## Title

HÉCTOR BAHAMONDE  $^{*1}$  and NAME LASTNAME  $^{\dagger 2}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, O'Higgins University (Chile) <sup>2</sup>Position, Institution

August 5, 2020

#### ${\bf Abstract}$

This is the abstract.

Keywords— time series, IPE, IR

Work in progress. Please don't cite.

We thank...

<sup>\*</sup>hector.bahamonde@uoh.cl; www.HectorBahamonde.com.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger} hector. bahamonde@uoh.cl; \verb|http://www.hectorbahamonde.com|.$ 

# I. Modelling "Steel and Guns" in a Global Setting: Presenting the GVAR Methodology

#### I. GVAR: Country-specific Equations and Granger-causality Tests

Global vector auto-regressive models (GVAR) are a special category of vector auto-regressive models (VAR). Following Box-Steffensmeier et al. (2014, 164), define a VAR model as follows,

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \mathbf{u}_{it} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  is a  $k_i \times 1$  vector of endogenous variables which are lagged p times on the right-hand side, and where  $E(\mathbf{u}_{it}) = 0$ . Now, following Mauro and Pesaran (2013, 14), define a GVAR model with p lags for country i as follows,

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1i} \mathbf{W}_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2i} \mathbf{W}_{t-p} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
 (2)

where  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  is a  $k_i \times 1$  vector of domestic (i.e. endogenous) variables,  $\mathbf{W}_t$  is a  $k_i \times 1$  vector of weakly-exogenous foreign variables, and  $\mathbf{u}_{it}$  is a serially uncorrelated and cross-sectionally weakly dependent process. As it becomes apparent, the inclusion of foreign variables  $\mathbf{W}_t$  in Equation 2 is one of the main characteristics of the GVAR approach, and the main difference with the VAR equation described in Equation 1. In simple, the Global vector autoregressive GVAR model in Equation 2 explains  $\mathbf{x}_{i,t}$  as a function of past values  $\mathbf{x}_{i,t-p}$  lagged p times, at the same time that it weights these dynamics by weakly-exogenous foreign variables  $\mathbf{W}_{t-p}$  (weights which are captured by parameters  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{ni}$ ).

Since we are substantively interested in weather "guns" cause "steel" or the other way around, in this paper we estimate country-specific bivariate Granger-causality tests within the GVAR framework.<sup>1</sup> The Granger-causality method was introduced in Granger (1969) and it seeks to investigate if some variable X Granger-causes another variable Y, or the other way around. A variable X is said to Granger-cause Y if predictions of Y based on lagged values of Y and lagged values of X perform better than explaining Y just with its own past values. Since Granger-causality

<sup>1.</sup> We acknowledge that without proper experimentation and randomization there cannot be proper causation. Consequently, and following the Granger methodology, we employ a rather lose definition of "causation" and explore if lagged values of a variable *forecast* another variable.

tests are usually estimated by fitting VAR equations (Equation 1) we now derive the Granger-causality test within the GVAR framework. The substantive advantage of GVAR Granger-causality tests over regular Granger-causality tests is that estimates are weighted by the global economy, situating the domestic dynamics within the global context. More formally, we estimate the following GVAR Granger-causality system for every country i with p lags as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{1i} \mathbf{y}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2i} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1i} \mathbf{W}_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2i} \mathbf{W}_{t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3i} \mathbf{W}_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{4i} \mathbf{W}_{t-p} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{y}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{1i} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2i} \mathbf{y}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1i} \mathbf{W}_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2i} \mathbf{W}_{t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3i} \mathbf{W}_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{4i} \mathbf{W}_{t-p} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
(4)

In our case, the vector  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  contains country-year levels of "military personnel," while vector  $\mathbf{y}_{it}$  contains country-year levels of "iron and steel production." Both variables were systematized by the Correlates of War Project, particularly, the National Material Capabilities dataset (Singer, Bremer, and Stuckey 1972).<sup>2</sup> The dataset covers all countries in the world between 1816-2012.

Also, the vector of foreign variables is the single variable "dyadic trade" which measures bilateral trade flows between two countries. This variable was constructed by the same project but in the Trade dataset (Barbieri, Keshk, and Pollins 2009; Barbieri and Keshk 2016)<sup>3</sup> and was used to construct the weight matrix  $\mathbf{W}$ . The matrix is a square matrix which has all K countries in both its columns and rows with zeros as diagonal elements. The matrix represents bilateral trade among two countries measured by the flow1 and the flow2 variables. The former measures imports from a country (importer1) to another country (importer2), and the latter measures the reverse dyad, i.e. imports from importer2 to importer1. More formally,  $\mathbf{W}$  contains t sub-matrices (one sub-matrix per year) with dimensions  $k \times k$  for a total of  $\mathbf{K}$  countries such that,

$$\mathbf{W}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i}_{1} & \mathbf{i}_{2} & \mathbf{i}_{3} & \dots & \mathbf{i}_{K} \\ \mathbf{i}_{1} & 0 & f_{21} & f_{31} & \dots & i_{K1} \\ \mathbf{i}_{2} & f_{12} & 0 & f_{32} & \dots & i_{K2} \\ \mathbf{i}_{3} & f_{13} & f_{23} & 0 & \dots & i_{K3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{i}_{K} & f_{1K} & f_{2K} & f_{3K} & \dots & i_{KK} \end{bmatrix}$$

<sup>2.</sup> Version 5.0.

<sup>3.</sup> Version 4.0.

Every  $W_t$  matrix weights all K country-specific Granger regressions described in Equation 3. Every K system is weighted by the other K-1 countries. And as Equation 3 shows, the GVAR methodology also considers p lags of the W matrix. Following the literature on Granger-causality tests we focus our attention model-specific f-tests (one per country) which tests if all variables in the model are jointly significant. Then null is that there is no Granger causality.

#### II. Results

ble (trend,

add Deterministic vari-

ables to ta-

constant)

Table 1: Bivariate Gobal Granger Causality Tests of the World Political Economy, 1871-1913

	Granger Relationship	F-Test	P-Value	DF	Adjusted R-sq	Lags
Austria-Hungary	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.16	0.017	8,31	0.192	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	2.48	0.238	8,31	0.233	1
Belgium	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.781	0.001	16,19	0.263	5
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	2.339	0.017	16,19	0.38	9
France	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.27	0.257	8,31	0.052	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	2.72	0.095	8,31	0.261	1
Germany	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.844	0.003	8,31	0.148	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	7.891	0.022	8,31	0.586	1
Italy	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.777	0.004	16,19	0.448	5
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	6.801	0	16,19	0.726	9
Russia	$steel \rightarrow guns$	8.725	0	16,19	0.779	5
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	3.595	0.034	16,19	0.543	9
Spain	$\mathrm{steel}  o \mathrm{guns}$	1.454	0.126	8,31	0.085	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	0.554	0.214	8,31	-0.101	
United Kingdom	$\mathrm{steel}  o \mathrm{guns}$	1.85	0.023	16,19	0.28	5
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	0.966	0.284	16,19	-0.016	5
United States	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.942	0.044	8,31	0.285	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	8.608	0	8,31	0.609	1

Table shows country-specific Granger-causality F-tests. Last column shows number of domestic lags used per every country-specific Granger model. Number of lags for endogenous variables = 2. Number of lags for foreign variables = 2. Max number of lags for estimating the country-specific VAR model = 5. Information criteria for optimal lag length = AIC. Deterministic variables: Trend and constant.

Table 2: Bivariate Gobal Granger Causality Tests of the World Political Economy, 1955-2012 (A)

	Granger Relationship	F-Test	P-Value	DF	Adjusted R-sq	Lags
Argentina	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.422	0.568	10,44	-0.12	1
Argentina	$guns \rightarrow steel$	7.765	0	10,44	0.556	1
Australia	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.629	0.649	12,41	-0.092	2
	guns → steel	5.618	0.700	12,41	0.511	
Austria	steel → guns	0.656 $7.305$	0.726 0.024	12,41 12,41	-0.084 0.588	2
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	3.842	0.002	12,41	0.392	
Belgium	guns → steel	3.001	0.002	12,41	0.312	2
·	steel → guns	0.237	0.91	12,41	-0.209	
Brazil	$guns \rightarrow steel$	9.498	0	12,41	0.658	2
Bulgaria	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.269	0.58	12,41	0.057	2
Duigaria	$guns \rightarrow steel$	4.286	0	12,41	0.427	
Canada	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.69	0.22	10,44	0.113	1
Canada	$guns \rightarrow steel$	5.055	0.019	10,44	0.429	*
Chile	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.561	0.969	10,44	-0.088	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	7.313	0	10,44	0.539	
Colombia	steel → guns	4.855	0.001	18,32	0.581	5
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	1.737 0.219	0.004	18,32	-0.169	
Egypt	$guns \rightarrow steel$	5.471	0.933	10,44	0.453	1
	steel → guns	1.428	0.013	12,41	0.455	
Finland	guns → steel	5.041	0.050	12,41	0.478	2
	steel → guns	8.235	0.343	16.35	0.694	
France	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.442	0.116	16,35	0.122	4
G	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.344	0.023	18,32	0.11	-
Greece	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.39	0.006	18,32	0.334	5
Hungary	$steel \rightarrow guns$	3.363	0.011	12,41	0.349	2
Trungary	$guns \rightarrow steel$	3.711	0.002	12,41	0.38	
India	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.287	0.847	14,38	0.072	3
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	6.048	0	14,38	0.576	
Israel	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.702	0.622	10,44	-0.058	1
	guns → steel	0.63	0.588	10,44	-0.073	
Italy	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	2.151 $11.365$	0.169 $0.001$	10,44 $10,44$	0.176 0.657	1
	steel → guns	1.876	0.001	16.35	0.216	
Japan	guns → steel	4.079	0.001	16,35	0.491	4
- ·	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.296	0.003	10,44	0.194	
Luxembourg	$guns \rightarrow steel$	0.925	0.541	10,44	-0.014	1
Mexico	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.436	0.262	16,35	0.12	4
Mexico	$guns \rightarrow steel$	8.525	0	16,35	0.702	4
Netherlands	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.483	0.001	10,44	0.216	1
recineriands	$guns \rightarrow steel$	3.73	0.001	10,44	0.336	
North Korea	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.875	0.006	18,32	0.403	5
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.143	0.013	18,32	0.292	
Norway	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.02	0.178	10,44	0.004	1
·	guns → steel	1.122	0.704	10,44	0.022 0.114	
Poland	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	2.745	0.754	12,41	0.114	2
	steel → guns	0.749	0.404	10,44	-0.049	
Portugal	guns → steel	3.265	0.004	10,44	0.296	1
	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.019	0.464	10,44	0.004	_
Romania	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.878	0.001	10,44	0.258	1
Courtly Africa	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.686	0.912	10,44	-0.062	1
South Africa	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.102	0.547	10,44	0.019	1
South Korea	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.448	0.924	10,44	-0.114	1
South Rorea	$guns \rightarrow steel$	9.019	0	10,44	0.598	
Spain	$steel \rightarrow guns$	4.66	0.001	10,44	0.404	1
• "	guns → steel	4.92	0.002	10,44	0.421	
Taiwan	steel → guns	1.382 $5.667$	0.064	12,41 12,41	0.08	2
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	1.696	0.157	14.38	0.514 0.158	
Turkey	guns → steel	25.426	0.157	14,38	0.868	3
	steel → guns	9.085	0	10,44	0.6	
United Kingdom	guns → steel	1.139	0.404	10,44	0.025	1
r anosifia Cre		toata 1		110010 0	horra numba	n of

Table shows country-specific Granger-causality F-tests. Last column shows number of domestic lags used per every country-specific Granger model. Number of lags for endogenous variables = 3. Number of lags for foreign variables = 3. Max number of lags for estimating the country-specific VAR model = 5. Information criteria for optimal lag length = AIC. Deterministic variables: Trend.

Table 3: Bivariate Gobal Granger Causality Tests of the World Political Economy, 1955-2012 (B)

	Granger Relationship	F-Test	P-Value	DF	Adjusted R-sq	Lags
China	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.252	0.996	9,45	-0.142	2
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	83.191	0	9,45	0.932	4
Russia	$steel \rightarrow guns$	3.663	0.003	7,48	0.253	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.775	0.031	7,48	0.09	1
United States	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.821	0.1	7,48	0.095	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.961	0.063	7.48	0.109	1

Table shows country-specific Granger-causality F-tests. Last column shows number of domestic lags used per every country-specific Granger model. Number of lags for endogenous variables = 2. Number of lags for foreign variables = 2. Max number of lags for estimating the country-specific VAR model = 5. Information criteria for optimal lag length = AIC. Deterministic variables: NA.

#### REFERENCES

- Barbieri, Katherine, and Omar Keshk. 2016. "Correlates of War Project Trade Data Set Codebook, Version 4.0." http://correlatesofwar.org.
- Barbieri, Katherine, Omar Keshk, and Brian Pollins. 2009. "TRADING DATA: Evaluating our Assumptions and Coding Rules." Conflict Management and Peace Science 26 (5): 471–491.
- Box-Steffensmeier, Janet, John Freeman, Matthew Hitt, and Jon Pevehouse. 2014. *Time Series Analysis for the Social Sciences*. Cambridge University Press.
- Granger, Clive. 1969. "Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-spectral Methods." *Econometrica* 37 (3): 424.
- Mauro, Filippo di, and Hashem Pesaran. 2013. The GVAR Handbook: Structure and Applications of a Macro Model of the Global Economy for Policy Analysis. 1st, edited by Filippo di Mauro and Hashem Pesaran. Oxford University Press.
- Singer, David, Stuart Bremer, and John Stuckey. 1972. Capability Distribution, Uncertainty, and Major Power War, 1820-1965, edited by Bruce Russett, 19–48. Sage.

Word coun	t: 736

### II. Appendix

I. Info that goes into the Appendix