

# Hazel Phi: 11-type-constructors

July 27, 2021

## SYNTAX

Kind	$\kappa$	$::=$	$\mathbf{Type} \mid \mathbf{KHole} \mid \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau) \mid \Pi_{t::\kappa_1.\kappa_2}$
User Types	$\hat{\tau}$	$::=$	$t \mid \mathbf{bse} \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle \hat{\tau} \rangle^u \mid \lambda t::\mathbf{Type}.\hat{\tau} \mid \tau_1 \tau_2$
Internal Types	$\tau$	$::=$	$t \mid \mathbf{bse} \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle \tau \rangle^u \mid \langle t \rangle^u \mid \lambda t::\kappa.\tau \mid \tau_1 \tau_2$
Base Types	$\mathbf{bse}$	$::=$	$\mathbf{Int} \mid \mathbf{Float} \mid \mathbf{Bool}$
BinOp	$\oplus$	$::=$	$\times \mid + \mid \rightarrow$
Type Pattern			
User Expression			
Internal Expression			

## DECLARATIVES

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}$   $\tau$  has principal (well formed) kind  $\kappa$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{bse} ::> \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Type}}(\mathbf{bse})} \quad (1) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi_1, t::\kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t ::> \mathbf{S}_\kappa(t)} \quad (2) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1::\mathbf{Type} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2::\mathbf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 ::> \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Type}}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)} \quad (3) \\
 \\
 \frac{\Delta_1, u::\kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \langle \rangle^u ::> \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\langle \rangle^u)} \quad (4) \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u::\kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \text{OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \langle \tau \rangle^u ::> \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\langle \tau \rangle^u)} \quad (5) \\
 \\
 \frac{\Delta_1, u::\kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \text{OK} \quad t \notin \text{dom}(\Phi)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \langle t \rangle^u ::> \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\langle t \rangle^u)} \quad (6) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau ::> \mathbf{S}_{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}}(\lambda t::\kappa_1.\tau)} \quad (7) \\
 \\
 \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\blacktriangleright}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2::\kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \tau_2 ::> [\tau_2/t]\kappa_2} \quad (8)
 \end{array}$$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa}$   $\tau$  is well formed at kind  $\kappa$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa} \quad (9) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa} \quad (10) \\
 \\
 \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa} \quad (11) \\
 \\
 \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau)} \quad (12) \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_3}.\kappa_4 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2} \quad (13) \\
 \\
 \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\mathbf{S}_\kappa(\tau_1) \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1::\kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\kappa} \quad (14)
 \end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\text{H}}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2$   $\kappa$  has matched  $\Pi$ -kind  $\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2$

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{KHole} \overset{\text{H}}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathbf{KHole}} \cdot \mathbf{KHole}} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\text{H}}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau)} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau \ t)} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \overset{\text{H}}{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2} \quad (17)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$   $\kappa_1$  is equivalent to  $\kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}(\tau) \equiv \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau::\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2}(\tau) \equiv \Pi_{t_1::\kappa_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{[t_1/t]_{\kappa_2}}(\tau \ t_1)} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_3} \cdot \kappa_4} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_1) \equiv \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau_2)} \quad (24)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$   $\kappa_1$  is a consistent subkind of  $\kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{KHole} \lesssim \kappa} \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathbf{KHole}} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau) \text{ OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{KHole}}(\tau)} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_4 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_4 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2} \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_3 \vdash \kappa_2 \lesssim \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \lesssim \Pi_{t::\kappa_3} \cdot \kappa_4} \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \lesssim \mathbf{S}_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)} \quad (33)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2$   $\tau_1$  is provably equivalent to  $\tau_2$  at kind  $\kappa$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 ::> \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv S_{\kappa}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_4 \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau_1 \ t \equiv^{\kappa_2} \tau_2 \ t}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2} \tau_2} \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv^{\kappa_1} \tau_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \ \tau_2 \equiv^{[\tau_2/t]\kappa_2} \tau_3 \ \tau_4} \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \equiv^{\kappa} \tau} \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_3 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{S_{\kappa}(\tau)} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\text{Type}} \tau_3 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv^{\text{Type}} \tau_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \equiv^{\text{Type}} \tau_3 \oplus \tau_4} \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t::\kappa_1. \tau_1 \equiv^{\Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa} \lambda t::\kappa_2. \tau_2} \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa_1} \tau_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad (43)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}$   $\kappa$  is well formed

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{Type OK}} \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole OK}} \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau) \text{ OK}} \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \text{ OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_2 \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \text{ OK}} \quad (47)$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}$  Context is well formed

$$\frac{}{; \vdash \text{OK}} \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa \vdash \text{OK}} \quad (49)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta, u::\kappa; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}} \quad (50)$$

Variables implicitly assumed to be fresh as necessary

## METATHEORY

**Lemma 1** (Weakening). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \tau :: \kappa$  when  $\Delta; \Phi, t::\kappa_1 \vdash \text{OK}$*

*Proof.* By rule induction/length of proof.

L1. (9)

□

*Proof.* By rule induction/length of proof.

L2. (9)

□

**Lemma 2** (OK-PK). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$*

**Lemma 3** (OK-WFaK). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$*

**Lemma 4** (OK-MatchPi). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \Pi \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t::\kappa_1} \kappa_2 OK$*

**Lemma 5** (OK-KEquiv). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 OK$*

**Lemma 6** (OK-CSK). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 OK$*

**Lemma 7** (OK-TEquivAK). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{=} \tau_2$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$*

**Lemma 8** (OK-KWF). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa OK$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$*

**Lemma 9** (OK-Substitution).

*If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L :: \kappa_{L1}$  and  $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2} OK$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L / t_L] \kappa_{L2} OK$   
(induction on  $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2} OK$ )*

**Lemma 10** (K-Substitution).

*If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$  and  $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1} / t_L] \tau_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1} / t_L] \kappa_{L2}$   
(induction on  $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$ )*

*Proof.* By simultaneous rule induction/length of proof.

The interesting cases per lemma:

OK-PK.	(1)	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{bse} :: \mathbf{S_{Type}}(\mathbf{bse})$	by (9)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{bse} :: \mathbf{Type}$	by (10)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S_{Type}}(\mathbf{bse}) OK$	by (43)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by premiss
	(8)		bad
OK-WFaK.	(12)	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa$	by (10)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{S_{\kappa}}(\tau_2) OK$	by (43)
OK-KEquiv.	(22)	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau t ::> \kappa$	
OK-Substitution.	(41)	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash OK$	premiss (41)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} OK$	by subderivation premiss (46)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by OK-KWF
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L / t_L] \mathbf{Type} OK$	by (41) and degenerate subst
	(43)	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau :: \kappa$	premiss (43)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash OK$	by OK-WFaK
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} OK$	by subderivation premiss (46)
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash OK$	by OK-KWF
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1} / t_L] \tau :: [\tau_{L1} / t_L] \kappa$	by K-Substitution on premiss
	*	$\Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L / t_L] \mathbf{S_{\kappa}}(\tau) OK$	by (43)

□

**Lemma 11** (PK-Unicity). *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$  then  $\kappa_{L1}$  is  $\kappa_{L2}$*

**Lemma 12.** *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_2$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$*

**Lemma 13.** *If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \mathbf{S_{\kappa_2}}(\tau)$ , then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$*