

Hazel Phi: 11-type-constructors

AUGUST 24, 2022

An intro

syntax

Kind	κ	$::=$	$\text{Type} \mid \text{KHole} \mid \text{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \mid \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$
User Types	$\hat{\tau}$	$::=$	$t \mid \text{bse} \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle \hat{\tau} \rangle^u \mid \lambda t::\text{Type}.\hat{\tau} \mid \tau_1 \tau_2$
Internal Types	τ	$::=$	$t \mid \text{bse} \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle \tau \rangle^u \mid \langle t \rangle^u \mid \lambda t::\kappa.\tau \mid \tau_1 \tau_2$
Base Types	bse	$::=$	$\text{Int} \mid \text{Float} \mid \text{Bool}$
BinOp	\oplus	$::=$	$\times \mid + \mid \rightarrow$

Declaratives

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$ τ has principal (well formed) kind κ

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{bse} ::> \text{S}_{\text{Type}}(\text{bse})} \text{PK-Base} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi = \Phi_1, t::\kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t ::> \text{S}_{\kappa}(t)} \text{PK-Var} \\[10pt] \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1::\text{Type} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2::\text{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 ::> \text{S}_{\text{Type}}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)} \text{PK-}\oplus \qquad \frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u::\kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \langle \rangle^u ::> \text{S}_{\kappa}(\langle \rangle^u)} \text{PK-EHole} \\[10pt] \frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u::\kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \text{OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \langle \tau \rangle^u ::> \text{S}_{\kappa}(\langle \tau \rangle^u)} \text{PK-NEHole} \\[10pt] \frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u::\kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \text{OK} \quad t \notin \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \langle t \rangle^u ::> \text{S}_{\kappa}(\langle t \rangle^u)} \text{PK-Unbound} \\[10pt] \frac{\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau ::> \text{S}_{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}(\lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau)} \text{PK-}\lambda \\[10pt] \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \blacktriangleright \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2::\kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \tau_2 ::> [\tau_2/t]\kappa_2} \text{PK-Ap} \end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$ τ is well formed at kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: > \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa} \text{WFaK-1} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: > \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa} \text{WFaK-Subsump}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: > \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa} \text{WFaK-Reit} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)} \text{WFaK-Self}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_3, \kappa_4} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_3, \kappa_4} \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2}} \text{WFaK-PCSKTrans}} \text{WFaK-Flatten}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2}$ κ has matched Π -kind $\Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2}$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole} \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\text{KHole}, \text{KHole}}} \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \text{-KHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau), \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau \ t)}} \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \text{-SKHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2}} \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \text{-}\Pi$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \kappa_2$ κ_1 singleton reduces to κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)(\tau) \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \text{-1} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \kappa_3}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \kappa_3} \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \text{-Trans}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \kappa_2$ κ_1 has singleton normal form κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \mathbf{S}_{\text{Type}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \mathbf{S}_{\text{Type}}(\tau)} \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \text{-Type} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau)} \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \text{-KHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{*}{\equiv} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1, \kappa_2} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{[t_1/t]\kappa_2}(\tau \ t_1)} \stackrel{\text{norm}}{\equiv} \text{-}\Pi$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ κ_1 is equivalent to κ_2

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \text{KEquiv-Refl} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{KEquiv-Symm} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{KEquiv-Trans} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv^* \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{KEquiv-SReduc} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv^{\text{norm}} \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{KEquiv-SNorm} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi, \underline{t::\kappa_1} \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4} \text{KEquiv-}\Pi \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa_1} \tau_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \equiv \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)} \text{KEquiv-SKind}
\end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$ κ_1 is a consistent subkind of κ_2

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{KHole} \lesssim \kappa} \text{CSK-KHoleL} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathbf{KHole}} \text{CSK-KHoleR} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau) \text{ OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \text{CSK-SKind}_{\mathbf{KHoleL}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau)} \text{CSK-SKind}_{\mathbf{KHoleR}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \text{CSK-KEquiv} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_4 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_4 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \text{CSK-Normal} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \text{CSK-SKind} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi, \underline{t::\kappa_3} \vdash \kappa_2 \lesssim \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4} \text{CSK-}\Pi \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa_1} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \lesssim \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)} \text{CSK-?}
\end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2$ τ_1 is provably equivalent to τ_2 at kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \equiv^{\kappa} \tau} \text{EquivAK-Ref1} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \text{EquivAK-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_3 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \text{EquivAK-Trans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \text{EquivAK-SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_4 \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa_2}{t} \equiv \tau_2 \stackrel{\kappa_2}{t}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2} \tau_2} \text{EquivAK-}\Pi$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv^{\kappa_1} \tau_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \tau_2 \stackrel{[\tau_2/t]\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_3 \tau_4} \text{EquivAK-Ap}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\text{Type}}{\equiv} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \stackrel{\text{Type}}{\equiv} \tau_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \stackrel{\text{Type}}{\equiv} \tau_3 \oplus \tau_4} \text{EquivAK-}\oplus$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} (1)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t::\kappa_1. \tau_1 \equiv^{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa} \lambda t::\kappa_2. \tau_2} (2)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa_1} \tau_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} (3)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}$ κ is well formed

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{Type OK}} \text{KWF-Type}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{KHole OK}} \text{KWF-KHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \text{ OK}} \text{KWF-SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_2 \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \text{ OK}} \text{KWF-}\Pi$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}$ Context is well formed

$$\frac{}{.; \cdot \vdash \text{OK}} \text{CWF-Nil}$$

$$\frac{t \notin \Phi \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa \vdash \text{OK}} \text{CWF-TypVar}$$

$$\frac{u \notin \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta, u::\kappa; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}} \text{CWF-Hole}$$

Algorithm

Elimination contexts

$$\mathcal{E} ::= \begin{array}{c} \diamond \\ | \mathcal{E} \tau \end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2$ τ_1 is equivalent to τ_2 at kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_2 \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2} \quad (4)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \uparrow \kappa$ path τ has natural kind κ

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{bse} \uparrow \mathbf{Type}} \quad (5) \qquad \frac{\Phi = \Phi_1, t :: \kappa, \Phi_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright t \uparrow \kappa} \quad (6) \qquad \frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \uparrow \mathbf{Type}} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \langle \rangle^u \uparrow \kappa} \quad (8) \qquad \frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \langle \tau \rangle^u \uparrow \kappa} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \uparrow \kappa \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Longrightarrow \kappa_\omega \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_\omega \blacktriangleright \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \tau_2 \uparrow [\tau_2 / t] \kappa_2} \quad (10)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\mathcal{E}[\tau]}$ $\mathcal{E}[\tau]$ is a path

$$\overline{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\diamond[\mathbf{bse}]}} \quad (11) \quad \frac{\Phi = \Phi_1, \textcolor{teal}{t}::\textcolor{red}{\kappa}, \Phi_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\mathcal{E}[t]}} \quad (12) \quad \overline{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\diamond[\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2]}} \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, \mathbf{u}::\textcolor{red}{\kappa}, \Delta_2;}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\mathcal{E}[\langle \emptyset \rangle^{\mathbf{u}}]}} \quad (14) \quad \frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, \mathbf{u}::\textcolor{red}{\kappa}, \Delta_2;}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\mathcal{E}[\langle \tau \rangle^{\mathbf{u}}]}} \quad (15)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathcal{E}[\tau_1] \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}[\tau_2]$ $\mathcal{E}[\tau_1]$ single step weak head reduces to $\mathcal{E}[\tau_2]$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathcal{E}[\tau] \not\rightsquigarrow$ $\mathcal{E}[\tau]$ does not weak head reduce

$$\overline{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathcal{E}[(\lambda t::\textcolor{red}{\kappa}.\tau) \tau_1] \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}[[\tau_1/t]\tau]} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\mathcal{E}[\tau]} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \uparrow \textcolor{red}{\kappa} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \textcolor{red}{\kappa} \implies \mathbf{S}_{\textcolor{red}{\kappa}}(\tau_\psi)}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathcal{E}[\tau] \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}[\tau_\psi]} \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \boxed{\mathcal{E}[\tau]} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \uparrow \textcolor{red}{\kappa} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \textcolor{red}{\kappa} \implies \textcolor{red}{\kappa}_\omega \quad \textcolor{red}{\kappa}_\omega \neq \mathbf{S}_{\textcolor{red}{\kappa}}(\tau_\psi)}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathcal{E}[\tau] \not\rightsquigarrow} \quad (18)$$

$$\overline{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \diamond[\lambda t::\textcolor{red}{\kappa}.\tau] \not\rightsquigarrow} \quad (19)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \Downarrow \tau_\psi$ τ weak head normalizes to τ_ψ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau_\chi \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_\chi \Downarrow \tau_\psi}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \Downarrow \tau_\psi} \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \not\rightsquigarrow}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \Downarrow \tau} \quad (21)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega$ τ normalizes to τ_ω at kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Rightarrow \text{Type} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \Downarrow \tau_\psi \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_\psi \xrightarrow{\kappa_\psi} \tau_\omega \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_\psi \lesssim \text{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Rightarrow \text{KHole} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \Downarrow \tau_\psi \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright (\tau_\psi) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_\psi \xrightarrow{\kappa_\psi} \tau_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Rightarrow \text{KHole} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \Downarrow \lambda t :: \kappa_1. \tau_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \kappa_\omega \quad \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \triangleright \tau_1 \xRightarrow{\kappa_1} \tau_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \lambda t :: \kappa_\omega. \tau_\omega} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Rightarrow \text{SType}(\tau_s) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\text{Type}} \tau_\omega \quad \tau_\omega = \tau_s}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega} \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Rightarrow \text{SKHole}(\tau_s) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\text{KHole}} \tau_\omega \quad \tau_\omega = \tau_s}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Rightarrow \Pi_{t :: \kappa_{\omega_1}. \kappa_{\omega_2}} \quad \Delta; \Phi, t_1 :: \kappa_{\omega_1} \triangleright \tau \quad t_1 \xRightarrow{[t_1/t] \kappa_{\omega_2}} \tau_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \lambda t_1 :: \kappa_{\omega_1}. \tau_\omega} \quad (27)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_\psi \xrightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega$ path τ_ψ normalizes to τ_ω with kind κ

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \text{bse} \xrightarrow{\text{Type}} \text{bse}} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\Phi = \Phi_1, t :: \kappa, \Phi_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright t \xrightarrow{\kappa} t} \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \xRightarrow{\text{Type}} \tau_{\omega_1} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_2 \xRightarrow{\text{Type}} \tau_{\omega_2}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Type}} \tau_{\omega_1} \oplus \tau_{\omega_2}} \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^u \xrightarrow{\kappa} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^u} \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\Delta = \Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^u \xrightarrow{\kappa} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^u} \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} \tau_{\omega_1} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_\omega \blacktriangleright \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1. \kappa_2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_2 \xRightarrow{\kappa_1} \tau_{\omega_2}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \tau_2 \xrightarrow{[\tau_{\omega_2}/t] \kappa_2} \tau_{\omega_1} \tau_{\omega_2}} \quad (33)$$

$$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Longrightarrow \kappa_\omega} \quad \kappa \text{ normalizes to } \kappa_\omega$$

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{Type} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Type}} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{KHole} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{KHole}} \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Type} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{Type}}(\tau_\omega)} \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Longrightarrow \mathbf{KHole} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau_\omega)} \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \Longrightarrow \kappa_\omega}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau) \Longrightarrow \kappa_\omega} \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa \Longrightarrow \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau \xRightarrow{\kappa} \tau_\omega \quad \Delta; \Phi, t_1::\kappa_1 \triangleright \tau_\omega \quad t_1 \xRightarrow{[t_1/t]\kappa_2} \tau_{\omega_1}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau) \Longrightarrow \Pi_{t_1::\kappa_1}.\mathbf{S}_{[t_1/t]\kappa_2}(\tau_{\omega_1})} \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \Longrightarrow \kappa_{\omega_1} \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_{\omega_1} \triangleright \kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \kappa_{\omega_2}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \Pi_{t::\kappa_{\omega_1}}.\kappa_{\omega_2}} \quad (40)$$

$$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad \kappa_1 \text{ is a consistent subkind of } \kappa_2$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{KHole}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{KHole}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{Type}}(\tau) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \Pi_{t_2::\kappa_{\omega_3}}.\kappa_{\omega_4} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_{\omega_3} \lesssim \kappa_{\omega_1} \quad \Delta; \Phi, t_3::\kappa_{\omega_3} \triangleright [t_3/t_1]\kappa_{\omega_2} \lesssim [t_3/t_2]\kappa_{\omega_4}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \Longrightarrow \kappa_{\omega_1} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \Longrightarrow \kappa_{\omega_2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_{\omega_1} \equiv \kappa_{\omega_2}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (47)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ κ_1 is equivalent to κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \implies \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_{\omega_1}}(\tau_1) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \implies \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_{\omega_2}}(\tau_2) \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_{\omega_1} \equiv \kappa_{\omega_2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \tau_1 \overset{\kappa_{\omega_1}}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \implies \Pi_{t_1::\kappa_{\omega_1}}.\kappa_{\omega_2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \implies \Pi_{t_2::\kappa_{\omega_2}}.\kappa_{\omega_3} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_{\omega_1} \equiv \kappa_{\omega_2} \quad \Delta; \Phi, t_3::\kappa_{\omega_3} \triangleright [t_3/t_1]\kappa_{\omega_2} \equiv [t_3/t_2]\kappa_{\omega_4}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \quad (49)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \implies \kappa_{\omega_1} \quad \Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_2 \implies \kappa_{\omega_2} \quad \kappa_{\omega_1} = \kappa_{\omega_2}}{\Delta; \Phi \triangleright \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \quad (50)$$

Metatheory

subderivation preserving inferences:

- premiss
- COK (Context OK)
- PoS (premiss of subderivation)

Lemma 1 (COK). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$ in a subderivation (where $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J} \neq \Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$)*

Proof. By induction on derivations.

No interesting cases. □

Lemma 2 (Exchange).

If $\Delta; \Phi_1, t_{L1}::\kappa_{L1}, t_{L2}::\kappa_{L2}, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \Phi_1, t_{L2}::\kappa_{L2}, t_{L1}::\kappa_{L1}, \Phi_2 \vdash OK$, then $\Delta; \Phi_1, t_{L2}::\kappa_{L2}, t_{L1}::\kappa_{L1}, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. By induction on derivations.

No interesting cases.

(Only rules with Φ extended in the conclusion are interesting, which is only CWF-TypVar, but when \mathcal{J} is CWF, Exchange is identity) □

Corollary 3 (Marked-Exchange).

If $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_{L1}::\kappa_{L1}, t_{L2}::\kappa_{L2}} \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_{L2}::\kappa_{L2}, t_{L1}::\kappa_{L1}} \vdash OK$, then $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_{L2}::\kappa_{L2}, t_{L1}::\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. Exchange when $\Phi_2 = \cdot$ □

Lemma 4 (Weakening).

If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK$ and $t_L \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $\forall t \in \kappa_L, t \notin \mathcal{J}$, then $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. see addendum □

Lemma 5 (K-Substitution).

If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L] \tau_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L] \kappa_{L2}$
(induction on $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$)

Lemma 6 (PK-Substitution). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$
and $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L] \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L3}$,
then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L2}/t_L] \kappa_{L2} \equiv \kappa_{L3}$

Lemma 7 (OK-Substitution).

If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L :: \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \kappa_{L2} OK$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L] \kappa_{L2} OK$
(induction on $\Delta; \Phi, \underline{t_L :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \kappa_{L2} OK$)

Theorem 8 (OK-PK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$

Theorem 9 (OK-WFaK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$

Theorem 10 (OK-MatchPi). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \blacktriangleright \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2$,
then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 OK$

Theorem 11 (OK-KEquiv). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 OK$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 OK$

Theorem 12 (OK-CSK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 OK$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 OK$

Theorem 13 (OK-EquivAK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2$,
then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$

Proof. see addendum □

Definition 1 (Singleton Depth).

$$SSize : \{\kappa\}'' \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$SSize(\kappa_x) = \begin{cases} SSize(\kappa) + 1 & \text{if } \kappa_x = \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 14 ($\equiv^*>$ -diminution). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^*> \kappa_{L1}$, then $SSize(\kappa_L) > SSize(\kappa_{L1})$

Proof. By induction on derivations (and transitivity of $>$ on \mathbb{N}) □

Lemma 15 ($\equiv^*>-n+1$ -nicity). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^*> \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^*> \kappa_{L2}$ where $SSize(\kappa_L) = n+1$ and $SSize(\kappa_{L1}) = SSize(\kappa_{L2}) = n$, then $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$

Proof. By $\equiv^*>$ -diminution, $\equiv^*>$ -Trans cannot be the last inference of a derivation of $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^*> \kappa_{L1}$ since $SSize(\kappa_1) \geq SSize(\kappa_3) + 2$ (in $\equiv^*>$ -Trans). Thus, $\equiv^*>-1$ must have been the last inference. Similarly for $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^*> \kappa_{L2}$, thus $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$ □

Lemma 16 ($\equiv^*>$ -stepwise). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^* \kappa_{L1}$ where $SSize(\kappa_L) = m$ and $SSize(\kappa_{L1}) = n$ and $m > n + 1$, then the derivation must contain subderivations of each singleton depth inbetween*

Proof. More precisely this says, where $m > n$ by $\equiv^*>$ -diminution, the derivation must contain subderivations of each

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_i \equiv^* \kappa_j$ where $m \geq i > j \geq n$, $SSize(\kappa_k) = k$ when $m \geq k \geq n$, $\kappa_m = \kappa_L$, $\kappa_n = \kappa_{L1}$.
By induction on derivations (base case is where $m = n + 2$, which necessitates a last inference of $\equiv^*>$ -Trans. Each premiss must have SSize difference of 1, fulfilling hypothesis) \square

Lemma 17 ($\equiv^*>-m+n$ -nicity). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^* \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^* \kappa_{L2}$ where $SSize(\kappa_L) = m + n$ and $SSize(\kappa_{L1}) = SSize(\kappa_{L2}) = n$, then $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$*

Proof. By $\equiv^*>$ -stepwise and $\equiv^*>-n + 1$ -nicity when $m > n + 1$.

By $\equiv^*>-n + 1$ -nicity when $m = n + 1$.

No other cases by $\equiv^*>$ -diminution. \square

Theorem 18 ($\equiv^{\text{norm}}>$ -Unicity). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^{\text{norm}} \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \equiv^{\text{norm}} \kappa_{L2}$, then $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$*

Proof. (this is a really quick sketch)

All $\equiv^{\text{norm}}>$ rules have \equiv^* premiss with rhs singleton depth 1. By $\equiv^*>-m+n$ -nicity, where $n = 1$. \square

Theorem 19 ($\blacktriangleright_{\Pi}$ -Unicity). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L \blacktriangleright_{\Pi} \kappa_{L2}$, then $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$*

Proof. (this is a really quick sketch)

By unicity of $\equiv^{\text{norm}}>$. \square

Theorem 20 (PK-Unicity). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$, then $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$*

Proof. (this is a really quick sketch)

As PK is syntax directed, proof is by inspection for all rules except PK- λ (variables in contexts are unique— see context rules), which is by induction on derivations, and PK-Ap, which requires of unicity of $\blacktriangleright_{\Pi}$ (above theorem). \square

Theorem 21 (PK-Principality). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$*

Proof. From definition of $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$ and CSK-SKind \square