

Table: Summary Statistics

Variable	N	Percent
Age	741	
... 18-24	120	16%
... 25-34	172	23%
... 35-44	146	20%
... 45-54	146	20%
... Más de 55	157	21%
Gender	741	
... Man	315	43%
... Woman	422	57%
... Otro/Prefiero no decir	4	1%
Education	741	
... Educación básica completa (hasta octavo básico).	10	1%
... Educación media completa.	192	26%
... Educación técnico-profesional completa.	214	29%
... Educación universitaria completa.	270	36%
... Magister o Doctorado completo.	42	6%
... Menos que educación básica (menos que octavo básico).	2	0%

Being on the Losing side and Commitment to Democratic Principles: Experimental Evidence from New Democracies

Mart Trasberg ³

³Monterrey Tec, Mexico

December 7, 2023

Title

Test.

Title

Test.

Title.

- **Identify**

Title

- Test.

Argument

Test.

Candidates that **look like** and actually **are** wealthy (poor) will do better (worse) in elections.

Chilean Case

- We follow a **“least-likely case design”** (Levy 2008). Finland has been consistently considered as:
 - A ‘democratic’ (Polity-V).
 - An ‘economic egalitarian’ (Walzl 2022).
 - A ‘gender egalitarian.’
 - A ‘social-mobility prone’ country (Erola 2009).
- Thus, it should be **hard to find any correlation** between **class-congruent use of status symbols** and **voting**.

...and yet, we *do*.

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: **Attractiveness**_{*i*}, Masculinity_{*i*} and Femininity_{*i*}.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, **Masculinity_i** and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and **Femininity_i**.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- **Full**, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & **female**).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the interaction term.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Functional Form and Model

$$Y_i = \text{Votes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{Votes}_i) = & \beta_1 \text{Occupation-Appearance Congruence}_i \times \text{Social Class}_i + \\ & \beta_2 \text{Age}_i + \\ & \gamma_1 \text{Party}_i + \\ & \gamma_2 \text{City}_i + \\ & \Theta_i \end{aligned}$$

- In Θ we **also control for**: Attractiveness_i, Masculinity_i and Femininity_i.
- Full, but also **partition the data** (male & female).
- We focus on the **marginal effects** of the **interaction term**.

[▶ show regression table](#)

Main Results

test

Main Takeaways

✓ Test.

Thank you



to check updates on this project.

Summary Stats

Test