


# Making corrections to your proof

Please follow these instructions to mark changes or add notes to your proof. You can use Adobe Acrobat Reader (download the most recent version from <https://get.adobe.com>) or an open source PDF annotator.

For Adobe Reader, the tools you need to use are contained in **Annotations** in the **Comment** toolbar. You can also right-click on the text for several options. The most useful tools have been highlighted here. If you cannot make the desired change with the tools, please insert a sticky note describing the correction.

Please ensure all changes are visible via the 'Comments List' in the annotated PDF so that your corrections are not missed.




**Do not attempt to directly edit the PDF file as changes will not be visible.**




## Replacing text

To replace text, highlight what you want to change then press the replace text icon, or right-click and press 'Add Note to Replace Text', then insert your text in the pop up box. Highlight the text and right click to style in bold, italic, superscript or subscript.




## Inserting text

Place your cursor where you want to insert text, then press the insert text icon, or right-click and press 'Insert Text at Cursor', then insert your text in the pop up box. Highlight the text and right click to style in bold, italic, superscript or subscript.




## Deleting text

To delete text, highlight what you want to remove then press the strikethrough icon, or right-click and press 'Strikethrough Text'.



## Highlighting text

To highlight text, with the cursor highlight the selected text then press the highlight text icon, or right-click and press 'Highlight text'. If you double click on this highlighted text you can add a comment.



# QUERY FORM

JOURNAL: Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics

AUTHOR: S P Mehdiabadi and L Zamiri

TITLE:  $W'$  pair production in the light of CMS searches

ARTICLE ID: jpgaab415

---

The layout of this article has not yet been finalized. Therefore this proof may contain columns that are not fully balanced/matched or overlapping text in inline equations; these issues will be resolved once the final corrections have been incorporated.

Please check that the **names of all authors as displayed in the proof are correct**, and that all **authors are linked to the correct affiliations**. Please also confirm that the correct corresponding author has been indicated. **Note that this is your last opportunity to review and amend this information before your article is published.**

If an explicit acknowledgment of funding is required, please ensure that it is indicated in your article. If you already have an Acknowledgments section, please check that the information there is complete and correct.

---

SQ1

Please be aware that the colour figures in this article will only appear in colour in the online version. If you require colour in the printed journal and have not previously arranged it, please contact the Production Editor now.

---

We have been provided with ORCID iDs for the authors as below. Please confirm whether the numbers are correct.

Saeid Paktinat Mehdiabadi 0000-0001-7303-0217



**Page 1**

---

Q1

Please provide an affiliation for author [Leila Zamiri].



**Page 1**

---

Q2


Please specify the corresponding author.



**Page 10**

---

Q3

Please check the details for any journal references that do not have a link as they may contain some incorrect information. If any journal references do ~~not~~ have a link, please update with correct details and supply a Crossref DOI if available. 

**Page 10**

---

Q4

Please provide the volume and page number or article number in reference [3].



**Page 10**

---

Q5

Please provide updated details for reference [4] if available.



# $W'$ pair production in the light of CMS searches

Saeid Paktinat Mehdiabadi<sup>1,2</sup>  and Leila Zamiri<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), PO Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Physics, Yazd University, PO Box 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

E-mail: [paktinat@ipm.ir](mailto:paktinat@ipm.ir) and [leila.zamiri@gmail.com](mailto:leila.zamiri@gmail.com)

Received 14 November 2017, revised 21 February 2018

Accepted for publication 5 March 2018

Published DD MM 2018



CrossMark

## Abstract

For the first time, the pair production of the heavy charged gauge bosons, known as  $W'$  bosons is considered, when both decay to  $\tau$  leptons. The reported detailed efficiency of object/event selection by the CMS experiment is used to find the lower limit on the mass of  $W'$  boson. Various assumptions for the coupling of the new gauge boson are examined and the results are reported. In the case of a SM-like  $W'$  boson, masses below 290 GeV are excluded at 95% confidence level. The method can be used to constrain other new models with similar final state.

Keywords:  $W'$  phenomenology, LHC phenomenology, CMS experiment

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)


## 1. Introduction

New heavy charged gauge bosons, called  $W'$  bosons, are predicted by numerous different extensions of the standard model of the elementary particles (SM). The SM  $W$  boson couples only to left-handed fermions, whereas the coupling of  $W'$  boson can be completely left-handed, right-handed or a mixture of both.

The general form of the Lagrangian describing the fermionic interactions of  $W'$  boson is given in [1]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{V_{ij}}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{f}_i \gamma_\mu (g'_R(1 + \gamma^5) + g'_L(1 - \gamma^5)) W'^\mu f_j + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1)$$

<sup>3</sup> Independent Researcher.



**Figure 1.** The diagram showing the production process of two  $W'$  bosons in collision of two protons and their decay into  $\tau$  and  $\nu_\tau$ . The  $\tau$  lepton decays either to lighter leptons, i.e. electron and muon, or to hadrons. For the analysis presented in this paper, at least one of the  $\tau$  leptons decay hadronically.

where  $g'_{R(L)}$  are the right handed (left-handed) coupling constants. The  $V_{ij}$  matrix refers to a  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix for leptons and the CKM matrix for quarks. The  $(1 \pm \gamma^5)$  operators represent left and right-handed chiral projection operators. In the case,  $g'_R = 0$  and  $g'_L \neq 0$  (pure left-handed), both leptons and quarks can couple to  $W'$  boson, but where  $g'_R \neq 0$  and  $g'_L = 0$  (pure right-handed) only quarks can couple to  $W'$  boson, because we either do not introduce right-handed neutrinos or they are assumed to be much heavier than  $W'$  boson.

In this paper, for the first time, we consider a situation where two opposite-sign  $W'$  bosons are produced. Since nowadays the colliders center of mass energy is sufficiently high, such processes can be accessible. Due to the important role of the third generation fermions in many new physics scenarios, each  $W'$  boson is decayed to a  $\tau$  lepton and its neutrino ( $\nu_\tau$ ). Since we ask for two  $\tau$  leptons in the final state,  $g'_L$  can not be zero.

In this analysis, the efficiencies provided by the CMS experiment [2] are used to find the yields of the favorite signal and compare it with the reported SM backgrounds to set a lower limit on the mass of  $W'$  boson. The CMS analysis uses LHC data from proton–proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy ( $\sqrt{s}$ ) of 8 TeV to search for new physics in di-tau final states. The data corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 18.1 and 19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup> in different channels. Three different final states are considered depending on the decay of two  $\tau$  leptons, fully hadronic ( $\tau_h \tau_h$ ), where both  $\tau$  leptons decay hadronically and  $\ell \tau_h$  ( $e \tau_h$  or  $\mu \tau_h$ ), where one  $\tau$  lepton decays hadronically and the other decays leptonically. The schematic diagram of decay is shown in figure 1.

In different experiments, many searches are done to see the signatures of  $W'$  boson, but up to now none of them have obtained a positive signal. The most stringent limit is set by the ATLAS experiment in an analysis which looks at the tail of the transverse mass distribution of the lepton plus missing transverse momentum system [3]. The lepton is assumed to be produced in the decay of a  $W'$  boson associated with missing transverse momentum coming from a neutrino. It has excluded the  $W'$  boson with masses smaller than 5.1 TeV at a 95% confidence level (CL), assuming a pure left-handed  $W'$  boson with  $g'_L$  equal to the coupling of the SM  $W$  boson ( $g_{SM} = 0.64$ ). The results of different direct searches from the colliders are also used to constrain the  $W'$  boson. For example, the results of the search for single top quark production are used to constrain the  $W'$  boson in [4].

In next section, the reference experimental analysis is reviewed and the used variables are defined. In section 3, the framework of our analysis is described. The results of the analysis are reported in section 4. Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2. Review of the experimental analysis

This study reinterprets the results of the ‘Search for electroweak production of charginos in final states with two tau leptons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV’ [2] for the production of two  $W'$  bosons decaying into two  $\tau$  leptons. In this section the experimental analysis is reviewed.

The  $\tau$  lepton decays to a muon or an electron in  $\sim 35\%$  of the cases and to hadrons ( $\tau_h$ ) in the rest of cases. So about 90% of events with two  $\tau$  leptons decay to  $\ell\tau_h$  or  $\tau_h\tau_h$ . In this analysis, only these two decay channels are considered and the fully leptonic decay modes are ignored.

The missing transverse momentum ( $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ ) which is defined as the vectorial sum of the transverse momenta ( $p_T$ ) of neutrinos in the event is one of the event properties used in this analysis. In addition to the neutrinos produced from the direct decay of  $W'$  boson, those produced in the  $\tau$  lepton decay are also considered.

Having the momentum of the decay products of  $\tau$  leptons and  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ , we can calculate all the needed variables used in the reference analysis. Stransverse mass ( $M_{T2}$ ) [5, 6] is the main variable that is used to categorize the events. It is a function of momentum of two visible particles and  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$  in the event. It is defined as:

$$M_{T2}^2(m_N, \alpha, \beta, p_T^{\text{miss}}) = \min_{p_T + q_T = p_T^{\text{miss}}} [\max[M_T^2(\alpha, p), M_T^2(\beta, q)]], \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ( $p$  and  $q$ ) are the four momenta of the visible (invisible) decay products in two different legs and  $m_N$  is the mass of the invisible particle which is set to zero for this study. The transverse mass ( $M_T$ ) is defined as:

$$M_T^2(\alpha, p) = m_\alpha^2 + m_N^2 + 2(E_T(p)E_T(\alpha) - \vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{\alpha}_T) \quad (3)$$

and transverse energy is given by;

$$E_T(p) = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_N^2}. \quad (4)$$

In the reference analysis, the events are categorized in 4 signal regions (SR). For the  $e\tau_h$  and  $\mu\tau_h$ , it has been found that cutting the events with  $M_{T2} < 90$  GeV is useful to discard the SM background events. But for  $\tau_h\tau_h$  events, two separate SR's are defined as events with  $M_{T2} > 90$  GeV (SR1) and events with  $40 < M_{T2} < 90$  GeV and  $\Sigma M_{T1}^{\tau_i} > 250$  GeV (SR2), where  $\Sigma M_{T1}^{\tau_i}$  is the sum of the transverse mass of two  $\tau_h$  objects.

In addition to the selection criteria that are applied for the lepton selection and event categorization, some other requirements to veto any extra lepton or b-tagged jets are also applied.

## 3. Event generation

To generate the signal events version 2.6.0 of MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO [7] package is used which is the extension of MadGraph5 [8] matrix-element generator. In the following, we refer to this event generator as MadGraph. The model used for signal generation is  $W'$  effective model (WEff-UFO) [1], which is an extension of SM by adding the  $W'$  boson interactions with the SM fermions (equation (1)). The signal of our interest is  $pp \rightarrow W'^+W'^-$ . To avoid the violation of unitarity at high energy, one needs to add interaction of  $W'$  boson with the SM gauge bosons to equation (1) and consider also the process  $pp \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow W'^+W'^-$ . In this analysis, this part is ignored, because the goal is to study the leptonic interactions of  $W'$  boson, without adding the complexities introduced from the gauge interactions. It is checked

**Table 1.** Cross sections and decay widths when  $g'_R = 0$ ,  $g'_L = g_{SM} = 0.64$  for various  $W'$  masses for pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV.

$W'$ mass (GeV)	Decay width (GeV)	Cross section (fb)
100	2.51	929
130	3.26	315
160	4.01	130
190	4.83	59.7
220	5.88	28.0
250	6.98	14.1
280	8.09	7.68
310	9.20	4.43
340	10.3	2.67
370	11.4	1.67
400	12.5	1.08


and found that the ignored terms can increase the cross section of the favorite process by about 50%, so the reported limits are conservative.

For each set of parameters, at least 20 000 events are generated in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The momentum distribution of the partons in the proton is provided by the NN23LO1 [9] parton distribution function (PDF). The TAUOLA package [10] is used to simulate the  $\tau$  lepton decays. It simulates the hadronic and leptonic decays of the  $\tau$  lepton and provides full information about final state particles including neutrinos and mediator particles. It also considers spin information of the decay products in simulating the angular distribution of the decay products.


In the first study, we considered purely left-handed  $W'$  ( $g'_L = g_{SM}$  and  $g'_R = 0$ ). This means interactions with quarks and leptons are both allowed. Different masses of  $W'$  boson in the range of 100 through 400 GeV are used in this analysis. Decay width or life time of  $W'$  boson depends on decay modes, coupling strength of the decay process, and kinematic constraints. Decay widths corresponding to each mass of  $W'$  boson are estimated by MadGraph. The results agree with the values reported in [1], where the total width of  $W'$  and partial width of  $W' \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ ,  $\bar{t}b$  are calculated in the leading order and the next to leading order precision. The production cross section and total width for the decay of  $W'$  to both quarks and leptons in different masses are listed in table 1. The relative uncertainties on the cross sections reported in this table and the following tables are typically, 2%–8% from the scale variation and 3%–5% from PDF variation for different masses.

As a cross check the branching ratio (BR) which is defined as the partial decay width to a special channel divided by the total decay width is compared for both signs of the  $W'$  boson. It can be observed in table 2 that the values are consistent for  $W'^+$  and  $W'^-$  bosons.

As another cross check, the kinematic and search variables of the generated events are produced. For this purpose, the visible momentum of the hadronic decaying  $\tau$  is defined as the original  $\tau$  momentum before decay subtracted by the momentum of the neutrinos in the decay chain of the  $\tau$  lepton. The negative of the vectorial sum of the visible  $\vec{p}_T$  of the two  $\tau$  leptons defines  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ . Having these information, one can construct all the needed variables like the transverse mass of the leptons or  $M_{T2}$ . As it is discussed earlier, the final state of our signal includes pure hadronic channel ( $\tau_h\tau_h$ ) and also a mixture of hadronic-leptonic channel ( $\ell\tau_h$ ). In figures 2 and 3, the distributions of  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$  and  $M_{T2}$  for both channels in different  $W'$  masses are shown. The transverse momentum of the leading and next-to-leading  $\tau_h$  leptons in



**Figure 2.** Missing transverse momentum ( $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ ) for different masses of  $W'$  boson. The events of  $\tau_h \tau_h (\ell \tau_h)$  channel are shown in left (right).



**Figure 3.**  $M_{T2}$  for different masses of  $W'$  boson. The events of  $\tau_h \tau_h (\ell \tau_h)$  channel are shown in left (right).


**Table 2.** Branching ratios of  $W'$  when  $g'_R = 0$ ,  $g'_L = g_{\text{SM}} = 0.64$  for various signs and masses of  $W'$  boson.

$W'$ mass (GeV)	Branching ratio			
	$W'^+ \rightarrow \bar{\tau}, \nu_\tau$	$W'^+ \rightarrow t\bar{b}$	$W'^- \rightarrow \tau, \bar{\nu}_\tau$	$W'^- \rightarrow \bar{t}b$
100	0.111	0.00	0.111	0.00
190	0.110	0.0138	0.110	0.0138
310	0.0939	0.155	0.0939	0.155
400	0.0895	0.194	0.0895	0.194


$\tau_h \tau_h$  channel are shown in figure 4. The figure 5 shows the  $p_T$  of the lepton and  $\tau_h$  in  $\ell \tau_h$  channel. All of the distributions show the correct treatments and harder objects are produced when the mass of the  $W'$  boson is increased.

The couplings of the  $W'$  boson are not fixed by the model, so to investigate the effect of the couplings, we calculate the production cross section and decay width when the couplings are multiplied by 1.5 or 0.5. As can be seen in table 3, the cross section is scaled by 5.06 and 1/16 when the coupling is increased and decreased by 50%, respectively. It is noticeable that the values of decay widths are proportional to factors of 2.25 and 0.25 for the increased and





**Figure 4.** The maximum and minimum of  $p_T^{\tau h}$  in  $\tau_h \tau_h$  channel for different masses of  $W'$  boson.



**Figure 5.** Left (right) plot shows  $p_T^{\tau h}$  ( $p_T^l$ ) in  $\ell \tau_h$  channel for different masses of  $W'$  boson.

**Table 3.** Cross sections and decay widths when  $g_L'$  is decreased or increased by 50% for various  $W'$  masses.

$W'$ mass (GeV)	$g_R' = 0, g_L' = \frac{1}{2}g_{SM} = 0.32$		$g_R' = 0, g_L' = \frac{3}{2}g_{SM} = 0.96$	
	Decay width (GeV)	Cross section (fb)	Decay width (GeV)	Cross section (fb)
100	0.627	58.1	5.50	4410
130	0.815	19.7	7.15	1500
160	1.00	8.16	8.80	618
190	1.21	3.72	10.6	284
220	1.47	1.75	12.9	132
250	1.75	0.885	15.3	67.1
280	2.02	0.482	17.7	36.3
310	2.30	0.278	20.2	21.0
340	2.58	0.168	22.6	12.0
370	2.85	0.105	25.0	7.48
400	3.11	0.0678	27.3	5.11

**Table 4.** Cross sections and decay widths of different mixing angles for a 310 GeV  $W'$  boson.

Mixing angle $\theta$	$g'_R, g'_L$	Decay width (GeV)	Cross section (fb)	BR( $W' \rightarrow \tau\nu_\tau$ )
$0^\circ$	0.0, 0.64	9.20	4.43	0.0939
$30^\circ$	0.32, 0.56	8.51	1.78	0.0757
$45^\circ$	0.46, 0.46	8.00	0.752	0.0543
$60^\circ$	0.56, 0.32	7.21	0.263	0.0292

decreased left-handed coupling values. The behaviors of the cross sections,  $(g'_L)^4$ , and decay widths,  $(g'_L)^2$ , are consistent with our expectations.

In an alternative approach, the left-handed and right-handed couplings are changed in a way that their squared sum is constant and equal to  $g_{SM}^2$ .

$$g_{SM}^2 = (g'_L)^2 + (g'_R)^2. \quad (5)$$

It is easier, to define a mixing angle and rewrite the couplings as:

$$g'_L = g_{SM} \cos \theta, \quad (6)$$

$$g'_R = g_{SM} \sin \theta. \quad (7)$$

By varying  $\theta$  from  $0^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$ , the  $W'$  goes from a purely left-handed to a purely right-handed vector boson. The latter  $W'$  boson does not have any interaction with the leptons. The variation of the cross sections and decay widths due to various mixing angles, when  $W'$  mass is 310 GeV, is shown in table 4.

In the next section the generated events in this section are used to set a lower limit on the  $W'$  mass.


#### 4. Results

Using the  $W'$  samples generated by MadGraph as explained in section 3 and decaying  $\tau$  leptons using the TAUOLA package, we are ready to measure the efficiency of the selection for different channels for different  $W'$  masses.

For each event, the probability of passing the selection cuts for a given SR can be obtained using the cut efficiency tables of the experimental paper [2]. In that paper, the efficiency of applying each cut on the reconstructed properties of the event is reported as a function of the generator level value of that property. It makes it very easy and accurate to take into account the detector effects that are always difficult to model. Following that paper, all the cuts are considered independent. The efficiencies of different cuts are multiplied to obtain the full selection efficiency for different channels and SR.

This was done for different  $W'$  masses and for different coupling strengths. The resulting efficiencies for the SM-like scenario can be seen in figure 6. The efficiencies for the case where  $g'_L$  is increased or decreased by 50% or where there is also a non-zero  $g'_R$  are produced and compared with the results in figure 6. As it is expected, the efficiencies depend only on the kinematic of the generated events which vary with the mass of the  $W'$  boson and do not depend on the coupling constants.

Having the full selection efficiency in one channel ( $\varepsilon_{fs}^{ch}$ ), together with the production cross section ( $\sigma$ ) and the decay BR, one can estimate the total number of expected signal



**Figure 6.** Efficiency of signal selection in different signal regions as a function of the  $W'$  mass.

**Table 5.** Data yields and background predictions with their uncertainties for  $\ell\tau_h$  and  $\tau_h\tau_h$  channels. The shown uncertainty is the quadratic sum of the statistical and systematic uncertainties provided by the CMS experiment.

	$e\tau_h$	$\mu\tau_h$	$\tau_h\tau_h$ SR1	$\tau_h\tau_h$ SR2
Background	$3.52 \pm 3.39$	$8.59 \pm 4.83$	$1.58 \pm 0.65$	$7.07 \pm 2.25$
Observed data	3	5	1	2

events in a given integrated luminosity ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) using the formula:


$$N_{\text{exp}}^{\text{ch}} = \mathcal{L} \times \sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow W'W') \times \text{BR}^2(W' \rightarrow \tau\nu) \times \varepsilon_{\text{fs}}^{\text{ch}}. \quad (8)$$

According to the experimental paper, the integrated luminosity for the  $\tau_h\tau_h$  SR is  $18.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  and for the  $\ell\tau_h$  channels is  $19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . Following the same reference, a systematic uncertainty of 20% for signal in  $\ell\tau_h$  channel and 25% in  $\tau_h\tau_h$  channel is assumed. Data yields and background predictions with their uncertainties in the four SR of search obtained from [2] are shown in table 5.

The 95% CL upper limit on the signal strength can be found by combining all the four channels. A Likelihood ratio semi-Bayesian method implemented in ROOT [11] is used. Signal strength is defined as the  $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{pp}} \rightarrow W'W'$  ratio. Results for the SM-like  $W'$  are shown in figure 7 (top-left). It can be seen that  $W'$  masses up to 290 GeV are excluded.

Repeating this procedure for the other scenarios of the coupling constants, it is observed that when  $g_L'$  is decreased, the sensitivity is decreased, and vice versa, as it is expected. Figure 7 shows the observed limits, the expected exclusions and  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainties on the expected exclusions for different scenarios of the coupling constants. Table 6 summarizes the expected and observed limits in different scenarios. Always, the observed limit is higher than the expected one, because in different SR the observed data is less than the expected background (table 5).

The results are lower than the results from the direct search, but the proposed method can be used to constrain any new model with a similar final state, without need to simulate the response of a real detector.



**Figure 7.** Upper limit of  $\sigma/\sigma_{pp \rightarrow W'W'}$  production for different scenarios.

**Table 6.** The expected and observed lower limits on the  $W'$  mass in different scenarios of the coupling constants.

$g'_R, g'_L$	Expected (GeV)	Observed (GeV)
0, 0.64	255	290
0.32, 0.56	190	225
0.46, 0.46	135	170
0.56, 0.32	90	120
0, 0.32	90	120
0, 0.96	380	420

## 5. Conclusions

With increasing the center of mass energy of the colliders, pair production of the heavy charged bosons,  $W'$  bosons, can be accessible. The event selection efficiencies, provided by a CMS analysis in a similar final state enables us to approximate the detector effects without the complexities from the full detector response simulation. The efficiencies are used to find the yield of the favorite signal. A statistical tool is used to compare the yield of the signal with the observed events from data and set a lower limit on the mass of the  $W'$  boson. Different scenarios for the coupling of  $W'$  bosons to leptons is examined and the corresponding lower limits are reported. If the coupling constants are same as those of the SM  $W$  boson, the masses up to 290 GeV are excluded at a 95% CL. Depending on the scenario, the limit can be lower or even pushed up to 420 GeV.

## Acknowledgments

The analysis has benefited significantly from ideas and comments by Hamed Bakhshiansohi. We have to thank him for all of his helps and supports. The authors would like to thank Seyed Yaser Ayazi, for the useful discussions and his comments on the manuscript. The authors are grateful to CMS collaboration for their fantastic results.

## ORCID iDs

Saeid Paktinat Mehdiabadi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7303-0217>

## References

- [1] Sullivan Z 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 075011
- [2] Khachatryan V *et al* (CMS) 2017 *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP04(2017)018**
- [3] Aaboud M *et al* (ATLAS) 2017 Search for a new heavy gauge boson resonance decaying into a lepton and missing transverse momentum in  $36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$  with the ATLAS experiment *Eur. Phys. J. C* (arXiv:1706.04786) Submitted to
- [4] Ayazi S Y and Mehdiabadi S P 2017 The s-channel single top quark production as a constraint for  $W'$  boson contribution arXiv:1707.07155
- [5] Lester C G and Summers D J 1999 *Phys. Lett. B* **463** 99
- [6] Barr A, Lester C and Stephens P 2003 *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **29** 2343
- [7] Alwall J, Frederix R, Frixione S, Hirschi V, Maltoni F, Mattelaer O, Shao H S, Stelzer T, Torrielli P and Zaro M 2014 *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP07(2014)079**
- [8] Alwall J, Herquet M, Maltoni F, Mattelaer O and Stelzer T 2011 *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP06(2011)128**
- [9] Ball R D, Bertone V, Carrazza S, Del Debbio L, Forte S, Guffanti A, Hartland N P and Rojo J (NNPDF) 2013 *Nucl. Phys. B* **77** 290
- [10] Davidson N, Nanava G, Przedzinski T, Richter-Wąs E and Wąs Z 2012 *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183** 821
- [11] Brun R and Rademakers F 1997 *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **389** 81