Hazel Phi: 11-type-constructors

July 31, 2021

SYNTAX

DECLARATIVES

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$ τ has principal (well formed) kind κ

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{bse} ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\mathsf{bse})} \, \mathsf{PK-Base} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi_1, t :: \kappa, \Phi_2 \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}(t)} \, \mathsf{PK-Var}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_I :: \mathsf{Type} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \mathsf{Type}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_I \oplus \tau_2 ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Type}}(\tau_I \oplus \tau_2)} \, \mathsf{PK-\oplus} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\emptyset^u)^u} \, \mathsf{PK-EHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK} \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_I}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\emptyset^u)^u} \, \mathsf{PK-NEHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta_1, u :: \kappa, \Delta_2; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK} \quad t \notin \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\emptyset^u)^u} \, \mathsf{PK-Unbound}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\emptyset^u)^u ::> \mathsf{S}_{\kappa}((\emptyset^u)^u)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_I, \tau ::> \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{II}_{t :: \kappa_I}, \kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_I, \tau)} \, \mathsf{PK-\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_I ::> \kappa \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\mathsf{II}} \mathsf{II}_{t :: \kappa_I}, \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_I ::> \kappa} \, \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 ::: \kappa_I} \, \mathsf{PK-Ap}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa \mid \tau \text{ is well formed at kind } \kappa$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau)}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \text{ WFaK-1} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{1}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \text{ WFaK-Subsump}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \text{ WFaK-Self}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\Pi_{t ::\kappa_{3}}.\kappa_{4}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\Pi_{t ::\kappa_{3}}.\kappa_{4}} \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \Pi_{t ::\kappa_{3}}.\kappa_{4} \lesssim \Pi_{t ::\kappa_{1}}.\kappa_{2} \qquad \text{WFaK-IICSKTrans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\Pi_{t ::\kappa_{1}}.\kappa_{2}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau ::\kappa} \qquad \text{WFaK-Flatten}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2 \mid \kappa \text{ has matched } \Pi\text{-kind } \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathsf{KHole}}.\mathsf{KHole}} \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{=} \mathsf{-KHole} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau)}.\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{KHole}}(\tau \ t)} \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{=} \mathsf{-SKHole}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \prod_{\Pi} \Pi_{t::\kappa_1}.\kappa_2} \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{=} \mathsf{-\Pi}}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1 \text{ is equivalent to } \kappa_2$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \text{ KEquiv-Refl} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ KEquiv-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \text{ KEquiv-Trans}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)}(\tau) \equiv S_{\kappa}(\tau_1)} \text{ KEquiv-SKind}_{SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}} . \kappa_2 (\tau) \equiv \Pi_{t_1 :: \kappa_1} . S_{[t_1/t]\kappa_2}(\tau \ t_1)} \text{ KEquiv-SKind}_{\Pi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} . \kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t :: \kappa_3} . \kappa_4} \text{ KEquiv-II}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa_1}{\equiv} \tau_2 \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa_1}(\tau_1) \equiv S_{\kappa_2}(\tau_2)} \text{ KEquiv-SKind}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$ κ_1 is a consistent subkind of κ_2

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole}} \lesssim \kappa \text{ CSK-KHoleL} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \text{KHole}} \text{ CSK-KHoleR}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{SkHole}(\tau) \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{SkHole}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa} \text{ CSK-SKind_modeL} L$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \text{SkHole}(\tau)} \text{ CSK-SKind_modeL} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ IS}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \kappa_{S}} \text{ CSK-KEquiv} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \text{ CSK-SKind_modeL} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \text{ CSK-KEquiv} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{g} \lesssim \kappa_{I}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \equiv \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \text{ CSK-Normal}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \pi_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{I} \lesssim \kappa_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ CSK-} R$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Symm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Symm}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Skind}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Skind}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Skind}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{g}} \text{ EquivAK-Ap}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{g}}} \text{ A}; \Phi \vdash \tau_{I} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{g}}{\tau_{$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK} \mid \kappa \text{ is well formed}$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Type} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-Type}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Type} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-}\mathsf{Type} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-}\mathsf{KHole}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau) \text{ OK}} \text{ KWF-SKind}$$

$$\frac{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,\mathit{t}::\kappa_{\mathit{1}}} \vdash \kappa_{\mathit{2}} \; \mathsf{OK}}{\Delta;\underline{\Phi} \vdash \Pi_{\mathit{t}::\kappa_{\mathit{1}}}.\kappa_{\mathit{2}} \; \mathsf{OK}} \; \mathsf{KWF}\text{-}\Pi$$

Context is well formed $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}$

$$\frac{}{\cdot;\cdot \vdash \mathsf{OK}}$$
 CWF-Nil

$$\frac{t \notin \Phi \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ CWF-TypVar} \qquad \frac{u \notin \Delta \quad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta, u :: \kappa; \Phi \vdash \text{OK}} \text{ CWF-Hole}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{u} \notin \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ OK}}{\Delta \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \kappa \cdot \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}} \text{ CWF-Hole}$$

METATHEORY

subderivation preserving inferences:

- premiss
- COK (Context OK)
- PoS (premiss of subderivation)

Lemma 1 (COK). If $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$, then $\Delta : \Phi \vdash OK$ in a subderivation (where $\Delta : \Phi \vdash \mathcal{J} \neq \Delta : \Phi \vdash OK$)

Proof. By induction on derivations.

No interesting cases.

Lemma 2 (Exchange).

If Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{O}K$, then Δ ; Φ_1 , t_{L2} :: κ_{L2} , t_{L1} :: κ_{L1} , $\Phi_2 \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. By induction on derivations.

No interesting cases.

(Only rules with Φ extended in the consequent are interesting, which is only CWF-TypVar, but when \mathcal{J} is CWF, Exchange is identity)

Corollary 3 (Marked-Exchange).

 $\textit{If } \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{\underline{L1}}, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{\underline{L2}} \vdash \mathcal{J} \textit{ and } \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{\underline{L2}}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{\underline{L1}} \vdash \textit{OK}, \textit{ then } \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_{L2} :: \kappa_{\underline{L2}}, t_{L1} :: \kappa_{\underline{L1}} \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. Exchange when $\Phi_2 = \cdot$

Lemma 4 (Weakening).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \mathcal{J}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \mathsf{OK}$ and $t_L \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $\forall t \in \kappa_L, t \notin \mathcal{J}$, then Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \mathcal{J}$

Proof. see addendum

Lemma 5 (K-Substitution).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_{L1} :: \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; $\underline{\Phi}$, $\underline{t_L} :: \kappa_{L2} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau_{L2} :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$ (induction on Δ ; $\underline{\Phi}$, $\underline{t_L} :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau_{L2} :: \kappa_{L2}$)

Lemma 6 (OK-Substitution).

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L :: \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; Φ , $t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2}$ OK, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]\kappa_{L2}$ OK (induction on $\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \kappa_{L2} OK$)

Lemma 7 (OK-PK). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Lemma 8 (OK-WFaK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Lemma 9 (OK-MatchPi). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{\Pi} \Pi_{t :: \kappa_{1}} . \kappa_{2}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_{1}} . \kappa_{2}$ OK

Lemma 10 (OK-KEquiv). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \equiv \kappa_{2}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1}$ OK and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{2}$ OK

Lemma 11 (OK-CSK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1} \lesssim \kappa_{2}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{1}$ OK and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{2}$ OK

Lemma 12 (OK-EquivAK). If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} \stackrel{\kappa}{\equiv} \tau_{2}$, then $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{1} :: \kappa$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_{2} :: \kappa$ and $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa$ OK

Proof. see addendum

Proof.

Weakening
By induction on derivations.

Note: When applying Weakening in the induction, check that the left premiss is always a subderivation, and check variable exclusion conditions are satisfied (usually checked elsewhere in the derivation).

	$\frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \ IH}{t_L \notin \Phi} \ PoS \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \neq t} \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}}}{t_L \notin \mathcal{I}} \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \notin \kappa_1} \\ \hline \qquad \qquad \frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \ IH}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \ OK} \ PoS \frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK} \ PoS}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_1} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2} \ Premiss}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_1} \vdash OK} \ Weakening$					
	$\underline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2} \text{ premiss } \underline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1}, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK$	-TypVar				
	$\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t::\kappa_1}, t_L::\kappa_L \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2$	Weakening				
	$\frac{\overline{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1}} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{2}} \text{ premiss}}{\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1}} \vdash OK} \text{ COK} \\ \hline t \notin \Phi \\ \hline \\$					
	$\underline{\Delta}; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \kappa_1 \text{ OK}$	CWF-TypVar				
	$\Delta; \underline{\underline{\alpha, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_1}} \vdash OK$		d-Exchange			
	$\Delta; \underline{\underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_1}} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_2$		PK-λ			
	$\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} \vdash \lambda t :: \kappa_1.\tau ::> \mathbf{S}_{\Pi_{t :: \kappa_1}.\kappa_2}(\lambda t :: \kappa_1.\tau)$					
	$\frac{\overline{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK} \ IH}{t_L \notin \Phi} \ PoS \qquad \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \neq t} \qquad \overline{t \in \mathcal{J}} \qquad \overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \notin \kappa_1} \qquad \frac{\overline{t_L \notin \mathcal{J}} \ IH}{t_L \notin \kappa_1} \qquad \overline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_L \ OK} \ PoS \qquad \overline{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \ \overline{\Delta; \Phi, t :: \kappa_1 \vdash OK} \ Weakening} $					
	$\frac{t_L \notin \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1}}{\Delta \cdot \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1}} \vdash \underline{\kappa_L} \; OK $ CWF-TypVa.	ar				
	$\underline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t::\kappa_1}\vdash\tau::>\kappa_2} \text{ premiss } \underline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t::\kappa_1},t_L::\kappa_L}\vdash OK$	Weakening				
	$\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_{1}}, t_{L} :: \kappa_{L} \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_{2}$ premiss					
	$\Delta; \underline{\Phi, t :: \kappa_1} \vdash \kappa_3 \equiv \kappa_4$ Cok					
	$\frac{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t :: \kappa_{\boldsymbol{1}} \vdash OK}{$					
	$\frac{t \notin \Phi}{} \frac{t \notin L}{t \notin \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L} + CK \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \; OK \Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash OK }{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \kappa_1 \; OK} }_{Weakening}$					
$\overline{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}$ premiss $\overline{\Delta;\underline{\Phi,t_L} :: \kappa_L \vdash OK}$ IH	$\frac{\Delta; \underline{\Phi}, t_L :: \kappa_L}{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L, t :: \kappa_L} \vdash OK$	-TypVar				
$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \xrightarrow{\text{Weakening}} \text{Weakening}$	$\Delta;\Phi,t_L::\kappa_L,t::\kappa_1\vdash\kappa_3\equiv\kappa_4$	———— Marked-Exch	nange			
$\underline{\qquad \qquad },\underline{x,v_Lv_L}:\ m_I=m_Z$	$\Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_L \vdash \Pi_{t :: \kappa_1} \cdot \kappa_2 \equiv \Pi_{t :: \kappa_3} \cdot \kappa_4$		KEquiv- Π	$rac{-}{sim}$ CSK- Π	$rac{}{sim}$ EquivAK- Π	$rac{-}{sim}$ KWF- Π
	$\frac{1}{2}$					

O?K-.*

By simultaneous induction on derivations.

The interesting cases per lemma:

K-Substitution by type size??

OK-Substitution

OK-PK

 $\frac{ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{bse} ::> \textbf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse})}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{bse} :: \texttt{Type}} \text{ WFaK-1} }{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \textbf{S}_{\texttt{Type}}(\texttt{bse}) \; \mathsf{OK}}$ KWF-SKind

 $\overline{\Delta;\Phi \vdash [au_{\mathscr{Q}}/t]_{\pmb{\kappa_{\mathscr{Q}}}}}$ OK-Substitution

OK-WFaK

Proof. By simultaneous induction on derivations.

The interesting cases per lemma:

```
OK-PK.
                                                                                                  by (9)
                                 PK-Base\Delta; \Phi \vdash bse::S_{Type}(bse)
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{bse}::\mathsf{Type}
                                                                                                  by (10)
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\mathsf{Type}}(\mathsf{bse}) \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by (43)
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by premiss
                                   PK-Ap
                                                                                                  bad
OK-WFaK.
                                     (12)
                                                                                                  by (10)
                                                \Delta ; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau_2) \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by (43)
OK-KEquiv.
                                     (22)
                                                \Delta ; \Phi \vdash \tau \; t ::> \kappa
OK-Substitution.
                                                \Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  premiss (41)
                                     (41)
                                                                                                                                                                             \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by subderivation premiss (46)
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by OK-KWF
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L]Type OK
                                                                                                  by (41) and degenerate subst
                                     (43)
                                                \Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \tau :: \kappa
                                                                                                  premiss (43)
                                                \Delta; \Phi, t_L :: \kappa_{L1} \vdash \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by OK-WFaK
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_{L1} \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by subderivation premiss (46)
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by OK-KWF
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\tau :: [\tau_{L1}/t_L]\kappa
                                                                                                  by K-Substitution on premiss
                                                \Delta; \Phi \vdash [\tau_L/t_L] \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \mathsf{OK}
                                                                                                  by (43)
```

Lemma 13 (PK-Unicity). If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L1}$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau_L ::> \kappa_{L2}$ then κ_{L1} is κ_{L2}

Lemma 14. If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau ::> \kappa_1$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau :: \kappa_2$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Lemma 15. If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim S_{\kappa_2}(\tau)$, then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

ELABORATION

 $\overline{\text{TODO}}$