

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	TEACHER CANDII	DATE NAMEHayley_	Briar	STUDENT NUMBER	
PROGRAM: Bachelor of Science in	Elementary Education	and Special Education	n		
COURSE: SPD-490B		START DATE:	10/11/2021	END DATE: 12/5/2021	
COOPERATING SCHOOL NAME:Seque	oia Middle School				
SCHOOL STATE:California					
COOPERATING TEACHER/MENTOR NAME:	Laurie Henkel				_
GCU FACULTY SUPERVISOR NAME:Kat	rina Onyekwelu				

	FOR COURSE INSTRUCTORS	ONLY:
EVALUATION 3 TOTAL POINTS	178.3 points	89.15 %



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER	20490986

Int	terstate Teacher As	sessment and Supp	ort Consortium (In'	TASC) Scoring Gu	iide
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 1: Stude	nt Development			Score	No Evidence
1.1	•		o account individual		

Standard 1: Student Development	Score	No Evidence
1.1 Teacher candidates create developmentally appropriate instruction that takes into account individual students' strengths, interests, and needs and enables each student to advance and accelerate his or her learning.	95	
1.2 Teacher candidates collaborate with families, communities, colleagues, and other professionals to promote student growth and development.	90	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER
-------------------------------------	----------------

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence	Ineffective	Foundational	Emerging	Proficient	Distinguished
(The GCU Faculty	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Target level for Teacher	(Usually reserved for master
Supervisor should create a	this range require a	this range require a	this range may benefit from a	Candidates)	Teacher Candidates)
plan with the Teacher	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)		
Candidate to determine how					
the Teacher Candidate will					
meet this standard in future					
evaluations)					
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the
performance of the Teacher	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate meets	Teacher Candidate
Candidate met this standard	insufficient in meeting this	underdeveloped in meeting	developing in meeting this	this standard and	consistently exceeds this
or expectations for a Teacher	standard and expectations for	this standard and expectations	standard and expectations for a	expectations for a Teacher	standard and all expectations
Candidate during student	a Teacher Candidate during	for a Teacher Candidate	Teacher Candidate during	Candidate during student	for a Teacher Candidate
teaching.	student teaching.	during student teaching.	student teaching.	teaching.	during student teaching.

Standard 2: Learning Differences	Score	No Evidence
2.1 Teacher candidates design, adapt, and deliver instruction to address each student's diverse learning strengths and needs and create opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning in different ways.	95	
2.2 Teacher candidates incorporate language development tools into planning and instruction, including strategies for making content accessible to English language students and for evaluating and supporting their development of English proficiency.	95	
2.3 Teacher candidates access resources, supports, specialized assistance and services to meet particular learning differences or needs.	100	
Evidence		

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER
------------------------	--------------	----------------

Int	terstate Teacher As	sessment and Supp	ort Consortium (In	TASC) Scoring Gu	ıide
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 3: Learn	ing Environments			Score	No Evidence

Standard 3: Learning Environments	Score	No Evidence
3.1 Teacher candidates manage the learning environment to actively and equitably engage students by organizing, allocating, and coordinating the resources of time, space, and students' attention.	88	
Teacher candidates communicate verbally and nonverbally in ways that demonstrate respect for and responsiveness to the cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives students bring to the learning environment.	95	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME_Hayley Briar______ STUDENT NUMBER_20490986

No Evidence	Ineffective	Foundational	Emerging	Proficient	Distinguished
(The GCU Faculty	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Target level for Teacher	(Usually reserved for master
Supervisor should create a	this range require a	this range require a	this range may benefit from a	Candidates)	Teacher Candidates)
plan with the Teacher	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)		
Candidate to determine how					
the Teacher Candidate will					
meet this standard in future					
evaluations)					
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the
performance of the Teacher	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate meets	Teacher Candidate
Candidate met this standard	insufficient in meeting this	underdeveloped in meeting	developing in meeting this	this standard and	consistently exceeds this
or expectations for a Teacher	standard and expectations for	this standard and expectations	standard and expectations for a	expectations for a Teacher	standard and all expectations
Candidate during student	a Teacher Candidate during	for a Teacher Candidate	Teacher Candidate during	Candidate during student	for a Teacher Candidate
teaching.	student teaching.	during student teaching.	student teaching.	teaching.	during student teaching.

concepts, and make connections to students' experiences. 4.2 Teacher candidates use supplementary resources and technologies effectively to ensure accessibility and relevance for all students. 4.3	Score	No Evidence
Teacher candidates use supplementary resources and technologies effectively to ensure accessibility and relevance for all students. 4.3	80	
	90	
their content area.	85	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME_	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER_	20490986

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 5: Applie	cation of Content			Score	No Evidence
5.1 Teacher candidates engage students in applying content knowledge to real-world problems through the lens of interdisciplinary themes (e.g., financial literacy, environmental literacy).			85		
 of interdisciplinary themes (e.g., financial literacy, environmental literacy). 5.2 Teacher candidates facilitate students' ability to develop diverse social and cultural perspectives that expand their understanding of local and global issues and create novel approaches to solving problems. 			85		

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER	20490986

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence	Ineffective	Foundational	Emerging	Proficient	Distinguished
(The GCU Faculty	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Target level for Teacher	(Usually reserved for master
Supervisor should create a	this range require a	this range require a	this range may benefit from a	Candidates)	Teacher Candidates)
plan with the Teacher	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)		
Candidate to determine how					
the Teacher Candidate will					
meet this standard in future					
evaluations)					
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher			The performance of the Teacher Candidate is		1 - 1 - 1 -
	The performance of the	The performance of the	_	The performance of the	The performance of the
performance of the Teacher	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets	The performance of the Teacher Candidate
performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting	Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this
performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations	Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations
performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate	Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate

Standard 6: Assessment	Score	No Evidence
6.1 Teacher candidates design assessments that match learning objectives with assessment methods and minimize sources of bias that can distort assessment results.		
6.2 Teacher candidates work independently and collaboratively to examine test and other performance data to understand each student's progress and to guide planning.		
6.3 Teacher candidates prepare all students for the demands of particular assessment formats and make appropriate modifications in assessments or testing conditions especially for students with disabilities and language learning needs.	85	
Evidence	<u> </u>	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER	20490986
-		=	

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 7: Plann	ing for Instruction			Score	No Evidence
7.1 Teacher candidates plan	how to achieve each student		appropriate strategies and uals and groups of students.		

Sumula 7.1 mm 5 for monderation	
7.1 Teacher candidates plan how to achieve each student's learning goals, choosing appropriate strategies and accommodations, resources, and materials to differentiate instruction for individuals and groups of students.	\
7.2 Teacher candidates develop appropriate sequencing of learning experiences and provide multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill.	
7.3 Teacher candidates plan for instruction based on formative and summative assessment data, prior student knowledge, and student interest.	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence	Ineffective	Foundational	Emerging	Proficient	Distinguished
(The GCU Faculty	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Target level for Teacher	(Usually reserved for master
Supervisor should create a	this range require a	this range require a	this range may benefit from a	Candidates)	Teacher Candidates)
plan with the Teacher	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)		
Candidate to determine how					
the Teacher Candidate will					
meet this standard in future					
evaluations)					
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the
performance of the Teacher	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate meets	Teacher Candidate
Candidate met this standard	insufficient in meeting this	underdeveloped in meeting	developing in meeting this	this standard and	consistently exceeds this
or expectations for a Teacher	standard and expectations for	this standard and expectations	standard and expectations for a	expectations for a Teacher	standard and all expectations
Candidate during student	a Teacher Candidate during	for a Teacher Candidate	Teacher Candidate during	Candidate during student	for a Teacher Candidate
teaching.	student teaching.	during student teaching.	student teaching.	teaching.	during student teaching.

8.1 Teacher candidates vary their role in the instructional process (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, audience) in relation to the content, purpose of instruction, and student needs 8.2 Teacher candidates engage students in using a range of learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret, evaluate, and apply information. 8.3 Teacher candidates ask questions to stimulate discussion that serve different purposes (e.g., probing for	ndard 8: Instructional Strategies	Score	No Evidence
Teacher candidates engage students in using a range of learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret, evaluate, and apply information. 8.3 Teacher candidates ask questions to stimulate discussion that serve different purposes (e.g., probing for		85	
Teacher candidates ask questions to stimulate discussion that serve different nurnoses (e.g., probing for		90	
student understanding, helping students articulate their ideas and thinking processes, stimulating curiosity, and helping students to question).		90	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER	20490986
		-	

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide						
No Evidence	Ineffective	ctive Foundational Emerging Proficient Distinguished				
(The GCU Faculty	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Teacher Candidates within	(Target level for Teacher	(Usually reserved for master	
Supervisor should create a	this range require a	this range require a	this range may benefit from a	Candidates)	Teacher Candidates)	
plan with the Teacher	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)			
Candidate to determine how						
the Teacher Candidate will						
meet this standard in future						
evaluations)						
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	
performance of the Teacher	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate meets	Teacher Candidate	
Candidate met this standard	insufficient in meeting this	underdeveloped in meeting	developing in meeting this	this standard and	consistently exceeds this	
or expectations for a Teacher	standard and expectations for	this standard and expectations	standard and expectations for a	expectations for a Teacher	standard and all expectations	
Candidate during student	a Teacher Candidate during	for a Teacher Candidate	Teacher Candidate during	Candidate during student	for a Teacher Candidate	
teaching.	student teaching.	during student teaching.	student teaching.	teaching.	during student teaching.	

Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice	Score	No Evidence
9.1 Independently and in collaboration with colleagues, teacher candidates use a variety of data (e.g., systematic observation, information about students, and research) to evaluate the outcomes of teaching and learning and to adapt planning and practice.	90	
9.2 Teacher candidates actively seek professional, community, and technological resources, within and outside the school, as supports for analysis, reflection, and problem solving.	90	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Hayley Briar	STUDENT NUMBER	20490986
-		_	

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide						
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	er (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)	
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this		The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.		
Standard 10: Lead	lership and Collabor	ation		Score	No Evidence	
10.1 Teacher candidates use technological tools and a variety of communication strategies to build local and global learning communities that engage students, families, and colleagues.			85			

Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration	Score	No Evidence
10.1		
Teacher candidates use technological tools and a variety of communication strategies to build local and	85	
global learning communities that engage students, families, and colleagues.		
10.2		
Teacher candidates advocate to meet the needs of students, to strengthen the learning environment, and to	85	
enact system change.		

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME Hayley Briar STUDENT NUMBER 20490986	
---	--

INSTRUCTIONS Please review the "Total Scored Percentage" for accuracy and add any attachments before completing the "Agreement and Signature" section.				
Total Scored Percentage:	89.15%			
	ATTACHMENTS			
Attachment 1: (Optional)				
Attachment 2: (Optional)				
AGREEMENT AND SIGNATURE				
This evaluation reflects the results of a collaborative conference including feedback from the Cooperating / Mentor Teacher. The GCU Faculty Supervisor and Cooperating / Mentor Teacher should collaboratively review the performance in each category prior to the evaluation meeting.				
I attest this submission is accurate, true, and in compliance with GCU policy guidelines, to the best of my ability to do so.				
GCU Faculty Supervisor E-Signature	Katrina Onyekwelu (Nov 27, 2021 23:58 PST)	Date	Nov 27, 2021	