July 30, 2021

SYNTAX

 $\text{Kind} \quad \kappa \quad ::= \ \text{Type} \mid \texttt{KHole} \mid \texttt{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \mid \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$ User Types $\hat{\tau}$::= $t \mid \text{bse} \mid \hat{\tau_1} \oplus \hat{\tau_2} \mid \emptyset^u \mid \emptyset^u \mid \lambda t$::Type. $\hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau_1} \mid \hat{\tau_2}$ Internal Types $\tau ::= t \mid \text{bse} \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid () \mid u \mid () \mid t \mid u \mid \lambda t :: \kappa. \tau \mid \tau_1 \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_1 \mid \tau_2 \mid t \mid \tau_2 \mid \tau_3 \mid t \mid \tau_4 \mid \tau_4 \mid \tau_4 \mid \tau_4 \mid t \mid \tau_4 \mid$ Base Types bse ::= Int | Float | Bool BinOp \oplus ::= \times $|+| \rightarrow$ Type Pattern User Expression Internal Expression

DECLARATIVES

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$ τ has principal (well formed) kind κ

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{bse} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\mathsf{bse})} \mathsf{PK-Base} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi_1, t :: \kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(t)} \mathsf{PK-Var} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \mathsf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)} \mathsf{PK-D} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-Unbound} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \mathsf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{II}_{t :: \kappa_1}, \kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-Unbound} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \tau_1 ::> \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{II}_{t :: \kappa_1}, \kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \tau_1 :: \tau_2 ::> \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{II}_{t :: \kappa_1}, \kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1, \tau)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((t)^u)} \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (t$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :::\kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \tau_2 ::> [\tau_2/t]\kappa_2} \text{ PK-Ap}$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$ τ is well formed at kind κ

 $rac{\Delta;\Phi dash au ::> { t S}_{\kappa}(au)}{\Delta;\Phi dash au :: \kappa}$ \text{WFaK-1}

 $\Delta;\Phi dash au :: \kappa$ WFaK-Self $\Delta;\Phi dash au :: S_{\kappa}(au)$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa} \text{ WFaK-Subsump}$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)$ $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa$ WFaK-Flatten $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa \atop \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa$ WFaK-Reit

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t : \mathsf{KHole}}.\mathsf{KHole}} \ \ ^{\blacktriangle}_{\Pi} \ \mathsf{-KHole}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi t ::: \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau)} \cdot \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau \ t)} \stackrel{\texttt{h}}{\longrightarrow} \mathtt{-SKHole}$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_3}.\kappa_4 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_3}.\kappa_4 \lesssim \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}.\kappa_2$ WFaK-IICSKTrans $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}.\kappa_2$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2} \stackrel{\blacktriangle}{\Pi} \neg \Pi$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ κ_1 is equivalent to κ_2

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \mid \kappa$ has matched Π -kind $\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \text{ KEquiv-Refl}$

 $rac{\Delta;\Phi dash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta;\Phi dash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}$ KEquiv-Symm

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ KEquiv-Trans}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \mathbb{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_{1})}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_{1})}(\tau) \equiv \mathbb{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_{1})} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} :: \pi_{1} \cdot \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{I}_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2}}(\tau) \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{3}} \cdot \kappa_{4}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}$

 Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1$ is a consistent subkind of κ_2

 $rac{\Delta; \Phi dash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi dash \mathsf{KHole} \lesssim \kappa} \ \mathtt{CSK ext{ iny KHoleL}}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \; \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathsf{KHole}} \; \mathsf{CSK\text{-}KHoleR} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau) \; \mathsf{OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \; \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \; \mathsf{CSK\text{-}SKind}_{\mathsf{KHole}} \mathsf{L}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK} \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau) \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{KHole}}(\tau)} \ \mathtt{CSK\text{-SKind}}_{\mathtt{KHole}} \mathtt{R}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \text{ CSK-KEquiv}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_4 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_4 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \text{ CSK-Normal}$

 $rac{\Delta; \Phi dash \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(au) \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi dash \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(au) \lesssim \kappa} \ \mathtt{CSK ext{-SKind}}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4} \stackrel{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \lesssim \kappa_4}{\lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4} \text{CSK-II}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa_1}{=} \tau_2} \text{CSK} -?$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \text{ is provably equivalent to } \tau_2 \text{ at kind } \kappa$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta \cdot \Phi \vdash \tau \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau}$ EquivAK-Refl

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2} \; \texttt{EquivAK-Symm}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_3 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2} \text{ EquivAK-Trans}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa_1 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\cong}{=} \tau_2} \; \mathtt{EquivAK\text{-SKind}}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_3}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_4} \qquad \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, \underline{t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \tau_1 \ \underline{t \stackrel{\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2} \ \underline{t}}_{\text{EquivAK-II}}$ $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_2$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \stackrel{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \ \tau_2 \stackrel{[\tau_2/t]\kappa_2}{\equiv} \tau_3 \ \tau_4} \text{ EquivAK-Ap}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{S_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2} (1)$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa_1}{=} \tau_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa$ $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau_2$ (4)

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\mathsf{Type}}{=} \tau_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \stackrel{\mathsf{Type}}{=} \tau_4$ $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \stackrel{\mathsf{Type}}{=} \tau_3 \oplus \tau_4$ (2) $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK} \quad \kappa \text{ is well formed}$

 $\overline{\cdot;\cdot \vdash \mathsf{OK}}$ CWF-Nil

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, \underline{t} :: \underline{\kappa_1} \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2$ $\underline{\Pi_{t} :: \underline{\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa}$ $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda \underline{t} :: \underline{\kappa_1} \cdot \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \lambda \underline{t} :: \underline{\kappa_2} \cdot \tau_2$ (3)

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_{1} \vdash \kappa_{2} \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_{1}} \cdot \kappa_{2} \text{ OK}} \text{ KWF-}\Pi$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}$ Context is well formed

 $\frac{t \notin \Phi \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ CWF-TypVar}$

 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Type} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-Type} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-KHole} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{SKind}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{SK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-SKind}$

 $\frac{\mathbf{u} \notin \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \ \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta, \mathbf{u} :: \kappa; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}} \ \mathsf{CWF-Hole}$

METATHEORY

Lemma 1 (COK). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash OK$ in a subderivation (where Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \mathcal{J} \neq \Delta$; $\Phi \vdash OK$)

Proof. By simultaneous induction on derivations.

No interesting cases.

If Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{OK}$, then Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. By induction on derivations. No interesting cases.

(Only rules with Φ extended in the consequent are interesting, which is only CWF-TypVar, but when \mathcal{J} is CWF, Exchange is identity)

Corollary 3 (Marked-Exchange). If $\Delta; \Phi, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2} \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta; \Phi, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \mathcal{OK}$, then $\Delta; \Phi, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Lemma 4 (Weakening).

Proof. By induction on derivations. When applying Weakening in the induction, check that the left premiss is always a subderivation, and check variable exclusion conditions are satisfied.

(PoS = premiss of subderivation)

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK$ and $t_L \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $\forall t \in \kappa_L, t \notin \mathcal{J}$, then Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Weakening

$\underline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t::\kappa_1}\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2} \text{ premiss}$	$\frac{\overline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi}, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK \stackrel{IH}{\longrightarrow}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \; OK} \; PoS \frac{\overline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \tau :: > \kappa_2}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \; PoS \frac{\overline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \; COK}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \; Weakening \frac{\overline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi}, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK \stackrel{IH}{\longrightarrow}}{IH} \; PoS \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \; IH}{t_L \notin \Phi} \; PoS \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \; IH}{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \; IH}{IH} \; \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}} \; IH \; \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}} \; IH \; \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}} \; IH \; \overline{t_L \notin \Phi} \; IH \; \overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \; $	$\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}}$ IH $\overline{t_L \notin \kappa_1}$ CWF-TypVar Weakening	$\frac{\overline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{\underline{I}}} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{\underline{Z}}}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{\underline{I}}} \vdash OK} \overset{premiss}{PoS} \qquad \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} IH}{t \notin \Phi} \qquad \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} IH}{t \notin \mathcal{J}} \qquad \frac{\overline{\forall \dot{t} \in \kappa_{\underline{L}}, \dot{t} \notin \mathcal{J}} IH}{t \notin \kappa_{\underline{L}}} \qquad \overline{t \notin \kappa_{\underline{L}}}$ $\underline{t \notin \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{\underline{L}}} \qquad \Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{\underline{L}}, t :: \kappa_{\underline{I}}} \vdash O$	$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \text{ OK} \qquad \Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \text{OK} \qquad \text{Weakening}}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \kappa_1 \text{ OK}} \text{ Weakening}$				
$\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2 \ \Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1. \tau ::> \mathbf{S}_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}. \kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1. au)$								
	$\frac{\overline{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ premiss } \overline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \text{ IH}}{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ Weakening}$	$\underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{\Delta}}; \underline{\underline{L}}; \underline{\kappa_L} \vdash \underline{\Pi}_{t::\kappa_L}; \underline{\underline{L}}; \underline{L}; \underline{\underline{L};}; \underline{\underline{L}}; \underline{\underline{L}$	$\frac{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1} \vdash \kappa_{3} \equiv \kappa_{4}}{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_{1} \vdash OK} \xrightarrow{COK} COK}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} OK} PoS \xrightarrow{\Delta; \Phi, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L} \vdash OK} IH $ $\frac{\Delta; \Phi, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L} \vdash \kappa_{1} OK}{\Delta; \Phi, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L}, t :: \kappa_{1} \vdash OK} \xrightarrow{CWF-TypVar} CWF-TypVar$ $\frac{\Delta; \Phi, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L}, t :: \kappa_{1} \vdash OK}{\Delta; \Phi, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L}, t :: \kappa_{1} \vdash Eng} \xrightarrow{K_{4}} Marked-Exchange$ $\kappa_{2} \equiv \Pi_{t :: \kappa_{3}} . \kappa_{4}$	- KEquiv-II				

Lemma 5 (OK-PK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Lemma 6 (OK-WFaK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Lemma 7 (OK-MatchPi). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$ OK

Lemma 8 (OK-KEquiv). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1$ OK and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_2$ OK

Lemma 9 (OK-CSK). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1$ OK and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_2$ OK

Lemma 10 (OK-EquivAK). If $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau_2$, then $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa$ and $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$ and $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Lemma 11 (OK-Substitution).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L :: \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2}$ OK, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$ OK (induction on $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2} OK$)

Lemma 12 (K-Substitution).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$ (induction on $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$)

Proof. By simultaneous induction on derivations. The interesting cases per lemma:

The interesting cases per lemma:				
	OK-PK.	PK-Base	$\Delta ; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{bse} :: \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{Type}}(\mathtt{bse})$	by (9)
			$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{bse}::Type$	by (10)
		*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{Type}(bse) OK$	by (43)
		*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by premiss
		PK-Ap		bad
	OK-WFaK.	(12)	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$	by (10)
		*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash { t S}_{\kappa}(au_2)$ OK	by (43)
	OK-KEquiv.	(22)	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \ t ::> \kappa$	
(D-C	OK-Substitution.	(41)	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash OK$	premiss (41)
(PoS = premiss of subderivation)			$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} OK$	by subderivation premiss (46)
		*	$\Delta;\Phi \vdash OK$	by OK-KWF
		*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]$ Type OK	by (41) and degenerate subst
		(43)	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau :: \kappa$	premiss (43)
			$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash OK$	by OK-WFaK
			$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} OK$	by subderivation premiss (46)
		*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by OK-KWF
			$\Delta : \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa$	by K-Substitution on premiss
		*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L] \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) OK$	by (43)

Lemma 13 (PK-Unicity). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$ then κ_{L1} is κ_{L2}

Lemma 14. If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Lemma 15. If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim S_{\kappa_2}(\tau)$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$