Item 265
git_comments:

1. pylint: disable=unused-argument
2. pylint: disable=no-value-for-parameter pylint: disable=no-value-for-parameter

git_commits:

1. **summary:** Further validation that only task commands are run by executors (#9240)
   message: Further validation that only task commands are run by executors (#9240)

github_issues:

github_issues_comments:

github_pulls:

1. **title:** Further validation that only task commands are run by executors
   body: #9178 added a check to raise an exception if commands don't start with `airflow tasks run`. However, the tests were passing on CI (maybe because of cache) but were failing on Breeze --- Make sure to mark the boxes below before creating PR: [x] - [x] Description above provides context of the change - [x] Unit tests coverage for changes (not needed for documentation changes) - [x] Target Github ISSUE in description if exists - [x] Commits follow "[How to write a good git commit message] (http://chris.beams.io/posts/git-commit/)" - [x] Relevant documentation is updated including usage instructions. - [x] I will engage committers as explained in [Contribution Workflow Example] (https://github.com/apache/airflow/blob/master/CONTRIBUTING.rst#contribution-workflow-example). --- In case of fundamental code change, Airflow Improvement Proposal ([AIP] (https://cwiki.apache.org/confluence/display/AIRFLOW/Airflow+Improvements+Proposals)) is needed. In case of a new dependency, check compliance with the [ASF 3rd Party License Policy] (https://www.apache.org/legal/resolved.html#category-x). In case of backwards incompatible changes please leave a note in [UPDATING.md](https://github.com/apache/airflow/blob/master/UPDATING.md). Read the [Pull Request Guidelines] (https://github.com/apache/airflow/blob/master/CONTRIBUTING.rst#pull-request-guidelines) for more information.

github_pulls_comments:

github_pulls_reviews:

1. I could have sworn I changed this already.... :confused:
2. Oh, I did it in Celery executor, but not Local.
3. This is still an invalid command. Or is the change really just to make it a list?
4. Updated in https://github.com/apache/airflow/pull/9240/commits/3c61dfd52781231d567a4859a72d1667e1491765
5. Hmm. L465:463 makes this str -> List conversion pointless i.e we no longer support strings
6. Yeah, that's an _old_ workaround, it should go too.
7. Done in https://github.com/apache/airflow/pull/9240/commits/0c817a5b4370f12117e59a82b5858f8ee6d488ff

jira_issues:

jira_issues_comments: