Item 233

git_comments:

1. This is to create the history file. This section execute only when user didn't enter the username as command line arguments

git_commits:

1. **summary:** STRATOS-414 - [CLI] A Tenant can see the command history of super admin and other tenants

message: STRATOS-414 - [CLI] A Tenant can see the command history of super admin and other tenants Now users have separate history files

github_issues:
github_issues_comments:
github_pulls:
github_pulls_comments:
github_pulls_reviews:
jira_issues:

1. **summary:** [CLI] A Tenant can see the command history of super admin and other tenants **description:** This is a security hole in the system and need to avoid.

jira_issues_comments:

- 1. Fixed in commit 313523d49d11cd32d67a821c9677d891b59b16ed When user used exit command to exit the CLI, it'll clear the history file.
- 2. IMHO, deleting the history file on exit is not a proper solution. We should think of a better way for this issue.
- 3. But history file is create again when tenant log. So I think deleting file is not an issue. WDYT?
- 4. Then there is no purpose of having a history file if it is deleted on exit. For me, this solution is not acceptable.
- 5. Then we can create separate history file for per user. Then particular your can see his history only. I think that's the best option. Any other Idea ?
- 6. A history file per user is okay for me. It's a better solution than losing the history.
- 7. +1 On Mon, Feb 10, 2014 at 5:40 PM, Manula Chathurika Thantriwatte (JIRA) < -- Best Regards, Nirmal Nirmal Fernando. PPMC Member & Committer of Apache Stratos, Senior Software Engineer, WSO2 Inc. Blog: http://nirmalfdo.blogspot.com/
- 8. Committed the changes in e5c87ce54d3de89c552a7631d7587aee733cd135
- 9. body: The change for CommandLineApplication is not necessary. When I created it, CommandLineApplication was supposed to be generic by design and you could have done the change via StratosApplication, which extends the CommandLineApplication label: code-design