Hazel Phi: 11-type-constructors

August 12, 2021

SYNTAX

DECLARATIVES

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$ τ has principal (well formed) kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{bse} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\mathsf{bse})} \mathsf{PK-Base} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi_1, t :: \kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(t)} \mathsf{PK-Var}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \mathsf{Type} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \mathsf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)} \mathsf{PK-\oplus} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (||^u|^u|^u)} \mathsf{PK-EHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (||\tau|^u|^u|^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK} \qquad t \notin \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (||t|^u|^u|^u)} \mathsf{PK-Unbound}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (||\tau|^u|^u|^u|^u)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (|t|^u|^u|^u|^u)} \mathsf{PK-Unbound}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (|t|^u|^u|^u|^u|^u|^u|^u}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (|t|^u|^u|^u|^u|^u|^u} \mathsf{PK-Var}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod\limits_{\Pi} \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :::\kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \ \tau_2 ::> [\tau_2/t] \kappa_2} \ \text{PK-Ap}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa \mid \tau \text{ is well formed at kind } \kappa$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \text{ WFaK-1} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{1}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \text{ WFaK-Subsump}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \text{ WFaK-Self}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\Pi_{t ::\kappa_{3}}.\kappa_{4}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\Pi_{t ::\kappa_{3}}.\kappa_{4}} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t ::\kappa_{3}}.\kappa_{4} \lesssim \Pi_{t ::\kappa_{1}}.\kappa_{2} \qquad \text{WFaK-IICSKTrans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\Pi_{t ::\kappa_{1}}.\kappa_{2}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \text{WFaK-Flatten}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \mid \kappa \text{ has matched } \Pi\text{-kind } \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathsf{KHole}}.\mathsf{KHole}} \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{=} \mathsf{-KHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau)}.\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau \ t)} \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{=} \mathsf{-SKHole}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2} \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{=} \mathsf{-\Pi}}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1 \text{ is equivalent to } \kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \text{ KEquiv-Refl} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ KEquiv-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ KEquiv-Trans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}(\tau) \equiv S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} \text{ KEquiv-SKind}_{SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}} . \kappa_2 (\tau) \equiv \Pi_{t_1 :: \kappa_1} . S_{[t_1/t]\kappa_2}(\tau \ t_1)} \text{ KEquiv-SKind}_{\Pi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t :: \kappa_3} . \kappa_4} \text{ KEquiv-II}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \equiv S_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)} \text{ KEquiv-SKind}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$ κ_1 is a consistent subkind of κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole}} \lesssim \kappa \text{ CSK-KHoleL} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \text{KHole}} \text{ CSK-KHoleR}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{SkHole}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{SkHole}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \text{ CSK-SKind_modeL} L$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \text{SkHole}(\tau)} \text{ CSK-SKind_modeL} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ IS}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \kappa_{S}} \text{ CSK-KEquiv} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \text{ CSK-SKind_modeL} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \text{ CSK-KEquiv} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{g} \lesssim \kappa_{I}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \text{ CSK-Normal}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Symm}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Skind}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Skind}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Skind}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Ap}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}$ κ is well formed

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Type}\; \mathsf{OK}} \; {}_{\mathsf{KWF-Type}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Type} \ \mathsf{OK}} \ \mathtt{KWF-Type} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \ \mathsf{OK}} \ \mathtt{KWF-KHole}$$

$$rac{\Delta;\Phi dash au :: \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi dash extsf{S}_{\kappa}(au) extsf{OK}}$$
 KWF-SKind

$$\frac{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \kappa_2 \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi} \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2 \ \mathsf{OK}} \ \mathtt{KWF} \text{-} \Pi$$

Context is well formed $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}$

$$\frac{}{\cdot;\cdot \vdash \mathsf{OK}}$$
 CWF-Nil

$$\frac{t \notin \Phi \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ CWF-TypVar} \qquad \frac{u \notin \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta, u :: \kappa; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ CWF-Hole}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{u} \notin \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta, \mathbf{u} :: \kappa; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}} \ \mathsf{CWF-Hole}$$

METATHEORY

subderivation preserving inferences:

- premiss
- COK (Context OK)
- PoS (premiss of subderivation)

Lemma 1 (COK). If $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$, then $\Delta : \Phi \vdash OK$ in a subderivation (where $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J} \neq \Delta : \Phi \vdash OK$)

Proof. By induction on derivations.

No interesting cases.

Lemma 2 (Exchange).

If Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{O}K$, then Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. By induction on derivations.

No interesting cases.

(Only rules with Φ extended in the consequent are interesting, which is only CWF-TypVar, but when \mathcal{J} is CWF, Exchange is identity) П

Corollary 3 (Marked-Exchange).

 $\textit{If } \Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}}, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}} \vdash \mathcal{J} \textit{ and } \Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \textit{OK}, \textit{ then } \Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. Exchange when $\Phi_2 = \cdot$

Lemma 4 (Weakening).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \mathsf{OK}$ and $t_L \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $\forall t \in \kappa_L, t \notin \mathcal{J}$, then Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. see addendum

Lemma 5 (K-Substitution).

 $\textit{If } \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1} \textit{ and } \Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1}} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}, \textit{ then } \Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1}/$ (induction on $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$)

Lemma 6 (PK-Substitution). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} ::> \kappa_{L2}$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2} ::> \kappa_{L3}$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_{L2}/t_L]\kappa_{L2} \equiv \kappa_{L3}$

Lemma 7 (OK-Substitution).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L :: \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2}$ OK, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$ OK (induction on Δ ; Φ , t_L :: $\kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2}$ OK)

Theorem 8 (OK-PK). *If* Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Theorem 9 (OK-WFaK). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Theorem 10 (OK-MatchPi). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$ OK

Theorem 11 (OK-KEquiv). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1$ OK and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_2$ OK

Theorem 12 (OK-CSK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1$ OK and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2$ OK

Theorem 13 (OK-EquivAK). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Proof. see addendum

Proof.

Weakening
By induction on derivations.

Note: When applying Weakening in the induction, check that the left premiss is always a subderivation, and check variable exclusion conditions are satisfied (usually checked elsewhere in the derivation).

| | $\frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \ IH}{t_L \notin \Phi} \ PoS \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \neq t} \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}}}{t_L \notin \mathcal{I}} \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \notin \kappa_1} \\ \hline \qquad \qquad \frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \ IH}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \ OK} \ PoS \frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \ PoS}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_1} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2} \ Premiss}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_1} \vdash OK} \ Weakening$ | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | $\underline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2} \text{ premiss } \underline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1}, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK$ | -TypVar | | | | |
| | $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t::\kappa_1}, t_L::\kappa_L \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2$ | Weakening | | | | |
| | $\frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1}} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{2}} \text{ premiss}}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1}} \vdash OK} \text{ COK} \\ \hline t \notin \Phi \\ \hline \\$ | | | | | |
| | $\underline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \kappa_1 \text{ OK}$ | CWF-TypVar | | | | |
| | $\Delta; \underline{\underline{\alpha, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_1}} \vdash OK$ | | d-Exchange | | | |
| | $\Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_1}} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2$ | | PK-λ | | | |
| | $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1.\tau ::> \mathbf{S}_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}.\kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1.\tau)$ | | | | | |
| | $\frac{\overline{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK} \ IH}{t_L \notin \Phi} \ PoS \qquad \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \neq t} \qquad \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}} \qquad \overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \notin \kappa_1} \qquad \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \notin \kappa_1} \qquad \overline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \ OK} \ PoS \qquad \overline{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \ \overline{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \ Weakening} $ | | | | | |
| | $\frac{t_L \notin \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1}}{\Delta \cdot \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1}} \vdash \underline{\kappa_L} \; OK $ CWF-TypVa. | ar | | | | |
| | $\underline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t::\kappa_1}\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2} \text{ premiss } \underline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t::\kappa_1},t_L::\kappa_L}\vdash OK$ | Weakening | | | | |
| | $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1}}, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{2}$ premiss | | | | | |
| | $\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4$ Cok | | | | | |
| | $\frac{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_{\boldsymbol{1}} \vdash OK}{$ | | | | | |
| | $\frac{t \notin \Phi}{} \frac{t \notin L}{t \notin \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} + CK \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \; OK \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK }{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \kappa_1 \; OK} }_{Weakening}$ | | | | | |
| $\overline{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}$ premiss $\overline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t_L} :: \kappa_L \vdash OK}$ IH | $\frac{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_L :: \kappa_L}{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK$ | -TypVar | | | | |
| $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \xrightarrow{\text{Weakening}} \text{Weakening}$ | $\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_3\equiv\kappa_4$ | ———— Marked-Exch | nange | | | |
| $\underline{\qquad \qquad },\underline{x,v_Lv_L}:\ m_I=m_Z$ | $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t :: \kappa_3} \cdot \kappa_4$ | | KEquiv- Π | $rac{-}{sim}$ CSK- Π | $rac{}{sim}$ EquivAK- Π | $rac{-}{sim}$ KWF- Π |
| | $\frac{1}{2}$ | | | | | |

O?K-.*

By simultaneous induction on derivations.

The interesting cases per lemma:

K-Substitution by type size??

OK-Substitution

OK-PK

 $\frac{ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{bse} ::> \textbf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse})}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{bse} :: \texttt{Type}} \text{ WFaK-1} }{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse}) \; \mathsf{OK}}$ KWF-SKind

 $\overline{\Delta;\Phi \vdash [au_{\mathscr{Q}}/t]_{\pmb{\kappa_{\mathscr{Q}}}}}$ OK-Substitution

OK-WFaK

Theorem 14 (PK-Unicity). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$ then $\kappa_{L1} = \kappa_{L2}$

Theorem 15. If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_2$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Theorem 16. If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim S_{\kappa_2}(\tau)$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

ELABORATION

TODO