Learning Stochastic Differential Equations with Bridge Sampling

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

The abstract paragraph should be indented ½ inch (3 picas) on both the left- and 2 right-hand margins. Use 10 point type, with a vertical spacing (leading) of 11 points. 3 The word **Abstract** must be centered, bold, and in point size 12. Two line spaces precede the abstract. The abstract must be limited to one paragraph.

Points to cover:

10

13

14

15

- data specification
- Hermite polynomial and drift function representation
- Expectation and maximization formulas assuming data is filled in
 - Filling data in with Brownian bridge
 - MCMC iterations of brownian bridge using girsanov likelihood
- how synthetic data is generated 11
- results: 1D, 2D, 3D damped duffing, 3D lorenz 12
 - plots: error of theta vs noise, error vs amount of data (number of data points) parametric curves for noise levels, brownian bridge plots for illustration, ...
 - Note: constant noise case, not inferring the gvec

Model Setup

- Our goal here is to start with \mathbb{R}^d dimensional time series data and a non-parametric model to infer the coefficients of the Hermite basis functions which would result in a parametric equation. The general 18
- form of the governing equation is: 19

$$dX(t) = f(X(t)) dt + \Gamma dW(t)$$
(1)

- where Γ is a constant diagonal matrix of noise levels. For these experiments, we assume that the noise levels are known beforehand and are not inferred. 21
- To model the general form of the drift function, we represent the drift function as an additive function
- of the Hermite basis polynomials of maximum degree D. The ζ parameters will be inferred. Through 23
- the combination of inferred parameters and the Hermite polynomial basis, the governing equation 24
- can be discovered.

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} \zeta_i \,\psi_i(x) \tag{2}$$

The orthonormal Hermite basis functions have the general form

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} e^{-x^2/2}$$
(3)

which results in the following set of polynomials:

29

30

31

32

33

34

$$H_0(x) = 1/c_0,$$
 $c_0 = \sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{0!}}$
 $H_1(x) = x/c_1,$ $c_1 = \sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{1!}}$
 $H_2(x) = (x^2 - 1)/c_2,$ $c_2 = \sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{2!}}$
 $H_3(x) = (x^3 - 3x)/c_3,$ $c_3 = \sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{3!}}$

- We demonstrate the method for 1, 2 and 3 dimensional systems.
 - For the 1-dimensional system, we use the ? oscillator:

$$dX(t) = (\alpha X(t) + \beta X(t)^{2} + \gamma) dt + g dW(t)$$
(4)

• For the 2-dimensional system, we use the undamped Duffing oscillator:

$$dX_1(t) = X_2(t)dt + g_1 dW_1(t)$$

$$dX_2(t) = (-X_1(t) - X_1^3(t))dt + g_2 dW_2(t)$$

• For the 3-dimensional case, we consider 2 different form of equations. The first one is the damped Duffing oscillator, a general form of the damped oscillator considered in the 2-dimensional case:

$$dX_1(t) = X_2(t) dt + g_1 dW_1(t)$$

$$dX_2(t) = (\alpha X_1(t) - \beta X_1(t) - \delta X_2(t) + \gamma \cos(X_3(t))) dt + g_2 dW_2(t)$$

$$dX_3(t) = \omega dt + g_3 dW_3(t)$$

• Another example considered for the 3-dimensional case is the Lorenz oscillator:

$$dX_1(t) = \sigma(X_2(t) - X_1(t)) dt + g_1 dW_1(t)$$

$$dX_2(t) = (X_1(t)(\rho - X_3(t))) dt + g_2 dW_2(t)$$

$$dX_3(t) = (X_1(t)X_2(t) - \beta X_3(t)) dt + g_3 dW_3(t)$$

- For the general case where $X=(x_1,\cdots,x_d)\in\mathbb{R}^d$ case, the general form of the drift function
- $\vec{f} = (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_d), \dots, f_d(x_1, \dots, x_d))$ includes cross interactions between the dimensions of X to create an additive function of Hermite polynomial of maximum degree M:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{\sum i_m = 0}^{\sum i_m = m} \zeta_{i_m}^d \psi_{i_m}^d$$
 (5)

For simplicity, consider the example where the $X \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the highest degree of the Hermite polynomial is three, including four Hermite polynomials:

$$\begin{split} f(x_1,x_2) &= \sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{i+j=0}^{i+j=m} \zeta_{i,j} \, \psi_{i,j} \\ &= \sum_{d=0}^3 \sum_{i+j=0}^{i+j=3} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=0}^3 \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) + \sum_{i+j=1} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) + \sum_{i+j=2} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) + \sum_{i+j=3} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) \\ &= \zeta_{0,0} H_0(x_1) H_0(x_2) + \zeta_{0,1} H_0(x_1) H_1(x_2) + \zeta_{1,0} H_1(x_1) H_0(x_2) + \zeta_{0,2} H_0(x_1) H_2(x_2) \\ &+ \zeta_{2,0} H_2(x_1) H_0(x_2) + \zeta_{1,1} H_1(x_1) H_1(x_2) + \zeta_{0,3} H_0(x_1) H_3(x_2) + \zeta_{3,0} H_3(x_1) H_0(x_2) \\ &+ \zeta_{2,1} H_2(x_1) H_1(x_2) + \zeta_{1,2} H_1(x_1) H_2(x_2) \end{split}$$

2 Expectation Maximization Steps

- The data provided is in the form of a time series, $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ at regular time points $t_l, 0 \le l \le L$. Note:
- 42 EM step in the sampling writeup.

3 Brownian bridge sampling

- When the inter-observation time of the observed data X is large, the expectation step becomes less
- accurate. To mitigate this problem, we can fill in the observed data with a Brownian bridge. We
- 46 generate many samples of the N-dimensional Brownian bridge and accept-reject samples using the
- 47 Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. The approximation of the likelihood is obtained using the Girsanov
- 48 likelihood function.

49 3.1 Brownian bridge

50 The \mathbb{R}^N dimensional Brownian bridge is defined by the integral:

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1-t}{1-T} \, dW(t)$$
 (6)

51 3.2 Metropolis Algorithm