

https://www.linkedin.com/in/hazrat-umer/



Project Scenario

At Silvery Co.

## Overview

As the lead security engineer for CryptoV4ult, a prominent international cryptocurrency platform, you're tasked with ensuring the security and integrity of our newly established infrastructure. With over 1 million users relying on our services, it's imperative that we maintain the highest standards of security to protect their digital assets.

Your role involves a comprehensive review of the security landscape for our new application technology stack, identifying potential vulnerabilities, and running scans to assess any existing threats. Your scope encompasses various entities within our architecture, including the application itself, containerized services, and the external-facing API.

Ultimately, your objective is to develop a robust remediation plan that not only addresses current vulnerabilities but also strengthens our overall security posture, safeguarding both user data and the platform's reputation. This critical mission presents an exciting opportunity to leverage your skills and expertise in cybersecurity to fortify our infrastructure and uphold our commitment to providing a secure and reliable platform for our users. Let's embark on this journey together to ensure CryptoV4ult remains a trusted leader in the cryptocurrency industry!



Section One: Integrating SDLC

AND INTERPRETATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

## Transitioning to Secure SDLC

As the lead security engineer at CryptoV4ult, you are tasked with ensuring the new infrastructure is developed securely. Your responsibility is to reorganize the existing development tasks to fit into a Secure Software Development Lifecycle (SDLC) framework, ensuring that each stage of the lifecycle incorporates necessary security tasks to protect user data and maintain the integrity of the cryptocurrency platform.

- Reorganize the Waterfall task list from the next slide into the Secure SDLC phases
- Add at least one security related additional task to each phase

## Transitioning to Secure SDLC

Place every task into a Secure SDLC category in the next few slides. Add at least one additional task to each phase that helps enhance security.

- 1. Conduct user interviews to gather functional requirements.
- 2. Write a requirements document for task management features.
- 3. Create a high-level architecture diagram for the application.
- 4. Design the database schema for tasks.
- 5. Code the user interface using HTML and CSS.
- 6. Implement interactive elements using JavaScript.
- 7. Set up a Flask application to handle API requests.
- 8. Implement CRUD operations for tasks.
- 9. Write and execute functional test cases.
- 10. Conduct browser compatibility testing.
- 11. Deploy the application to Heroku.
- 12. Perform smoke testing on the deployed application.
- 13. Monitor application logs and fix reported issues.
- 14. Gather user feedback for future feature additions.



## Transitioning to Secure SDLC

### **Requirements Analysis**

#### Tasks:

Conduct user interviews to gather functional requirements. Write a requirements document for task management features.

#### **Security related task:**

Identify and document security related requirements of users for example data encryption, user authentication and access control.

## Design

#### Tasks:

Create a high-level architecture diagram for the application. Design the database schema for tasks.

### **Security-Related Task:**

Perform threat modeling and risk assessment by Identifying threats and vulnerabilities in the system design, and document mitigation strategies.



## Transitioning to Secure SDLC

### **Development**

#### Tasks:

Code the user interface using HTML and CSS. Implement interactive elements using JavaScript. Set up a Flask application to handle API requests. Implement CRUD operations for tasks.

#### **Security-related task:**

Integrate secure coding practices by ensuring that secure coding standards are followed, such as input validation, output encoding, and proper error handling.

## **Testing**

#### Tasks:

Write and execute functional test cases. Conduct browser compatibility testing.

## Security-related task:

Perform security testing such as conducting a vulnerability scan, penetration testing, and code review to identify different security flaws.



## Transitioning to Secure SDLC

### **Deployment**

#### Tasks:

Deploy the application to Heroku.

Perform smoke testing on the deployed application.

#### **Security-related task:**

Implement logging and monitoring solution to detect and respond to security incidents after deployment.

#### **Maintenance**

#### Tasks:

Monitor application logs and fix reported issues. Gather user feedback for future feature additions.

#### Security-related task:

Regularly apply security patches and updates to the systems and applications and ensure that all its dependencies are up-to-date with the latest security patches.

## Advocating for Secure SDLC

As the lead security engineer at CryptoV4ult, you're spearheading the shift towards a more secure and agile development process. To get everyone on board, create a succinct list highlighting five essential advantages of transitioning to the Secure Software Development Lifecycle (SDLC) from our current Waterfall methodology. For each advantage, include a brief explanation that underscores its importance, particularly focusing on how it benefits the dynamic and security-centric nature of our cryptocurrency platform.

Write your answers on the next slide!

## Advocating for Secure SDLC



## 1. Early Detection of Vulnerabilities

Through threat modeling and security testing, developers and security teams can find potential threats and vulnerabilities early in the development process. This will help in reduction of production time and development cost.

## 2. Agile and Adaptive Development.

Compared to the Waterfall model, In Secure SDLC iterative development is used, helping us respond quickly to market changes and emerging threats.

### 3. Improved Collaboration and Communication

In Secure SDLC there is a mechanism of continuous feedback loops between different teams such as development, security, and operations, this reduces the communication gap between various teams and helps organizations in fulfilling their security needs.

#### **4.** Cost Reduction:

Early code reviews in Secure SDLC discover security-related vulnerabilities and misconfigurations early in the initial stages which helps in cost reduction, at initial stages there will be less cost to resolve the issues.

### 5. Reduced Risk and Compliance

By applying different security practices, Secure SDLC helps reduce the risk of data breaches and adherence to industry regulations, it increases customer trust, and builds reputation.



Section Two:

Vulnerabilities and Remediation

KITO: ILAMANITA DI

## Vulnerabilities and remediation

As CryptoV4ult enhances its infrastructure to support new features for its extensive user base, ensuring the security of user authentication mechanisms is paramount. The **login system** is critical to the platform's security, acting as the first line of defense against unauthorized access. Your task is to scrutinize a login system, **identify 3 potential vulnerabilities** they usually have, and propose effective remediation strategies.

- Concentrate on login systems in general
- The vulnerability can relate to any aspect of a login system, including user identification, authentication mechanisms, and session management
- Any common login system vulnerability is acceptable
- For each identified potential vulnerability, you need to:
  - Describe the vulnerability
  - Explain the risk
  - Provide remediation strategy



## Vulnerabilities and remediation

#### 1. Brute Force Attacks

## Description

When the attacker tries different combinations of usernames and passwords until the correct username and password are found. Attackers make use of automated tools through which they can try millions of combinations of usernames and passwords per second if the password is not strong and if there is no multi factor authentication or rate limiting feature available then the attacker can easily compromise the password and can get access to a user's data.

#### Risk

If an attacker succeeds in executing a brute force attack, then he/she can gain unauthorized access to the user's confidential and sensitive data. This can lead to data breaches, unauthorized financial transactions, or data exfiltration.

## Remediation

There should be an account lockout mechanism implemented. After a certain number of failed attempts, the accounts should be temporarily locked. Enforce strong password policies, implement CAPTCHA challenges, and enforce users to enable multi-factor authentication.



## Vulnerabilities and remediation

## 2. Session Hijacking

### Description

In Session Hijacking vulnerability threat actors gain access to a session between a client and a web server. Here, an attacker first intercepts the active session between the user and a website and then takes over it. This vulnerability can be exploited by attackers either through stealing session cookies or by exploiting weaknesses in the session management system.

#### Risk

Through Session Hijacking an intruder (attacker) can gain unauthorized access to a user's sensitive data, and he/she can do unauthorized transactions and other malicious activities.

## Remediation

Using secure cookies by marking session cookies with the "Secure" and "HttpOnly" flags to prevent them from accessing through client-side scripts. Only transmit them through HTTPs connection. There should be proper session timeout implemented, session IDs should be regenerated after login, and password changes.



## Vulnerabilities and remediation

### 3. Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) in Login Pages

## Description

XSS stands for Cross Site Scripting is a web security vulnerability that allows attackers to inject malicious scripts into web pages that are viewed by other users. If an attacker succeeds in injecting malicious XXS script into the login page, then he/she can steal user credentials or session tokens when other users interact with the same compromised page.

#### Risk

Through Cross-Site Scripting (XSS), attackers can capture the login credentials of other users, session tokens, and other types of confidential or sensitive information. Through this vulnerability, an intruder can access users' accounts in an unauthorized way, which can lead to data theft and compromise the integrity of data.

## Remediation

XSS can be remediated by sanitizing and validating the user's input. Ensuring that all the injection points such as login pages etc. are properly validated and sanitized so that it can prevent the injection of malicious scripts. Use a strong content security policy to prevent the execution of unauthorized scripts. Use appropriate response headers.

## Create a threat Matrix

Dissect and categorize the 3 vulnerabilities that you have identified for the login system. Understanding these vulnerabilities from a strategic viewpoint will enable the company to allocate resources efficiently, prioritize remediation efforts, and maintain CryptoV4ult's reputation as a secure and reliable platform.

- For each identified vulnerability, critically assess its potential to disrupt CryptoV4ult's operational functionality, erode customer trust, and impact financial stability. Assign an impact level of 'Low', 'Medium', or 'High' based on the evaluated potential consequences.
- Analyze the complexity and feasibility of exploiting each identified vulnerability. Consider the sophistication required for exploitation and the accessibility of the vulnerability to potential attackers.
   Rate the likelihood of exploitation as 'Low', 'Medium', or 'High'.
- Utilize the provided risk matrix framework to **map out the vulnerabilities** according to your assessments of their impact and exploit likelihood.



## Threat Matrix

Pathway (Vulnerability)	Impact Level	Likelihood Level
Brute Force Attacks	Medium	Medium
Session Hijacking	High	Medium
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	High	High

Fill out the matrix table. Impact levels are horizontal, and likelihood levels at the vertical axis.

Impact	Low	Medium	High
Likelihood	in the		
High	WWIII		Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
Medium		Brute Force Attacks	Session Hijacking
Low			



# Section Three: Container Security

MAN INTERIOR SINCE SINCE

## Container Security

It is time to delve into the container services underpinning CryptoV4ult's application infrastructure by scanning for potential vulnerabilities. Scan one of the container services running in the application (located at vulnerables/cve-2014-6271) and identify potential vulnerabilities. Then, you will build a remediation plan to resolve some of the container vulnerabilities.

- Using Trivy, run a scan against the container located at vulnerables/cve-2014-6271. You can run this scan from the Kali VM in the lab where Trivy is located or from your own computer
- Create a **screenshot** of the **Trivy scan results** (it does not have to show all the results) and place it on the next slide
- Fill out the Report to Fix Container Issues with at least 7 items



kali@kali:~\$ trivy image vulnerables/cve-2014-6271 2024-08-15T14:20:46.684-0400 <u>WARN</u> You should You should avoid using the :latest tag as it is cached. You need to specify '--clear-cache' option when :lat est image is changed Detecting Debian vulnerabilities...
Trivy skips scanning programming language libraries because no supported file was detected
This OS version is no longer supported by the distribution: debian 7.11
The vulnerability detection may be insufficient because security updates are not provided 2024-08-15T14:20:49.271-0400 2024-08-15T14:20:49.276-0400 2024-08-15T14:20:49.276-0400 2024-08-15T14:20:49.276-0400 vulnerables/cve-2014-6271 (debian 7.11) Total: 253 (UNKNOWN: 5, LOW: 14, MEDIUM: 94, HIGH: 88, CRITICAL: 52) LIBRARY VULNERABILITY ID | SEVERITY | INSTALLED VERSION FIXED VERSION TITLE CVE-2018-1312 apache2 2.2.22-13+deb7u12 2.2.22-13+deb7u13 httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce generation in mod\_auth\_digest ->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312 CVE-2017-15710 httpd: Out of bounds write in mod\_authnz\_ldap when using too small Accept-Language... -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15710 CVE-2018-1301 MEDIUM httpd: Out of bounds access after failure in reading the HTTP request... -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1301 apache2-mpm-worker CVE-2018-1312 httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce generation in mod\_auth\_digest ->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312 CVE-2017-15710 HIGH | httpd: Out of bounds write apache2-mpm-worker CVE-2018-1312 httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce generation in mod\_auth\_digest ->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312 CVE-2017-15710 httpd: Out of bounds write in mod\_authnz\_ldap when using too small Accept-Language.. -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15710 CVE-2018-1301 MEDIUM httpd: Out of bounds access after failure in reading the HTTP request... -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1301 apache2-utils CVE-2018-1312 httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce generation in mod\_auth\_digest -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312 CVE-2017-15710 httpd: Out of bounds write in mod\_authnz\_ldap when using too small Accept-Language.. -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15710 CVE-2018-1301 MEDIUM httpd: Out of bounds access after failure in reading the HTTP request... -->avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1301 apache2.2-bin CVE-2018-1312 httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce generation in mod\_auth\_digest ->avd.aguasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312 CVE-2017-15710 HIGH httpd: Out of bounds write



apache2.2-bin	   CVE-2018-1312 	CRITICAL	†    -	†    -	httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce     generation in mod_auth_digest    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312
	CVE-2017-15710	HIGH			httpd: Out of bounds write   in mod_authnz_ldap when using   too small Accept-Language  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15710
	CVE-2018-1301	MEDIUM			httpd: Out of bounds   access after failure in   reading the HTTP request  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1301
apache2.2-common	CVE-2018-1312	CRITICAL		10	httpd: Weak Digest auth nonce   generation in mod_auth_digest  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1312
	CVE-2017-15710	HIGH		Vie.	httpd: Out of bounds write   in mod_authnz_ldap when using   too small Accept-Language  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15710
	CVE-2018-1301	MEDIUM	3		httpd: Out of bounds   access after failure in   reading the HTTP request  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1301
bash	CVE-2014-6271	CRITICAL	4.2+dfsg-0.1	4.2+dfsg-0.1+deb7u1	bash: specially-crafted   environment variables can be   used to inject shell commands  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-6271
i i	CVE-2014-6277	HIGH	01	4.2+dfsg-0.1+deb7u3	bash: uninitialized here document
💹 kali@kali: ~ X					- c
+   bash   	+	CRITICAL	   4.2+dfsg-0.1   	+   4.2+dfsg-0.1+deb7u1     	bash: specially-crafted   environment variables can be   used to inject shell commands  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-6271
	CVE-2014-6277	HIGH	İ	+   4.2+dfsg-0.1+deb7u3   	bash: uninitialized here document   closing delimiter pointer use  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-6277
	CVE-2014-6278				bash: incorrect parsing of     function definitions with     nested command substitutions    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-6278
XX.	CVE-2014-7169				bash: code execution via       specially-crafted environment       (Incomplete fix for CVE-2014-6271)      >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-7169
	CVE-2014-7186				bash: parser can allow       out-of-bounds memory access     while handling redir_stack    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-7186
	CVE-2014-7187   				bash: off-by-one error in deeply   nested flow control constructs  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-7187
	   CVE-2016-7543   			4.2+dfsg-0.1+deb7u4   	bash: Specially crafted       SHELLOPTS+PS4 variables     allows command substitution    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-7543
†	+   CVE-2016-9401	MEDIUM	İ	1	bash: popd controlled free



bsdutils	CVE-2014-9114   	HIGH	2.20.1-5.3		util-linux: command   injection flaw in blkid  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9114
	CVE-2016-5011   	MEDIUM			util-linux: Extended partition loop in MBR partition table leads to DOS >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-5011
	CVE-2013-0157   	LOW			util-linux: mount folder   existence information disclosure  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2013-0157
coreutils	CVE-2014-9471   	HIGH	8.13-3.5   	100	coreutils: memory corruption   flaw in parse_datetime()  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9471
	CVE-2016-2781       	MEDIUM	†    -  -	1157	coreutils: Non-privileged   session can escape to the   parent session in chroot  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-2781
gcc-4.7-base	CVE-2014-5044   	CRITICAL	4.7.2-5		gcc: integer overflow   flaws in libgfortran  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-5044
	CVE-2002-2439	HIGH	110		gcc: Integer overflow can ccur during the computation of the memory region>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2002-2439
	CVE-2017-11671 	MEDIUM			gcc: GCC generates incorrect code for RDRAND/RDSEED intrinsics
Laboratoria de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la company	1				
   gnupg   	+   CVE-2015-1607   		1.4.12-7+deb7u9	     	+    gnupg2: memcpy with overlapping   ranges (keybox_search.c)  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-1607
gnupg    -  -  -	+   CVE-2015-1607     		1.4.12-7+deb7u9		ranges (keybox_search.c)
gnupg 	   CVE-2015-1607     		1.4.12-7+deb7u9     	 	ranges (keybox_search.c)
+	CVE-2015-1607		1.4.12-7+deb7u9        -	 	ranges (keybox_search.c)
+	CVE-2015-1607		1.4.12-7+deb7u9   1.4.12-7+deb7u9   + 	           	ranges (keybox_search.c)
+	CVE-2014-3634	HIGH	1.4.12-7+deb7u9   1.4.12-7+deb7u9   + 	 	ranges (keybox_search.c)
 		HIGH	 	l 	ranges (keybox_search.c)  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-1607           rsyslog: remote syslog   PRI vulnerability
gpgv	CVE-2014-3634	HIGH	 	1.4.6-3+deb7u2 1.4.1-3+deb7u1	ranges (keybox_search.c)  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-1607       rsyslog: remote syslog   PRI vulnerability  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-3634   apr: Out-of-bounds array deref   in apr_time_exp*() functions
gpgv	CVE-2014-3634  CVE-2017-12613  CVE-2017-12618	 	 	 	ranges (keybox_search.c)
gpgv	CVE-2014-3634  CVE-2017-12613  CVE-2017-12618	 	 	 	ranges (keybox_search.c)
gpgv	CVE-2014-3634  CVE-2017-12613  CVE-2017-12618	 	 	 	ranges (keybox_search.c)



					<b>4</b>
+	+	+		<b>+</b>	(2)
libaprutil1-ldap 	1	1	l		
1	1	1	I.		
	1		l	1	
libblkidl   	CVE-2014-9114	HIGH	2.20.1-5.3		util-linux: command   injection flaw in blkid  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9114
†    -	CVE-2016-5011	MEDIUM			util-linux: Extended partition loop in MBR partition table leads to DOS>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-5011
†  -  -	CVE-2013-0157	LOW			util-linux: mount folder     existence information disclosure    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2013-0157
libbz2-1.0 	CVE-2016-3189	MEDIUM	1.0.6-4		bzip2: heap use after   free in bzip2recover  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-3189
libc-bin 	CVE-2014-9761	CRITICAL	2.13-38+deb7u12	D,	glibc: Unbounded stack   allocation in nan* functions  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761
	CVE-2017-15670			 	glibc: Buffer overflow
			(),		

libc-bin   	CVE-2014-9761	CRITICAL	2.13-38+deb7u12		glibc: Unbounded stack allocation in nan* functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761
	CVE-2017-15670				glibc: Buffer overflow   in glob with GLOB_TILDE  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670
	CVE-2017-15804				glibc: Buffer overflow   during unescaping of user   names with the ~ operator  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15804
	CVE-2018-6485				glibc: Integer overflow in posix_memalign in memalign functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-6485
	CVE-2015-5180	HIGH			glibc: DNS resolver NULL pointer   dereference with crafted record type  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-5180
l XXX	CVE-2016-2856				pt_chown in the glibc package   before 2.19-18+deb8u4 on   Debian jessie; the elibc  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-2856
	CVE-2017-1000408				glibc: Memory leak   reachable via LD_HWCAP_MASK  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-1000408
	CVE-2017-1000409				glibc: Buffer overflow   triggerable via LD_LIBRARY_PATH  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-1000409
i i	CVE-2017-16997		i "	i	glibc: Incorrect handling



THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T					
	CVE-2017-16997     	†    -  -	*    -  -	     	glibc: Incorrect handling   of RPATH in elf/dl-load.c   can be used to execute  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-16997
+	† 	†    -  -		glibc: realpath() buffer underflow   when getcwd() returns relative   path allows privilege escalation  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-1000001	
į	CVE-2016-10228	MEDIUM			glibc: iconv program can hang   when invoked with the -c option   >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-10228
į	CVE-2016-4429			16	glibc: libtirpc: stack (frame) overflow in Sun RPC clntudp_call()>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-4429
	CVE-2017-12132			Tille	glibc: Fragmentation attacks     possible when EDNSO is enabled    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-12132
	CVE-2017-12133		_(	5	glibc: Use-after-free read     access in clntudp_call in sunrpc    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-12133
	CVE-2017-15671				glibc: Memory leak in     glob with GLOB_TILDE    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15671
	CVE-2013-2207	LOW	8711		glibc (pt_chown): Improper   pseudotty ownership and permissions     changes when granting access to    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2013-2207
libc6					
CIDC6   	CVE-2014-9761   	CRITICAL	  -  -	 	glibc: Unbounded stack allocation in nan* functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761
11008 	CVE-2014-9761	CRITICAL	 	 	allocation in nan* functions
		CRITICAL			allocation in nan* functions    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761   
11000 	CVE-2017-15670	CRITICAL	 		allocation in nan* functions >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761  glibc: Buffer overflow in glob with GLOB_TILDE>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670  glibc: Buffer overflow during unescaping of user names with the ~ operator
† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	CVE-2017-15670	HIGH	 		allocation in nan* functions >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761  glibc: Buffer overflow in glob with GLOB_TILDE>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670  glibc: Buffer overflow during unescaping of user names with the ~ operator>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15804  glibc: Integer overflow in posix_memalign in memalign functions
† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	CVE-2017-15670 CVE-2017-15804 CVE-2018-6485	HIGH	 		allocation in nan* functions >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761  glibc: Buffer overflow in glob with GLOB_TILDE >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670  glibc: Buffer overflow during unescaping of user names with the ~ operator >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15804  glibc: Integer overflow in posix_memalign in memalign functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-6485  glibc: DNS resolver NULL pointer dereference with crafted record type
LIDGS	CVE-2017-15670 CVE-2017-15804 CVE-2018-6485 CVE-2015-5180	HIGH	 		allocation in nan* functions >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761  glibc: Buffer overflow in glob with GLOB_TILDE >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670  glibc: Buffer overflow during unescaping of user names with the ~ operator >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15804  glibc: Integer overflow in posix_memalign in memalign functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-6485  glibc: DNS resolver NULL pointer dereference with crafted record type>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-5180  pt_chown in the glibc package before 2.19-18+deb8u4 on Debian jessie; the elibc
LIDGS	CVE-2017-15670  CVE-2017-15804  CVE-2018-6485  CVE-2015-5180  CVE-2016-2856	HIGH	 		allocation in nan* functions >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761  glibc: Buffer overflow in glob with GLOB_TILDE >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670  glibc: Buffer overflow during unescaping of user names with the ~ operator >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15804  glibc: Integer overflow in posix_memalign in memalign functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-6485  glibc: DNS resolver NULL pointer dereference with crafted record type>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-5180  pt_chown in the glibc package before 2.19-18+deb8u4 on Debian jessie; the elibc>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-2856  glibc: Memory leak reachable via LD_HWCAP_MASK
	CVE-2017-15670  CVE-2017-15804  CVE-2018-6485  CVE-2015-5180  CVE-2016-2856	HIGH	 		allocation in nan* functions >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-9761  glibc: Buffer overflow in glob with GLOB_TILDE >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15670  glibc: Buffer overflow during unescaping of user names with the ~ operator >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-15804  glibc: Integer overflow in posix_memalign in memalign functions>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-6485  glibc: DNS resolver NULL pointer dereference with crafted record type>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2015-5180  pt_chown in the glibc package before 2.19-18+deb8u4 on Debian jessie; the elibc>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2016-2856  glibc: Memory leak reachable via LD_HWCAP_MASK>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-1000408  glibc: Buffer overflow triggerable via LD_LIBRARY_PATH



		_			<	
libssl1.0.0 	CVE-2017-3735   	MEDIUM	1.0.1t-1+deb7u2 	1.0.1t-1+deb7u3 	openssl: Malformed X.509     IPAdressFamily could cause 00B read    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-3735	
†    -  -	+	<del>†</del>    -  -	†     	     	openssl: RSA key generation cache timing vulnerability in crypto/rsa/rsa_gen.c allows attackers to>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-0737	
	CVE-2018-0739     	†       	†    -  -	1.0.1t-1+deb7u4   	openssl: Handling of crafted recursive ASN.1 structures can cause a stack overflow>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-0739	
	CVE-2014-3566	LOW		7,0	SSL/TLS: Padding Oracle On   Downgraded Legacy Encryption attack  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-3566	
+	CVE-2017-3735   	MEDIUM	1.0.1t-1+deb7u2   	1.0.1t-1+deb7u3	openssl: Malformed X.509     IPAdressFamily could cause 00B read    >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-3735	
	CVE-2018-0737    -  -				openssl: RSA key generation   cache timing vulnerability   in crypto/rsa/rsa_gen.c   allows attackers to  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-0737	
7 	CVE-2018-0739		Ø),,	1.0.1t-1+deb7u4	openssl: Handling of crafted recursive ASN.1 structures can cause a stack overflow>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-0739	
	CVE-2014-3566	LOW			SSL/TLS: Padding Oracle On   Downgraded Legacy Encryption attack  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-3566	
libstdc++6   	CVE-2014-5044	CRITICAL	4.7.2–5 		gcc: integer overflow   flaws in libgfortran  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2014-5044	
	CVE-2002-2439	HIGH			gcc: Integer overflow can occur during the computation of the memory region>avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2002-2439	
C	CVE-2017-11671	MEDIUM			gcc: GCC generates incorrect   code for RDRAND/RDSEED intrinsics  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-11671	
XQ	, XQ					
passwd	CVE-2017-12424   	CRITICAL	1:4.1.5.1-1+deb7u1   		shadow-utils: Buffer       overflow via newusers tool  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2017-12424	
	CVE-2018-7169	MEDIUM   			shadow-utils: newgidmap   allows unprivileged user to   drop supplementary groups   potentially allowing privilege  >avd.aquasec.com/nvd/cve-2018-7169	
perl 	CVE-2018-6797   	CRITICAL	   5.14.2-21+deb7u5   		perl: heap write	
	CVE-2018-6913			5.14.2–21+deb7u6 	perl: heap buffer   overflow in pp_pack.c	



## Report to Fix Container Issues

Fill out the report with at least 7 items. Make sure to write the **Issues in the** correct form of (Application Name: CVE number).

Issues	Unpatched Software Version	Patched Software Version
apache2 :CVE-2018-1312	2.2.22-13+deb7u12	2.2.22-13+deb7u13
bash:CVE-2014-6271	4.2+dfsg-0.1	4.2+dfsg-0.1+deb7u1
libapr1 :CVE-2017-12613	1.4.6-3+deb7u1	1.4.6-3+deb7u2
libaprutil1:CVE-2017-12618	1.4.1-3	1.4.1-3+deb7u1
libprocps0 :CVE-2018-1126	1:3.3.3-3	1:3.3.3-3+deb7u1
libssl1.0.0:CVE-2017-3735	1.0.1t-1+deb7u2	1.0.1t-1+deb7u3
openssl : CVE-2017-3735	1.0.1t-1+deb7u2	1.0.1t-1+deb7u3



# Section Four: API Security

Winds: IIM White Silver

## **API** Security

Management has partnered with an external sales vendor and asked for a generic API to be developed that tracks user's data. Based on the data ingested they will create targeted sales advertisements to the customer base, this means a lot of confidential info about the users will be shared to 3rd party vendors.

You need to **identify 3 common API vulnerabilities** and propose effective remediation strategies. Keep in mind this code does not exist; this is the initial stages of development, and you are providing guidance to the engineering team. Feel free to make any assumptions about API features, implementations, and what private data might be shared.

- For each identified common API vulnerability:
  - Describe the vulnerability
  - Explain the risk
  - Provide remediation strategy



## API Vulnerabilities and remediation

## 1. Broken Object Level Authorization

### Description

Broken Object Level Authorization happens when an Application Programming Interface (API) does not properly enforce access controls for individual objects. In this vulnerability, the threat actor could access other users' data by manipulating (changing) user IDs or order IDs in API requests.

#### Risk

If the Broken Object Level Authorization vulnerability is not addressed properly this could allow unauthorized access to sensitive information of other users. This vulnerability could lead to severe privacy breaches.

#### Remediation

Access control checks should be implemented e.g. Every request should be validated against the user's permissions.

Use complex object IDs and make it difficult to guess. e.g. Instead of using sequential IDs use UUIDs or use complex identifiers that are difficult to predict by attackers or for brute force attacks Regularly review access control policies. .



## API Vulnerabilities and remediation

### 2. Excessive Data Exposure

### Description

When API sends more data than the required data in its response. For Example: Instead of sending the required data such as username, if an API endpoint sends a full user profile containing sensitive information then this is called Excessive Data Exposure vulnerability.

#### Risk

Excessive Data Exposure vulnerability increases the attack surface for hackers and the risk of data breaches. The attacker can easily sniff the traffic and can see the sensitive information. Through which sensitive information could be leaked. If sensitive or private data is exposed to unauthorized individuals then it could cause the violation of different privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA).

### Remediation

Sensitive data should be filtered on the server side. Sanitize all the API response data.

API responses should be carefully reviewed to make sure they contain only legitimate data.

Avoid using generic methods such as to\_json() and to\_string().



## API Vulnerabilities and remediation

## 3. Lack of Resources & Rate Limiting

### Description

An API on which rate limiting and throttling controls are not implemented is vulnerable to Denial of Service (Dos) attacks and brute force attacks.

API requests consume different resources such as CPU, Network, and storage. Multiple API client requests compete for resources. API is vulnerable if limits such as execution timeouts, max allocable memory, number of processes, request payload size, number of requests per client/resource, and number of records per page to return in a single response are missing or set inappropriately.

#### Risk

Due to a lack of rate limiting, the API can be overwhelmed and will cause to disrupt service availability which will result in data loss.

## Remediation

Implement Rate and throttling and monitor API usage Add proper server-side validation for query string There should be a limit that how often a client can call an API within a specified amount of time.



## References

https://owasp.org/API-Security/editions/2023/en/0xa1-broken-object-level-authorization/

https://owasp.org/API-Security/editions/2019/en/0xa3-excessive-data-exposure/

https://owasp.org/API-Security/editions/2019/en/0xa4-lack-of-resources-and-rate-limiting/

https://vulcan.io/blog/secure-sdlc-best-practices/

https://portswigger.net/web-security/cross-site-scripting#:~:text=C ross%2Dsite%20scripting%20(also%20known%20as%20XSS)%20is, to%20segregate%20different%20websites%20from%20each%20ot her.