# **Hazel Assistant Calculus WIP**

Andrew Blinn University of Michigan, USA blinnand@umich.edu

### **Abstract**

The hazelnut assistant calculus provides an extensible framework for type- and value-directed completion and refactoring support in a structured editing context.

*CCS Concepts:* • Software and its engineering  $\rightarrow$  General programming languages.

*Keywords:* live programming, code completion, refactoring, GUIs

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

wazzzzaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

# 1 Assistant Calculus

blah blah blah types

TODOs:

- get cursor icons from hazelnut paper
- get right arrow for bidi
- basic zipper cases
- remaining zipper cases? do i need to actually include mirror cases?
- <del>var + varapp</del>
- NOTE: we basically need a construct expression action for varapp
- <del>proj</del>
- base case for hole
- base cases for non-empty holes, incld:
- delete + act for general hexps
- simple wrap for exprs incld. non-empty-holes
- complex (n-ary) wraps
- iterated wraps? with cutoffs? (:jean-shorts-emoji)
- are there non-empty-hole suggests distinct from arbitrary expr suggests? don't think so

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA © 2021 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

Cyrus Omar University of Michigan, USA comar@umich.edu

 $\Gamma \vdash \hat{e} \Rightarrow \tau \curvearrowright A$  e synthesizes  $\tau$ , suggesting actions A

 $\Gamma \vdash \hat{e} \leftarrow \tau \land A$  e analyzes against  $\tau$ , suggesting actions A

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Leftarrow \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash \hat{e}_2 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \frown A}{\Gamma \vdash (\hat{e}_1, e_2) \Rightarrow \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \frown A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \hat{e} \Rightarrow \tau_1 \curvearrowright A \qquad \tau_1 \blacktriangleright_{\rightarrow} \tau_2 \to \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \Leftarrow \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \hat{e}_1(e_2) \Rightarrow \tau \curvearrowright A}$$

$$\frac{\tau \blacktriangleright_{\rightarrow} \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash \hat{e} \Leftarrow \tau \curvearrowright A}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. \hat{e} \Leftarrow \tau \curvearrowright A}$$

Figure 1. Suggestion Zipper Cases

- for all: change type to type consistency
- for all: add numerical subscripts to types where missing
- fig 4: make it consistency not equal
- fig 5: change proj judgement to analysis
- fig 4: change varapp proj to have x in gamma, not gamma comma x
- consider matched product, matched arrow to suggest for unknown types
- above: not sure i want matched arrow type in fig 5? feels weirder to suggest an unknown typed var for fn than value...
- rankings:
- priviledge more specific types
- read contextual modal types
- replace constructs with construct-expressions
- AppProj needs a better treatment for selection (should be first non-empty hole)... chain a separate action?
- implementation: implement ENTER vs TAB
- add keyboard shortcuts for swap etc
- proj1 and proj2: make tau in product another underscore
- intro rule for type=hole
- fig 4 var and appproj case: type consistency not premise: put in
- read: polarity: noam zalburger. bob harper blog post
- what does it mean to synthesize 'action a': sensibility theorem:
- well-typed in tsynthetid case, checked against tau in analytic case

Figure 4. Elimination suggestions

$$\begin{array}{c} e, \text{ applied to 0 or more holes,} \\ \text{ and projected 0 or more times,} \\ \text{ yields an expr analyzing to } \tau \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text{AppProjBase} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Longrightarrow \tau' \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tau' \blacktriangleright_{\longrightarrow} \_ \longrightarrow \_ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Leftrightarrow e' \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Longrightarrow \tau' \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tau' \blacktriangleright_{\times} \tau \times \_ \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \pi_1 e \leadsto e' \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Longrightarrow \tau' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tau' \blacktriangleright_{\times} - \times \tau \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \pi_2 e \leadsto e' \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e \Longrightarrow \tau' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tau' \blacktriangleright_{\times} \_ \times \tau \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \pi_2 e \leadsto e' \Longleftrightarrow \tau \end{array} \end{array}$$

**Figure 5.** Supporting elimination judgments

## References