

Towards a Complete Description of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ Actions on Closed 3-manifolds

Hazel Brenner

September 13, 2024

Todo list

expand, include explicit problems and solutions, aiming for 1+ pages	2
audit for clarity and directness	4
give defn of standard-fibered torus in background	5
Clarify and expand proof. set aside results before hand	5
outline the structure of the proof	5
avoid clearly	5
cite a result for this	5
expand the orbit decomp cutting argument	5
Just write this as $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$	5
replace numerals with words	5
clarify what is meant by associated seifert fibered structure	5
add examples	5
refer more directly to katie's flat bundle theorem	6
figure out how to say orbit bundle lemma before everything is defined	6
how about underline instead? this reads pretty clunky	6
this can be omitted, it's "standard"	8
add some fussing about automatic continuity to preliminaries	8
describe std topology on homeo in intro	8
reorder this to address k-convergent more clearly	8
add theorem/sec number	8
katie says this lemma can be simplified	9
state hypotheses for orb. bundle lemma	9
note this follows chen-mann proof outline	9
fix a metric, possibly in the preliminaries section	10
define lct property	10
by lemma cpct to basepoint	11
is this used?	11
rephrase as application of lemma 2.1	11
advertise prop 2.2 as complete classification.	11
outline this proof more clearly	12
avoid starting with note	12
what quotient	12
introduce notation	12
rework paragraph with setup before	12
informal/unclear	12
Say consider as a first case	12
introduce using $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{-1, 1\}$	12
Remark	12
consider expanding	13
formalize this	14

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Background	3
2.1	Fundamental Examples	5
3	General $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ action structure: weak tube theorem	6
3.1	$\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ invariant tube construction	6
3.2	Three Useful Topological Lemmas	8
3.3	Proof of weak tube theorem	9
3.4	Example Classifications for $M = S^1$	11
4	Structural results for $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acting on closed 3-manifolds	13
4.1	Proof of Theorem 4.1	14
4.2	Orbit compactification	14
4.3	Details of the construction Proposition 4.1	17
4.4	Remarks on prime ends details	17
5	Future Work	20
5.1	Completing Description of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ Acting on Closed 3-manifolds	20
5.2	Other Specialized Classes of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ Actions	21

Abstract

blah

1 Introduction

C^r actions of compact Lie groups on manifolds satisfy strong local and global structural results, including but certainly not limited to slice theorems (Section 2.3 of [11]), orbit-type stratification (Section 2.9 of [11]), and complete classifications up to equivariant (diffeo)homeomorphism in various restricted settings. Intuitively, a local slice to a Lie group action is a $\text{Stab}(x)$ -invariant submanifold through a point x such that $G \cdot S$ is an open neighborhood of $G \cdot x$ which is G -isomorphic to a particular twisted S bundle over $G/\text{Stab}(x) \cong G \cdot x$ (called a tube about x). Slice theorems guarantee certain settings in which slices exist; e.g, when G is compact and M is completely regular, slices exist through every point (consequence of Theorem 5.4 in [4]). Conjugacy of isotropy subgroups of orbits form an equivalence relation on the set of orbits whose equivalence classes are called orbit types. When G is a Lie group acting properly on a manifold M , the orbit types are embedded submanifolds and moreover, they stratify M (if the reader is unfamiliar with stratified spaces, an adequate model is the decomposition of a cell complex into cells of a fixed dimension.) These results are fundamental tools to study proper Lie group actions on manifolds, and are the starting point of Raymond and Orlik's classifications of $\text{SO}(2)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds and T^2 actions on simply connected 4-manifold[2, 3, 5]. We highlight these particular families of results as they provide substantial inspiration both for the scope of results we aim to prove and methods of proof.

If we extend our focus from Lie groups to homeomorphism and diffeomorphism groups the picture is much less well-understood, but a surprising amount of similar results still hold. In particular, Milton [14] classifies continuous actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on the 2-torus and the closed annulus. Milton's classification decomposes the annulus (or torus) into an invariant lamination by concentric circles and gives a complete description of the action on the complement which is a disjoint union of open annulus orbits. Chen and Mann [16] go on to give a complete classification of spaces with transitive $\text{Diff}^r(M)$ and $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ actions and apply this result to extend Milton's classification to all actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on compact surfaces. In particular, the extended classification shows that the global fixed points of a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action on a compact surface are exactly those of the standard rotation group action on that surface. That is, the disk has a unique fixed point, the sphere has two, etc. Away from fixed points, the behavior is ultimately shown to be exactly that of Milton's classification for the closed annulus and the torus. This paper describes the extent to which similar results can be achieved for $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds.

expand, include explicit problems and solutions, aiming for 1+ pages

It is valuable to situate our problem in the context of the dimensions of both the manifold M whose homeomorphism group is acting and the manifold N being acted upon. A key restriction proved by Chen and Mann [16] is that homeomorphism groups of manifolds cannot act non-trivially on strictly lower-dimensional manifolds (Theorem 1.4). Moreover, when the dimension M is large relative to the dimension of N (specifically, $\dim(N) < 2 * \dim(M)$), N must be a topological generalized flat (or equivalently, foliated) bundle over M . Combining these results in the special case of $\dim(N) = 3$ yields the following:

Note. Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ acts on N nontrivially, then $\dim(M) \leq 3$. If M is a 3-manifold, then N is a disjoint union of covers of M . If M is a surface, then N is a generalized flat bundle over M .

Thus, if one is interested in studying actions of homeomorphism groups on 3-manifolds, the only remaining case to be considered is that in which M is a closed 1-manifold, i.e. $M \cong S^1$.

In a broad sense, the problem of classifying actions of a fixed topological group on spaces of a fixed dimension can be divided into the orbit classification problem and the orbit gluing problem. The orbit classification problem for a fixed Lie group is recognizable as the problem of classifying homogeneous spaces (or equivalently its closed subgroups). The orbit gluing problem is addressed by slice theorems and the orbit-type stratification. For an example of this program in use, see Ghys's classification of C^r actions of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ on once-punctured \mathbb{R}^m [9] or Orlik and Raymond's classification of continuous $\text{SO}(2)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds [2]. For $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ and $\text{Diff}^r(M)$, Chen and Mann completely resolve the orbit classification problem and solve the orbit gluing problem for particular restrictions on dimension. In this paper, we treat the orbit gluing problem when $M = S^1$ and N is a closed three manifold and separately when all orbits are assumed to be the same dimension but no restrictions are placed on M and N .

In the $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acting on closed N^3 setting, our primary result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on a closed three-manifold M with no global fixed points, then*

1. *M is an $\text{SO}(2)$ manifold with singular fibers multiplicity 2 or 3 and occurring in particular 2 and 3-dimensional orbits respectively, and special exceptional orbits occurring in particular orbit bundles if M is non-orientable.*
2. *The region consisting of 2-dimensional orbits which do not lie in the topological boundary of the 3-dimensional orbits is a disjoint union of bundles over $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$ foliated by their orbits with specified action.*
3. *The frontier of each 2-dimensional orbit consists of one or two 1D orbits.*

Our result for general M and N with orbits of a fixed dimension (which, in fact, the preceding result depends on) is:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ acts on a manifold N with all orbits having fixed dimension $n * \dim(M)$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then, N must have the structure of a generalized flat bundle over M ; moreover, the orbits form a foliation of N transverse to the fibers.*

We will give a precise bundle structure equipped with a $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ action in Section 3 where this result is proven.

2 Background

This section serves to recall definitions and introduce our conventions for notions related to homeomorphism groups, group actions and configuration spaces. At the end of the section, we classify the orbits of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on 3-manifolds in Proposition 2.1 as a direct application of the Chen-Mann orbit classification theorem [16].

We will make frequent use of the subgroups of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ which consist of homeomorphisms which fix a particular point on the circle. In the interest of avoiding ambiguity, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.1. For $\theta \in S^1$, let $G_\theta := \{f \in \text{Homeo}_0(S^1) \mid \theta \in \text{fix}(f)\}$. For $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in S^1$, let $G_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n} := \{f \in \text{Homeo}_0(S^1) \mid \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \text{fix}(f)\}$.

This is not to be confused with the stabilizer of a point or point set in N under an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on N . When this is, in fact, what we are referring to, we will use the following notation.

Definition 2.2. Suppose $\rho : \text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow N$ is some action and $X \subseteq N$ is a finite point set, then denote by $\text{Stab}(X)$ the subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ which fixes X setwise and denote its identity component $\text{Stab}_0(x)$.

Note that in general, the pointwise stabilizer of X is contained between $\text{Stab}(X)$ and $\text{Stab}_0(X)$, but it is not true in general that it is equal to $\text{Stab}_0(X)$. For example, consider the standard action of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{D}^2)$ on \mathbb{D}^2 and a finite point set $X \subset \mathbb{D}^2$. The quotient of the pointwise stabilizer of X by $\text{Stab}_0(X)$ is isomorphic to the pure braid group on $|X|$ strands.

Finally, configuration spaces are central to the study of homeomorphism group actions. We adopt the following notational conventions in line with [16].

Definition 2.3. The ordered configuration space of n points in M , denoted $\text{PConf}_n(M)$ is defined to be the n -fold product of M with itself cut along the set where any two or more coordinates coincide. There is a natural properly discontinuous, free action the symmetric group S_n on $\text{PConf}_n(M)$. The quotient by this action results in the unordered configuration space of n points in M , denoted $\text{Conf}_n(M)$.

audit for clarity
and directness

A foundational result about actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ from [16] is that orbits are continuous injective (equivariant) images of admissible covers of $\text{Conf}_n(M)$. There are many important details concealed in the word “accessible” as well as the particular details of the action on these spaces, see Section 4 of Chen-Mann[16] for a precise definition and classification. We will expand on these as needed, primarily in Section 3. Otherwise, an equivariant description of all orbits of a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action on a closed three-manifold will suffice for our purposes.




Figure 1: Orbit decomposition for the diagonal action on T^3

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on M closed 3-manifold, then every orbit a global fixed point or an equivariant continuous injective image of:

1. $\text{Conf}_1(S^1) \cong \text{PConf}_1(S^1) \cong S^1$
2. $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \cong (0, 1) \times S^1$, the open annulus
3. $\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \cong \text{Möb}$, the open Möbius band,
4. $\text{PConf}_3(S^1) \cong D^2 \times S^1$, the 3-dimensional open solid torus
5. $\text{Conf}_3(S^1) \cong D^2 \times S^1$ — restriction to $\text{SO}(2)$ gives the $(3, 1)$ standard fibered solid torus

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Since $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_1(\text{PConf}_n(S^1))$, every admissible cover of $\text{Conf}_M(S^1)$ is an intermediate cover of the covering $\text{PConf}_n(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(S^1)$. By invariance of domain, the orbits are at most 3-dimensional (and $\text{Conf}_n(S^1)$ is n -dimensional), so $n = 1, 2, 3$. Clearly for $n = 1$, the only possible orbit topology is $\text{PConf}_1(S^1) \cong \text{Conf}_1(S^1) \cong S^1$. Clearly $\text{PConf}_2(S^1)$ is homeomorphic to an open annulus, and it is a standard – albeit satisfying – exercise in cut-and-paste topology to check that $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$ is homeomorphic to an open Möbius band. This covering is degree 2, so there are no spaces intermediate to this covering. Finally, in the $n = 3$ case the computation is as follows.

Consider the diagonal action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on T^3 considered as $[0, 1]^3$ with face identifications. Cutting along the 1 S^1 orbit and 3 annulus orbits leaves 6 tetrahedral regions in $[0, 1]^3$. These glue together in 2 cycles to give two disjoint subsets of T^3 each homeomorphic to $D^2 \times S^1$ corresponding to the two possible cyclic ordering of three marked points on S^1 ; thus, by restricting to one of these components we recover the configuration space action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on $\text{PConf}_3(S^1) \cong D^2 \times S^1$. The rotation subgroup action restricts directly from the rotation subgroup action on T^3 , so in particular, all fibers of the associated Seifert-fibered structure are regular. The action of S_3 which permutes coordinates can be generated by a $2\pi/3$ rotation about the line $x = y = z$. In particular, the quotient by this action identifies each of the 3 tetrahedra which make up $\text{PConf}_3(S^1)$. Thus, $\text{Conf}_3(S^1)$ can be understood as a tetrahedron with all but two faces (including edges and vertices) cut out and the two remaining faces glued by a $1/3$ twist. The rotation subgroup action rotates along circular fibers parallel to the edge opposite the shared edge of the two glued face. The associated Seifert-fibered structure is that of a $(3, 1)$ standard fibered solid torus. The covering from $\text{PConf}_3(S^1)$ to $\text{Conf}_3(S^1)$ is three-fold, so there is no intermediate covering. \square

2.1 Fundamental Examples

The following examples can all be viewed as constructed by cutting, pasting and taking quotients along invariant subsets of examples which arise as products of some action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a surface with either the trivial or evaluation action on S^1 . No theorem is proven that all actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on closed 3-manifolds arise this way, but, in particular, no examples which contradict this are known to the authors.

0. **T^3 diagonal action:** The simplest (and perhaps most illustrative) example of an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a 3-manifold is the diagonal action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on T^3 , i.e.

$$\rho(f)(\theta, \varphi, \psi) = (f(\theta), f(\varphi), f(\psi))$$

This action has one 1-dimensional orbit $\sigma := \{(\theta, \theta, \theta) \mid \theta \in S^1\}$, three 2-dimensional corresponding to each torus $\theta = \varphi$, $\varphi = \psi$ and $\theta = \psi$ minus σ and two 3-dimensional orbits corresponding to the connected components of the complement of the 1 and 2-dimensional orbits. Part of the reason this example is so illustrative is that it gives us a concrete setting to study the configuration space action on $\text{PConf}_3(S^1)$ and its closure. In particular, every example of an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a closed 3-manifold with 3-dimensional orbits which has been constructed by the authors has the boundaries of the 3d orbits being some quotient of the boundary of one of the 3d orbits in this example split twice along σ . Additionally, the quotient of T^3 by the action of Z^3 which cyclically permutes the coordinates gives an example of an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on $S^2 \times S^1$ with Seifert fibering $(S^2, (3, 1), (3, -1))$ with two $\text{Conf}_3(S^1)$ orbits.

1. **Product actions:** Let $\rho : \text{Homeo}_0(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(\Sigma)$ where Σ is some compact surface. Then there are two associated essentially different actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on $\Sigma \times S^1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho \times 0(f)(p, \theta) &:= (\rho(f)(p), \theta) \\ \rho \times \text{ev}(f)(p, \theta) &:= (\rho(f)(p), f(\theta))\end{aligned}$$

The first action is not particularly interesting and is mentioned only for completeness. If ρ has fixed points, then $\rho \times 0$ does as well, while $\rho \times \text{ev}$ is fixed-point free. The orbits of this action can be understood as follows. Given a global fixed point for p for the action ρ , $\{p\} \times S^1$ is a 1-dimensional orbit. Given σ a 1-dimensional orbit, $\sigma \times S^1$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to a 2-torus with the diagonal action. Finally the closure of a two dimensional orbit $\bar{X} \times S^1$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to T^3 with the diagonal action split along an invariant T^2 (or the corresponding 3-fold quotient if X is a möbius band)

There are constructive examples using the results of this paper and illustrating the diversity of examples possible throughout the remainder of the paper. The key construction for understanding actions which are more complicated than product actions is contained in the following section.

3 General $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ action structure: weak tube theorem

Though the primary focus of this paper concerns actions on 3-manifolds, in an effort to understand how orbits of a fixed dimension are situated in the ambient manifold we arrive at a generalized flat bundle structure. In a sense, orbit bundles can be thought of as capturing the ways in which the partition into orbits of fixed dimension fails to be a stratification. This result can also be thought of as a kind of generalization of Theorem 1.5 in [16] – removing the dimension assumptions on the total manifold, but adding in assumptions about the dimensions of the orbits. Not only does it function as a generalization of this theorem, but the proof follows much the same structure. Most of the divergence from this structure come in the proof of the continuity of the map from the model spaces to N . Section 3.1 gives the necessary constructions to state the primary result of this section, ?? . Section 3.2 provides a key topological lemma (and a closely related one) which is used in the proof of ?? in Section 3.3. Finally Section 3.4 provides an application of ??, classifying up to equivariant homeomorphism all actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on 3-manifolds having only 2-dimensional orbits.

refer more directly to katie's flat bundle theorem

figure out how to say orbit bundle lemma before everything is defined

3.1 $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ invariant tube construction

Let N be a connected manifold of dimension $D = n * \dim(M)$ for some n . Let $\rho : \text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(N)$ be some action such that all orbits have dimension n . In particular, by the Chen-Mann orbit classification theorem, all of the orbits are injective continuous equivariant images of covers of $\text{Conf}_n(M)$. Fix a base point $b \in \text{Conf}_n(M)$. We frequently need to refer to both a point in $\text{Conf}_n(M)$ and the associated set of points in M . To avoid confusion, if x is a point in $\text{Conf}_n(M)$, we will denote by $x_{\{\cdot\}}$ the corresponding set of points in M . Also, note that the deck group of the maximal admissible covering $\bar{C}_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$ can be identified with $\text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})/\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}}) \subset \text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

how about underline instead? this reads pretty clunky

To begin, we construct the flat bundle structure of interest. The first step is defining the map which will serve as the projection map of our bundle structure. Suppose O is an orbit in N . Recall that O is a continuous injective image of an admissible cover of $\text{Conf}_n(M)$ with a lift of the standard configuration action. So, in particular, for every point $x \in O$, there is a unique $X \in \text{Conf}_n(M)$ such that $\text{Stab}_0(X) \subseteq G_x \subseteq \text{Stab}(X)$. Then, define $p(x)_{\{\cdot\}} = X$. Since $G_{\rho(f)(x)} = fG_x f^{-1}$ it follows that $\text{Stab}(f(X)) \subseteq G_{\rho(f)(x)} \subseteq \text{Stab}(f(X))$.

Proposition 3.1. The map $p : A \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$ is $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ equivariant and continuous.

Proof. Equivariance follows directly from the definition. So, the primary concern of this result is to show that p is continuous. We will do this by checking continuity on a nice basis for the configuration space topology. In particular, note that if \mathcal{B} is a basis for the topology on M , then the set of all products of n disjoint elements of \mathcal{B} form a basis for the topology on $\text{PConf}_n(M)$ i.e., the n -fold product of M with itself minus the fat diagonal. Then, the image of this basis under the quotient forms a basis for the topology on $\text{Conf}_n(M)$. Let U be the image of one such $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$ under the quotient map to $\text{Conf}_n(M)$.

Claim. Let $H \cdot B_i$ denote the $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ subgroup of homeomorphisms supported on B_i .

$$p^{-1}(U) = N \setminus \bigcup \text{fix}(H \cdot B_i)$$

Proof. By the equivariance of p and the *locally continuously transitive* property of homeomorphism group actions

$$\text{fix}(H \cdot B_i) = \{x \in N \mid p(x)_{\{\cdot\}} \cap B_i = \emptyset\}$$

Interpreted semantically, this says that the fixed point set of $H \cdot B_i$ acting on N is the set of all points whose image under p has no coordinate in B_i . Then we have the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} N - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{fix}(H \cdot B_i) &= N - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x \in N \mid p(x)_{\{\cdot\}} \cap B_i = \emptyset\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n N - \{x \in N \mid p(x)_{\{\cdot\}} \cap B_i = \emptyset\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in N \mid p(x)_{\{\cdot\}} \cap B_i \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= p^{-1}(U) \end{aligned}$$

□

So, $p^{-1}(U)$ is open since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{fix}(H \cdot B_i)$ is a finite union of closed sets and thus closed. In particular, this shows that p is continuous. □

Using the map p , we construct the following generalized flat bundle over $\text{Conf}_n(M)$.

Construction. Denote $F := p^{-1}(b)$. Let $f \in \text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})$, then under the configuration space action $f * b = b$, so by the equivariance of p , the action of $\text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})$ preserves F . By Theorem 2.14 in [16], the subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ which fixes $x \in p^{-1}(b)$ is contained in $\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}})$. So in particular, $\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}})$ fixes F pointwise. Thus, the action of $\text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})$ on F descends to an action of $\rho_F : \text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})/\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(F)$. The group $\text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})/\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}})$ is naturally isomorphic with Γ , the deck group of $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$. In particular, Γ acts on $E_b := C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \times F$ by the deck group action on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}}$ and ρ on F . Then by definition, $E_b/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$ is a generalized flat bundle.

Definition 3.1. Suppose $\rho : \text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(N)$ is an action as described at the beginning of the section. We refer to $E_b/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$ as the generalized flat bundle associated to ρ

Let L denote the action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}}$, then we have the product action $L \times 0$ on E_b . To show that this action descends to the quotient, it suffices to prove that the actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ and Γ commute. The action $L \times 0$ is trivial on F , and deck transformations of $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{|b_{\{\cdot\}}|}(M)$ commute with the left action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}}$. So, in particular, the action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on E_b descends to an action on E_b/Γ . When we refer to E_b/Γ , the implied action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ will always be this action.

In light of this construction, the following is the precise statement of what we will refer to as the weak tube theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *There's a map:*

$$I : C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \times p^{-1}(b)/\Gamma \rightarrow N.$$

Moreover, I is an equivariant homeomorphism with the $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ action on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \times p^{-1}(b)/\Gamma$ being the quotient of the product of the standard action L on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}}$ with the trivial action on the fiber.

3.2 Three Useful Topological Lemmas

Fact 1. Suppose that X, Y are metric spaces, $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$ is a sequence of continuous functions converging to f uniformly on compact sets and (x_n) is a sequence of points converging to x . Then $f_n(x_n)$ converges to $f(x)$

this can be omitted, it's "standard"

Proof. A convergent sequence together with its limit is a compact set in any metric space, so f_n converges uniformly on $A = \{x_n\} \cup \{x\}$. Following definitions, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some N such that for all $n > N$ and $t \in A$, $d_Y(f_n(t), f(t)) < \varepsilon/2$. Similarly, by the convergence of $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and the continuity of f , we can demand N' such that for all $n > N'$, $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x)) < \varepsilon/2$. Then, by the triangle inequality, for all $n > \max(N, N')$,

$$d_Y(f_n(x_n), f(x)) \leq \varepsilon$$

□

Lemma 3.1. Suppose there is some action ρ of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on N . Suppose $A \subset N$ is closed and $H \subset \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ is compact, then $H \cdot A := \bigcup_{h \in H} \rho(h)(A)$ is closed. If A is compact, then $H \cdot A$ is also compact.

add some fussing about automatic continuity to preliminaries

Proof. Suppose $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ is a convergent sequence in $H \cdot A$, then by definition, there is a sequence of homeomorphisms $f_n \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that $\rho(f_n^{-1})(x_n) \in A$ for all A . Since H is compact, we may pass to a convergent subsequence of $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f \in H$. Under the standard topology on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$, convergence is uniform convergence on compact sets. By the preceding general metric space Fact 1, $\rho(f_{n_k}^{-1})(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow \rho(f^{-1})(x)$. Since A is closed, $\rho(f^{-1})(x) \in A$, thus $x \in H \cdot A$.

describe std topology on homeo in intro

Suppose further that A is compact. Let $\rho(f_n)(x'_n) = (x_n) \subset H \cdot A$ an arbitrary sequence. By sequential compactness of A , there is some subsequence such that $(x'_{n_k}) \rightarrow x \in A$. Passing to a further subsequence, we may also assume that $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f \in H$. Then, by continuous convergence, $\rho(f_{n_k})(x_{n_k})$ converges to $\rho(f)(x) \in H \cdot A$. Thus, $H \cdot A$ is sequentially compact, and thus compact since N is metrizable. □

The following is a closely related technical result which considers convergence of sets in the sense of Kuratowski[13]. If this is unfamiliar to the reader, it suffices to know that to any sequence of sets $A_n \subseteq X$, we associate two sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li } A_n &:= \{x \in X \mid x_n \in X_n; (x_n) \rightarrow x\} \\ \text{Ls } A_n &:= \{x \in X \mid x_{n_k} \in X_{n_k}; (x_{n_k}) \rightarrow x\} \end{aligned}$$

If $A := \text{Li } A_n = \text{Ls } A_n$, then A_n is said to converge and we denote $\text{Lim } A_n = A$

reorder this to address k-convergent more clearly

Lemma 3.2. Suppose there is some action ρ of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on N . Suppose $X_n \subset N$ is a convergent sequence of sets $\text{Lim } X_n = X$ and $H \subset \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ is compact, then $\text{Lim } H \cdot X_n = H \cdot X$

Proof. A basic fact from Kuratowski's book is that for any sequence, $\text{Li } A_n \subseteq \text{Ls } A_n$. So, it will suffice to show $\text{Ls } (H \cdot X_n) \subseteq H \cdot \text{Lim } X_n \subseteq \text{Li } (H \cdot X_n)$. First, we check $\text{Ls } X_n \subseteq H \cdot \text{Lim } X_n$. Suppose that $x \in \text{Ls } H \cdot X_n$. That is, there is some $n_1 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ such that there are (x_{n_k}) chosen from X_{n_k} and $f_{n_k} \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that $\rho(f_{n_k})(x_{n_k})$ converges — call the limit point x . Since H is compact, up to passing to some further subsequence, f_{n_k} converges to some $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$. Thus, $\rho(f)(x_{n_k})$ converges to x . In particular, x_{n_k} converges to some x' which is by definition in $\text{Ls } X_n = \text{Lim } X_n$, so $x = \rho(f)(x')$ lies in $H \cdot \text{Lim } X_n$. To check the remaining containment, suppose that $x \in H \cdot \text{Lim } X_n$. In particular, there is some sequence (x_n) chosen from each X_n , some $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ and some x' such that (x_n) converges to x' and $\rho(f)(x') = x$. Then, by continuity, $\rho(f)(x_n) \rightarrow \rho(f)(x') = x$, so by definition, $x \in \text{Li } X_n$. So, in conclusion, $H \cdot X_n$ is convergent and $\text{Lim } (H \cdot X_n) = H \cdot \text{Lim } X_n$ □

add theorem/sec number

To make use of these lemmas in a general setting, we have the following construction of a particular compact family of homeomorphisms which is used in the proof of ??.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $K \subseteq \text{Conf}_M(n)$ is compact and $b \in \text{Conf}_M(n)$ is a choice of basepoint, then there is a compact set $H_K \subset \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that for every $p \in K$, there is some $f \in H_K$ such that $f * p = b$ where $*$ denotes the configuration space action.

The details are not essential but are presented for completeness. The proof follows a local-global structure, first proving for \mathbb{R}^n , generalizing to M and finally to $\text{Conf}_M(n)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $B_r(0)$ denote the radius r ball in \mathbb{R}^n centered on 0. There exists a compact set $H \subset \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for every $p \in B_1(0)$ there is some $f \in H$ such that $f(p) = 0$ and $\text{Supp}(H) \subseteq B_2(0)$

katie says this lemma can be simplified

Proof. For the following we use generalized spherical coordinates (r, p) on \mathbb{R}^n where $p \in S^{n-1}$. Denote the dilation map with ratio r and center p as D_p^r and the antipodal map of S^{n-1} as α . Associated to each $(\rho, \sigma) \in \overline{B_1(0)}$ define the homeomorphism $f_{(\rho, \sigma)} \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows:

$$f_{(\rho, \sigma)} := \begin{cases} \text{Id} & r > 2 \\ D_{(r, \alpha(\sigma))}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}r+\rho}(r, p) & 2 \geq r \geq 1 \\ D_{(1, \alpha(\sigma))}^{\frac{1+\rho}{2}}(r, p) & r < 1 \end{cases}$$

Clearly $f_{(\rho, \sigma)}((\rho, \sigma)) = 0$; moreover, the map $f_{(\rho, \sigma)}$ depends continuously on (ρ, σ) , so $H = \{f_{(\rho, \sigma)} \mid (\rho, \sigma) \in \overline{B_1(0)}\}$ is compact. Note that many other choices of maps are possible. \square

Lemma 3.5. Suppose M is an n -manifold, $K \subseteq M$ is compact, and $b \in M$ is a basepoint, then there is a compact subset $H_K \subset \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that for every $p \in K$, there is some $f \in H_K$ such that $f(p) = b$.

Proof. Let $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ be a regular atlas where U'_α denotes the enlarged open neighborhood of U_α , and $\varphi_\alpha : U'_\alpha \rightarrow B_3(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\varphi_\alpha : \overline{U_\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{B_1(0)}$. Restrict to a finite subcover $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$ of K . Let H_i denote the pullback of the collection H by φ_i , extended by the identity on $M - \varphi_i^{-1}(B_2(0))$. Clearly φ_i induces a homeomorphism of the subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ with support on U'_i and the subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support on $B_3(0)$, so H_i is compact. Finally, let $f_i \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ be such that $f_i(\varphi_i^{-1}(0)) = b$, then

$$H_K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i \cdot f_i.$$

This is a finite union of compact sets, so it is compact, moreover there are clearly element which take each point in K to the basepoint. \square

Finally, we prove Lemma 3.3 using these intermediate results.

Proof. Suppose d is a metric on M , then clearly there is a uniform ε such that for all $p \in K$, and $x, y \in p_{\{\cdot\}}$, $d(x, y) > \varepsilon$. In particular, by choosing an atlas for M with all charts having diameter bounded above by $\varepsilon/2$, we can cover K with finitely many charts $V_j := [U_{1,j} \times \cdots \times U_{n,j}]$ where the U_i 's are mutually disjoint charts for M . Then let H_j^i be the compact family given by Lemma 3.5. Since all the U_i 's are mutually disjoint, $H_j := H_{1,j} \cdots H_{n,j}$ is a compact family of homeomorphisms taking all points in $\overline{V_j}$ to $p_j := \{\varphi_{1,j}^{-1}(0), \dots, \varphi_{n,j}^{-1}(0)\}$. Since the action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on M is n -transitive for all n , there is an element f_j of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that $f_j * p_j = b$. Then, the union of $H_j \cdot f_j$ is a compact family of homeomorphisms having the desired property for $K \subseteq M$. \square

3.3 Proof of weak tube theorem

The remainder of the proof is concerned with showing that M is equivariantly homeomorphic to $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \times F/\Gamma$, where the action on the quotient is as described in ?? .

state hypotheses for orb. bundle lemma

Proof. In order, we will construct a map \hat{I} from $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \times F$ to N , show that \hat{I} is well-defined, descends to an equivariant map I on the quotient, I is continuous, and I is proper (thus a homeomorphism). Fix a lift \tilde{b} of b in $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}}$.

note this follows chen-mann proof outline

Definition of \hat{I} . Let $(x, y) \in C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}} \times F$, then suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that $L(f)(x) = \tilde{b}$, then define $\hat{I}((x, y)) = \rho(f^{-1})(y)$. Suppose that g is another element of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that $L(g)(x) = \tilde{b}$. Then, gf^{-1} fixes \tilde{b} . Since L commutes with the action of Γ on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}}}$ by deck transformations, that gf^{-1} fixes a particular lift of the base point suffices to show gf^{-1} is in the pointwise stabilizer of $b_{\{\cdot\}}$. In particular, under the action on N , it fixes $p^{-1}(b)$ pointwise, so:

$$\rho(f^{-1})(y) = \rho(f^{-1})(\rho((gf^{-1})^{-1})(y)) = \rho(g^{-1})(y)$$

\hat{I} descends. Suppose that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a deck transformation of $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}} \cdot} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$. Recall that Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})/\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}})$, so let $\bar{\gamma} \in \text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}}) \subseteq \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ be an element which represents the $\text{Stab}_0(b_{\{\cdot\}})$ equivalence class of the element corresponding to γ . Note that the action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}} \cdot}$ commutes with deck transformations since it is a lift; in particular, for any $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ and any $x \in C_{b_{\{\cdot\}} \cdot}$:

$$L(f \circ \bar{\gamma})(x) = L(f)(\gamma(x)) = \gamma(L(f)(x)) = L((\bar{\gamma} \circ f)(x)).$$

Then, suppose that $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ satisfies $L(f)(x) = \tilde{b}$. By the above equalities, $L(f\bar{\gamma}^{-1})(\gamma(x)) = L(f)(x) = \tilde{b}$, so

$$\hat{I}(\gamma(x, y)) = \rho((f\bar{\gamma}^{-1})^{-1})(\rho(\bar{\gamma})(y)) = \rho((\bar{\gamma}^{-1}f)^{-1})(\rho(\bar{\gamma})(y))$$

Finally, by rearranging the elements acting on y , we arrive at $\hat{I}(\gamma(x, y)) = \rho f(y) = \hat{I}(x, y)$. Thus, \hat{I} descends to the quotient – call the resulting map I .

I is equivariant. Let $\varphi \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$, $[(x, y)] \in E_b/\Gamma$ and f_x a defining map for $\hat{I}((x, y))$. Note that $f_x\varphi^{-1}$ is a defining map for $\hat{I}(L(\varphi)(x), y)$, so,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\varphi * [(x, y)]) &= I([\varphi * (x, y)]) \\ &= I([(L(\varphi)(x), y)]) \\ &= \hat{I}((L(\varphi)(x), y)) \\ &= \rho(\varphi f_x^{-1})(y) \\ &= \rho(\varphi)(\hat{I}((x, y))) \\ &= \rho(\varphi)(I((x, y))) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, I is equivariant.

I is bijective. Note that since I is equivariant, the image is clearly a $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ invariant set, so to see that I is surjective, it suffices to note that the image of $\tilde{b} \times F$ is exactly $F \subseteq N$, which intersects every orbit at least once, so the image of I is N .

Now, to show injectivity, suppose $(x, t), (y, s)$ are points in $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}} \cdot} \times F$ such that $\hat{I}(x, t) = \hat{I}(y, s)$. In particular, there exist $f_x, f_y \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that $L(f_x)(x) = \tilde{b}$, $L(f_y)(y) = \tilde{b}$ and $\rho(f_x^{-1})(t) = \rho(f_y^{-1})(s)$. Then, $\rho(f_y f_x^{-1})(t) = s$, so in particular, $f_y f_x^{-1} \in \text{Stab}(b_{\{\cdot\}})$. Let γ denote the deck transformation of $C_{b_{\{\cdot\}} \cdot} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$ associated to $f_y f_x^{-1}$. Then, $L(f_y f_x^{-1})(\tilde{b}) = \gamma^{-1}(\tilde{b})$. By left cancellation and commuting γ through L , $\gamma(L(f_x^{-1})(\tilde{b})) = L(f_y^{-1})(\tilde{b})$. So, by definition of f_x and f_y , $\gamma(x) = y$. Thus, under the deck group action, $f_y f_x^{-1}$ takes (x, t) to (y, s) , so they represent the same point in the quotient, so I is injective.

I is continuous. We will now check sequential continuity. Suppose $[(x_i, y_i)]$ converges to $[(x, y)]$ in $(C_{b_{\{\cdot\}} \cdot} \times F)/\Gamma$ where (x_i, y_i) is a sequence of lifts which converges to (x, y) in E_b . Let $(x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n) \in \text{Conf}_n(M)$ be the projections of the x_i 's such that x_i^j converges to x^j where (x^1, \dots, x^n) is the $\text{Conf}_n(M)$ projection of x . there exists some ball $B \ni x$ and some N such that for all $n > N$, $x_n \in B$. The $\text{Conf}_n(M)$ and subsequently M projection of B is (up to shrinking) a disjoint union of n disjoint balls B_N^1, \dots, B_N^n in M such that B_N^j contains x_i^j and x^j for all $i > N$. Finally, let $B_N^j \supset \dots \supset B_{N+1}^j \supset \dots$ be a decreasing sequence of balls with diameter converging to zero defined analogously to B_N^j . Then for all $i \geq N$ let $\varphi_i^j \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ be such that $\varphi_i^j(x_i^j) = x^j$ and $\text{supp}(\varphi_i^j) \subseteq B_N^j$ as guaranteed by the *locally continuously transitive property*. Define $\varphi_i := \varphi_i^1 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_i^n$. Then if f_x is a defining map for $\hat{I}((x, y))$ then $f_{x_i} := f_x \circ \varphi_i$ is a defining map for $\hat{I}(x_i, y_i)$. Clearly $L(\varphi_i)(x_i) = x$ and φ_i converges to $\text{Id} \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$, so f_{x_i} converges to f_x . Then, by continuity of the action, $\rho(f_{x_i}^{-1})(y_i)$ converges to $\rho(f_x^{-1})(y)$

I is a homeomorphism. A useful general topological fact is that a proper continuous map into a locally compact Hausdorff space is closed, so in particular a bijective, continuous, proper map into a locally compact Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism. So, to complete this proof, we will prove that I is proper. The definition of properness we will use is that the image of a sequence which *diverges to infinity* must *diverge to infinity*.

Definition 3.2. A sequence (x_n) in topological space X is said to diverge to infinity if any compact subset $K \subset X$ contains at most finitely many points of (x_n)

fix a metric, possibly in the preliminaries section

define lct property




Figure 2: $SO(2)$ projection of the orbit decomposition of the exceptional tubes types, cone points marked in red

Suppose (x_n) is a sequence in $(C_{b_{\{i\}}} \times F)/\Gamma$ diverging to infinity, then let $K \subset N$ be a compact set. If $p(K)$ contains finitely many points of $\{p(I(x_n))\}$, then we are done, so suppose that $p(K)$ contains infinitely many points of $\{p(I(x_n))\}$. Pass to the subsequence of points whose image under p is contained in $p(K)$ and reindex it as (x_n) . There is a compact family of homeomorphisms $H \subseteq \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ such that for every point in $p(K)$, there is a corresponding element which takes that point to $b \in \text{Conf}_n(M)$ under the configuration space action. By Lemma 3.1, $H \cdot K := \bigcup_{h \in H_K} \rho(h)(K)$ is a compact set containing K . In particular, $H \cdot K \cap p^{-1}(b)$ is a compact set.

by lemma cpct to basepoint

Lemma. The map I restricted to the image of $\tilde{b} \times F$ under the quotient (which we will call \hat{F}) is a homeomorphism onto $F \subset N$.

Proof. Trivially \hat{I} is a homeomorphism from $\tilde{b} \times F$ to F . No points in $\tilde{b} \times F$ are identified under the quotient, so the quotient map restricted to $\tilde{b} \times F$ is a homeomorphism. But, I restricted to the image of $\tilde{b} \times F$ under the quotient is equal to \hat{I} composed with the inverse of the restricted quotient map, which is a composition of homeomorphisms, so in particular $I|_{\hat{F}}: \hat{F} \rightarrow F$ is a homeomorphism. \square

Note. Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ acts on manifold N and $(x_n) \subset N$ diverges to infinity, $H \subseteq \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ compact and $\{f_n\} \subset H$, then $(f_n * x_n)$ diverges to infinity. This follows directly from Lemma 3.1 since if K is a compact set containing infinitely many points of $(f_n * x_n)$, then $H^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set containing infinitely many points of (x_n) .

is this used?

Let $f_n \in H$ be homeomorphisms such that $f_n * x_n \in \hat{F}$. By the preceding lemma, $(I(f_n * x_n))$ diverges to infinity. By equivariance, $I(x_n) = \rho(f_n^{-1})(I(f_n * x_n))$, so by the note $I(x_n)$ diverges to infinity. \square

3.4 Example Classifications for $M = S^1$

For components consisting of codimension 1 orbits, the fiber must be 1-dimensional and all 1-dimensional homology manifolds are manifolds. So, equivariant homeomorphism types of codimension 1 $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ invariant tubes are classified by actions of the deck group of Γ of the covering $C_X \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$ on 1-manifolds up to equivariant homeomorphism. Note that, in general, $|\pi_0(F)| \leq |\Gamma|$ and the components of F are homeomorphic.

rephrase as application of lemma 2.1

Since classification depends strogly on dimension constraints, a general result is not within reach, but fixing $M = S^1$ and $\dim(N) = 3$ a classification is possible. Note, in this setting $C_X = \text{PConf}_2(S^1)$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

advertise prop 2.2 as complete classification.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on a 3-manifold M with or without boundary with only 2-dimensional orbits. Then M is equivariantly homeomorphic to $\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \times C$, $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times C$ with the configuration space action cross the trivial action or one of four exceptional bundle structures described in terms Seifert fibered structure and the action on the fiber.

1. M is Seifert isomorphic to $Möb \times S^1$, and $F \cong S^1$. Considering the standard twisted I -bundle structure on $Möb$, the orbits of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ are the I fibers cross S^1 .
2. M is Seifert isomorphic to $(\mathbb{D}^2, (2, 1))$, and $F \cong \mathbb{R}$. Action on the fiber is by a reflection.
3. M is Seifert isomorphic to $(\mathbb{D}^2, (2, 1), (2, 1))$, and $F \cong S^1$. Action on the fiber is by the antipodal map.

		product		exceptional	
		orientable	non-orientable	orientable	non-orientable
open	$F \cong S^1$	$\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times S^1$	$\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \times S^1$	$(\mathbb{D}^2, (2, 1), (2, -1))$	$M\ddot{o}b \times S^1$
	$F \cong \mathbb{R}$	$\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times \mathbb{R}$	$\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \times \mathbb{R}$	$(\mathbb{D}^2, (2, 1))$	
non-open	$F \cong [0, 1)$	$\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times [0, 1)$	$\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \times [0, 1)$		
	$F \cong \bar{I}$	$\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times \bar{I}$	$\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \times \bar{I}$	$(\mathbb{D}^2 \cup I, (2, 1))$	

Table 1: Summary of equivariant homeomorphism types of 3d tubes about 2d $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubes.

4. M is Seifert isomorphic to $(\mathbb{D}^2 \cup I, (2, 1))$ where I is a interval in the boundary of the closed disk \mathbb{D}^2 , and $F \cong [0, 1]$. Action on the fiber is by a reflection.

Proof. Note, the quotient gives a 2-fold covering from $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times F$ to the $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tube X , which is connected, so $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times F$ and thus F has at most two components. Assume F has two components. Since the quotient is connected, the action must permute the components (homeomorphically), so in particular we can express the fiber as $F \cong C \sqcup C$. Then the action is just a homeomorphism from one component of $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times F$ to the other, so the quotient is $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times C$ where the resulting $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action is the product of the standard configuration space action on $\text{PConf}_2(S^1)$ and the trivial action on the fiber C .

If F has a single component, then the \mathbb{Z}_2 action is simply a choice of involution on F . For any possible F , we can allow \mathbb{Z}_2 to act trivially. Then, the quotient loads entirely onto the $\text{PConf}_2(S^1)$ factor, where \mathbb{Z}_2 acts as the deck group of $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_2(S^1)$, so the resulting quotient is $\text{Conf}_2(S^1) \times F$. This is nonorientable (regardless of F) and top-dimensional, so in general, such tubes only arise when N is nonorientable. The remainder of the proof is done by exhaustion. $[0, 1)$ has no nontrivial involutions, $[0, 1]$ and \mathbb{R} each have one and S^1 has two. In the interest of completeness, we will give coordinates and describe each example carefully.

Let \mathbb{Z}_2 act on S^1 by a π rotation. Then, $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by $((\theta, \varphi), \psi) \mapsto ((\varphi, \theta), \psi + \pi)$. This map is orientation-reversing, so the resulting quotient is non-orientable. The quotient fibers over the circle with annulus fibers, which are the $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ orbits. There is a unique twisted annulus bundle over the circle, and it is Seifert isomorphic to $M\ddot{o}b \times S^1$.

Let \mathbb{Z}_2 act on S^1 by the antipodal map. Then, $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by $((\theta, \varphi), \psi) \mapsto ((\varphi, \theta), -\psi)$. There are two $\text{SO}(2)$ preserved by this action, namely $\{(\theta, \theta + \pi)\} \times \{0, \pi\}$ (recall that the $\text{SO}(2)$ orbits are the circles $\theta - \varphi = c$ for some constant). Clearly all other fibers must be regular fibers, and these will be multiplicity 2 singular fibers. Clearly $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times S^1$ has base surface Euler characteristic 0. So, the quotient base 2-d orbifold is an open 2-d orbifold with orbifold Euler characteristic 0, so the Euler characteristic of the underlying surface must be 1, in particular it is an open disk. Finally, by a cut-and-paste argument, we can show that the two singular fibers have $(2, 1)$ and $(2, -1)$ -standard fibered solid torus neighborhoods respectively. So, in particular, the overall tube is Seifert isomorphic to $(\mathbb{D}^2, (2, 1), (2, -1))$.

Let \mathbb{Z}_2 act on \mathbb{R} or $[-1, 1]$ by a reflection. Then, $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by $((\theta, \varphi), x) \mapsto ((\varphi, \theta), -x)$. Similarly there is only one (multiplicity 2) singular fiber. The base surface of the product is a disk, thus has orbifold Euler characteristic 1, and the covering is degree 2, so the quotient base orbifold has orbifold Euler characteristic $1/2$, so in particular by the same reasoning as the preceding computation, the underlying surface to the base orbifold is a disk. When the fiber is \mathbb{R} , this is an open disk and when the fiber is $[-1, 1]$, the base surface for the product is an open disk together with two disjoint open intervals in the boundary. The quotient identifies these intervals, so the quotient disk has an open orbifold in the boundary. Since the same cut and paste construction shows that the unique singular fiber has a $(2, 1)$ standard fibered torus neighborhood, the overall tube is Seifert-isomorphic to $(\mathbb{D}^2, (2, 1))$. \square

In fact, for $\dim(N) = 4$, $n = 3$, the classification is even simpler. The deck group is \mathbb{Z}_3 , every action of \mathbb{Z}_3 on \mathbb{R} is trivial, and every action of \mathbb{Z}_3 on S^1 is conjugate to the standard action by $2\pi/3$ rotations or trivial. Ultimately, after accounting for conjugacy, the only $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubular neighborhoods about 3-dimensional orbits in a 4-manifold are $\text{Conf}_3(S^1) \times \mathbb{R}$, $\text{Conf}_3(S^1) \times S^1$ and $\text{PConf}_3(S^1) \times S^1$. It is possible to continue this scheme to classify all $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubular neighborhoods about codimension 1 orbits,

but the details will be essentially number-theoretic in nature. The classification of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubes about codimension 2 orbit in 4-manifolds is tractable via the study of involutions on surfaces[15]. A general codimension 2 classification depends on the significantly more involved theory of finite cyclic group actions on surfaces [1][10].

consider expanding

4 Structural results for $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acting on closed 3-manifolds

To understand $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds, it is instructive to at first have in mind which closed 3-manifolds may admit a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on closed 3-manifold M without global fixed points. M is an $\text{SO}(2)$ -manifold with exceptional orbits of multiplicity two or three lying in $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ orbits of type $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$ and $\text{Conf}_3(S^1)$. Special exceptional orbits have open non-orientable $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubular neighborhoods homeomorphic to $\text{Möb} \times S^1$. In particular, when M is orientable, it is Seifert-fibered.*

Particularly in light of the weak tube theorem Theorem 3.1, it is fruitful to divide the manifold M being acted on into the sets of orbits of fixed dimension $M_{(1)}$, $M_{(2)}$ and $M_{(3)}$. The following $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ answer to the classical Lie group orbit type stratification theorem provides a nearly complete answer to the orbit gluing question when only one and two-dimensional orbits are present.

Theorem 4.2 (Weak Orbit Type Stratification). *Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on closed 3-manifold M with no global fixed points. Every orbit in $M_{(2)} - \overline{M_{(3)}}$ has a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ -invariant tubular neighborhood in the sense of Theorem 3.1 which is isomorphic to one of the examples in the top row of Table 1. Every orbit in $M_{(1)} - \overline{M_{(2)} \cup M_{(3)}}$ has an invariant neighborhood isomorphic to $\Sigma \times S^1$ where Σ is an open surface of finite genus. Moreover, finitely many components of $M_{(2)} - \overline{M_{(3)}}$ are of exceptional type and finitely many components of $M_{(1)} - \overline{M_{(2)} \cup M_{(3)}}$ have Σ with nonzero genus.*

To complete the story when $M_{(3)} = \emptyset$, we employ the following technical lemma:

Lemma 4.1. The frontier of every 2-dimensional orbit consists of one or two 1-dimensional orbit.

It is interesting to note that the proof of this lemma uses very little which is particular to the dimension of the orbit and nothing that is particular to the dimension of the ambient manifold.

Finally, we give a construction providing uncountably many non-conjugate examples of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on Seifert-fibered spaces of the form $(\Sigma, (2, 1), \dots, (2, 1))$ (including trivial circle bundles) or $\text{SO}(2)(2)$ manifolds with the same restrictions.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose $(S_b, 2, \dots, 2)$ is a compact 2-dimensional orbifold with b boundary components and $\{X_k\}$ a collection of disjoint open topological disks, annuli and Möbius bands in S_b such that:

1. Each annulus and Möbius band contains no cone points and each disk contains at most 2 cone points. All boundary components are contained in the boundary of some annulus.
2. The topological frontier of each disk and annulus is locally connected

Let M be the 3-manifold with the effective $\text{SO}(2)$ action with orbit space S_b , multiplicity 2 exceptional orbits over the cone points and special exceptional orbits over the boundary components. Then, there are uncountably infinitely many non-conjugate extensions of the $\text{SO}(2)$ action on M to an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on M with a unique invariant tubular neighborhood over each X_k and 1-dimensional orbits over every point of $S_b - \cup X_k$.

This construction is easily modified to include $\text{PConf}_3(S^1)$ and $\text{Conf}_3(S^1)$ orbits. Note that as a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, any $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action on a closed 3-manifold with no global fixed points meets all hypotheses of this construction except (2.). Conjecturally, this construction is exhaustive in the situation when (2.) is met, but to understand this and the examples which are not provided by this construction, it is necessary to appeal to notions related to the prime ends compactifications of the X_k 's. For the details of the construction see Section 4.3 for a discussion of the prime ends technicalities, see Section 4.4

Finally, we note that allowing for global fixed-points leads to a somewhat subtler situation, which will be outside the scope of this paper. A small collection of observations concerning actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ with global fixed points is gathered in Section 5.1.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 4.1

To begin, we prove Theorem 4.1

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\rho : \text{Homeo}_0(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(M)$ is a nontrivial action without global fixed points. Note that $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ is simple, so the action is necessarily faithful, so in particular restricting to the rotation subgroup yields a faithful $\text{SO}(2)$ action on M . By the equivariant description of orbit types in Proposition 2.1, the only $\text{SO}(2)$ orbits with nontrivial isotropy are a single circle within a $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$ orbit with \mathbb{Z}_2 isotropy and a single circle within a $\text{Conf}_3(S^1)$ orbit with \mathbb{Z}_3 isotropy. To understand the special exceptional orbits, note that by definition, each special exceptional orbit lies in an embedded torus consisting entirely of special exceptional orbits. Also, each special exceptional orbit is, in particular, a 1-dimensional $\text{SO}(2)$ orbit with \mathbb{Z}_2 isotropy, so it must lie in a unique $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$ orbit. There are a number of ways to complete this proof, but perhaps the simplest is to take a continuous 1-parameter family of homeomorphisms which ‘tilts’ the meridian circle of $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$. Then, the images of the torus containing the special exceptional orbits over this family is a continuous family of tori which meet at a single embedded S^1 . Then, conclude this collection of $\text{Conf}_2(S^1)$ orbits must be the desired tubular neighborhood. \square

formalize this

Now we prove Theorem 4.2 by appeal to Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Note the fixed point set of a point stabilizer subgroup G_θ is a closed set contained in $M_{(1)}$ and meets every one-dimensional orbit in a unique point. Since the ambient manifold is closed, the base orbifold is compact, so the projection map to the base orbifold is closed. So, the image of $\text{fix}(G_0)$ is a closed subset of the base orbifold; thus, its preimage under the projection map – the set consisting of the one-dimensional orbits – is closed. Trivially $M_{(3)}$ is closed, so $M - (M_{(1)} \cup \overline{M_{(3)}}) \subseteq M_{(2)}$ is open. Then, in particular, each component of this set is equivariantly homeomorphic to one of the open tubular neighborhoods listed in Proposition 3.2. If there are no 3-dimensional orbits present (i.e., $M_{(3)} = \emptyset$), then this implies the hypotheses and first part of Proposition 4.1 as mentioned in the preceding section. \square

It is interesting to note that in the setting with only one-dimensional orbits, Theorem 4.1 implies that M is a circle bundle with every fiber invariant. Note that the proof of Theorem 4.2 showed that the $\text{SO}(2)$ orbit space projection map restricted to $\text{fix}(G_0)$ is a homeomorphism onto the $\text{SO}(2)$ projection $M_{(1)}$. So, in particular, when all orbits are one-dimensional, the inverse of this restricted map gives a continuous section for the circle bundle structure. Trivially, the map from M to $\Sigma \times S^1$ which maps x to $(\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}, \theta)$ where $\theta \in S^1$ is the unique angle such that $x \in \text{fix}(G_\theta)$ is trivially a homeomorphism. With $\Sigma \times S^1$ equipped with the action $0 \times \text{ev}$, this map is equivariant.

4.2 Orbit compactification

Motivated by the orbit-type stratification for proper Lie group actions on closed manifolds, it is useful to understand the topological closures of the non-compact orbits (i.e., 2 and 3-dimensional orbits). As a small point of notation, recall the following definition from point set topology:

Definition 4.1. The *frontier* of a set X , denoted $\text{fr}(X)$ is defined as $\overline{X} - X$

The primary result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.3. Suppose X is a 2-dimensional orbit of any $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action on a closed 3-manifold M , then $\text{fr}(X)$ has at most two components and each component consists of a single one-dimensional orbit or fixed point.

We also prove the following closely related lemma about limits of sequences of 2-dimensional orbits.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose A_n is a sequence of 2D orbits which converges in the sense of Kuratowski, then $\text{Lim } A_n$ is the closure of a 2D orbit or a single invariant S^1

Interestingly, with minor modification, the proofs in this section work for two-dimensional orbits of actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on any closed n -manifold. This is because any orbit in the frontier of a 2d orbit must contain a G_0 fixed point and the action of G_0 on any orbit of dimension greater than 1 is fixed-point free, so higher orbit dimensions need not be considered. Note that when discussing the $\text{SO}(2)$ orbit space, while it need not

be a manifold in higher dimensions, all that is needed is the fact that it is Hausdorff, which is generically true.

To understand this result concretely, the following immediate corollary may appeal to the reader:

Corollary 4.1. When X is an annulus orbit, \bar{X} is equivariantly homeomorphic to one of: $S^1 \times [0, 1]$, T^2 , \bar{D}^2 , S^2 or a "pinched sphere."

When X is a Möbius band orbit, \bar{X} is an invariant closed Möbius band or \mathbb{RP}^2 .

In each case, the $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action on the model space is the "closed configuration space action."

Moreover, every structure listed above occurs in some action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a closed 3-manifold. Perhaps the most surprising entry on this list is the pinched sphere, which is not a manifold. The following provides an example of an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on $S^2 \times S^1$ having 2-dimensional orbits which compactify as pinched spheres.

Example 4.1. Consider the standard action ρ of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on S^2 (this action has two fixed points and a single annulus orbit). Then obtain an action $\rho' : \text{Homeo}_0(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(\bar{D}^3)$ given by constructing the trivial product action on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and collapsing one boundary sphere to a point. To get a closed example, equivariantly double this ball along its boundary. Finally quotient any symmetric closed interval of fixed points to a point, then each annulus orbit whose boundary was in this interval now has a "pinched sphere" closure.

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 4.3. The overall structure of the proof is to first show that the frontier of a two-dimensional orbit consists of only one-dimensional orbits and global fixed points, then to show that in each end of the annulus or Möbius band, there is a unique such one-dimensional orbit or fixed point. For convenience, we introduce the following convention for labelling the end(s) of a two-dimensional orbit:

Definition 4.2. Let A be an annulus orbit, fix a model annulus $f : A \rightarrow S^1 \times I$ (because of this choice, the plus or minus labelling is not intrinsic). Then, denote by $\text{fr}_+(A)$ the set of frontier points which can be accumulated by sequences with increasing I coordinate and $\text{fr}_-(A)$ analogously. Note that $\text{fr}_+(A) \cup \text{fr}_-(A) = \text{fr}(A)$, but they are not necessarily distinct. We can compatibly label subsets of the frontier of a G_0 invariant interval in an annulus A . For notational consistency, when X is a Möbius band orbit, let $\text{fr}_+(X) = \text{fr}_-(X) = \text{fr}(X)$.

For the following two proofs, we want to make use of a kind of canonical coordinates on interval orbits of G_0 .

Remark 4.1. [16] Suppose A is an annulus orbit of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ and $I \subset A$ is an interval orbit of G_0 . Then, we can define two homeomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : (0, 1) \rightarrow I$ by $\varphi(\theta) = \text{fix}(G_0 \cap G_\theta)$ and $\psi(\theta) = \text{fix}(G_0 \cap G_{-\theta})$. One of these maps will send monotonic sequences that converge to 1 to sequences which converge to something in $\text{fr}_+(I)$, we will denote the inverse of this map as f_I , which we will refer to as the *canonical homeomorphism between I and $S^1 - \{0\}$* . Note, the same construction works for the one interval orbit in a Möbius band orbit.

With these notions in hand, we are ready to prove the first step of the outline.




Figure 3: (2d orbit closures) Blue marks 1d orbits and fixed points, black and grey are relief lines

Proposition 4.2. Suppose X is an 2-dimensional orbit, then $\text{fr}(X)$ consists of 1-dimensional orbits or fixed points.

Proof. Trivially, $\text{fr}(X)$ contains no 3-dimensional orbit.

Suppose toward a contradiction that $\text{fr}(X)$ contains some 2-dimensional orbit X' . Now, consider the restriction of the $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action to the point stabilizer subgroup G_0 . There is some interval orbit I in X . Since $\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}$ is continuous and closed,

$$\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(\bar{I}) = \overline{\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(I)} = \overline{\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(A)} = \pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(\bar{A}).$$

In particular, this means that $\text{fr}(I)$ must nontrivially intersect X' . The closure of every G_0 orbit in X' contains at least one interval orbit I' , so $I' \subseteq \text{fr}(I)$. In particular, there is some sequence of points $\{x_n\} \subset I$ converging to a point $x \in I'$.

From the remark, there are continuous bijections $f : I \rightarrow S^1 - \{0\}$ and $g : I' \rightarrow S^1 - \{0\}$ given by mapping the unique fixed point of $G_\theta \cap G_0$ on I to $\theta \in S^1 - \{0\}$ and similarly for I' . Then, up to a subsequence, $\{f(x_n)\}$ is a monotonic sequence converging to 0. So we can choose a monotonic element $\phi \in G_0$ satisfying $\phi(f(x_k)) = f(x_{k+1})$. In particular, we must have that $\{\rho(\phi)(x_n)\}$ converges to x , but by monotonicity, $\rho(\phi)(x) \neq x$, violating continuity, which is a contradiction. Thus $\text{fr}(A) \cap X = \emptyset$ \square

The next step is a restatement of the main result of this section using the notation of the preceding proof.

Proposition (Restatement of Theorem 4.3). Suppose X is a 2-dimensional orbit. Then, $\text{fr}_+(X)$ and $\text{fr}_-(X)$ are (not necessarily distinct) one-dimensional orbits or fixed points.

Proof. Let I be a G_0 -invariant interval in X . Note that by the above, $\text{fr}_+(I)$ consists of some union of invariant S^1 's and G_0 fixed points. A rather convenient property of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ is that there are elements of G_0 taking any monotonic sequence in I to any other monotonic sequence in I . In particular, if p is any G_0 fixed point in $\text{fr}_+(I)$, then by taking a convergent monotonic subsequence of any sequence of points in I converging to p , then in fact $\text{fr}_+(I) = p$. So, in particular, the frontier of X consists of the fiber over a single point in the $\text{SO}(2)$ orbit space, which is either an S^1 or a global fixed point. \square

To observe Corollary 4.1, it suffices to note that there is an equivariant quotient map from the closed annulus or closed Möbius band with the closed configuration space action to \bar{X} which is a homeomorphism on the interior. The only permissible quotients of this form are produced collapsing invariant S^1 's to points, gluing invariant S^1 's together by the identity or gluing global fixed points together.

Finally,

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let I_n be a sequence of G_0 interval orbits chosen from each A_n . By a result on pg. 339 of Kuratowski's book [13], passing to subsequences does not change the limit. Leveraging the compactness of M and the generalized Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, pass to a subsequence such that I_n is K-convergent, there are convergent sequences in the I 's $(c_n) \rightarrow c$, $(x_n^+) \rightarrow x^+$ and $(x_n^-) \rightarrow x^-$ with $p(c_n)$ constant, $p(x_n^+)$ unbounded monotonic increasing and $p(x_n^-)$ unbounded monotonic decreasing. By Lemma 3.1, any bounded convergent sequence chosen from the I_n 's converges to a G_0 translate of $\text{lim} c_n$. So in particular the limit any bounded convergent sequence is realized as the limit of some constant convergent sequence. There is an angle θ such that $\text{Stab}_0(0, \theta)$ fixes this entire sequence, so the limit point must be fixed by $\text{Stab}_0(0, \theta)$, so the containing G_0 orbit is an interval orbit or G_0 fixed point. Suppose (x_n) is some unbounded convergent monotonic increasing (or decreasing, resp.) sequence. Further suppose that X is a finite subset of S^1 such that x^+ is fixed. There is an infinite tail of both sequences strictly greater than the set X , moreover there are elements of $\text{Stab}_0(X)$ taking any monotonic unbounded sequence which is bounded below by X to any other such sequence. In particular, it is there is an element of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ taking some infinite tail of (x_n^+) to some infinite tail of (x_n) and fixes x^+ . Thus, $\lim(x_n) = x^+$. Since every element of G_0 preserves unboundedness and monotonicity, x^+ and x^- are G_0 fixed points. Finally, since every sequence chosen from the I_n 's has a bounded or monotonic unbounded subsequence and passing to subsequences preserves limits, $\text{Lim } I_n = \{x^+, x^-\} \cup \rho(G_0) \cdot (c)$. In a compact Hausdorff space, K-limits of connected sets are connected, so if c is a G_0 fixed point, $c = x^+ = x^-$, in particular, $\text{Lim } I_n$ is a single point. Additionally, K-limits are closed, so if $\rho(G_0) \cdot (c)$ is an interval orbit, then $\text{Lim } I_n$ must include its frontier points, and trivially these must be x^+ , x^- . Finally, by Lemma 3.2, $\text{Lim } A_n$ is the image under $\text{SO}(2)$ of $\text{Lim } I_n$, i.e. a 1D orbit or the closure of a 2D orbit. \square

4.3 Details of the construction Proposition 4.1

What follows provides the details of our construction for a large family of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds with only one and two-dimensional orbits.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. The overall structure of the construction is as follows. Note that for convenience we denote the (necessarily closed) $S_b - \cup X_k$ as K . For each disk, annulus or Möbius band $U \in \{X_k\}$, to construct the desired action over U , we start with model $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on $\text{SO}(2)$ manifolds with boundary which restrict to a model tube action on the interior, make some free choice of modifications on the boundary and fix a homeomorphism to the prime ends compactification of U and pull back the model $\text{SO}(2)$ action. The local-connectedness of provides a continuous extension of U to a surjective continuous map from the prime ends compactification of U to \bar{U} . Finally, we use this map to construct an equivariant gluing from the model action to the corresponding frontier component of $K \times S^1$. The two choices made in this construction were in modifying the model action and choice of homeomorphism from the model action base space to the prime ends compactification of U . While differing choices here may result in globally conjugate actions, there is a highly infinite family of choices which can be made which result in pairwise nonconjugate actions.

We begin the construction simply by letting $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ act on $K \times S^1$ trivially on the K factor and by evaluation on the S^1 factor. Let U be a fixed annulus, Möbius band or disk in $S_b - K$. Note that since we assumed $|K| > 2$, it is not possible that the prime ends compactification of U is closed, so it must have nonempty boundary. In particular, \hat{U} is homeomorphic to a closed disk, closed annulus or closed Möbius band. Let \bar{B} be the unique model closed $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubular neighborhood over \hat{U} . Choose any family of intervals $\mathcal{J} := \{I_\alpha\}$ in the boundary of $\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(\bar{B})$ such that the quotient of the boundary by the equivalence relation having \mathcal{J} as equivalence classes is homeomorphic. By a Bing shrinking argument, the quotient corresponding to a collection of intervals is homeomorphic to a circle if and only if no sequences of intervals converges to an endpoint of another interval in the collection.

By identifying the S^1 's over each I_α along standard $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ coordinates, the result is an $\text{SO}(2)$ isomorphic quotient of \bar{B} . Fix some homeomorphism $\varphi : \pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(\bar{B}) \rightarrow \hat{U}$. By pulling back the $\text{SO}(2)$ along this homeomorphism, we consider \bar{B} as a $\text{SO}(2)$ -manifold over \hat{U} . Since, $\text{fr}(U)$ is locally connected, there is a continuous (surjective) extension of the inclusion of U to a map $f : \hat{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}$ [8]. Define a map $\tilde{f} : \partial\bar{B} \rightarrow \pi_{\text{SO}(2)}^{-1}(\text{fr}(U))$ by identifying each $\text{SO}(2)$ fiber over a point in $\partial\hat{U}$ with the fiber over $f(p)$ along $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ coordinates. This is a product of continuous, surjections so it is, in particular, a continuous surjection. A continuous surjection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is necessarily a quotient map and this quotient is definitionally compatible with the action, so this gives an equivariant gluing. Thus, the result is an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a $\text{SO}(2)$ -manifold with base space $K \cup U \subset S_b$.

This construction can be done simultaneously for all components of $S_b - K$, and results in an action with the desired properties over all of S_b . In general, choosing different collections of intervals and different homeomorphisms to the prime ends compactification of U will result in non-conjugate $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions. \square

4.4 Remarks on prime ends details

The primary goal of this section is to impress upon the reader that the assumption of locally connected frontier in Proposition 4.1 is not sharp, and it is possible to relax it slightly by venturing into prime ends theory. We will first demonstrate that there are still unusual examples provided the local connectivity assumption. Later, we will give examples which suggest what the sharper condition should be. Note that all that follows assumes the reader is familiar with the basics of the topological theory of prime ends, for convenience, we have included a short primer on the fundamental notions in Section 2.

Example 4.2 (Admissible Hedgehog Complement). Consider the following modified set described in [7]:

$$K := \{(r, \theta) | \theta = 2\pi p/2^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, p \text{ odd}, 0 < p < 2^n, 0 \leq r \leq 1/2^n\} \cup (S^2 - B_1(0)) \subseteq S^2$$

where S^2 is considered as \mathbb{R}^2 union a point at infinity. Topologically, K is closed and $S^2 - K$ is a disk; moreover, K is locally connected. Thus, Proposition 4.1 provides actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on $S^2 \times S^1$ with a $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times S^1$ tubular neighborhood, the $\text{SO}(2)$ projection of which is the complement of K . Clearly any homeomorphism of $S^2 \times S^1$ can only cyclically permute the radial segments in K cross S^1 . In particular,




Figure 4: Admissible hedgehog space complement

the cyclic sequence of number of S^1 fibers per radial segment which occur as the frontier of infinitely many annulus orbits is a global homeomorphism invariant, so the cardinality of the set of nonconjugate actions arising from this construction is at least 2^{\aleph} .

For the remainder of this section, if there exists an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a closed 3-manifold with a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ invariant tubular neighborhood over a particular embedded disk or annulus U in the base space, we will call it ‘admissible,’ if there is no such action we will call it ‘inadmissible.’ The first example will be an inadmissible embedded disk with an inaccessible prime end with $Y(e) \neq X(e)$. Next will be an admissible modification of the preceding example such that $X(e) = Y(e)$ for the inaccessible prime end. Finally, we will provide an example of an inadmissible embedded annulus with an accessible prime end with $Y(e) \neq X(e)$.

A classical family of examples from prime ends theory comes from considering the complements of variations on the comb space. First we will provide an inadmissible example, which we will then modify slightly to get an admissible example depicted in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. In both cases, the disk under discussion is the complement of the set K in black. The dotted lines show the $\text{SO}(2)$ projections of annulus orbits.

Example 4.3 (Inadmissible Comb Complement). Let the set K be the union of the boundary of the unit square in \mathbb{R}^2 with the set of ascending vertical segments of length $2/3$ based at all points $(\frac{1}{2n+1}, 0)$ and descending vertical segments of length $2/3$ based at all points $(\frac{1}{2n}, 1)$. Let U denote the bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 - K$. While our claim here will be that a particular action on $U \times S^1$ does not extend to $K \times S^1$, it is not difficult to show by a similar but more careful argument that no orbit bundle action on $U \times S^1$ can extend to the frontier. The action of interest is given by applying the construction in Proposition 4.1 to U considered as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2 - \mathbb{R}_{y \leq 0}^2$ such that the $\text{SO}(2)$ projections of annulus orbits are horizontal between $y = 1/3$, and $y = 2/3$ and radial based at the end points of the vertical segments as depicted in Fig. 5. The primary obstruction to extending the action to the y -axis is sequences of orbits like the one marked in red. Since the fibers over all points in $K - \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ are invariant, there are sequences of 1-dimensional orbits converging to all points of K in the y -axis, so in particular, any extended action must have all fibers over points on the y -axis invariant, but by Lemma 4.2, the limit set of the red sequence of annuli must be a closed 2-dimensional orbit, which is a contradiction.

In the preceding example, we gave an obstruction for extending an action, and we will show that it was, in some sense, the only obstruction.

Example 4.4 (Admissible Comb Complement). Let K be constructed identically, but with the lengths of the vertical segments converging to 1. We also slightly modify the action for convenience such that the region in between the two green curves cross S^1 is clearly $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ isomorphic to $[0, 1] \times (0, 1] \times S^1$ with the action $0 \times \rho_{K, \lambda}$ with $K = \{1/n\}$ and λ taking the value 0 on even intervals and 1 on odd intervals. The action $\rho_{K, \lambda}$ extends to an action on $[0, 1] \times S^1$ with $\{0\} \times S^1$ being a 1-dimensional orbit, so we extend the product action continuously to the y -axis. To show that this gives a continuous extension of the action over $\overline{U} - \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, it remains to check continuity at $(0, 0) \times S^1$ and $(0, 1) \times S^1$, but this can be done explicitly and is of little interest.




Figure 5: Inadmissible comb complement




Figure 6: Admissible comb complement

Both examples have an inaccessible prime end corresponding to all of the frontier points on the y -axis. The key difference is that in the first example, only the points between $y = 1/3$ and $y = 2/3$ are principle, while in the second example all points on the y -axis are principle. Note that by a very similar method, it is also possible to construct an action which projects to the complement of the unit circle union a spiral converging to the unit circle (see Fig. 7). This example also has one inaccessible prime end with $X(e) = Y(e)$. The details are nearly identical, so they will be suppressed. Next, we will exhibit an example where all prime ends are accessible, but by virtue of there being a prime end with $X(e) \neq Y(e)$, the disk is inadmissible.

Example 4.5 (Inadmissible Topologist's Sine Curve Complement). Let K be the union of the closed topologist's sine curve (truncated on the right) and the unit circle (see Fig. 8). Let U be the bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 - K$. Our claim is that there is no action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on $U \times S^1$ which extends to an action on $\bar{U} \times S^1$. Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on $U \times S^1$ with all two-dimensional orbits (and is thus exactly the $\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times S^1$ action). If this action were to extend, every fiber over the sine curve must be an S^1 orbit. Then, there are sequences of S^1 orbits which limit onto every fiber in the vertical interval $(\{0\} \times [-1, 1]) \times S^1$, so every fiber over this interval must be an orbit. The key observation now is that for purely topological reasons, if $(m_n) \rightarrow (0, -1)$ is the sequence of minima of the topologist's sine curve, then there must be a sequence of annulus orbits (A_n) such that (WLOG) $(\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(\text{fr}^l(A_n)))$ is a subsequence of (m_n) and the curve $\pi_{\text{SO}(2)}(A_n)$ leaves a neighborhood of the sine curve. Then, pass to a convergent subsequence of the A_n 's. We immediately obtain a contradiction, since $\text{Lim } A_n$ must contain the entire vertical interval $(\{0\} \times [-1, 1]) \times S^1$.

These examples suggest the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.1. Suppose that M is an $\text{SO}(2)$ 3-manifold with base orbifold Σ , and $K \subseteq \Sigma$ be a closed set which avoids cone points and the boundary, then M admits an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ with all fibers over K being $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ orbits and all orbits over the complement of K being 2-dimensional if and only if:

1. $\Sigma - K$ is a disjoint union of topological disks and annuli
2. The components of the frontiers of all annuli are locally connected and all boundary components are contained in the frontier some annulus.
3. In every disk, the number of inaccessible prime ends plus the number of cone points is less or equal to 2
4. For all prime ends e of each disk, $X(e) = Y(e)$




Figure 7: Admissible spiral complement




Figure 8: Inadmissible topologist's sine complement

5 Future Work

The two primary themes for future work are providing a complete description of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds and studying other specialized homeomorphism group action settings. Under both themes, we provide several starting points or questions which we feel are answerable using similar techniques to those in this paper.

5.1 Completing Description of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ Acting on Closed 3-manifolds

Our three main goals under this theme are to prove a similar result to Theorem 4.3 for 3-dimensional orbits, prove Conjecture 4.1 and to extend the preceding and all results in the paper to include the $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions with global fixed points. To study the compactifications of 3-dimensional orbits, the first key insight is to note that the proof of Theorem 4.3 can be viewed as a property of the closures of interval orbits of (multiple) point stabilizer subgroups. To prove Conjecture 4.1, we consider prime ends associated to the frontiers of 2-dimensional orbits and prime ends associated to ends of the fiber separately — in both cases Lemma 4.2 will be useful. Finally, to extend our results to actions with global fixed points, we show that global fixed points for a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action are exactly the global fixed points of the rotation subgroup action, we can then appeal to the full equivariant classification of $\text{SO}(2)$ manifolds in [2].

The following is the reframing of Theorem 4.3 in terms of $G_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n}$ interval orbits.

Remark 5.1. Suppose $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on closed 3-manifold M and I is an interval orbit of $G_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n}$, then $\bar{I} \cong S^1$ or $[0, 1]$ is the union of I with one or two $G_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n}$ fixed points.

The action of G_0 on a $\text{PConf}_3(S^1)$ orbit of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ decompose it into three 3-ball orbits and three disk orbits. Restricting to $G_{0, \theta}$ further subdivides each disk into three disk orbits and two interval orbits. Allowing θ to vary over $S^1 - \{0\}$ gives two transverse product structures on each disk orbit in terms of the interval orbits. The first goal of this direction would be to prove an analogous result to the previous remark for these disk orbits by using this remark and Lemma 3.1. This structure also suggests an inductive structure to prove a similar result for n -dimensional $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ orbits.

Suppose \mathcal{B} is an orbit bundle with fiber F . Let x be some frontier point of the base surface of \mathcal{B} . Then, in particular there is a sequence $(x_n) \rightarrow x$. If the collection of orbits containing (x_n) meets the fiber in a compact set, we have the following simple consequence of Lemma 4.2.

Corollary 5.1. Suppose K is a compact subset of F , then $\overline{I(\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times K)} = \bigcup_{p \in K} \overline{I(\text{PConf}_2(S^1) \times \{p\})}$

Otherwise, we pass to a subsequence of (x_n) which is monotonic in the fiber. In particular, the frontier of an orbit bundle can then be decomposed into points which lie in the frontiers of 2-dimensional orbits and points at the ends of the fiber. Our proposed approach to prove Conjecture 4.1 is to first use the preceding corollary to show inaccessible prime ends can only correspond to frontier points at the ends of

the fiber and then to directly prove that the frontier points corresponding to frontiers of annulus orbits are locally accessible. Local accessibility of a frontier point is a technical prime ends condition which implies that the frontier is locally connected about that point. The proposed approach to understanding the frontier points corresponding to ends of the fiber is to show that each end has either a unique inaccessible prime end satisfying $X(e) = Y(e)$ or that there is an orbit bundle which strictly contains \mathcal{B} .

Note that trivially any global fixed point of a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action is fixed by the rotation subgroup. Moreover, by Proposition 3.2, within a nontrivial $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ orbit, the rotation subgroup acts without fixed points, so the $\text{SO}(2)$ fixed points are exactly the fixed point of the overall $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action. By appealing to [2], we can observe that if $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acts on a closed 3-manifold, every global fixed point lies in a circle's worth of global fixed points and sits over a boundary component of the $\text{SO}(2)$ orbit space. Additionally, there is an $\text{SO}(2)$ equivariant connect sum formula for all closed 3-manifolds admitting $\text{SO}(2)$ actions with global fixed points. Two immediate, fundamental (and we believe approachable) questions are:

Question 5.1.

1. If a manifold admits a particular $\text{SO}(2)$ -equivariant connect sum formula and a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$, can it always be decomposed as a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ -equivariant connect sum?
2. Which $\text{SO}(2)$ actions with fixed points extend to $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions?

Toward the second question, if M is an $\text{SO}(2)$ manifold with only $\alpha = 2$ for all lens space factors in the connect sum, then there is an explicit construction providing extensions of the $\text{SO}(2)$ action to infinitely many non-conjugate $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions.

5.2 Other Specialized Classes of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ Actions

Similar to the description of effective $\text{SO}(2)$ actions on closed 3-manifolds in terms of a weighted closed surface, there is a description of effective $\text{SO}(2)$ actions on closed (oriented) 4-manifolds in terms of a weighted closed 3-manifold [6][17]. Similarly, since $\text{Homeo}_0(S_g)$ is algebraically simple for all g [12], any action of $\text{Homeo}_0(T^2)$ on a manifold M restricts to a faithful action of the torus subgroup $\text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(2)$. There exists a classical topological classification of such actions on closed 4-manifolds[3, 5].

References

- [1] W. J. Harvey. “Cyclic Groups of Automorphisms of a Compact Riemann Surface”. In: *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 17.1 (Jan. 1, 1966), pp. 86–97. ISSN: 0033-5606. DOI: 10.1093/qmath/17.1.86. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/17.1.86> (visited on 06/26/2024).
- [2] Peter Orlik and Frank Raymond. “Actions of $\text{SO}(2)$ on 3-Manifolds”. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups*. Ed. by Paul S. Mostert. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1968, pp. 297–318. ISBN: 978-3-642-46141-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-46141-5_22.
- [3] Peter Orlik and Frank Raymond. “Actions of the Torus on 4-Manifolds—I”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 152.2 (1970), pp. 531–559. ISSN: 0002-9947, 1088-6850. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1970-0268911-3. URL: <https://www.ams.org/tran/1970-152-02/S0002-9947-1970-0268911-3/> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- [4] Glen Bredon. *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*. Academic Press, Sept. 29, 1972. 477 pp. ISBN: 978-0-08-087359-6. Google Books: qXE1_AIeSBUC.
- [5] Peter Orlik and Frank Raymond. “Actions of the Torus on 4-Manifolds—II”. In: *Topology* 13.2 (June 1, 1974), pp. 89–112. ISSN: 0040-9383. DOI: 10.1016/0040-9383(74)90001-9. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0040938374900019> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- [6] Ronald Fintushel. “Classification of Circle Actions on 4-Manifolds”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 242 (1978), pp. 377–390. ISSN: 0002-9947. DOI: 10.2307/1997745. JSTOR: 1997745. URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1997745> (visited on 07/17/2024).

- [7] D. B. A. Epstein. “Prime Ends”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* s3-42.3 (1981), pp. 385–414. ISSN: 1460-244X. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s3-42.3.385. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1112/plms/s3-42.3.385> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- [8] John Mather. “Topological Proofs of Some Purely Topological Consequences of Carathéodory’s Theory of Prime Ends”. In: *Selected Studies: Physics-Astrophysics, Mathematics, History of Science*. 1982, pp. 225–255.
- [9] Grant Cairns and Étienne Ghys. “The Local Linearization Problem for Smooth $SL(n)$ -Actions”. In: *L’Enseignement Mathématique* 43.1-2 (1997), p. 133. ISSN: 0013-8584. DOI: 10.5169/seals-63275.
- [10] Hongyu Ding. “Classification of Cyclic Group Actions on Noncompact Surfaces”. In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 179.2 (June 1, 1997), pp. 325–341. ISSN: 0030-8730. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.1997.179.325. URL: <http://msp.berkeley.edu/pjm/1997/179-2/p06.xhtml> (visited on 06/21/2024).
- [11] J. J. Duistermaat and J. A. C. Kolk. *Lie Groups*. Universitext. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2000. ISBN: 978-3-540-15293-4 978-3-642-56936-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-56936-4. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-56936-4> (visited on 08/23/2024).
- [12] Abed Bounemoura. “Simplicité Des Groupes de Transformations de Surfaces”. In: *Ensaïos Matemáticos* 14 (2008), pp. 1–143. URL: http://emis.icm.edu.pl/journals/em/periodicos/file/em/vol14/em_14_bounemoura.pdf (visited on 07/16/2024).
- [13] K. Kuratowski. *Topology: Volume I*. Elsevier, May 12, 2014. 581 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4832-7256-6. Google Books: WZLOBQAAQBAJ.
- [14] Emmanuel Militon. “Actions of the Group of Homeomorphisms of the Circle on Surfaces”. In: *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 233.2 (2016), pp. 143–172. ISSN: 0016-2736. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/286305> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- [15] Daniel Dugger. “Involutions on Surfaces”. In: *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures* 14.4 (Dec. 1, 2019), pp. 919–992. ISSN: 1512-2891. DOI: 10.1007/s40062-019-00236-1. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40062-019-00236-1> (visited on 06/21/2024).
- [16] Lei Chen and Kathryn Mann. “Structure Theorems for Actions of Homeomorphism Groups”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 172.5 (Apr. 2023), pp. 915–962. ISSN: 0012-7094, 1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-2022-0019. URL: <https://projecteuclid.org/journals/duke-mathematical-journal/volume-172/issue-5/Structure-theorems-for-actions-of-homeomorphism-groups/10.1215/00127094-2022-0019.full> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- [17] Donghoon Jang and Oleg R. Musin. *Circle Actions on Oriented 4-Manifolds*. Aug. 21, 2023. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2307.04576. arXiv: 2307.04576 [math]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.04576> (visited on 06/21/2024). Pre-published.




Figure 9: Action of G_0 on $\text{PConf}_2(S^1)$ orbit and action of $G_0 \cap G_\theta$ on G_0 disk orbit