

Item 98

git_comments:

git_commits:

1. **summary:** [SPARK-8958] Dynamic allocation: change cached timeout to infinity
message: [SPARK-8958] Dynamic allocation: change cached timeout to infinity pwendell and I discussed this a little more offline and concluded that it would be good to keep it more conservative. Losing cached blocks may be very expensive and we should only allow it if the user knows what he/she is doing. FYI harishreedharan sryza. Author: Andrew Or <andrew@databricks.com> Closes #7329 from andrewor14/da-cached-timeout and squashes the following commits: cef0b4e [Andrew Or] Change timeout to infinity

github_issues:

github_issues_comments:

github_pulls:

1. **title:** [SPARK-8958] Dynamic allocation: change cached timeout to infinity
body: @pwendell and I discussed this a little more offline and concluded that it would be good to keep it more conservative. Losing cached blocks may be very expensive and we should only allow it if the user knows what he/she is doing. FYI @harishreedharan @sryza.

github_pulls_comments:

1. LGTM
2. +1
3. [Test build #36956 has finished]
(<https://AMPLAB.cs.berkeley.edu/jenkins/job/SparkPullRequestBuilder/36956/console>) for PR 7329 at commit [`cef0b4e``]
(<https://github.com/apache/spark/commit/cef0b4eb6ca0ded44bfba62a9c1c84770af8d3f6>). - This patch ****passes all tests****. - This patch merges cleanly. - This patch adds no public classes.
4. LGTM, but in the long term, we can flush cache block to disk and change blocks of executor to blocks of shuffle service. i think more about it and now it has some problem about shuffle service because now shuffle service just receive shuffle request.
5. @lianhuiwang Yeah that's not a bad idea. We could also explore some off heap storage solution.
6. Thanks guys, merging into master.

github_pulls_reviews:

jira_issues:

jira_issues_comments: