Item 122
git_comments:

git_commits:

1. **summary:** [CAMEL-14578] Ignore generated files for source checks
   message: [CAMEL-14578] Ignore generated files for source checks

github_issues:

github_issues_comments:

github_pulls:

github_pulls_comments:

github_pulls_reviews:

jira_issues:

1. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
   label: code-design
2. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
3. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
4. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
   label: code-design
5. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
   label: code-design
6. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
7. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.
8. **summary:** Reformat files at build time to force compliance with code standards
   description: We do have a profile to check the code against the standards we want, but then as to be manually fixed. We could fail is there's a problem at build time but that slows down people and can become

very annoying. There are plugins that do reformat the source code during the compilation phase, this should ensure that all committed code follows the standard, without causing any disturbance to the developers.

jira_issues_comments:

1. **body:** There is a similar set-up in camel quarkus, some notes: - routes and other DSL style things may get completely ugly-fied by the auto formatting rules, see: https://github.com/apache/camel-quarkus/issues/444 - PR jibs should be configured to fail if the plugins detect some bad formatted code, something like https://github.com/apache/camel-quarkus/blob/ea2b52c09bad81b6cc1af5cb9d09983a34af0ebf/poms/build-parent/pom.xml#L236-L273
   label: code-design

2. I have worked on this as this seemed to be quite a problem of manual fixing too. I will do the fixes and create a PR for this.

3. AemieJ opened a new pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371 * This PR resolves the use of the manual need for formatting to resolve the error while building antora-ui. * Apart from that, I rand `yarn format` for the recent changes and have added instructions in **README.md** for using `yarn format`. --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

4. djencks commented on pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371#issuecomment-633649058 This looks good to me. I don't understand the netlify errors. --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

5. AemieJ commented on pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371#issuecomment-633679507 > This looks good to me. > I don't understand the netlify errors. Same here, I am unable to interpret where I might be going wrong. --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

6. zregvart commented on pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371#issuecomment-634282908 Though changes seem to be only in the format, let's see the build pass before we merge this to make sure. I've re-triggered the Netlify build with cleared cache, that usually helps. Netlify seems to have issues checking out source code from github. --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

7. lburgazzoli commented on pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371#issuecomment-634446834 Not sure if the JIRA issue is the right one to use here as CAMEL-14578 was primary targeting auto-formatting the java code of the camel codebase whereas here it is about he website --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

8. AemieJ commented on pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371#issuecomment-634450872 > Not sure if the JIRA issue is the right one to use here as CAMEL-14578 was primary targeting auto-formatting the java code of the camel codebase whereas here it is about he website The JIRA issue clearly doesn't mention the component and based on the description provided by the author for the issue, it clearly fits the problem faced here as well. --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

9. lburgazzoli commented on pull request #371: URL: https://github.com/apache/camel-website/pull/371#issuecomment-634457343 > > Not sure if the JIRA issue is the right one to use here as CAMEL-14578 was primary targeting auto-formatting the java code of the camel codebase whereas here it is about he website > > The JIRA issue clearly doesn't mention the component and based on the description provided by the author for the issue, it clearly fits the problem faced here as well. I know but that issue is already assigned to someone else and now it is a little tricky to know who is working on what so maybe having a subtask assigned to you and with a specific component could have made things a little bit more clear. --- This is an automated message from the Apache Git Service. To respond to the message, please log on to GitHub and use the URL above to go to the specific comment. For queries about this service, please contact Infrastructure at: users@infra.apache.org

10. Looks like this still scratch all the DSL format, look at [current|https://github.com/apache/camel/blob/4ea9e6c357371682b855d2d79655b41120331b7a/catalog/camel-route-parser/src/test/java/org/apache/camel/parser/java/MyChoiceRouteBuilder.java] versus [old|https://github.com/apache/camel/blob/24e7866263cd18104a8027e57bae99933095d979/catalog/camel-route-parser/src/test/java/org/apache/camel/parser/java/MyChoiceRouteBuilder.java] where the current one looks much less readable than the old one.
11. **body:** Need to: * fix some checkstyle problems with generated configurers in camel-main * look if we can relax method chaining formatting when defining routes or streams
    label: code-design
12. **body:** The build has been fixed. [~lb] For method chaining in DSL, currently, the only way is to use {{// CHECKSTYLE:OFF}} and {{// CHECKSTYLE:ON}} to disable specific snippets. I'll have a look if the plugin can be hacked a bit to make this transparent.
    label: code-design
13. Raised https://github.com/revelc/formatter-maven-plugin/pull/390 to be able to exclude some code snippet from the reformat pass.