## Item 112

## git\_comments:

- 1. \* \*
- 2. \*\* Licensed to the Apache Software Foundation (ASF) under one or more \* contributor license agreements. See the NOTICE file distributed with \* this work for additional information regarding copyright ownership. \* The ASF licenses this file to You under the Apache License, Version 2.0 \* (the "License"); you may not use this file except in compliance with \* the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at \* \* http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0 \* \* Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software \* distributed under the License is distributed on an "AS IS" BASIS, \* WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. \* See the License for the specific language governing permissions and \* limitations under the License.
- 3. \* \* Strategy to create a new {@link FileConsumer} \* \* @param processor the given processor \* @param operations file operations \* @return the created consumer

## git\_commits:

1. **summary:** CAMEL-4004: Introduced newFileConsumer for esier subclassing the file consumer. **message:** CAMEL-4004: Introduced newFileConsumer for esier subclassing the file consumer. git-svn-id: https://svn.apache.org/repos/asf/camel/trunk@1199159 13f79535-47bb-0310-9956-ffa450edef68

github\_issues:
github\_issues\_comments:
github\_pulls:
github\_pulls\_comments:
github\_pulls\_reviews:
jira\_issues:

1. summary: Permit to override creation of FileConsumer in FileEndPoint description: The method FileEndPoint.createConsumer does not permit to override type of FileConsumer. I would use a specific FileConsumer which override prePollCheck method. This will be possible if you externalize method which create FileConsumer in the body of createConsumer: {code}FileConsumer result = new FileConsumer(this, processor, operations); {code} could be refactor in : {code}FileConsumer result = newFileConsumer(processor, operations); ... protected FileConsumer newFileConsumer(Processor processor, GenericFileOperations<File> operations){ return new FileConsumer(this, processor, operations) } {code}

## jira\_issues\_comments:

- 1. body: Can you provide a patch and an unit test? label: test
- 2. Anyone in our community want to provide a patch for this? Mind that there is also the camel-ftp component which may need to be adjusted.
- 3. Claus, you simply take over all those tricky & tough stuff for yourself to fix (namespace aware xml streaming tokenizier support, just to mention one of those last features), and leave us alone with such trival fixes... this's simply not fair ;-) I see a good match here of your definition for the professionalism and the action you took today by this ticket: http://camel.465427.n5.nabble.com/HEADS-UP-Adjustments-to-ExecutorServiceManager-on-trunk-tp4693698p4715407.html Regards, Babak
- 4. **body:** Sorry for the delay, but I can't provide a unit test, but I've given to you a patch in the description of this JIRA. The solution is to externalize the FileConsumer creation into a new method which could be overloaded. Then you could use a different implementation of FileConsumer, like below: {code} public class PollConditionFileEndpont extends FileEndpoint { @Override protected FileConsumer newFileConsumer(Processor processor, FileOperations operations) { return new FileConsumer(this, processor, operations)} { @Override protected boolean prePollCheck() throws Exception { return

Boolean.parseBoolean("customizable condition"); } }; } } {code} So, could you re-open this JIRA? Thanks

label: test

- 5. **body:** Well maybe instead of a newFileConsumer it would be better to offer an API for easier providing custom prePoll / postPoll logic to the file consumer? Then people do not have to extend components in Camel. That said I went ahead and created the newFileConsumer + unit test. We do love contributions. Read here. Also how to provide patches, as ASF have policy for how thats to happen: http://camel.apache.org/contributing.html If you are eager to get this into the code base, then helping out yourself is great for the community. And after the first patch, it becomes easier to submit the next patch ... **label:** code-design
- 6. I also need to create different consumers based on the options provided in the new component I'm working on. Any proposals how the API could be extended for that purpose in a generic way applicable for all component?
- 7. For all components? Not just a file based component? If so then I suggest to use @dev to talk about such, as its not related very mich to this JIRA ticket.