Item 51
git_comments:

git_commits:

1. **summary:** MINOR: Add description of how consumer wakeup acts if no threads are awakened
message: MINOR: Add description of how consumer wakeup acts if no threads are awakened I think the Javadoc should describe what happens if wakeup is called and no other thread is currently blocking. This may be important in some cases, e.g. trying to shut down a poll thread, followed by manually committing offsets. Author: Stig Rohde Døssing <sdo@it-minds.dk> Reviewers: Jason Gustafson <jason@confluent.io> Closes #2093 from srdo/minor-expand-wakeup-javadoc

github_issues:

github_issues_comments:

github_pulls:

1. **title:** MINOR: Add description of how consumer wakeup acts if no threads are awakened
body: I think the Javadoc should describe what happens if wakeup is called and no other thread is currently blocking. This may be important in some cases, e.g. trying to shut down a poll thread, followed by manually committing offsets.

github_pulls_comments:

1. actually, this behavior looks more like a bug than intentional... @hachikuji ?
2. @gwenshap Actually the behavior is intentional. Usually people are expecting to receive the `WakeupException` when calling `wakeup()` (e.g. because they want to shutdown), which means there's always a race where you might accidentally call it in between calls to `poll()`. So this behavior ensures the user that they won't "miss" a wakeup call. Does that seem reasonable to you? LGTM on the doc change itself.
3. LGTM. Thanks for the patch!

github_pulls_reviews:

1. nit: Seems redundant to mention the exception twice by name in the same sentence. Maybe we can say "..., the next call to such a method will raise it instead"?
2. Sure, fixed

jira_issues:

jira_issues_comments: