$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 < \sim \kappa_2$   $\kappa_1$  is a consistent subkind of  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\begin{tabular}{lll} {\tt KCHoleL} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash {\tt KHole}$ & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash {\tt KHole}$ & & & & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash \kappa_1\equiv\kappa_2$ \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1=\kappa_2$ \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1<\sim\kappa_2$ \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1<\sim\kappa_2$ \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1<\sim\kappa_2$ \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1<\sim\kappa_2$ \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1<\sim\kappa_2$ \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$$

t valid t is a valid type variable

t is valid if it is not a builtin-type or keyword, begins with an alpha char or underscore, and only contains alphanumeric characters, underscores, and primes.

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ kind} \quad \kappa \text{ forms a kind}$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \mathsf{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{S}(\tau) \text{ kind}}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1 \text{ is equivalent to } \kappa_2$ 

$$\begin{tabular}{lll} {\tt KERefl} & & & {\tt KESymm} \\ & & & & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ & & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ & & \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3$ & \\ \hline & & & \\ {\tt KESingEquiv} & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$$

 $rac{\Delta;\Phi dash au_1 \equiv au_2: \mathtt{Ty}}{\Delta;\Phi dash \mathtt{S}( au_1) \equiv \mathtt{S}( au_2)}$ 

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa$   $\tau$  is assigned kind  $\kappa$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} {\tt KAConst} & {\tt KAVar} & {\tt KABinOp} \\ & & & t:\kappa\in\Phi \\ \hline \Delta;\Phi\vdash c:{\tt Ty} & & \Delta;\Phi\vdash t:\kappa & & \Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_1:{\tt Ty}\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_2:{\tt Ty} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \mathtt{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{list}(\tau) : \mathtt{Ty}} \qquad \qquad \frac{u :: \kappa[\Phi'] \in \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \sigma : \Phi'}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!\!| \big|_\sigma^u : \kappa)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa' \qquad u :: \kappa[\Phi'] \in \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \sigma : \Phi'}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (|\tau|)_{\sigma}^{u} : \kappa} \qquad \frac{\text{KASelfRecognition}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau:\kappa_1 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 < \sim \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau:\kappa_2}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \kappa$   $\tau_1$  is equivalent to  $\tau_2$  and has kind  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\begin{tabular}{ll} {\sf KCERef1} & & {\sf KCESymm} \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau \equiv \tau : \kappa$ & \hline \\ $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_1 : \kappa$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \kappa \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_3 : \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_3 : \kappa} \qquad \frac{\text{KCESingEquiv}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_3 : \kappa}$$

 $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$   $\hat{\tau}$  synthesizes kind  $\kappa$  and elaborates to  $\tau$ 

## TElabSConst

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto c \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSBinOp

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_1 : \mathsf{Ty} \dashv \Delta_1 \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_2 \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_2 : \mathsf{Ty} \dashv \Delta_2}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2 \Rightarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}$$

TElabSList

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{TETADSLIST} \\ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \text{Ty} \leadsto \tau : \text{Ty} \dashv \Delta \\ \hline \Phi \vdash \text{list}(\hat{\tau}) \Rightarrow \text{Ty} \leadsto \text{list}(\tau) \dashv \Delta \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{TETADSVAR} \\ t : \kappa \in \Phi \\ \hline \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto t \dashv \cdot \end{array}$$

TElabSUVar

$$\frac{t\not\in\Phi}{\Phi\vdash t\Rightarrow \mathrm{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|t|\!\!)^u_{\mathrm{id}(\Phi)}\dashv u::(\!\!\|[\Phi]$$

TElabSHole

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash (\!|\!|)^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!|\!|)^u_{\mathsf{id}(\Phi)} \dashv u :: (\!|\!|)[\Phi]}$$

TElabSNEHole

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash (|\hat{\tau}|)^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (|\tau|)^u_{\mathsf{id}(\Phi)} \dashv \Delta, u :: (|)[\Phi]}$$

 $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa_1 \leadsto \tau : \kappa_2 \dashv \Delta$   $\hat{\tau}$  analyzes against kind  $\kappa_1$  and elaborates to  $\tau$  of consistent kind  $\kappa_2$ 

TElabASubsume

$$\frac{\hat{\tau} \neq t \text{ where } t \notin \Phi}{\hat{\tau} \neq (|\hat{\tau}'|)^u \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \qquad \kappa \sim \kappa'}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau : \kappa' \dashv \Delta}$$

TElabAUVar

$$\frac{t \not\in \Phi}{\Phi \vdash t \Leftarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!| t \!\!|)^u_{\mathsf{id}(\Phi)} : \mathsf{KHole} \dashv u :: (\!\!|)[\Phi]}$$

TElabAEHole

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash ()^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto ()^u_{\mathsf{id}(\Phi)} : \kappa \dashv u :: \kappa[\Phi]}$$

TElabANEHole

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash (|\hat{\tau}|)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (|\tau|)^u_{\mathsf{id}(\Phi)} : \kappa \dashv \Delta, u :: \kappa[\Phi]}$$

 $\Delta_1; \Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\rho} \leftarrow \tau \dashv \Phi_2; \Delta_2$   $\hat{\rho}$  analyzes against  $\tau$  yielding new tyvar and hole bindings

$$\label{eq:RESVar} \begin{split} \frac{t \text{ valid } \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t \leftarrow \tau \dashv t :: \kappa; \cdot} & \quad \frac{\text{RESEHole}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!\!\mid\!)^u \leftarrow \tau \dashv \cdot; u :: (\!\!\mid\!) [\Phi]} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\Delta; \, \Phi \, \vdash \, (\![t]\!]^u \, \leftarrow \, \tau \, \dashv \, \cdot; \, u \, :: \, (\![\![b]\!]) [\![\Phi]\!]}{t \, \neg \mathsf{valid}} \end{split}$$

 $\Gamma; \Phi \vdash \hat{e} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \leadsto e \dashv \Delta$   $\hat{e}$  synthesizes type  $\tau$  and elaborates to e

ESDefine

$$\begin{array}{c} \Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta_1 \\ \Delta_1; \Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\rho} \leftarrow \tau \dashv \Phi_2; \Delta_2 \qquad \Gamma; \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \vdash \hat{e} \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto e \dashv \Delta_3 \\ \hline \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \mathsf{type} \ \hat{\rho} = \hat{\tau} \ \mathsf{in} \ \hat{e} \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto \mathsf{type} \ \mathsf{bindings} \ \Phi_2 \ \mathsf{in} \ e \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3 \end{array}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma; \Phi \vdash e : \tau} \quad e \text{ is assigned type } \tau$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \mathsf{type} \text{ bindings } \Phi_2 \text{ in } e : \tau}$$