

Pen and paper

1. Consider the following approximation to the initial value problem $u' = F(t, u)$, $u(t_0) = u_0$:

$$\frac{u_{k+1} - u_k}{\Delta t} = c_1 F(t_k, u_k) + c_2 F(t_{k+1}, u_{k+1}), \quad (1)$$

- (a) Let \tilde{u}_{k+1} be the value of u at timestep t_{k+1} updated by (1) with the exact value $u(t_k)$. In other words, \tilde{u}_{k+1} satisfies

$$\frac{\tilde{u}_{k+1} - u(t_k)}{\Delta t} = c_1 F(t_k, u(t_k)) + c_2 F(t_{k+1}, \tilde{u}_{k+1}). \quad (2)$$

Show first $\tilde{u}_{k+1} - u(t_k)$ is at least of first order and then

$$\tilde{u}_{k+1} - u(t_k) = (c_1 + c_2)F\Delta t + c_2 [F_t + (c_1 + c_2)FF_u]\Delta t^2 + O(\Delta t^3), \quad (3)$$

where $F = F(t_k, u(t_k))$, $F_t = F_t(t_k, u(t_k))$, and $F_u = F_u(t_k, u(t_k))$.

- (b) Show that

$$u(t_{k+1}) - u(t_k) = F\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}(F_t + FF_u)\Delta t^2 + O(\Delta t^3). \quad (4)$$

- (c) Argue that $c_1 + c_2 = 1$ must be satisfied for a valid (i.e. consistent) numerical scheme.
 (d) Determine the values of c_1 and c_2 that give a second-order scheme.

2. Consider the explicit trapezoid scheme

$$u_{k+1} = u_k + \frac{\Delta t}{2} [F(t_k, u_k) + F(t_k + \Delta t, u_k + \Delta t F(t_k, u_k))]. \quad (5)$$

Show that the local truncation error is $O(\Delta t^3)$ and thus the scheme is second-order.

3. Consider the following initial value problem:

$$u' = \frac{t^3}{u}, \quad u(0) = 1. \quad (6)$$

- (a) Derive the exact solution.
 (b) Write down the forward Euler scheme.
 (c) Write down the backward Euler scheme. Express u_{k+1} explicitly in terms of t_k , u_k , and Δt , i.e., $u_{k+1} = G(t_k, u_k, \Delta t)$.
 (d) By showing that

$$G(t_k, u_k, \Delta t) = u_k + \frac{t_k^3}{u_k} \Delta t + O(\Delta t^2), \quad (7)$$

argue that the backward Euler scheme to (6) is a first-order scheme.

MATLAB

1. (a) Write a MATLAB function

`function [tvals,uvals] = Euler(F,t0,T,u0,n)`
that computes the solution $u(t)$ ($t_0 \leq t \leq T$) to the initial value problem

$$u'(t) = F(t, u(t)), \quad u(t_0) = u_0. \quad (8)$$

using the Euler method. Note that `tvals` contains points $t_k = t_0 + k\Delta t$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n$) with $\Delta t = (T - t_0)/n$ and `uvals` contains the values of the approximate solution at these points.

- (b) Validate your code by using the following initial value problem:

$$u' = \left(1 - \frac{4}{3}t\right)u, \quad u(0) = 1. \quad (9)$$

In other words, (i) plot the approximate solutions $u(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 3$) for $n = 10$ and 20 with the exact solution $u(t) = \exp(t - \frac{2}{3}t^2)$ and (ii) draw a log-log plot of the error at the final point $t = T$ versus the number of subintervals n . Observe how the errors decrease.

2. Consider the following initial value problem for $u(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 2$):

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{2u - 18t}{1 + t}, \quad u(0) = 4 \quad (10)$$

- (a) Using your MATLAB function `Euler` with $n = 100, 200, 500$, and 1000, compute the approximate values of $u(2)$.
(b) Using these values, estimate (i) the true value of $u(2)$ and (ii) the smallest value of n that would give the error at $t = 2$ smaller than 10^{-2} . Hint: Use the following relation:

$$u^{(n)}(T) = u^{(\infty)}(T) + \frac{C}{n}, \quad (11)$$

where $u^{(n)}(T)$ denote the approximate solution at $t = T$ obtained from n subintervals, whereas $u^{(\infty)}(T)$ is the exact solution at $t = T$.

3. (a) Write a MATLAB function for the explicit midpoint scheme

`function [tvals,uvals] = explicit_midpoint(F,t0,T,u0,n)`

- (b) Validate your code by using the initial value problem (8).
(c) Perform a *fair* comparison between the Euler and explicit midpoint methods.