Hazel Phi: 11-type-constructors

August 12, 2021

Syntax

Kind	κ	$::=$	$\texttt{Type} \mid \texttt{KHole} \mid \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \mid \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$
User Types	$\hat{\tau}$	$::=$	$t \mid \texttt{bse} \mid \hat{\tau_1} \oplus \hat{\tau_2} \mid (\!\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} \mid (\!\|\hat{\tau}\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} \mid \lambda t::\texttt{Type}.\hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau_1}\ \hat{\tau_2}$
Internal Types	τ	$::=$	$t \mid \texttt{bse} \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid (\!\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} \mid (\!\|\tau\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} \mid (\!\|t\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} \mid \lambda t::\kappa.\tau \mid \tau_1\ \tau_2$
Base Types	$\texttt{bse}$	$::=$	$\texttt{Int} \mid \texttt{Float} \mid \texttt{Bool}$
BinOp	$\oplus$	$::=$	$\times \mid + \mid \to$
Type Pattern			
User Expression			
Internal Expression			

Declaratives

$\boxed{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}$ τ has principal (well formed) kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \texttt{bse} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse})}\ \texttt{PK-Base}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi_1, t::\kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash t ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(t)}\ \texttt{PK-Var}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1::\texttt{Type} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2::\texttt{Type}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 ::> \mathsf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)}\ \texttt{PK-}\oplus$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, \mathrm{u}::\kappa, \Delta_2;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash (\!\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((\!\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}})}\ \texttt{PK-EHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, \mathrm{u}::\kappa, \Delta_2;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa_1}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash (\!\|\tau\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((\!\|\tau\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}})}\ \texttt{PK-NEHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, \mathrm{u}::\kappa, \Delta_2;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK} \qquad t \notin \Phi}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash (\!\|t\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((\!\|t\|\!)^{\mathtt{u}})}\ \texttt{PK-Unbound}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\underline{\Phi, t::\kappa_1} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}(\lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau)}\ \texttt{PK-}\lambda$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2::\kappa_1}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1\ \tau_2 ::> [\tau_2/t]\kappa_2}\ \texttt{PK-Ap}$$

$\boxed{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}$ τ is well formed at kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}\ \texttt{WFaK-1} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}\ \texttt{WFaK-Subsump}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}\ \texttt{WFaK-Reit} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)}\ \texttt{WFaK-Self}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}\ \texttt{WFaK-}\Pi\texttt{CSKTrans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1) \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}\ \texttt{WFaK-Flatten}$$

$\boxed{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}$ κ has matched Π-kind $\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \texttt{KHole} \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi} \Pi_{t::\texttt{KHole}}.\texttt{KHole}}\ \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi}\texttt{-KHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \mathsf{S}_{\texttt{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathsf{S}_{\texttt{KHole}}(\tau)}.\mathsf{S}_{\texttt{KHole}}(\tau\ t)}\ \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi}\texttt{-SKHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}\ \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptscriptstyle\Pi}\texttt{-}\Pi$$

$\boxed{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}$ κ_1 is equivalent to κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa\ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa}\ \texttt{KEquiv-Refl} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}\ \texttt{KEquiv-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}\ \texttt{KEquiv-Trans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}(\tau) \equiv \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}\ \texttt{KEquiv-SKind}_{\texttt{SKind}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}(\tau) \equiv \Pi_{t_1::\kappa_1}.\mathsf{S}_{[t_1/t]\kappa_2}(\tau\ t_1)}\ \texttt{KEquiv-SKind}_{\Pi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta;\underline{\Phi, t::\kappa_1} \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4}\ \texttt{KEquiv-}\Pi$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \equiv \mathsf{S}_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)}\ \texttt{KEquiv-SKind}$$

$\boxed{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2}$ κ_1 is a consistent subkind of κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathtt{KHole} \lesssim \kappa}\ \texttt{CSK-KHoleL} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathtt{KHole}}\ \texttt{CSK-KHoleR}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathrm{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau) \ \mathsf{OK} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathrm{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa}\ \texttt{CSK-SKind}_{\texttt{KHole}}\texttt{L}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathrm{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau) \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathrm{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau)}\ \texttt{CSK-SKind}_{\texttt{KHole}}\texttt{R}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2}\ \texttt{CSK-KEquiv} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_4 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_4 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2}\ \texttt{CSK-Normal}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathrm{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathrm{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa}\ \texttt{CSK-SKind} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta;\Phi, \underline{t{::}\kappa_3} \vdash \kappa_2 \lesssim \kappa_4}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4}\ \texttt{CSK-}\Pi$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \mathrm{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \lesssim \mathrm{S}_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)}\ \texttt{CSK-?}$$

$\boxed{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}$ τ_1 is provably equivalent to τ_2 at kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau{::}\kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau}\ \texttt{EquivAK-Refl} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_1}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}\ \texttt{EquivAK-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_3 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_3 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_1}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}\ \texttt{EquivAK-Trans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \ {::>}\ \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \mathrm{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_2)}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}\ \texttt{EquivAK-SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1{::}\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_3 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2{::}\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_4 \qquad \Delta;\Phi, \underline{t{::}\kappa_1} \vdash \tau_1\ t \overset{\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2\ t}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2}\ \texttt{EquivAK-}\Pi$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_3 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2 \overset{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_4}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1\ \tau_2 \overset{[\tau_2/t]\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_3\ \tau_4}\ \texttt{EquivAK-Ap}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\mathrm{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}\ (1)$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\mathtt{Type}}{\equiv} \tau_3 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_2 \overset{\mathtt{Type}}{\equiv} \tau_4}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \overset{\mathtt{Type}}{\equiv} \tau_3 \oplus \tau_4}\ (2) \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta;\Phi, \underline{t{::}\kappa_1} \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \lambda t{::}\kappa_1.\tau_1 \overset{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa}{\equiv} \lambda t{::}\kappa_2.\tau_2}\ (3)$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}\ (4)$$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}$ κ is well formed

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{Type}\ \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{KWF-Type} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{KHole}\ \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{KWF-KHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau{::}\kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_\kappa(\tau)\ \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{KWF-SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t{::}\kappa_1} \vdash \kappa_2\ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2\ \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{KWF-}\Pi$$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}$ Context is well formed

$$\frac{}{\cdot;\cdot \vdash \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{CWF-Nil} \qquad \frac{t \notin \Phi \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa\ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t{::}\kappa} \vdash \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{CWF-TypVar} \qquad \frac{u \notin \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa\ \mathsf{OK}}{\underline{\Delta, u{::}\kappa}; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}\ \texttt{CWF-Hole}$$

Metatheory

subderivation preserving inferences:

- premiss
- COK (Context OK)
- PoS (premiss of subderivation)

Lemma 1 (COK). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}$ in a subderivation (where $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J} \neq \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}$)*

Proof. By induction on derivations.
No interesting cases. ☐

Lemma 2 (Exchange).
If $\Delta; \Phi_1, t_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}, t_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \Phi_1, t_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}, t_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathsf{OK}$, then $\Delta; \Phi_1, t_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}, t_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. By induction on derivations.
No interesting cases.
(Only rules with Φ extended in the consequent are interesting, which is only CWF-TypVar, but when $\mathcal{J}$ is CWF, Exchange is identity) ☐

Corollary 3 (Marked-Exchange).
If $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}}, t_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2} \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}}, t_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \mathsf{OK}$, then $\Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}}, t_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. Exchange when $\Phi_2 = \cdot$ ☐

Lemma 4 (Weakening).
If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_L} \vdash \mathsf{OK}$ and $t_L \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $\forall t \in \kappa_L, t \notin \mathcal{J}$, then $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_L} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. see addendum ☐

Lemma 5 (K-Substitution).
If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2}{::}[\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$
(induction on $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2}{::}\kappa_{L2}$)

Lemma 6 (PK-Substitution). *If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{L1}{::}\kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2} ::> \kappa_{L2}$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2} ::> \kappa_{L3}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L2}/t_L]\kappa_{L2} \equiv \kappa_{L3}$*

Lemma 7 (OK-Substitution).
If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L{::}\kappa_{L1}$ and $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \kappa_{L2}\ \mathsf{OK}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]\kappa_{L2}\ \mathsf{OK}$
(induction on $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L{::}\kappa_{L1}} \vdash \kappa_{L2}\ \mathsf{OK}$)

Theorem 8 (OK-PK). *If* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: > \kappa$*, then* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ *OK*

Theorem 9 (OK-WFaK). *If* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$*, then* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ *OK*

Theorem 10 (OK-MatchPi). *If* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\scriptstyle\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$*, then* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ *OK and* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$ *OK*

Theorem 11 (OK-KEquiv). *If* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$*, then* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1$ *OK and* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2$ *OK*

Theorem 12 (OK-CSK). *If* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$*, then* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1$ *OK and* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2$ *OK*

Theorem 13 (OK-EquivAK). *If* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2$*, then* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa$ *and* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$ *and* $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ *OK*

Proof. see addendum $\square$

Proof.

Weakening

By induction on derivations.

Note: When applying Weakening in the induction, check that the left premiss is always a subderivation, and check variable exclusion conditions are satisfied (usually checked elsewhere in the derivation).

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{premiss}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{t_L\notin\Phi}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{t_L\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}\quad\dfrac{}{t\in\mathcal{J}}}{t_L\neq t}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{t_L\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}}{t_L\notin\kappa_1}}{t_L\notin\Phi,t::\kappa_1}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_L\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{COK}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_L\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{Weakening}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{CWF-TypVar}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{Weakening}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{COK}}{t\notin\Phi}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{t_L\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}\quad\dfrac{}{t\in\mathcal{J}}}{t\neq t_L}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\forall\dot{t}\in\kappa_L,\dot{t}\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}\quad\dfrac{}{t\in\mathcal{J}}}{t\notin\kappa_L}}{t\notin\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{COK}}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\kappa_1\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{Weakening}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{CWF-TypVar}}{\dfrac{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L,t::\kappa_1\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau::>\mathsf{S}_{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}(\lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau)}\texttt{PK-}\lambda}\texttt{Marked-Exchange}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1\equiv\kappa_2}\texttt{premiss}\quad\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\kappa_1\equiv\kappa_2}\texttt{Weakening}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{premiss}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{t_L\notin\Phi}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{t_L\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}\quad\dfrac{}{t\in\mathcal{J}}}{t_L\neq t}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{t_L\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}}{t_L\notin\kappa_1}}{t_L\notin\Phi,t::\kappa_1}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_L\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_3\equiv\kappa_4}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{COK}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_L\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{Weakening}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{CWF-TypVar}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2}\texttt{Weakening}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_3\equiv\kappa_4}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{COK}}{t\notin\Phi}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{t_L\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}\quad\dfrac{}{t\in\mathcal{J}}}{t\neq t_L}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\forall\dot{t}\in\kappa_L,\dot{t}\notin\mathcal{J}}\texttt{IH}\quad\dfrac{}{t\in\mathcal{J}}}{t\notin\kappa_L}}{t\notin\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L}\quad\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_3\equiv\kappa_4}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{COK}}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{PoS}\quad\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{IH}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\kappa_1\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{Weakening}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L,t::\kappa_1\vdash\mathsf{OK}}\texttt{CWF-TypVar}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_3\equiv\kappa_4}\texttt{Marked-Exchange}}{\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L\vdash\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2\equiv\Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4}\texttt{KEquiv-}\Pi\qquad\dfrac{}{sim}\texttt{CSK-}\Pi\qquad\dfrac{}{sim}\texttt{EquivAK-}\Pi\qquad\dfrac{}{sim}\texttt{KWF-}\Pi$$

O?K-.*

By simultaneous induction on derivations.

The interesting cases per lemma:

K-Substitution by type size??

OK-Substitution

OK-PK

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\texttt{bse}::>\mathsf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse})}\texttt{premiss}}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\texttt{bse}::\texttt{Type}}\texttt{WFaK-1}}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash\mathsf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse})\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{KWF-SKind}\qquad\qquad\dfrac{}{\Delta;\Phi\vdash[\tau_2/t]\kappa_2\ \mathsf{OK}}\texttt{OK-Substitution}$$

OK-WFaK

$\square$

Theorem 14 (PK-Unicity)**.** *If* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$ *and* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$ *then* $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$

Theorem 15. *If* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$ *and* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_2$*, then* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Theorem 16. *If* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \mathtt{S}_{\kappa_2}(\tau)$*, then* $\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Elaboration

TODO