# Hazel Phi: 11-type-constructors

July 24, 2021

#### **SYNTAX**

## DECLARATIVES

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$   $\tau$  has principal (well formed) kind  $\kappa$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{bse} ::: > \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\mathsf{bse})} (1) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi_1, t :: \kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t ::: > \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(t)} (2) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \mathsf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \mathsf{Type}} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \mathsf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 ::: > \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)} (3)$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \emptyset^u} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\emptyset^u)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \emptyset^u} (4) \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \emptyset^u} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\emptyset^u)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \emptyset^u} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\emptyset^u)} (5)$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \emptyset^u} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\emptyset^u)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \emptyset^u} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\emptyset^u)} (6) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}, \kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau)} (7)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \tau_2 ::> [\tau_2/t] \kappa_2} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa_1} (8)$$

 $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa \mid \tau$  is well formed at kind  $\kappa$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} (9) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> S_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} (10) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::S_{\kappa}(\tau_{1}) \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} ::\kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} (11)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{1} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} (12)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\sim \kappa_{1} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} (13)$$

 $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \mid \kappa$  has matched  $\Pi$ -kind  $\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv S_{\text{KHole}}(\tau_{1})}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{Hole} \prod_{\Pi : \text{t::KHole}} \text{KHole}}$$
(14) 
$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv S_{\text{KHole}}(\tau_{1})}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa} . \kappa}$$
(15) 
$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} . \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} . \kappa_{2}}$$
(16)

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$   $\kappa_1$  is equivalent to  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} (17) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} (18) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} (19)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}(\tau) \equiv S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} (20) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}} \cdot \kappa_2(\tau) \equiv \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot S_{\kappa_2}(\tau t)} (21)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2} (22) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} (23)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2} (22) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau_1) \equiv S_{\kappa}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} (23)$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$   $\kappa_1$  is a consistent subkind of  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole} \lesssim \kappa} (24) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \text{KHole}} (25) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} (26)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \textbf{S}_{\text{KHole}}(\tau)} (27)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} (28) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_4 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_4 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} (29)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} (30) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \lesssim \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4} (31)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} (32)$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \text{ is provably equivalent to } \tau_2 \text{ at kind } \kappa$ 

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv S_{\kappa}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}$$
 (33)

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_3}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \frac{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_4}{\equiv} \tau_2} \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \tau_1 \ t \stackrel{\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2 \ t$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2$$
(34)
$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{S_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\equiv} \tau_2$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{S_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\equiv} \tau_2$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}}.\kappa_{2}}{\equiv} \tau_{3} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{2} \stackrel{\kappa_{1}}{\equiv} \tau_{4} \qquad (36)$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \quad \tau_{2} \stackrel{[\tau_{2}/t]\kappa_{2}}{\equiv} \tau_{3} \quad \tau_{4}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_{3} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{3} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_{1} \qquad (39)$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\tau}{\equiv} \tau_{2} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_{2}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\tau}{\equiv} \tau_{3} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{2} \stackrel{\tau}{\equiv} \tau_{4}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\tau}{\equiv} \tau_{2}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_{2}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \qquad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_{1} \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_{2}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t::\kappa_{1}.\tau_{1} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \kappa_{2}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}$$

$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}$   $\kappa$  is well formed

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Type} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; (43) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; (44) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \; \mathsf{OK}} \; (45)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \; \mathsf{OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_{I} \vdash \kappa_{2} \; \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_{I}}, \kappa_{2} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; (46)$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}$  Context is well formed

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ (48)} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta, u :: \kappa; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ (49)}$$

Variables implicitly assumed to be fresh as necessary

#### METATHEORY

**Lemma 1** (Weakening). If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \tau :: \kappa$  when  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK$ 

*Proof.* By rule induction/length of proof.

L1. (9)

*Proof.* By rule induction/length of proof.

L2. (9)

```
Lemma 3 (OK-WFaK). If \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa, then \Delta; \Phi \vdash OK and \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK
```

**Lemma 4** (OK-MatchPi). If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa \sqcap \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa$  OK and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$  OK

**Lemma 5** (OK-KEquiv). If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1$  OK and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_2$  OK

**Lemma 6** (OK-CSK). If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1$  OK and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_2$  OK

**Lemma 7** (OK-KWF). If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa$  OK, then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash OK$ 

### Lemma 8 (OK-Substitution).

If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau_L :: \kappa_{L1} \ and \ \Delta$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2} \ OK$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash OK \ and \ \Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]\kappa_{L2} \ OK$  (induction on  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2} \ OK$ )

## Lemma 9 (K-Substitution).

If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$  and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$  (induction on  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$ )

*Proof.* By simultaneous rule induction/length of proof.

The interesting cases per lemma:

The interesting cases per lemma.			
OK-PK.	(1)	$\Delta ; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{bse} :: \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{Type}}(\mathtt{bse})$	by (9)
		$\Delta ; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{bse} :: Type$	by (10)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash {\tt S_{Type}}({\tt bse}) \; {\sf OK}$	by (43)
	*	$\Delta;\Phi \vdash OK$	by premiss
	(8)		bad
OK-WFaK.	(12)	$\Delta ; \Phi \vdash  au_2 :: \kappa$	by (10)
	*	$\Delta ; \Phi dash \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}( au_{2}) \; OK$	by (43)
OK-KEquiv.	(22)	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \ t ::> \kappa$	
OK-Substitution.	(41)	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash OK$	premiss (41)
		$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} OK$	by subderivation premiss (46)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by OK-KWF
	*	$\Delta ; \Phi dash [ au_L/t_L]$ Type OK	by (41) and degenerate subst
	(43)	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau :: \kappa$	premiss (43)
		$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash OK$	by OK-WFaK
		$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} OK$	by subderivation premiss (46)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by OK-KWF
		$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa$	by K-Substitution on premiss
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L] S_{\kappa}(\tau) OK$	by (43)

**Lemma 10** (PK-Unicity). If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$  and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$  then  $\kappa_{L1}$  is  $\kappa_{L2}$ 

**Lemma 11.** If  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$  and  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$