

Outline and Scope of A Examination

Hazel Brenner

Fall 2022

1 Background

A theorem of Whittaker [9] shows that the homeomorphism type of a compact manifold is completely determined by its homeomorphism group as an abstract group. This provides an indication that topological data about manifolds can be recovered by examining algebraic data on their homeomorphism groups. By analogy with the representation theory of simple Lie groups, a piece of algebraic information one can study is homomorphisms of homeomorphism groups. Questions and partial results posed in recent work by Hurtado [3] and Militon [6] were inspired by this analogy and were resolved by a paper of Mann and Chen in 2019 [1]. In my work, I seek to begin extending this theory to three dimensions by considering the actions of the identity component of the smallest manifold homeomorphism group, $\text{Homeo}(S^1)$, on compact three-manifolds.

1.1 The general problem setting

Definition 1. For an orientable manifold M , we denote by $\text{Homeo}(M)$ the group of self-homeomorphisms of M . This is a topological group in the topology induced by uniform convergence on compact sets, and $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ refers to the connected component of the identity.

By analogy with the study of Lie groups we consider actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on another manifold N . For our purposes, we take the following definition:

Definition 2. An *action* of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ for M compact on a manifold N is a homomorphism of topological groups $\rho : \text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(N)$.

It suffices to consider $\text{Homeo}_0(N)$ since an abstract homomorphism of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ for compact M into a separable topological group is *automatically continuous* [4]. In particular then, the image of a homomorphism $\phi : \text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ must in fact lie in $\text{Homeo}_0(N)$. This statement is equivalent to saying that the induced representation of the mapping class group is trivial.

For a proper Lie group action on a smooth manifold, its orbits and the way they fit together are described by the orbit type stratification. This consists of the following two results[7].

Theorem. *Suppose a lie group G acts properly by diffeomorphisms on a smooth manifold M . Then, two points are said to be of the same type if their stabilizers are conjugate subgroups of G . Clearly, points in the same orbit are of the same type. This is an equivalence relation, giving a partition of M into orbit types. These orbit types are properly embedded submanifolds.*

Moreover, the orbit type decomposition is a *stratification*, that is:

Theorem. *The collection of orbit types is locally finite. Moreover, the topological closure of every orbit type X is a union of X with lower-dimensional orbit types.*

For actions of homeomorphism groups we no longer require properness and have shed substantial structure by passing from Lie groups to a larger transformation group, but we can still understand the orbit topology by the *Orbit Classification Theorem* in [1] as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a compact connected manifold. For any action of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ on a finite-dimensional CW-complex, every orbit is either a point or the continuous injective image of an admissible cover of a configuration space $\text{Conf}_n(M)$ for some n .*

Where $\text{Conf}_n(M)$ refers to the configuration space of n distinct, unlabeled points in M . In the special case of $M = S^1$, we take this to be the configuration space of n distinct, unlabeled, *cyclically ordered* points. The notion of admissible is somewhat subtle to define in full generality, but in the case of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$, admissible covers are those which are intermediate between $\text{Conf}_n(S^1)$ and the configuration space of n distinct, **labeled**, cyclically ordered points on S^1 . These computations are very simple in dimension 3, since we can only have one, two and three dimensional orbits. These amount to continuous injective images of S^1 , an open annulus, an open Möbius band or an open solid torus.

1.2 $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ acting on compact surfaces

Every $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ restricts, in particular, to an $\text{SO}(2)$ (i.e., S^1) action. Since actions of S^1 by homeomorphisms on hyperbolic surfaces are necessarily trivial, we only need consider surfaces with nonnegative Euler characteristic. So, the only compact surfaces admitting actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ are S^2 , T^2 , RP^2 , the Klein bottle, D^2 , the closed annulus and the closed Möbius band. Since the boundaries of all of the examples with boundary must be invariant under such an action, we can paste together actions on the closed annulus, the closed disk and the closed Möbius band to obtain examples on S^2 , T^2 , the Klein bottle and RP^2 .

By a theorem of Milnor [6], later strengthened in [1], an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on the annulus is conjugated to an action with concentric annulus orbits on which the action restricts to one of two maps constructed as follows:

Consider the map $a_0 : \text{Homeo}_0(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times [0, 1])$ given by

$$a_0(f)(\theta, r) = (f(\theta), \tilde{f}(r + \tilde{\theta}) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{\theta}))$$

where \tilde{f} is some lift of f to \mathbb{R} and $\tilde{\theta}$ is some lift of θ . This is continuous since it is continuous in coordinates, and it is transitive on the interior annulus. We also define the map a_1 as $T \circ a_0 \circ T^{-1}$, where T is the Dehn twist along the inner boundary circle.

The previously mentioned decomposition into concentric annulus orbits is given by some closed subset $K \subseteq [0, 1]$ and a map $\lambda : [0, 1] - K \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ which is constant on components of $[0, 1] - K$. Then, let $\rho_{K, \lambda}$ be an action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ which restricts to a_0 on each component of $\lambda^{-1}(0)$ and a_1 on each component of $\lambda^{-1}(1)$.

Then we have the following theorem from [1].

Theorem 2. *Every action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a closed annulus is conjugate to $\rho_{K, \lambda}$ for some K and λ*

Motivated by this, we have the following research program:

Program. Classify actions of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on compact 3-manifolds. There are two cases:

- Fixed-point free: An action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a compact 3-manifold admits a Seifert-fibered structure. The first step is to determine which Seifert-fibered 3-manifolds admit $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions. The second step is to identify a standard for a $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action on such a manifold.
- With fixed points: There is an analogue of the theory of Seifert fibrations for $\text{SO}(2)$ actions on compact 3-manifolds with fixed points [8]. Given the additional complexity of this starting point, this is a next step after studying the fixed-point free case.

1.3 Seifert fibered spaces

In the case of a fixed-point free action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a compact three manifold, the associated fixed-point free action of $\text{SO}(2)$ can be understood to define a Seifert-fibered structure on the 3-manifold. If we take this as our definition a Seifert-fibered structure, we can state the following proposition:

Proposition 1. Every S^1 orbit of a Seifert-fibered 3-manifold has a neighborhood fiber-preservingly diffeomorphic to a open solid cylinder with ends identified by a $2\pi q/p$ twist. The orbits for which $p > 1$ are called *singular fibers of type (p, q)* , the remaining fibers are called regular fibers. A Seifert fibered compact manifold has finitely many singular fibers.

There are many standard references for the classical theory of Seifert-fibered spaces, e.g. [5](Martelli) and [2], which primarily take the preceding proposition as a definition. For this material, I will primarily reference the classical theory for results about horizontal surfaces within Seifert fibrations. These serve as the Seifert-fibered analogue of sections of a circle bundle.

Definition 3. A *horizontal surface* to a Seifert fibration is a properly embedded surface which is transverse to all of the fibers

Note that this definition says nothing about having a unique point of intersection with each fiber, but we do have the following property:

Remark 1. The orbit space of the $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ action has a natural 2-dimensional orbifold structure by marking the points corresponding to singular fibers with their multiplicity. There is a natural orbifold covering from any horizontal surface to the base orbifold.

The following result fully detects the existence of horizontal surfaces to a Seifert fibration.

Proposition 2. A compact Seifert fibration M with n singular fibers of types (p_i, q_i) has a horizontal surface if and only if:

- M has nonempty boundary, **or**
- The sum $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i/p_i$ vanishes

The sum in the latter condition is called the *Euler number* of the fibration, by analogy with the Euler number of a circle bundle.

2 Preliminary Results and Future Work

Unlike the surface case where every $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ can be extended to a $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ action, it is not clear this can always be done in 3-dimensions. In particular, suppose $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ acts on M^3 with singular fibers.

Conjecture 1. An action of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ extends to an action of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ only if the singular fibers have multiplicity 2. If the action extends, the singular orbits must lie within Möbius band orbits of the extended action.

A proof of this conjecture would greatly restrict the topology of a three-manifold admitting an action of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ as it would tell us that the only Seifert manifolds which could admit $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ actions are of the form $(\Sigma, (2, q_1), \dots, (2, q_n))$. This is motivated by studying the non-orientable case of Theorem 2. The analogous setting is to consider an action of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a closed Möbius band. The key difference comes when considering a meridian cycle on the Möbius band (a circle which wraps around the band once).

Proposition 3. A fixed-point free action of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a closed Möbius band has one Möbius band orbit. The remaining orbits are determined by the standard form on the invariant circle or closed annulus obtained by cutting out the Möbius orbit. In particular, there is no continuous action of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ which leaves a meridian invariant.

A Möbius band can be thought of as a 2-dimensional Seifert fibration with one singular fiber of multiplicity 2, corresponding to a meridian circle. With this view, the above proposition would be sufficient to prove the 2-dimensional analog of Conjecture 1. As it stands though, the proof of this result cannot be directly imported into three dimensional manifolds, and part of my work is to adapt this proof. The result I am targeting is the following:

Conjecture 2. Suppose σ is a 1-dimensional orbit of an action of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on a compact 3-manifold which lies in $\bar{A} - A$ for some invariant annulus A . Then, σ is not a singular fiber of the associated Seifert fibration.

The only setting this leaves for singular orbits to appear is the topological boundary of a 3-dimensional orbit. Since it cannot bound an invariant annulus, it has to be dense, or the entire boundary of the 3-dimensional orbit. My next step is to rule out the latter configuration. It seems possible to prove by considering the finer orbit decomposition of the restriction of the action to a point stabilizer subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{R})$. This gives a system of three disks which must compactify onto the entire S^1 boundary. In this setting, it seems that a variant of my proof technique for Conjecture 2 will apply.

As mentioned in the research program at the end of Section 1.2, my long-term goal is to understand and use the theory developed in [8] (raymond) to classify actions of $\mathrm{Homeo}_0(S^1)$ on compact 3-manifolds *with fixed points*. At this time, it is not clear whether this will be a nearly immediate extension of the fixed-point free theory, or whether the existence of fixed points will introduce significant complications.

References

- [1] Lei Chen and Kathryn Mann. *Structure theorems for actions of homeomorphism groups*. 2019. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1902.05117. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.05117>.
- [2] Allen Hatcher. “Notes on Basic 3-Manifold Topology”. In: (), p. 61. URL: <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/3M/3M.pdf>.
- [3] Sebastian Hurtado. “Continuity of discrete homomorphisms of diffeomorphism groups”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 19.4 (July 29, 2015). Publisher: Mathematical Sciences Publishers, pp. 2117–2154. ISSN: 1364-0380. DOI: 10.2140/gt.2015.19.2117. URL: <https://msp.org/gt/2015/19-4/p06.xhtml> (visited on 10/31/2022).
- [4] Kathryn Mann. “Automatic continuity for homeomorphism groups and applications”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 20.5 (Oct. 7, 2016). Publisher: Mathematical Sciences Publishers, pp. 3033–3056. ISSN: 1364-0380. DOI: 10.2140/gt.2016.20.3033. URL: <https://msp.org/gt/2016/20-5/p10.xhtml> (visited on 10/31/2022).
- [5] Bruno Martelli. *An Introduction to Geometric Topology*. 2016. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1610.02592. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02592>.
- [6] Emmanuel Militon. “Actions of the group of homeomorphisms of the circle on surfaces”. eng. In: *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 233.2 (2016), pp. 143–172. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/286305>.
- [7] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin. *Topological Transformation Groups*. Dover Books on Mathematics. Dover Publications, 2018. ISBN: 978-0-486-82449-9. URL: <https://books.google.com/books?id=yoVYDwAAQBAJ>.
- [8] Peter Orlik and Frank Raymond. “Actions of $SO(2)$ on 3-Manifolds”. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups*. Ed. by Paul S. Mostert. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1968, pp. 297–318. ISBN: 978-3-642-46141-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-46141-5_22.
- [9] James V. Whittaker. “On Isomorphic Groups and Homeomorphic Spaces”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 78.1 (1963), pp. 74–91. ISSN: 0003486X. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970503> (visited on 10/31/2022).