

git_comments:

git_commits:

1. **summary:** [hotfix][coordination] Fix MiniCluster#closeAsync to correctly close all components and services
message: [hotfix][coordination] Fix MiniCluster#closeAsync to correctly close all components and services This closes #9743.

github_issues:

github_issues_comments:

github_pulls:

1. **title:** [hotfix][coordination] Fix MiniCluster#closeAsync to correctly close all components and services
body: ## What is the purpose of the change 1. Wait for completion of `MiniCluster#terminateExecutors`. 2. Tolerate failure of `MiniCluster#closeMetricSystem`. 3. Narrow signature of `MiniCluster#closeMetricSystem` to fits `FutureUtils` requirement while no obvious drawback. ## Verifying this change This change is a trivial rework / code cleanup without any test coverage. ## Does this pull request potentially affect one of the following parts: - Dependencies (does it add or upgrade a dependency): (no) - The public API, i.e., is any changed class annotated with `@Public(Evolving)`: (no) - The serializers: (no) - The runtime per-record code paths (performance sensitive): (no) - Anything that affects deployment or recovery: JobManager (and its components), Checkpointing, Yarn/Mesos, ZooKeeper: (no) - The S3 file system connector: (no) ## Documentation - Does this pull request introduce a new feature? (no) - If yes, how is the feature documented? (not applicable)

github_pulls_comments:

1. Thanks a lot for your contribution to the Apache Flink project. I'm the @flinkbot. I help the community to review your pull request. We will use this comment to track the progress of the review. ## Automated Checks Last check on commit fac45a7be4ede2c627f68135e926784943c677a3 (Wed Oct 16 08:28:57 UTC 2019) **Warnings:** * No documentation files were touched! Remember to keep the Flink docs up to date! _{Mention the bot in a comment to re-run the automated checks.} ## Review Progress * ? 1. The [description] looks good. * ? 2. There is [consensus] that the contribution should go into to Flink. * ? 3. Needs [attention] from. * ? 4. The change fits into the overall [architecture]. * ? 5. Overall code [quality] is good. Please see the [Pull Request Review Guide] (<https://flink.apache.org/contributing/reviewing-prs.html>) for a full explanation of the review process. <details> The Bot is tracking the review progress through labels. Labels are applied according to the order of the review items. For consensus, approval by a Flink committer or PMC member is required <summary>Bot commands</summary> The @flinkbot bot supports the following commands: - `@flinkbot approve description` to approve one or more aspects (aspects: `description`, `consensus`, `architecture` and `quality`) - `@flinkbot approve all` to approve all aspects - `@flinkbot approve-until architecture` to approve everything until `architecture` - `@flinkbot attention @username1 [@username2 ..]` to require somebody's attention - `@flinkbot disapprove architecture` to remove an approval you gave earlier </details>
2. <!-- Meta data Hash:0ff3661a4946c47acc4ea362a869b9885e5712b6 Status:SUCCESS URL:<https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/128695210> TriggerType:PUSH TriggerID:0ff3661a4946c47acc4ea362a869b9885e5712b6 Hash:3a2882b20f794fde7f3254ca46d22f8f3ebd4081 Status:FAILURE URL:<https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/128901462> TriggerType:PUSH TriggerID:3a2882b20f794fde7f3254ca46d22f8f3ebd4081 Hash:fac45a7be4ede2c627f68135e926784943c677a3 Status:SUCCESS URL:<https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/128904622> TriggerType:PUSH TriggerID:fac45a7be4ede2c627f68135e926784943c677a3 --> ## CI report: * 0ff3661a4946c47acc4ea362a869b9885e5712b6 : SUCCESS [Build](<https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/128695210>) * 3a2882b20f794fde7f3254ca46d22f8f3ebd4081 : FAILURE [Build](<https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/128901462>) * fac45a7be4ede2c627f68135e926784943c677a3 : SUCCESS [Build](<https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/128904622>)

3. Merging now.
4. Merged via 8b85715cfb9d9927c7d2fb82593be0bcaf084502

github_pulls_reviews:

1. I think it should be ``FutureUtils.composeAfterwards(remainingServicesTerminationFuture, () -> terminateExecutors(shutdownTimeoutMillis)``. Otherwise ``terminateExecutors`` would not be executed in case of an exceptional completion of ``remainingServicesTerminationFuture``.
2. Right. Updating...
3. Also I update the calls to ``thenCompose`` with ``closeMetricSystem``. Correspondingly update pull request description and commit info.

jira_issues:

jira_issues_comments: