

NCHNAP565 Data Synthesis AE2

Assessment Details

Course Leader:	Dr Malik Haddad
First, Second, or Third Sitting:	First
Issue Date:	15th September 2025, 09.00
Assessment Type:	Presentation
Assessment Title:	Summative Assessment 2: Presentation
Restrictions on Time:	30 minutes
Assessment Weighting:	30%
Hand-in Deadline for Supplementary Materials (if applicable):	26th September 2025, 23.59
Presentation Date:	26th September 2025, 09.00
Planned Feedback Deadline:	28 calendar days after last presentation date
File Format Accepted:	[PDF, DOC, DOCX, PPT, PPTX
Mode of Submission:	Online (Canvas)
Anonymous Submission:	NO
Marking Scheme:	Categorical



Permitted

Use of Al is allowed on this assignment; Claude can be used to improve the presentation slides **only**.

Assessment Task

This assignment should be done collectively, in a team. Please make sure your group distributes the tasks well and helps each other to do them. This is not an individual assignment, and it is encouraged that you work as a team to complement each other's skills.

Explanation of responsible AI use, Claude can be used to improve the presentation slides **only**.

The Task

Welcome to the Dragon's Den: Al Vision Edition!

You and your team are ambitious tech entrepreneurs seeking investment for your groundbreaking computer vision and facial recognition venture. You must convince our panel of Dragons (industry experts) that your solution is not only technically innovative but also commercially viable, ethically sound, and ready to disrupt the market.

Your Mission

Transform one of these market opportunities into a compelling investment pitch:

1. "ShopSmart Analytics" - The Retail Revolution

Market Gap: Major retailers lose £2.5 billion annually due to poor customer experience and inefficient layouts

Your Vision: An Al-powered system that transforms how retailers understand their customers

The Dragons Want: ROI projections, privacy solutions, competitive advantage.

2. "MediVision Diagnostics" - Healthcare's Digital Eye

Market Gap: 75% of rare genetic conditions show facial markers that doctors miss

Your Vision: A diagnostic assistant that could save the NHS millions while improving patient outcomes

The Dragons Want: Accuracy rates, regulatory pathway, patient trust strategy

3. "SafeCity AI" - Urban Security Reimagined

Market Gap: Crime costs UK cities £60 billion yearly, but current CCTV is reactive, not preventive

Your Vision: Predictive security that respects privacy while protecting communities

The Dragons Want: Civil liberties balance, scalability plan, government contracts potential

4. "GuardianEye" - Industrial Safety Revolutionised

Market Gap: Workplace accidents cost UK businesses £15 billion annually

Your Vision: Real-time AI that prevents accidents before they happen

The Dragons Want: Lives saved metrics, insurance partnerships, implementation costs

5. "EduEngage AI" - The Future of Learning

Market Gap: 40% of online learners drop out due to lack of engagement monitoring

Your Vision: Emotion-aware education that adapts to every student's needs

The Dragons Want: Privacy safeguards for minors, school adoption strategy, learning outcomes

The Pitch Structure

- 1. Act 1: The Hook
 - Start with a surprising statistic or compelling story
 - Demonstrate the problem with real impact
 - Show your vision
 - State your ask clearly
- 2. Act 2: The Technical Part
 - Live demonstration or simulation
 - Explain your computer vision architecture
 - Show how you synthesize multiple data streams
 - Reveal your unique selling point what makes you unique
 - Display performance metrics
- 3. Act 3: The Business Case
 - Market size and growth projections
 - Customer acquisition plan
 - Competitive analysis
 - Financial projections
- 4. Act 4: The Reality Check
 - · Address privacy and ethics
 - Show your GDPR compliance strategy
 - Discuss bias mitigation approaches
 - Risk mitigation strategies
- 5. Act 5: The Close
 - Recap your unique selling point
 - Recap competitive analysis
 - Recap performance metrics
 - Recap financial projections
 - Strong call to action

Deliverables

- 1. Presentation slides following the pitch structure
- 2. Technical architecture diagram
- 3. Post-Pitch reflection 1 page individual reflection on team performance and lessons learned

You must use visual aids as part of your presentation, i.e. PowerPoint and/or data visualisation software.

Please individually upload the following deliverables to the Summative Assessment 2 submission link on Canvas:

Deliverables

- 1. Presentation slides following the pitch structure
- 2. Technical architecture diagram
- 3. Post-Pitch reflection 1 page individual reflection on team performance and lessons learned

In the case of groups struggling to organise their workload and splitting of tasks, group members are urged to reach out to the course leader **early**, so that any issues with the group work can be addressed and resolved.

Assessment Criteria

This assignment will be evaluated against the specific learning outcomes. Failure to submit all the required documents or non-submission of a supplementary component will result in receiving 0% for that Task.

The criteria for this assessment are detailed in the following rubrics:

Ass ess men t Crit eria	W e i g h t	(100/95/8 5/82)	(78/75/7 2)	(68/65.6 2)	(58/55/ 52)	(48/45/ 42)	(38/35/3 2)	(20/10 /0)
Tec hnic al Inno vati on & Criti cal App licat ion	2 5 %	Demonstrates systematic understanding of CV concepts with critical awarenes s of current problems. Applies knowledg e creatively to	Shows compreh ensive knowled ge of CV principle s with ability to critically analyse their applicati on. Good evaluatio n of different approac hes with	Demonst rates knowled ge of main CV concept s and ability to apply establish ed approac hes. Some critical evaluatio n present	Basic knowled ge of CV concept s with limited critical analysis . Primaril y descript ive applicat ion of standar d techniq	Very basic CV knowled ge - can identify concept s but struggle s with applicat ion. Minimal critical thinking . Heavy reliance on	Insufficie nt understa nding of fundame ntal concept s. Cannot apply knowled ge effectivel y. Major concept ual errors. Confuse	No grasp of CV conce pts. Unabl e to demo nstrat e any meani ngful under standing. Failur e to engag

		propose	some	but	ues.	lecture	s basic	e with
		novel	original	limited	Little	notes/e	CV	techni
		solutions.	thinking.	develop	evidenc	xamples	terminol	cal
		Shows	Clear	ment of	e of	without	ogy and	conte
		conceptu	understa	new	evaluati	adaptati	principle	nt
		al	nding of	ideas.	on or	on.	S	
		understan	uncertai	Shows	conside	Some		
		ding	nty and	awarene	ration of	fundam		
		enabling	limits of	ss of	alternati	ental		
		critical	knowled	different	ves	miscon		
		evaluation	ge	methodo		ception		
		of		logies		S		
		methodol				present		
		ogies and						
		developm						
		ent of new						
		approach						
		es.						
		Evidence						
		of						
		research-						
		informed						
		practice						
		Exception	Effectivel	Compet	Limited	Very	Poor	Analyt
		al ability	У	ent	analytic	limited	analytica	ical
		to	analyses	analysis	al skills	analysis	l ability.	failure
		critically	and	and	with	-	Cannot	•
Dat		analyse	integrate	synthesi	basic	attempt	meaning	Unabl
a		and	s diverse	s of data	data 	s data	fully 	e to
Synt		synthesis	data	from	combin	combin	combine	proce
hesi	2	e complex	sources	multiple	ation.	ation	data	ss or
s &	0	data from	with	sources.	Minimal	but with	sources.	interpr
Anal	%	multiple	good	Basic	critical	errors.	Fundam	et any
ytic		sources.	critical	critical	evaluati	Cannot	ental	data
al		Demonstr	evaluatio	evaluatio	on of	evaluat	errors in	meani
Mas tery		ates sophistica	n. Clear analytica	n of data quality.	sources or	e data quality.	basic statistic	ngfully . No
Lery		ted	anatytica I	Can	quality.	Misinter	al	under
		understan	ι framewo	identify	Simple	prets	interpret	standi
		ding of	rk with	main	interpre	basic	ation. No	ng of
		data	ability to	patterns	tation	statistic	evidence	basic
		uata	ability to	patterns	tation	อเสมอินิ	evidence	มสอเป

		relationsh ips and	identify patterns	but limited	with little	s. Synthes	of critical	data conce
		ability to	and	depth in	synthesi	is	thinking	pts
		generate	relations	interpret	s. Relies	attempt	about	
		new	hips.	ation.	heavily	s are	data	
		insights.	Good	Standard	on	minimal		
		Shows	understa	analytica	descript	or		
		mastery in	nding of	ι	ive	limited		
		evaluating	data	approac	statistic			
		data	limitatio	hes	s			
		quality,	ns and	applied				
		limitation	appropri	correctly				
		s, and	ate					
		implicatio	handling					
		ns.						
		Creative						
		problem-						
		solving						
		evident						
		Demonstr	Good	Satisfact	Limited		No · .	
		ates	commer	ory	commer		meaning	
		sophistica	cial	understa	cial	Weak	ful	Absen
		ted understan	awarene ss with	nding of commer	underst anding	commer	commer cial	ce of
Co		ding of	ability to	cial	with	cial	analysis.	comm
mm		business	critically	context.	superfic	grasp -	Complet	ercial
erci		context	assess	Basic	ial	mention	e	aware
al		with	business	ability to	market	S	disconne	ness.
Acu		critical	models.	relate	analysis	busines	ct	No
men	2	evaluation	Effectivel	technica		s terms	between	attem
&	0	of market	y relates	l work to	Minimal	without	technica	pt to
Stra	%	dynamics.	technical	business	critical	underst	l work	consid
tegi		Excellent	solution	needs.	evaluati	anding.	and	er
С		ability to	to	Some	on of	Confuse	business	busine
Thin		synthesiz	market	evaluatio	busines	d about	reality.	SS
king		е	needs.	n of	s	basic market	Fundam	contex t or
		academic	Sound	market	model.	concept	ental	applic
		knowledg	evaluatio	opportun	Basic	s.	misunde	ations
		e with	n of	ity but	awaren	J.	rstandin	นแบบเอ
		commerci	competit	limited	ess of		g of what	
		al	ive	critical	commer		constitut	

		realities. Strategic thinking evident with well- reasoned judgemen ts about viability and risks. Original insights into market opportunit ies Exception	landscap e and commer cial risks. Evidence of strategic thinking	depth. Standard business analysis tools applied Commu	cial factors but weak applicat ion. Descript ive rather than analytic al		es a market or business model	
Co mm unic atio n & Prof essi onal Pra ctic e	1 5 %	al ability to communi cate complex ideas to diverse audiences . Demonstr ates professio nal standards exceeding industry expectatio ns. Creative and engaging presentati on showing	icates complex ideas clearly and professio nally. Good awarene ss of audience with appropri ate adaptati on of content. Well- structure d argumen ts with effective use of	nicates main ideas adequat ely with satisfact ory structure . Basic adaptati on to audienc e needs. Accepta ble professi onal standard s but limited creativity . Some use of appropri	commu nication with some unclear explana tions. Limited audienc e awaren ess. Meets professi onal standar ds in present ation. Struggle s with technic al	Poor commu nication - ideas are present but poorly express ed. poor explana tion of technic al concept s clearly.	to commun icate ideas coherent ly. Presenta tion is unprofes sional or incompr ehensibl e. No evidence of planning or structure . Multiple professi onal standard	No meani ngful comm unicat ion. Work is incoh erent, or absen t. Failur e to prese nt ideas

	mastery	visual	ate	terminol		violation	
	of chosen medium.	aids. Professio	terminol ogy	ogy		S	
	Critical awarenes s of audience needs and adapts accordingl y	nal presenta tion standard s					
Coll abo rativ e Pra ctic e & Tea m Lea ders hip	Demonstrates exception al collaborat ive skills with evidence of leadershi p and initiative. Critical reflection on team dynamics with strategies for optimizati on. Takes responsibi lity for collective outcomes while enabling others. Shows emotional	Effective collabor ation with good contribut ion to team outcome s. Shows initiative and some leadershi p qualities. Good reflectio n on team processe s with construc tive approac h to challeng es. Reliable	Satisfact ory teamwork with adequate contribution. Basic reflection on collaboration but limited initiative. Participates in team activities appropriately. Some awareness of team dynamics	Limited collabor ation with minimal contribu tion beyond assigne d tasks. Little reflection on team process es. Basic particip ation but limited initiative .	Minimal team engage ment - attende d meeting s but contribu ted little. Little reflectio n on process . Meets team commit ments. With passive particip ation only	Failed to work effectivel y in team. Absent from key activities . No contribut ion to outcome s. May have disrupte d team functioning. No evidence of collabor ative effort	Team work failure . Either absen t entirel y or activel y under mined team work. No partici pation or collab oratio n

		intelligenc e and conflict resolution skills Demonstr	team member					
Ethi cal Rea soni ng & Soci etal Imp act	1 0 %	ates sophistica ted ethical reasoning with comprehe nsive stakehold er analysis. Critical evaluation of ethical framewor ks and their applicatio n. Shows deep understan ding of societal implicatio ns with innovative approach es to ethical challenge s. Evidence of values- based	Good ethical awarene ss with clear applicati on of ethical principle s. Critically evaluate s main ethical issues with appropri ate mitigatio n strategie s. Good understa nding of stakehol der impacts. Thoughtf ul approac h	Basic ethical framewo rk applied with adequat e consider ation of main issues. Some evaluatio n of impacts but limited depth. Standard approac hes to ethical challeng es. Awarene ss of GDPR and privacy requirem ents	Minimal ethical conside ration with superficial treatme nt of issues. Limited awaren ess of stakeholder impacts. Basic compliance approach without deeper reasoning. Awaren ess of key ethical concerns	Limited ethics - mention s ethics/ GDPR but without underst anding implicat ions. Oversig hts in identifying ethical issues. No stakeholder conside ration. Checkbox approach only	No meaning ful ethical analysis. Serious ethical violation s or complet e oversight of obvious issues. No awarene ss of responsi bilities	Work demo nstrat es disreg ard for ethica l consid eratio ns

	decision			
	making			

The presentation will contain a **10** minute Q&A session. During the Q&A session any group member may be asked any question about the content of the work.

Group Work	55 Marks
Technical Innovation & Critical Application	25
Data Synthesis & Analytical Mastery	20
Collaborative Practice & Team Leadership	10
Presentation	45 Marks
Commercial Acumen & Strategic Thinking	20
Communication & Professional Practice	15
Ethical Reasoning & Societal Impact	10

All has been used to review this assessment brief for clarity and to develop the Rubrics and align them with the learning outcomes.

Submitting Assessments

If the assessment calls for the submission of materials to supplement the group presentation, all group members should be sure to make identical submissions.

You have three submission attempts, but only the last submission will be graded. If your last submission attempt is late, you will receive the late penalty even if you have a previous submission that was on time. Please make sure to avoid multiple submissions for assessments with multiple components, as only the last attempt will be graded. Upload several files in one submission attempt using the 'add files' function instead.

If you encounter issues with submission:

 Check the assessment details table at the top of the assessment brief to be sure you are submitting a permitted file format. Avoid zip files (unless explicitly required) and scanned PDFs. Use the 'add files' function to submit multiple files instead of a zip file.

- Make sure you have ticked the agreement box at the bottom of your Canvas submission page (scroll down if you don't see it). This will enable you to select 'Submit Assessment.'
- Try changing web browsers.

If you still cannot submit, e-mail a copy of your assignment before the deadline to learning.tech@nulondon.ac.uk along with screenshots of the problem on Canvas, showing a timestamp.

Please review the submitted file to ensure that everything is in order. To turn on notifications for submission confirmation emails in your Canvas settings: Account > Notifications > Turn on the bell for 'All submissions.' In the app this is via Settings > Email Notifications > All submissions.

Marking

The University uses two assessment marking schemes – one for undergraduate and one for postgraduate – to mark all taught programmes leading to an award of the University.

More detailed information on the assessment marking scheme and the criteria can be found in the Course Syllabus, available on the University's VLE.

Learning Outcomes

This assessment will enable students to demonstrate in full or in part the learning outcomes identified in the Course Descriptor.

On successful completion of this assessment, students should be able to:

Knowledge and Understanding

- K1b Understand the key principles, concepts and syntax of NLP and Computer Vision.
- K2b Evaluate and critique technical tools and processes for combining diverse sets of data.

Subject-Specific Skills

- S1b Use NLP and Computer Vision to parse language and images to solve complex data science problems.
- S2b Effectively use data synthesis to bring diverse and complex datasets together for analysis.
- S3b Work collaboratively to complete complex data synthesis tasks.

Transferable Skills

T1b Create visual presentations to a high standard.

- T2b Manipulate, structure and transform complex data.
- T3bi Critically investigate, make judgements and use self-initiative.

Knowledge, Skills, and Behaviours

This assessment is aligned with the following Knowledge, Skills and Behaviours in the Apprenticeship Standard:

Data Scientist ST0585/AP01

Knowle	edge
K3	How data can be used systematically, through an awareness of key platforms for data and analysis in an organisation.
K4	How to design, implement and optimise analytical algorithms – as prototypes and at production scale – using:
K4.1	Statistical and mathematical models and methods.
K4.3	Applications such as computer vision and Natural Language Processing.
K5	The data landscape: how to critically analyse, interpret and evaluate complex information from diverse datasets:
K5.3	Common patterns in real-world data.
Skills	
S1	Identify and clarify problems an organisation faces, and reformulate them into Data Science problems. Devise solutions and make decisions in context by seeking feedback from stakeholders. Apply scientific methods through experiment design, measurement, hypothesis testing and delivery of results. Collaborate with colleagues to gather requirements.
S2	Perform data engineering: create and handle datasets for analysis. Use tools and techniques to source, access, explore, profile, pipeline, combine, transform and store data, and apply governance (quality control, security, privacy) to data.
Behav	iours
B1	An inquisitive approach: the curiosity to explore new questions, opportunities, data, and techniques; tenacity to improve methods and maximise insights; and relentless creativity in their approach to solutions.
B4	Empathy and positive engagement to enable working and collaborating in multi-disciplinary teams, championing and highlighting ethics and diversity in data work.
B5	Adaptability and dynamism when responding to varied tasks and organisational timescales, and pragmatism in the face of real-world scenarios.

B6 Consideration of problems in the context of organisation goals.

Accessing Feedback

Students can expect to receive feedback on all summative coursework within 28 calendar days of the submission deadline or, if applicable, the last oral assessment date, whichever later. The 28 calendar day deadline does not apply to work submitted late. Feedback can be accessed through the assessment link on the Canvas course page.

Late Submissions

If your assessment calls for supplementary materials, please ensure that you submit them well before the deadline to avoid non-submission penalties as indicated in the assessment criteria above. A submission made exactly on the deadline will be considered late, and so, will not be counted towards your assignment. Please keep in mind that there may be differences between your computer's clock and the server time, which can cause discrepancies, and that Canvas may take some time to process your submission.

Your Canvas submission portal may display two due dates: one is the deadline for your assessment, and the second is the portal closure date. Please make sure you submit by the assessment deadline. All group members are responsible for the timely submission of the assessment.

For further information, please refer to AQF7 Part C in the Academic Handbook.

Extenuating Circumstances

The University's Extenuating Circumstances (ECs) procedure is in place if there are genuine circumstances that may prevent a learner from submitting an assessment. If the EC application is successful, there will be no academic penalty for missing the published submission deadline.

Learners are normally expected to apply for ECs in advance of the assessment deadline. Learners may apply for consideration of ECs retrospectively if they can provide evidence that they could not have done so in advance of the deadline. All applications for ECs must be supported by independent evidence.

Successful EC applications for live oral assessments, including vivas, will result in a deferral of the oral to be organized by faculty, learners, and in some instances the End-Point Assessment Office for a date as close as possible to the original presentation date. The deadline for supplementary materials, if assigned, will be carried forward by the length of the oral assessment extension.

Missing an oral assessment, including a compulsory viva, without an approved EC will result in a non-submission for the entire assessment and, accordingly, a recorded mark of 0%.

Learners are reminded that the ECs procedure covers only short-term issues (within 28 days leading to the submission deadline) and that if they experience longer-term matters that impact on learning then they must contact their Success Manager for advice.

Under the Extenuating Circumstances Policy, learners may defer an assessed element on only one occasion and may request an extension on a maximum of two occasions.

For further information, please refer to the <u>Extenuating Circumstances Policy</u> in the Academic Handbook.

Academic Misconduct

You must submit work for assessment purposes that is your own and meets good academic practice. Assessments must be completed strictly in accordance with the instructions outlined in the assessment brief. This includes ensuring that your work is appropriately referenced.

It is important to understand if artificial intelligence (AI) is permitted to be used or not, and if yes, the conditions for its use. For clarity, the assessment brief expressly states if AI can be used, and the parameters of its permitted usage. You are not permitted to use AI (in any form) if the assessment brief does not expressly state that usage is permitted.

Any concerns with the academic integrity of a submission will be addressed in accordance with the Academic Misconduct Policy. This policy gives details of the different types of misconduct and the steps the University may take when a concern arises. Action may result in academic penalties being applied directly to you. You are advised to review this policy in full before completing and submitting any work for marking purposes. The University may also take steps to ascertain the authenticity of a submitted piece of work in cases of relevant concern, such as by holding a viva.

Referrals

If you fail this assignment the first time that you sit it, you will typically be given an opportunity to resit it, subject to the approval of the Progression and Award Board. This is called a referral and your mark will be capped at the pass mark. Referrals will typically use the same assessment brief as the first sitting and you will be able to rework your previous submission. It is strongly recommended that you carefully consider the feedback received on your original submission and use it to improve your work. The deadline for a referral will be communicated to you after the Progression and Award Board has confirmed your mark and approved the referral attempt. The details of reassessment regulations can be found in the Academic Quality Framework Chapter 7, Part C (AQF7C). Please note that if you fail at the first

attempt due to proven academic misconduct, and you are permitted a second attempt, you will usually be provided with a new assessment brief.

Version History

Title: Assess	Title: Assessment Brief: Oral Summative Assessment									
Approved by	Approved by: The Quality Team									
Version number	Date approved	Date published	Author	Location	Proposed next review date					
3.0	March 2023	March 2023	Registrar	VLE/ Faculty Resourc es Page	March 2024					
2.0	August 2022	August 2022	Registrar	VLE/ Faculty Resourc es Page	July 2023					
1.1	December 2021	December 2021	Registrar	VLE	August 2022					
1.0	August 2021	August 2021	Registrar	VLE	August 2022					
Referenced documents	reconstruction of the second o									
External Reference Point(s)	UK Quality (Code Theme:	Assessmen	t						