Title

HÉCTOR BAHAMONDE *1 and NAME LASTNAME $^{\dagger 2}$

¹Assistant Professor, O'Higgins University (Chile) ²Position, Institution

July 30, 2020

${\bf Abstract}$

This is the abstract.

Keywords— time series, IPE, IR

Work in progress. Please don't cite.

We both thank Tsung-wu Ho.

^{*}hector.bahamonde@uoh.cl; www.HectorBahamonde.com.

[†]hector.bahamonde@uoh.cl; http://www.hectorbahamonde.com.

I. Modelling "Steel and Guns" in a Global Setting: Presenting the GVAR Methodology

I. GVAR: Country-specific Equations and Granger-causality Tests

Following Mauro and Pesaran (2013, 14), we define a country i GVAR model with p lags as follows,

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i0} \mathbf{x}_{it}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i1} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p}^{\star} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
 (1)

where \mathbf{x}_{it} is a $k_i \times 1$ vector of domestic variables, \mathbf{x}_{it}^{\star} is a $k_i^{\star} \times 1$ vector of weakly-exogenous foreign variables, and \mathbf{u}_{it} is a serially uncorrelated and cross-sectionally weakly dependent process. The inclusion of the foreign variables \mathbf{x}_{it}^{\star} is one of the main characteristics of the GVAR approach. In simple, the Global vector autoregressive generalized model defined in Equation 1 explains x_{it} as a function of past values of x_{it}^{1} , at the same time that it weights estimates Φ_{i} by a weakly-exogenous foreign variables $x_{i,t-p}^{\star}$ (a effect which is captured by parameters Λ_{i}).

Since we are substantively interested in if "guns" cause "steel" or the other way around, in this paper we estimate country-specific bivariate Granger-causality tests within the GVAR framework.² The Granger-causality method was introduced in Granger (1969) and it seeks to investigate if some variable X Granger-causes another variable Y, or the other way around. A variable X is said to Granger-cause Y if predictions of Y based on lagged values of Y and lagged values of X perform better than explaining Y just with its own past values. Since Granger-causality tests are usually estimating by fitting vector auto-regressive models (VAR), we now derive the Granger-causality test within the GVAR framework. The advantage of GVAR Granger-causality tests over regular Granger-causality tests is that the estimates are weighted by the global economy, situating the domestic effects within the global context. More formally, we estimate the following equations for every country i,

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i0} \mathbf{x}_{it}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i1} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p}^{\star} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
 (2)

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{1i} \mathbf{y}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2i} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i1} \mathbf{y}_{it}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i2} \mathbf{y}_{i,t-p}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i3} \mathbf{x}_{it}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i4} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p}^{\star} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{y}_{it} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{1i} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2i} \mathbf{y}_{i,t-p} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i1} \mathbf{x}_{it}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i2} \mathbf{x}_{i,t-p}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i3} \mathbf{y}_{it}^{\star} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i4} \mathbf{y}_{i,t-p}^{\star} + \mathbf{u}_{it}$$
(4)

In our case, the vector \mathbf{x}_{it} contains country-year levels of "military personnel" and "iron and steel production", as systematized by the Correlates of War Project, particularly, the National Material Capabilities (version 5.0) dataset (Singer, Bremer, and Stuckey 1972). The dataset covers all countries in the world between 1816-2012.

In our case, the vector of foreign variables is the single variable "dyadic trade" which measures bilateral trade flows between two countries. This variable was developed by the same project in the Trade dataset (version 4.0).(Barbieri, Keshk, and Pollins 2009; Barbieri and Keshk 2016). Using this variable, a square weighting matrix was constructed as follows: if there are 30 countries, the weight matrix has 30 rows and 30 columns—its diagonal element contains only zeros. Every country is weighted by the other 29 remaining countries.

add Deterministic variables to table (trend, constant)

II. Results

 Table 1: Bivariate Gobal Granger Causality Tests of the World Political Economy, 1871-1913

	Granger Relationship	F-Test	P-Value	DF	Adjusted R-sq	Lags
Austria-Hungary	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.834	0.017	8,31	0.273	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	1.393	0.238	8,31	0.075	1
Belgium	$steel \rightarrow guns$	4.216	0.001	10,28	0.458	2
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	2.759	0.017	10,28	0.316	
France	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.35	0.257	8,31	0.067	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	1.907	0.095	8,31	0.157	1
Germany	$steel \rightarrow guns$	3.827	0.003	8,31	0.367	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	2.694	0.022	8,31	0.258	1
Italy	$steel \rightarrow guns$	3.61	0.004	10,28	0.407	2
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	5.039	0	$10,\!28$	0.515	
Russia	$steel \rightarrow guns$	10.499	0	16,19	0.813	5
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	2.423	0.034	16,19	0.394	9
Spain	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.749	0.126	8,31	0.133	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	1.454	0.214	8,31	0.085	1
United Kingdom	$\mathrm{steel} o \mathrm{guns}$	2.674	0.023	8,31	0.256	1
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	1.29	0.284	8,31	0.056	_ <u>_</u> _
United States	$\mathrm{steel} o \mathrm{guns}$	2.254	0.044	10,28	0.248	2
	$\mathrm{guns} \to \mathrm{steel}$	5.528	0	10,28	0.544	

 Table 2: Bivariate Gobal Granger Causality Tests of the World Political Economy, 1955-2014

	Granger Relationship	F-Test	P-Value	DF	Adjusted R-sq	Lags
Argentina	steel → guns	1.072 6.222	0.402	9,45	0.012 0.465	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	0.222	0.466	9,45 11,42	-0.001	_
Australia	$guns \rightarrow steel$	3.564	0.001	11,42	0.347	2
Austria	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.694	0.736	11,42	-0.068	2
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	2.526 4.525	0.015	11,42	0.24	
Belgium	guns → steel	1.012	0.459	13,39	0.003	3
Brazil	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.19	0.997	11,42	-0.202	2
Diazii	$guns \rightarrow steel$	5.779	0	11,42	0.498	
Bulgaria	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	0.606 3.202	0.813 0.003	11,42 11,42	-0.089 0.314	2
	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.531	0.003	11,42	0.099	
Canada	$guns \rightarrow steel$	3.517	0.002	11,42	0.343	2
Chile	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.294	0.973	9,45	-0.133	1
Cinic	$guns \rightarrow steel$	5.678	0	9,45	0.438	1
China	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	0.13 25.707	0.999	9,45 9,45	-0.17 0.805	1
	steel → guns	3.422	0.001	17,33	0.452	
Colombia	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.719	0.089	17,33	0.196	5
Egypt	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.19	0.994	9,45	-0.156	1
28774	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.639	0.015	9,45	0.215	•
Finland	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	1.504 2.994	0.154 0.003	17,33 17,33	0.146 0.404	5
	steel → guns	1.456	0.194	9,45	0.071	
France	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.438	0.024	9,45	0.193	1
Greece	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.35	0.232	11,42	0.068	2
	guns → steel	1.917	0.064	11,42	0.16	
Hungary	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	3.568 4.868	0.001	11,42 11,42	0.348 0.445	2
—	steel → guns	0.45	0.9	9,45	-0.101	
India	$guns \rightarrow steel$	7.349	0	9,45	0.514	1
Israel	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.405	0.201	13,39	0.092	3
101001	guns → steel	1.291	0.259	13,39	0.068	
Italy	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	0.386 1.142	0.936 0.355	9,45 9,45	-0.114 0.023	1
_	steel → guns	2.783	0.006	17,33	0.377	
Japan	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.074	0.036	17,33	0.267	5
Luxembourg	$steel \rightarrow guns$	5.861	0	17,33	0.623	5
	guns → steel	1.483	0.162	17,33	0.141	, i
Mexico	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	2.421 4.269	0.014	17,33 17,33	0.326 0.526	5
NT (1 1 1	$steel \rightarrow guns$	4.03	0	13,39	0.431	
Netherlands	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.771	0.084	13,39	0.162	3
North Korea	$steel \rightarrow guns$	3.9	0.001	11,42	0.376	2
	guns → steel	5.135	0 004	11,42	0.462	
Norway	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	0.786 1.26	0.684 0.276	15,36 $15,36$	-0.067 0.071	4
D.1. 1	steel → guns	0.597	0.792	9,45	-0.072	,
Poland	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.487	0.182	9,45	0.075	1
Portugal	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.678	0.724	9,45	-0.057	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	1.59 0.753	0.147	9,45	-0.043	
Romania	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.089	0.059	9,45	0.154	1
D .	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.955	0.008	9,45	0.246	,
Russia	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.032	0.43	9,45	0.005	1
South.Africa	$steel \rightarrow guns$	0.323	0.963	9,45	-0.127	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$ $steel \rightarrow guns$	0.306	0.273	9,45	-0.131	
South Korea	$guns \rightarrow steel$	7.079	0.909	9,45	0.503	1
C	steel → guns	3.799	0.001	13,39	0.412	3
Spain	$guns \rightarrow steel$	1.347	0.229	13,39	0.08	3
Taiwan	$steel \rightarrow guns$	2.099	0.05	9,45	0.155	1
	guns → steel	2.644	0.015	9,45	0.215	
Turkey	$steel \rightarrow guns$ $guns \rightarrow steel$	1.617 10.103	0.139	9,45 9,45	0.093 0.603	1
TT 14 . 1 TZ1 1	steel → guns	10.371	0	9,45	0.61	٠,
United Kingdom	$guns \rightarrow steel$	0.994	0.459	9,45	-0.001	1
United States	$steel \rightarrow guns$	1.527	0.168	9,45	0.081	1
	$guns \rightarrow steel$	2.986	0.007	9,45	0.249	

REFERENCES

- Barbieri, Katherine, and Omar Keshk. 2016. "Correlates of War Project Trade Data Set Codebook, Version 4.0." http://correlatesofwar.org.
- Barbieri, Katherine, Omar Keshk, and Brian Pollins. 2009. "TRADING DATA: Evaluating our Assumptions and Coding Rules." Conflict Management and Peace Science 26 (5): 471–491.
- Granger, Clive. 1969. "Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-spectral Methods." *Econometrica* 37 (3): 424.
- Mauro, Filippo di, and Hashem Pesaran. 2013. The GVAR Handbook: Structure and Applications of a Macro Model of the Global Economy for Policy Analysis. 1st, edited by Filippo di Mauro and Hashem Pesaran. Oxford University Press.
- Singer, David, Stuart Bremer, and John Stuckey. 1972. Capability Distribution, Uncertainty, and Major Power War, 1820-1965, edited by Bruce Russett, 19–48. Sage.

Word count:	523

II. Appendix

I. Info that goes into the Appendix