

$\text{BinOp } \oplus ::= \text{Product} \mid \text{Sum} \mid \text{Arrow}$   
 $\text{Kind } \kappa ::= \text{Ty} \mid \text{KHole} \mid \text{S}(\tau)$   
 $\text{ConstantTypes } c ::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Float} \mid \text{Bool}$   
 $\text{UserHTyp } \hat{\tau} ::= c \mid \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2 \mid \text{list}(\hat{\tau}) \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle \hat{\tau} \rangle^u$   
 $\text{InternalHTyp } \tau ::= c \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid \text{list}(\tau) \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle \tau \rangle^u$   
 $\text{TypeVars } t$   
 $\text{UserTypePattern } \hat{\rho} ::= t \mid \langle \rangle^u \mid \langle t \rangle^u$   
 $\text{UserExpression } e ::= \text{type } \hat{\rho} = \hat{\tau} \text{ in } e \mid \text{elided}$   
 $\text{InternalExpression } \tau ::= \text{type } \hat{\rho} = \tau \text{ in } d \mid \text{elided}$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2}$   $\kappa_1$  is a consistent subkind of  $\kappa_2$

$\text{KHoleL}$   
 $\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole} \lesssim \kappa}$

$\text{KHoleR}$   
 $\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \text{KHole}}$

$\text{KRespectEquiv}$   
 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2}$

$\text{KSubsumption}$   
 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{S}(\tau) \lesssim \text{Ty}}$

$\boxed{t \text{ valid}}$   $t$  is a valid type variable

$t$  is valid if it is not a builtin-type or keyword, begins with an alpha char or underscore, and only contains alphanumeric characters, underscores, and primes.

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ kind}}$   $\kappa$  forms a kind

$\text{KFTy}$   
 $\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{Ty} \text{ kind}}$

$\text{KFHole}$   
 $\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole} \text{ kind}}$

$\text{KFSing}$   
 $\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{S}(\tau) \text{ kind}}$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$

 $\kappa_1$  is equivalent to  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{KERefl} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{KESymm} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{KETrans} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_3 \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{KESingEquiv} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \text{Ty} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{S}(\tau_1) \equiv \text{S}(\tau_2)
\end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa$

 $\tau$  is assigned non-singleton kind  $\kappa$ 

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{KACnst} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash c : \text{Ty}
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{KAVar} \\
\hline
t : \kappa_1 \in \Phi \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \Rightarrow [\kappa_2] \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash t : \kappa_2
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{KABinOp} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 : \text{Ty} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 : \text{Ty} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 : \text{Ty}
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{KAList} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{list}(\tau) : \text{Ty}
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{KAEHole} \\
\hline
u :: \kappa[\Phi'] \in \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \sigma : \Phi' \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \bigoplus_{\sigma}^u : \kappa
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{KANEHole} \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa' \quad u :: \kappa[\Phi'] \in \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \sigma : \Phi' \\
\hline
\Delta; \Phi \vdash \bigl(\tau\bigr)_{\sigma}^u : \kappa
\end{array}$$

$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa_1 \Rightarrow [\kappa_2]$

 $\tau$  of kind  $\kappa_1$  is self-recognized to consistent subkind  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\frac{\text{KSRTy} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty} \Rightarrow [\text{S}(\tau)]} \quad \frac{\text{KSRHole} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{KHole}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{KHole} \Rightarrow [\text{KHole}]}$$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \Rightarrow [\kappa_2]}$   $\kappa_1$  is unrecognized to consistent superkind  $\kappa_2$

$$\frac{\text{KUSing} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty} \Rightarrow [\text{S}(\tau)]}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{S}(\tau) \Rightarrow [\text{Ty}]} \quad \text{KUHole} \quad \frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole} \Rightarrow [\text{KHole}]}$$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \kappa}$   $\tau_1$  is equivalent to  $\tau_2$  and has kind  $\kappa$

$$\frac{\text{KCERefl} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \equiv \tau : \kappa} \quad \text{KCESymm} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_1 : \kappa}$$

$$\frac{\text{KCETrans} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \kappa \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_3 : \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_3 : \kappa} \quad \text{KCESingEquiv} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 : \text{S}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 : \text{Ty}}$$

$\boxed{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta}$   $\hat{\tau}$  synthesizes kind  $\kappa$  and elaborates to  $\tau$

TElabSConst

$$\frac{}{\Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow S(c) \rightsquigarrow c \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSBinOp

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \Leftarrow \text{Ty} \rightsquigarrow \tau_1 : \text{Ty} \dashv \Delta_1 \quad \Delta_1; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 : \text{Ty} \Rightarrow [S(\tau_1)] \\ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_2 \Leftarrow \text{Ty} \rightsquigarrow \tau_2 : \text{Ty} \dashv \Delta_2 \quad \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 : \text{Ty} \Rightarrow [S(\tau_2)] \end{array}}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2 \Rightarrow S(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}$$

TElabSList

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \text{Ty} \rightsquigarrow \tau : \text{Ty} \dashv \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \text{Ty} \Rightarrow [S(\tau)]}{\Phi \vdash \text{list}(\hat{\tau}) \Rightarrow S(\text{list}(\tau)) \rightsquigarrow \text{list}(\tau) \dashv \Delta}$$

TElabSVar

$$\frac{t : \kappa \in \Phi}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow t \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSUNVar

$$\frac{t \notin \Phi}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \text{KHole} \rightsquigarrow (t)_{\text{id}(\Phi)}^u \dashv u :: \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket}$$

TElabSHole

$$\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^u \Rightarrow \text{KHole} \rightsquigarrow \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\text{id}(\Phi)}^u \dashv u :: \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket}$$

TElabSNEHole

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash \llbracket \hat{\tau} \rrbracket^u \Rightarrow \text{KHole} \rightsquigarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\text{id}(\Phi)}^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket}$$

$\boxed{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa_1 \rightsquigarrow \tau : \kappa_2 \dashv \Delta}$   $\hat{\tau}$  analyzes against kind  $\kappa_1$  and elaborates to  $\tau$  of consistent subkind  $\kappa_2$

**TElabASubsume**

$$\frac{\hat{\tau} \neq \langle \rangle^u \quad \hat{\tau} \neq \langle \hat{\tau}' \rangle^u \quad \hat{\tau} \neq t \text{ where } t \notin \Phi \quad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau : \kappa' \dashv \Delta}$$

**TElabAUVar**

$$\frac{t \notin \Phi}{\Phi \vdash t \Leftarrow \text{KHole} \rightsquigarrow \langle t \rangle_{\text{id}(\Phi)}^u : \text{KHole} \dashv u :: \langle \rangle[\Phi]}$$

**TElabAEHole**

$$\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \langle \rangle^u \Leftarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \langle \rangle_{\text{id}(\Phi)}^u : \kappa \dashv u :: \kappa[\Phi]}$$

**TElabANEHole**

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash \langle \hat{\tau} \rangle^u \Leftarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \langle \tau \rangle_{\text{id}(\Phi)}^u : \kappa \dashv \Delta, u :: \kappa[\Phi]}$$

$\boxed{\Delta_1; \Phi_1 \vdash \tau \triangleright \hat{\rho} \dashv \Phi_2; \Delta_2}$   $\hat{\rho}$  analyzes against  $\tau$  yielding new tyvar and hole bindings

**RESVar**

$$\frac{t \text{ valid} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa_1 \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa_1 \Rightarrow [\kappa_2]}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \triangleright t \dashv t :: \kappa_2; \cdot}$$

**RESEHole**

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \triangleright \langle \rangle^u \dashv \cdot; u :: \langle \rangle[\Phi]}$$

**RESVarHole**

$$\frac{\neg(t \text{ valid})}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \triangleright \langle t \rangle^u \dashv \cdot; u :: \langle \rangle[\Phi]}$$

$\boxed{\Gamma; \Phi \vdash e \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \rightsquigarrow d \dashv \Delta}$   $e$  synthesizes type  $\tau$  and elaborates to  $d$

**ESDefine**

$$\frac{\Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta_1 \quad \Delta_1; \Phi_1 \vdash \tau \triangleright \hat{\rho} \dashv \Phi_2; \Delta_2 \quad \Gamma; \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow d \dashv \Delta_3}{\Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \text{type } \hat{\rho} = \hat{\tau} \text{ in } e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \text{type } \hat{\rho} = \tau \text{ in } d \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3}$$

$\boxed{\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi \vdash d : \tau}$   $d$  is assigned type  $\tau$

$$\text{DEDefine} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Phi_1 \vdash \tau \triangleright \hat{\rho} \dashv \Phi_2; \Delta \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \vdash d : \tau}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \text{type } \hat{\rho} = \tau \text{ in } d : \tau}$$

**Theorem 1 (Well-Kinded Elaboration)**

- (1) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa'$  then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa' \Rightarrow \lfloor \kappa \rfloor$   
(2) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa_1 \rightsquigarrow \tau : \kappa_2 \dashv \Delta$  then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$  and  $\exists \kappa_3$  such that  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \lesssim \kappa_2$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa_3$

This is like the Typed Elaboration theorem in the POPL19 paper except that (1) synthesis produces the most refined kind while assignment prefers Ty (see *Kind Assignment Ty Affinity* theorem) and (2) analysis doesn't necessarily produce the most consistent subkind for  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 2 (Type Elaboration Synthesis Singleton Affinity)**

If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta$  then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \Rightarrow \lfloor \kappa \rfloor$

Type Elaboration synthesis always synthesizes the most self-recognized kind.

**Theorem 3 (Kind Assignment Unicity)**

If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa$  and  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa'$  then  $\kappa = \kappa'$

This is like the Type Unicity theorem in the POPL19 paper.

**Theorem 4 (Kind Assignment Ty Affinity)**

If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa$  then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \lceil \kappa \rceil \kappa$

Kind assignment assigns Ty rather than  $S(\tau)$ . The kind is explicitly refined where needed in other places using the recognition judgment:  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \lfloor \kappa_2 \rfloor$ .

**Theorem 5 (Type Elaboration Unicity)**

- (1) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_1 \rightsquigarrow \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_2 \rightsquigarrow \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2$  then  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$ ,  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ ,  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$   
(2) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau_1 : \kappa_1 \dashv \Delta_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau_2 : \kappa_2 \dashv \Delta_2$  then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ ,  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$ ,  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$

This is like the Elaboration Unicity theorem in the POPL19 paper.

**Theorem 6 (Kind Precision)**

*If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \tau \dashv \Delta$  and  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa' \rightsquigarrow \tau : \kappa'' \dashv \Delta$  then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \kappa'$*

Kind Precision says that any kind  $\kappa$  that successfully analyzes against  $\hat{\tau}$  is a consistent superkind of the one that is synthesized from  $\hat{\tau}$ . In other words, the kind that is synthesized is the most precise.

**Theorem 7 (Reognition Inversion)**

*If  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa_2 \Rightarrow \lfloor \kappa_1 \rfloor$  then  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \lceil \kappa_2 \rceil$*

Unrecognizing inverts self-recognition.