminus e$  is a graph such that  $V(G \setminus e) = V(G)$  and  $E(G \setminus e) = E(G) \setminus \{e\}$ .

Similarly, for  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $G \setminus v$  is a graph such that  $V(G \setminus v) = V(G) \setminus \{v\}$  and  $E(G \setminus v) = E(G) \setminus \{e : e \text{ incident to } v\}$ .

Let comp(G) = # of connected components of G.

PROP 1.9

 $comp(G) = 1 \iff G \text{ is connected.}$ 

PROOF.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) direction is trivial. For ( $\Longleftrightarrow$ ), if G is connected, then there cannot exist a more maximal connected subgraph, e.g. G is a connected component. Since every vertex belongs to a unique connected component, and this must be G, comp(G) = 1.

**DEF 1.16** 

Let  $e = \{u, v\} \in E(G)$ . Define a *cut-edge* to be an edge which is not part of any cycle.

PROP 1.10

Exactly one of the following holds:

- 1. *e* is a cut-edge:  $comp(G \setminus e) = comp(G) + 1$ , and *u*, *v* belong to different components of  $G \setminus e$ .
- 2. *e* is not a cut-edge:  $comp(G \setminus e) = comp(G)$ , and *u*, *v* belong to the same component.

PROOF.

Let e be a cut-edge. Let  $H_1, ..., H_k$  be the connected components of  $G \setminus e$ . If u, v belong to  $H_i$ , then  $\exists$  a path  $P \subseteq H_i$  with ends u and v. Adding e, this is a cycle  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

[8pt] WLOG, assume that u, v belong to  $V(H_1), V(H_2)$ , respectively. Then let H' be obtained by  $H_1 \cup H_2$  by adding e. We claim that  $H', H_2, ..., H_k$  are all components of G. By Prop 1.8, we only need to check the connectivity of H', and this holds by Prop 1.6. Since there do not exist any vertices not in  $V(H_i)$ :  $i \ge 2$  or V(H'), these are all the components of G. Thus,  $comp(G) + 1 = comp(G \setminus e)$ .

5 TREES AND FORESTS

# II Trees and Forests

A *forest* is a graph with no cycles, i.e. every edge is a cut-edge.

DEF 2.1

A tree is a non-null connected forest.

DEF 2.2

Let *F* be a non-null forest. Then comp(F) = |V(F)| - |E(F)|.

**PROP 2.1** 

We'll show by induction on |E(F)|. If n=0 then all vertices are their own connected components. Let |E(F)| = n, and assume comp(F) = |V(F)| - |E(F)|. Let  $e \in E(F)$ . Since F is a forest, e is a cut-edge, and thus  $\text{comp}(G \setminus e) = \text{comp}(G) + 1 = |V(F)| - |E(F)| + 1 = |V(F)| - (|E(F)| - 1) = |V(F)| - |E(F \setminus e)| = |V(F \setminus e)| - |E(F \setminus e)|$ .

PROOF.

A *leaf* is a vertex with degree 1.

**DEF 2.3** 

Let T be a tree with  $|V(T)| \ge 2$ . let  $X = \{\text{leaves of } T\}$ ,  $Y = \{v \in V(G) : \deg(v) \ge 3\}$ . Then  $|X| \ge |Y| + 2$ .

**PROP 2.2** 

Thus, trees have  $\geq 2$  leaves!

By Prop 1.1, we have

PROOF.

$$\sum_{v \in V(T)} \deg(v) = 2|E(T)|^{1.11} 2(|V(T)| - \operatorname{comp}(G)) \stackrel{1.9}{=} 2(|V(T)| - 1)$$

$$\implies \sum_{v \in V(T)} (\deg(v) - 2) = 2(|V(T)| - 1) - 2|V(T)| = -2$$

$$= \sum_{v \in X} (\deg(v) - 2) + \sum_{v \in Y} (\deg(v) - 2) + \sum_{v \in V(T) - X - Y} (\deg(v) - 2)$$

$$\implies -2 \ge -|X| + |Y| \implies |X| \ge |Y| + 2 \quad \square$$

A note for the following few proofs: if w is a leaf, then any path which exists in T (with ends not w) exists in  $T \setminus w$ .

Let T be a tree with 2 leaves, u and v. Then T is a path with ends u and v.

**PROP 2.3** 

Let  $P \subseteq T$  be a path with ends u and v. By  $\underline{\text{Prop 1.12}}$ ,  $\deg_T(w) = 2 \ \forall w \in V(P) \setminus \{u,v\}$ . Moreover,  $\deg_T(w) = \deg_P(w)$ , so no vertex in V(P) is incident to an edge in  $E(T) \setminus E(P)$ . Then, by  $\underline{\text{Prop 1.8}}$ , P is a connected component. But T is connected, so T = P.

PROOF.

Let T be a tree and  $v \in V(T)$  be a leaf. Then  $T \setminus v$  is a tree.

**PROP 2.4** 

PROOF.

 $T \setminus v$  is non-null, since v has a neighbor.  $T \setminus v$  has no cycles, since T has no cycles, and  $T \setminus V$  is connected. We know there exists a path between any two vertices in  $V(T) \setminus \{v\}$ . Such a path still exists.

**PROP 2.5** 

If G is a graph,  $v \in V(G)$  a leaf, and  $G \setminus v$  a tree, then G is a tree.

PROOF.

G is non-null, since  $G \setminus v$  is non-null. We know that v belongs to no cycles, since it is a leaf, so any cycles apparent in G would exist in  $G \setminus v$ . Thus, G has no cycles. For connectedness, let H be the graph containing v, its incident edge, and that edge's other vertex v'. H is connected, as is  $G \setminus v$ , and  $G \setminus v \cap H \neq \emptyset$ , so  $G \setminus v \cup H = G$  is connected by Prop 1.6.

PROP 2.6

Let T be a tree,  $u, v \in V(T)$ . Then T contains a unique path with ends u and v.

PROOF.

We'll show by induction on |V(T)|. This clearly holds for |V(T)| = 1. Let  $|V(T)| \ge 2$ . Suppose T contains a leaf  $w \in V(T) \setminus \{u, w\}$ . Then  $T \setminus w$  is a tree by Prop 1.14. By our induction hypothesis,  $T \setminus w$  contains a unique path with ends u and v. By connectedness,  $\exists$  a path with ends u, v in T. But this path must exist in  $T \setminus w$ , whose uniqueness follows.

[8pt]If no such leaf exists, then T has exactly 2 leaves (u and v). Thus, by Prop 1.13, T is a path with ends u and v, and thus the only path in T.

#### SPANNING TREES

**DEF 2.4** 

Let G be a graph. A subgraph  $T \subseteq G$  is called a *spanning tree* of G if T is a tree and V(T) = V(G).

**PROP 2.7** 

Let *G* be connected and non-null. Let  $H \subseteq G$ , chosen minimal such that V(H) = V(G) and *H* is connected. Then *H* is a spanning tree of *G*.

PROOF.

We only need to check that T is non-null and contains no cycles. The first is automatic, since V(T) = V(G), and G is non-null. If H has a cycle, then let e be an edge in the cycle.  $H \setminus e$  is connected by Prop 1.9 and Prop 1.10. But this contradicts minimality, so T contains no cycles.

**PROP 2.8** 

Let *G* be a connected non-null graph. Let  $H \subseteq G$  be maximal such that *H* contains no cycles. Then *H* is a spanning tree of *G*.

PROOF.

We need to show that V(H) = V(G) and H is connected (it is non-null, since at least a singleton of G contains no cycles; it contains no cycles by construction). If  $\exists v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ , adding v such that  $\deg(v) = 0$  would maintain H having no cycles, thus contradicting maximality.

7 Trees and forests

[8pt]Suppose H is not connected. Then by  $\underline{\text{Prop } 1.5}$  there exists a partition  $H = X \cup Y$  such that no edge has a vertex in  $\underline{\text{both } X}$  and Y. However, such an edge must exist in G, say  $e \in E(G)$ , so we may add this edge to H to produce H'. Observe that H' contains no cycles, since e belongs to no cycles in H. But this contradicts maximality, so H must contain no cycles.

Let T be a spanning tree of G. Let  $f \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$ . Then T with f has one cycle (by Prop 2.6). This is called the *fundamental cycle* of f with respect to T, and denoted FC(T, f).

Let *T* be a spanning tree of *G*,  $f \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$ . Let C = FC(T, f),  $e \in E(C)$ . Then PROP 2.9  $(T + f) \setminus \{e\}$  is a spanning tree.

Let  $T' = (T + f) \setminus \{e\}$ . T + f is connected, and since e is not a cut-edge,  $(T + f) \setminus \{e\} = T'$  is also connected. C is a unique cycle in T + f, so T' contains no cycles. Thus, T' is a tree. V(T') = V(T) = V(G), since T is a spanning tree, so we conclude that T' is a spanning tree.

Let G be a non-null, connected tree. Let  $w: E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_+$  by a real valued function on the edges of G. The *minimal spanning tree* of G w.r.t. w, denoted MST(G, w), is a spanning tree T such that  $w(T) = \sum_{e \in E(T)} w(e)$  is minimal.

#### 2.1 Minimality of MST Edges

Let *G* be connected and non-null. Let  $w : E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let T = MST(G, w) and  $E(T) = \{e_1, ..., e_k\}$ , where we order

$$w(e_1) \leq w(e_2) \leq ... \leq w(e_k)$$

Then  $\forall 1 \le i \le k$ ,  $e_i$  is an edge of minimum weight subject to the following constraints:

- $e_i \notin \{e_1, ..., e_{i-1}\}$
- $\{e_1, ..., e_i\}$ , as an edge set, does not contain any cycles.

In particular, this theorem states that for any  $f \in E(G) - \{e_1, ..., e_{i-1}\}$  with  $\{e_1, ..., e_{i-1}, f\}$  not containing cycles,  $w(f) > f(e_i)$ .

Suppose otherwise. Then for at least one i, we can choose  $f \in E(G)$  – PROOF.  $\{e_1, ..., e_{i-1}\}$  such that  $\{e_1, ..., e_{i-1}, f\}$  contains no cycles and  $w(f) < w(e_i)$ .

Then  $f \notin E(T)$ , otherwise  $f = e_j$  for some  $j \ge i$ . But j < i, since we have an ordering on w. Let C = FC(T, f), the unique cycle in T + f. There is some  $j \ge i$  such that  $e_j \in E(C)$ , since all vertices < i must not contain cycles. Then  $w(e_j) > w(f)$ .

Let  $T' = (T+f) - e_j$ . Then by Prop 2.9, T' is still a spanning tree. Let w(G) be the sum of weights of edges of G. Then  $w(T') - w(T) = w(f) - w(e_j) < 0$ , implying that T is not minimal  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

### Kruskal's Algorithm

#### | Input

A connected, non-null graph G, and  $w : E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ 

## | Output

A graph *T* such that V(T) = V(T), with  $E(T) = \{e_1, ..., e_{|V(G)|-1}\}$ 

$$| n \rightarrow n + 1$$

Let  $e_i \in E(G)$  be chosen such that  $w(e_i)$  is minimum subject to

- $e_i \notin \{e_1, ..., e_{i-1}\}$
- $\{e_1, ..., e_i\}$ , as an edge set, does not contain any cycles.

for  $1 \le i \le |V(G)| - 1$ 

# 2.2 Kruskal's Algorithm Outputs an MST

Suppose  $w: E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is injective. Then all edges have different weights. Then Thm 2.1 implies that Kruskal's outputs an MST which is unique. If w is not injective, the proof is out of the scope of this course.

# 2.3 Spanning Trees of $K_n$

The complete graph  $K^n$  has exactly  $n^{n-2}$  spanning trees.

The proof for this will require proving multiple statements. Let  $\mathcal{T}_k$  be the set of spanning, rooted forests in  $K^n$  with k components. Then  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is the set of rooted spanning trees in  $K_n$ . Since we may choose n roots,  $\frac{|\mathcal{T}_1|}{n}$  equals the number of spanning trees in  $K^n$ . Thus, we need to show  $|\mathcal{T}_1| = n^{n-1}$ .

Claim 1 
$$|\mathcal{T}_n| = 1$$

If a spanning, rooted forest has n components, then it is exactly the graph of no edges and the vertex set  $V(K^n)$  (each being its own component).

**Claim 2** 
$$n(k-1)|\mathcal{T}_k| = (n-k+1)|\mathcal{T}_{k-1}|$$

Call a forest F with k-1 the parent of a forest F' with k components if

PROOF.

**DEF 2.7** 

PROOF.

9 TREES AND FORESTS

 $F' = F \setminus e$  for some  $e \in E(F)$ . Naturally, we call F' a *child* of F under these conditions. We will thus count (parent, child) combinations. Every  $F \in \mathcal{T}_{k-1}$  has |E(F)| children, since every edge is a cutedge. This is |V(F)| - comp(F) = n - (k-1) = n - k + 1 by Prop 2.1.

For every  $F' \in \mathcal{T}_k$ , we can obtain a parent by adding an edge from any vertex to the root of a component not containing this vertex. Thus, every child has n(k-1) parents. Thus, there are  $n(k-1)|\mathcal{T}_k|$  parent-child combinations, and also  $(n-k+1)|\mathcal{T}_{k-1}|$  such combinations. Thus, we conclude  $n(k-1)|\mathcal{T}_k| = (n-k+1)|\mathcal{T}_{k-1}|$ .

Claim 3  $|\mathcal{T}_k| = \binom{n}{k} k n^{n-1-k}$ 

We just solve the recursion. We'll show by induction on n - k. If  $n - k = 0 \implies n = k$ , we have  $|\mathcal{T}_n| = \binom{n}{n} n n^{n-1-n} = 1$ , which is true by Claim 1.

Letting  $n - k \rightarrow n - k + 1 = n - (k - 1)$ , we are having  $k \rightarrow k - 1$ . By Claim 2, then,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{k-1}| = \frac{n(k-1)}{n-k+1} |\mathcal{T}_k| \stackrel{\text{hyp.}}{=} \frac{n(k-1)}{n-k+1} \binom{n}{k} k n^{n-1-k} \stackrel{?}{=} \binom{n}{k-1} (k-1) n^{n-1-(k-1)}$$
$$= \frac{k}{n-k+1} \binom{n}{k} (k-1) n^{n-1-(k-1)}$$

Note that  $\frac{k}{n-k+1}\binom{n}{k} = \frac{kn!}{(n-k+1)k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!(n-k+1)} = \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k+1)!} = \binom{n}{k-1}$ , so the last statement above evaluates to

$$\binom{n}{k-1}(k-1)n^{n-1-(k-1)}$$
 as desired.

**Claim 4**  $|T_1| = n^{n-1}$ 

We plug in from above to find  $|T_1| = \frac{n}{1} \ln^{n-1-1} = n^{n-2+1} = n^{n-1}$ .

#### EULER'S THEOREM & HAMILTONIAN CYCLES

Recall that a *walk* in *G* is a sequence  $(v_0, ..., v_k) : v_i \in V(G)$ , perhaps with repetition, such that  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(G) \ \forall i \leq k-1$ . (See Def 1.12).

A walk uses an edge e if  $e = v_i v_{i+1}$  and  $v_i$ ,  $v_{i+1}$  is contained in the walk.

A *trail* is a walk that uses every edge at most one.

DEF 2.9

A Euler trail in G is a trail that uses every edge in E(G) DEF 2.10

A Euler tour in G is a closed Euler trail (i.e.  $v_0 = v_k$ ).

PROP 2.10

Let *G* be a graph with  $E(G) \neq \emptyset$ . If *G* has no leaves, then *G* has a cycle.

PROOF.

Suppose not. Then *G* is a forest by  $\underline{\text{Def 2.1}}$ . Let *C* be a component with at least one edge. Then *C* is a tree with  $|V(C)| \ge 2$ . Thus, *C* has a leaf by Prop 2.2.  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

PROP 2.11

Let *G* be a graph with all degrees even. Then  $\exists$  cycles  $C_1, ..., C_k \subseteq G$  such that  $(E(C_1), ..., E(C_k))$  is a partition of E(G).

PROOF.

Informally, by the previous proposition we may create one cycle from the outset. Removing the edge set of this cycle from G leaves the graph with still all even edges. We'll show by induction on the edge set. The base case, |E(G)| = 0, holds trivially.

Let  $n \to n+1$ . We know there exists a cycle  $C_1 \subseteq G$ . Let  $G' = G - C_1$ . Then all degrees in G' are even. By (strong) induction, G' contains a partition  $(E(C_2), ..., E(C_k))$ . Since  $E(G) = E(G') \cup E(C_1)$ , the partition  $(E(C_1), ..., E(C_k))$  satisfies the proposition.

#### 2.4 Euler's Theorem

Let G be connected with all even degrees. Then  $\exists$  a Euler tour in G.

PROOF.

Let  $W = (v_0, ..., v_k)$  be a closed trail of maximal length in G. WLOG suppose W doesn't use every edge. Let  $H \subseteq G$  be such that V(H) = V(G) and  $E(H) = E(G) \setminus \{\text{edges not used by } W\}$ . Then all vertices of H have an even degree, so by  $Prop\ 2.11$ ,  $\exists\ \text{cycles}\ C_1$ , ...,  $C_k$  which partition E(H).

Let  $H' \subseteq G$  be the subgraph consisting of the edges and vertices of W. H' is not a component of G (since G is connected). so by  $Prop 1.8 \exists e \in E(G) - E(H')$  with an end in V(H'), i.e. an end in the walk. Thus, e is part of H, and is part of a cycle. Thus, we may append this cycle to the walk W, creating a larger closed trail, violating maximality  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

PROP 2.12

Let *G* be a connected graph with  $\leq$  2 vertices of odd degree. Then *G* contains a Euler trail.

PROOF.

By the handshaking lemma (not seen here, but easy to see), there must be an even number of odd degree vertices in any graph. Thus, the case of one odd vertex is invalid.

Let deg(u), deg(v) be odd, and all other vertices even. Let G' = G + w, where w is an added edge which is adjacent (joins) u and v. Then G' has all degrees

PROOF.

11 TREES AND FORESTS

even, and by  $\underline{\text{Thm 2.4}}$  G' has a Euler tour. WLOG we can let it begin and end at w. Thus, removing w, we get a Euler trail in G.

A Hamiltonian cycle is a cycle  $C \subseteq G$  s.t. V(C) = V(G).

Let *G* be a graph, and  $X \subseteq V(G)$  with  $X \neq \emptyset$ . If  $|X| < \text{comp}(G \setminus X)$ , then *G* has no Hamiltonian cycles.

**DEF 2.12** 

As it turns out, there is no efficient algorithm to decide if *G* has a Hamiltonian cycle. PROP 2.13