

Item 191

git_comments:

git_commits:

1. **summary:** [hotfix][kafka] Fix typo in filter property in pom.xml
message: [hotfix][kafka] Fix typo in filter property in pom.xml This closes #9142

github_issues:

github_issues_comments:

github_pulls:

1. **title:** [hotfix][connector] fix typo in filters of maven shade plugin
body: ## What is the purpose of the change Fix typo in filters of maven shade plugin. ## Brief change log * Fix typo ## Verifying this change This change is a trivial rework / code cleanup without any test coverage. ## Does this pull request potentially affect one of the following parts: - Dependencies (does it add or upgrade a dependency): (no) - The public API, i.e., is any changed class annotated with `@Public(Evolving)`: (no) - The serializers: (no) - The runtime per-record code paths (performance sensitive): (no) - Anything that affects deployment or recovery: JobManager (and its components), Checkpointing, Yarn/Mesos, ZooKeeper: (no) - The S3 file system connector: (no) ## Documentation - Does this pull request introduce a new feature? (no) - If yes, how is the feature documented? (not applicable)

github_pulls_comments:

1. Thanks a lot for your contribution to the Apache Flink project. I'm the @flinkbot. I help the community to review your pull request. We will use this comment to track the progress of the review. ## Automated Checks Last check on commit 176b9fe0118f9f4d5714489fb793f7a2bed10c8f (Tue Aug 06 15:43:59 UTC 2019) **Warnings:** * **1 pom.xml files were touched:** Check for build and licensing issues. * No documentation files were touched! Remember to keep the Flink docs up to date! _{Mention the bot in a comment to re-run the automated checks.} ## Review Progress * ? 1. The [description] looks good. * ? 2. There is [consensus] that the contribution should go into to Flink. * ? 3. Needs [attention] from. * ? 4. The change fits into the overall [architecture]. * ? 5. Overall code [quality] is good. Please see the [Pull Request Review Guide](https://flink.apache.org/contributing/reviewing-prs.html) for a full explanation of the review process.<details> The Bot is tracking the review progress through labels. Labels are applied according to the order of the review items. For consensus, approval by a Flink committer or PMC member is required <summary>Bot commands</summary> The @flinkbot bot supports the following commands: - `@flinkbot approve description` to approve one or more aspects (aspects: `description`, `consensus`, `architecture` and `quality`) - `@flinkbot approve all` to approve all aspects - `@flinkbot approve-until architecture` to approve everything until `architecture` - `@flinkbot attention @username1 [@username2 ..]` to require somebody's attention - `@flinkbot disapprove architecture` to remove an approval you gave earlier </details>
2. ## CI report: * 176b9fe0118f9f4d5714489fb793f7a2bed10c8f : FAILURE [Build](https://travis-ci.com/flink-ci/flink/builds/119454282)
3. I check maven plugin code and did some experiments. The conclusion is that Maven maps plugin configuration in xml to a Mojo(by @parameter annotation). And when the mojo has an array parameter(<excludes></excludes> in this case), maven do not check the sub-tag of that parameter.
4. That's why the typo exclude works.
5. Thanks for the explanation @docete . Looks good to me. Wait the travis compile pass. Btw, do you intend to merge this to 1.9?
6. > Thanks for the explanation @docete . Looks good to me. > Wait the travis compile pass. > > Btw, do you intend to merge this to 1.9? Yes, should i create another PR for 1.9?
7. @docete , not needed. I will cherry pick to 1.9.
8. @wuchong OK, Thanks.

github_pulls_reviews:

jira_issues:

jira_issues_comments: