
Learning Stochastic Dynamical Systems via Bridge Sampling

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 We develop algorithms to automate discovery of stochastic dynamical system
2 models from noisy, vector-valued time series. By discovery, we mean learning both
3 a nonlinear drift vector field and a diagonal diffusion matrix for an Itô stochastic
4 differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d . We parameterize the vector field using tensor products
5 of Hermite polynomials, enabling the model to capture highly nonlinear and/or
6 coupled dynamics. We solve the resulting estimation problem using expectation
7 maximization (EM). This involves two steps. We augment the data via diffusion
8 bridge sampling, with the goal of producing time series observed at a higher
9 frequency than the original data. With this augmented data, the resulting expected
10 log likelihood maximization problem reduces to a least squares problem. Through
11 experiments on systems with dimensions one through eight, we show that this
12 EM approach enables accurate estimation for multiple time series with possibly
13 irregular observation times. We study how the EM method performs as a function of
14 the noise level in the data, the volume of data, and the amount of data augmentation
15 performed.

16 Traditional mathematical modeling in the sciences and engineering often has as its goal the devel-
17 opment of equations of motion that describe observed phenomena. Classically, these equations of
18 motion usually took the form of deterministic systems of ordinary or partial differential equations
19 (ODE or PDE, respectively). Especially in systems of contemporary interest in biology and finance
20 where intrinsic noise must be modeled, we find stochastic differential equations (SDE) used instead
21 of deterministic ones. Still, these models are often built from first principles, after which the model's
22 predictions (obtained, for instance, by numerical simulation) are compared against observed data.

23 Recent years have seen a surge of interest in using data to automate discovery of ODE, PDE, and
24 SDE models. These machine learning approaches complement traditional modeling efforts, using
25 available data to constrain the space of plausible models, and shortening the feedback loop linking
26 model development to prediction and comparison to real observations. We posit two additional
27 reasons to develop algorithms to learn SDE models. First, SDE models—including the models
28 considered here—have the capacity to model highly nonlinear, coupled stochastic systems, including
29 systems whose equilibria are non-Gaussian and/or multimodal. Second, SDE models often allow for
30 interpretability. Especially if the terms on the right-hand side of the SDE are expressed in terms of
31 commonly used functions (such as polynomials), we can obtain a qualitative understanding of how
32 the system's variables influence, regulate, and/or mediate one other.

33 In this paper, we develop an algorithm to learn SDE models from high-dimensional time series. To
34 our knowledge, this is the most general expectation maximization (EM) approach to learning an
35 SDE with multidimensional drift vector field and diagonal diffusion matrix. Prior EM approaches
36 were restricted to one-dimensional SDE [?], or used a Gaussian process approximation, linear drift
37 approximation, and approximate maximization [?]. To develop our method, we use diffusion bridge
38 sampling as in [6, 17], which focused on Bayesian nonparametric methods for SDE in \mathbb{R}^1 . After

augmenting the data using bridge sampling, we are left with a least-squares problem, generalizing the work of [3] from the ODE to the SDE context.

In the literature, variational Bayesian methods are the only other SDE learning methods that have been tested on high-dimensional problems [?]. These methods use approximations consisting of linear SDE with time-varying coefficients [?], kernel density estimates [?], or Gaussian processes [?]. In contrast, we parameterize the drift vector field using tensor products of Hermite polynomials; as mentioned above, the resulting SDE has much higher capacity than linear and/or Gaussian process models.

Many other techniques explored in the statistical literature focus on scalar SDE [1, 7, 8, 18].

As mentioned, differential equation discovery problems have attracted considerable recent interest. A variety of methods have been developed to learn ODE [3, 13, 15? ? ? , 16] as well as PDE [12, 14? ?]. Unlike many of these works, we do not focus on model selection; if needed, our methods can be combined with model selection procedures developed in the ODE context [4, 5].

Points to cover:

- data specification, DONE
- Hermite polynomial and drift function representation, DONE
- Expectation and maximization formulas assuming data is filled in, CLOSE2DONE
- Filling data in with diffusion bridge, DONE
- MCMC iterations of brownian bridge using girsanov likelihood, DONE
- how synthetic data is generated
- results: 1D, 2D, 3D damped duffing, 3D lorenz
- plots: error of theta vs noise, error vs amount of data (number of data points) parametric curves for noise levels, brownian bridge plots for illustration, ...

1 Problem Setup

Let W_t denote Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d —informally, an increment dW_t of this process has a multivariate normal distribution with zero mean vector and covariance matrix Idt . Let X_t denote an \mathbb{R}^d -valued stochastic process that evolves according to the Itô SDE

$$dX_t = f(X_t)dt + \Gamma dW_t. \quad (1)$$

For rigorous definitions of Brownian motion and SDE, see [2, 19]. The nonlinear vector field $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the *drift* function, and the $d \times d$ matrix Γ is the *diffusion* matrix. To reduce the number of model parameters, we assume $\Gamma = \text{diag } \gamma$.

Our goal is to develop an algorithm that accurately estimates the functional form of f and the vector γ from time series data.

Parameterization. We parameterize f using Hermite polynomials. The n -th Hermite polynomial takes the form

$$H_n(x) = (\sqrt{2\pi n!})^{-1/2} (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} \quad (2)$$

Let $\langle f, g \rangle_w = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x) \exp(-x^2/2) dx$ denote a weighted L^2 inner product. Then, $\langle H_i, H_j \rangle_w = \delta_{ij}$, i.e., the Hermite polynomials are orthonormal with respect to the weighted inner product. In fact, with respect to this inner product, the Hermite polynomials form an orthonormal basis of $L_w^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \langle f, f \rangle_w < \infty\}$.

Now let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ denote a multi-index. We use the notation $|\alpha| = \sum_j \alpha_j$ and $x^\alpha = \prod_j (x_j)^{\alpha_j}$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a multi-index α , we also define

$$H_\alpha(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d H_{\alpha_j}(x_j). \quad (3)$$

79 We write $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_d(x))$ and then parameterize each component:

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{m=0}^M \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \beta_\alpha^j H_\alpha(x). \quad (4)$$

80 We see that the maximum degree of $H_\alpha(x)$ is $|\alpha|$. Hence we think of the double sum in (4) as first
 81 summing over degrees and then summing over all terms with a fixed maximum degree. We say
 82 maximum degree because, for instance, $H_2(z) = (z^2 - 1)/(\sqrt{2\pi}2)^{1/2}$ contains both degree 2 and
 83 degree 0 terms.

84 There are $\binom{m+d-1}{d-1}$ possibilities for a d -dimensional multi-index α such that $|\alpha| = m$. Summing this
 85 from $m = 0$ to M , there are $\widetilde{M} = \binom{M+d}{d}$ total multi-indices in the double sum in (4). Let (i) denote
 86 the i -th multi-index according to some ordering. Then we can write

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\widetilde{M}} \beta_{(i)}^j H_{(i)}(x). \quad (5)$$

87 **Data.** We consider our data $\mathbf{x} = \{x_j\}_{j=0}^L$ to be direct observations of X_t at discrete points in time
 88 $\mathbf{t} = \{t_j\}_{j=0}^L$. Note that these time points do not need to be equispaced.

89 To achieve our estimation goal, we apply expectation maximization (EM). We regard \mathbf{x} as the
 90 incomplete data. Let $\Delta t = \max_j(t_j - t_{j-1})$ be the maximum interobservation spacing. We think
 91 of the missing data \mathbf{z} as data collected at a time scale $h \ll \Delta t$ that is fine enough such that the
 92 transition density of (1) is approximately Gaussian. To see how this works, let $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ denote a
 93 multivariate normal with mean vector μ and covariance matrix Σ . Now discretize (1) in time via the
 94 Euler-Maruyama method with time step $h > 0$; the result is

$$\widetilde{X}_{n+1} = \widetilde{X}_n + f(\widetilde{X}_n)h + h^{1/2}\Gamma Z_{n+1}, \quad (6)$$

95 where $Z_{n+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ is a standard multivariate normal, independent of X_n . This implies that

$$(\widetilde{X}_{n+1} | \widetilde{X}_n = v) \sim \mathcal{N}(v + f(v)h, h\Gamma^2). \quad (7)$$

96 As h decreases, $\widetilde{X}_{n+1} | \widetilde{X}_n = v$ —a Gaussian approximation—will converge to the true transition
 97 density $X_{(n+1)h} | X_{nh} = v$, where X_t refers to the solution of (1).

98 **Diffusion Bridge.** To augment or complete the data, we employ diffusion bridge sampling, using a
 99 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method that goes back to [10, 11]. Let us describe our version
 100 here. We suppose our current estimate of $\theta = (\beta, \gamma)$ is given. Define the diffusion bridge process to
 101 be (1) conditioned on both the initial value x_i at time t_i , and the final value x_{i+1} at time t_{i+1} . The
 102 goal is to generate sample paths of this diffusion bridge. By a sample path, we mean $F - 1$ new
 103 samples $\{z_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{F-1}$ at times $t_i + jh$ with $h = (t_{i+1} - t_i)/F$.

104 To generate such a path, we start by drawing a sample from a Brownian bridge with the same diffusion
 105 as (1). That is, we sample from the SDE

$$d\widehat{X}_t = \Gamma dW_t \quad (8)$$

106 conditioned on $\widehat{X}_{t_i} = x_i$ and $\widehat{X}_{t_{i+1}} = x_{i+1}$. This Brownian bridge can be described explicitly:

$$\widehat{X}_t = \Gamma(W_t - W_{t_i}) + x_i - \frac{t - t_i}{t_{i+1} - t_i}(\Gamma(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) + x_i - x_{i+1}) \quad (9)$$

107 Here $W_0 = 0$ (almost surely), and $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (t - s)I)$ for $t > s \geq 0$.

108 Let \mathbb{P} denote the law of the diffusion bridge process, and let \mathbb{Q} denote the law of the Brownian bridge
 109 (9). Using Girsanov's theorem [9], we can show that

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\mathbb{Q}} = C \exp \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(\widehat{X}_s)^T \Gamma^{-2} d\widehat{X}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(\widehat{X}_s)^T \Gamma^{-2} f(\widehat{X}_s) ds \right), \quad (10)$$

110 where the constant C depends only on x_i and x_{i+1} . The left-hand side is a Radon-Nikodym derivative,
 111 equivalent to a density or likelihood; the ratio of two such likelihoods is the accept/reject ratio in the
 112 Metropolis algorithm [Stuart 2010].

113 Putting the above pieces together yields the following Metropolis algorithm to generate diffusion
 114 bridge sample paths. Fix $F \geq 2$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, L-1\}$. Assume we have stored the previous
 115 Metropolis step, i.e., a path $\mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} = \{z_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{F-1}$.

- 116 1. Use (9) to generate samples of \hat{X}_t at times $t_i + jh$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, F-1$ and $h =$
 117 $(t_{i+1} - t_i)/F$. This is the proposal $\mathbf{z}^* = \{z_{i,j}^*\}_{j=1}^{F-1}$.
- 118 2. Numerically approximate the integrals in (10) to compute the likelihood of the proposal.
 119 Specifically, we compute

$$p(\mathbf{z}^*)/C = \sum_{j=0}^{F-1} f(z_{i,j}^*)^T \Gamma^{-2} (z_{i,j+1}^* - z_{i,j}^*) \\ - \frac{h}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{F-1} [f(z_{i,j}^*)^T \Gamma^{-2} f(z_{i,j}^*) + f(z_{i,j+1}^*)^T \Gamma^{-2} f(z_{i,j+1}^*)]$$

- 120 3. Accept the proposal with probability $p(\mathbf{z}^*)/p(\mathbf{z}^{(\ell)})$ —note the factors of C cancel. If the
 121 proposal is accepted, then set $\mathbf{z}^{(\ell+1)} = \mathbf{z}^*$. Else set $\mathbf{z}^{(\ell+1)} = \mathbf{z}^{(\ell)}$.

122 We initialize this algorithm with a Brownian bridge path, run for 10 burn-in steps, and then use
 123 subsequent steps as the diffusion bridge samples we seek.

Expectation Maximization (EM). Let us now give details to justify the intuition expressed above, that employing the diffusion bridge to augment the data on a fine scale will enable estimation. Let \mathbf{z} a diffusion bridge sample path. We interleave this sampled data together with the observed data \mathbf{x} to create the completed time series

$$\mathbf{y}^{(r)} = \{y_j^{(r)}\}_{j=1}^N,$$

124 where $N = LF + 1$. By interleaving, we mean that $y_{1+iF}^{(r)} = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, L$, and that
 125 $y_{1+j+iF}^{(r)} = z_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, F-1$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, L-1$.

126 Let h_j denote the elapsed time between observations y_j and y_{j+1} . Using the completed data, the
 127 temporal discretization (6) of the SDE, the Markov property, and property (7), we have:

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \log p(y_{j+1} \mid y_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ = - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi h_j \gamma_i^2) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2h_j} (y_{j+1} - y_j - h_j \sum_{k=1}^{\widetilde{M}} \beta_{(k)} H_{(k)}(y_j))^T \Gamma^{-2} (y_{j+1} - y_j - h_j \sum_{\ell=1}^{\widetilde{M}} \beta_{(\ell)} H_{(\ell)}(y_j)) \right].$$

128 **EM.** The EM algorithm consists of two steps, computing the expectation of the log likelihood
 129 function (on the completed data) and then maximizing it with respect to the parameters

- 130 1. Start with an initial guess for the parameters, $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$.
- 131 2. For the expectation (or E) step,

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}} [\log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})] \quad (11)$$

132 Our plan is to evaluate this expectation via bridge sampling. That is, we will sample from
 133 diffusion bridges $\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}$. Then (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) will be a combination of the original data together
 134 with sample paths.

135 3. For the maximization (or M) step, we start with the current iterate and a dummy variable θ
 136 and define

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \arg \max_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{(k)}) \quad (12)$$

137 It will turn out that we can maximize this quantity without numerical optimization. All we
 138 will need to do is solve a least-squares problem.

139 4. Iterate Step 2 and 3 until convergence.

140 **Details.** With a fixed parameter vector $\theta^{(k)}$, the SDE (1) is specified completely, i.e., the drift and
 141 diffusion terms have no further unknowns.

142 Suppose we form R such time series. The expected log likelihood can then be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\theta, \theta^{(k)}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(k)}} [\log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} | \theta)] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \left[\sum_{i=1}^d -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi h \gamma_i^2) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2h} (y_j^{(r)} - y_{j-1}^{(r)} - h \sum_{k=1}^M \beta_k \phi_k(y_{j-1}^{(r)}))^T \Gamma^{-2} (y_j^{(r)} - y_{j-1}^{(r)} - h \sum_{\ell=1}^M \beta_\ell \phi_\ell(y_{j-1}^{(r)})) \right] \end{aligned}$$

To maximize Q over θ , we first assume $\Gamma = \text{diag } \gamma$ is known and maximize over β . This is a least squares problem. The solution is given by forming the matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^N h \phi_k^T(y_{j-1}^{(r)}) \Gamma^{-2} \phi_\ell^T(y_{j-1}^{(r)})$$

and the vector

$$\rho_k = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_k^T(y_{j-1}^{(r)}) \Gamma^{-2} (y_j^{(r)} - y_{j-1}^{(r)}).$$

We then solve the system

$$\mathcal{M}\beta = \rho$$

for β . Now that we have β , we maximize Q over γ . The solution can be obtained in closed form:

$$\gamma_i^2 = \frac{1}{RNh} \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^N ((y_j^{(r)} - y_{j-1}^{(r)} - h \sum_{\ell=1}^M \beta_\ell \phi_\ell(y_{j-1}^{(r)})) \cdot e_i)^2$$

143 where e_i is the i^{th} canonical basis vector in \mathbb{R}^d .

144 We demonstrate the method for 1, 2 and 3 dimensional systems.

145 • For the 1-dimensional system, we use the ? oscillator:

$$dX(t) = (\alpha X(t) + \beta X(t)^2 + \gamma) dt + g dW(t) \quad (13)$$

146 • For the 2-dimensional system, we use the undamped Duffing oscillator:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_1(t) &= X_2(t) dt + g_1 dW_1(t) \\ dX_2(t) &= (-X_1(t) - X_1^3(t)) dt + g_2 dW_2(t) \end{aligned}$$

147 • For the 3-dimensional case, we consider 2 different form of equations. The first one is
 148 the damped Duffing oscillator, a general form of the damped oscillator considered in the
 149 2-dimensional case:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_1(t) &= X_2(t) dt + g_1 dW_1(t) \\ dX_2(t) &= (\alpha X_1(t) - \beta X_1(t) - \delta X_2(t) + \gamma \cos(X_3(t))) dt + g_2 dW_2(t) \\ dX_3(t) &= \omega dt + g_3 dW_3(t) \end{aligned}$$

150

- Another example considered for the 3-dimensional case is the Lorenz oscillator:

$$\begin{aligned}dX_1(t) &= \sigma(X_2(t) - X_1(t)) dt + g_1 dW_1(t) \\dX_2(t) &= (X_1(t)(\rho - X_3(t)))dt + g_2 dW_2(t) \\dX_3(t) &= (X_1(t)X_2(t) - \beta X_3(t)) dt + g_3 dW_3(t)\end{aligned}$$

151 For simplicity, consider the example where the $X \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the highest degree of the Hermite
152 polynomial is three, including four Hermite polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}f(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{i+j=m} \zeta_{i,j} \psi_{i,j} \\&= \sum_{d=0}^3 \sum_{i+j=d} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) \\&= \sum_{i+j=0} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) + \sum_{i+j=1} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) + \sum_{i+j=2} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) + \sum_{i+j=3} \zeta_{i,j} H_i(x_1) H_j(x_2) \\&= \zeta_{0,0} H_0(x_1) H_0(x_2) + \zeta_{0,1} H_0(x_1) H_1(x_2) + \zeta_{1,0} H_1(x_1) H_0(x_2) + \zeta_{0,2} H_0(x_1) H_2(x_2) \\&\quad + \zeta_{2,0} H_2(x_1) H_0(x_2) + \zeta_{1,1} H_1(x_1) H_1(x_2) + \zeta_{0,3} H_0(x_1) H_3(x_2) + \zeta_{3,0} H_3(x_1) H_0(x_2) \\&\quad + \zeta_{2,1} H_2(x_1) H_1(x_2) + \zeta_{1,2} H_1(x_1) H_2(x_2)\end{aligned}$$

153 References

- 154 [1] H. S. Bhat and R. W. M. A. Madushani. Nonparametric Adjoint-Based Inference for Stochastic Differential
155 Equations. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA)*,
156 pages 798–807, Oct. 2016. doi: 10.1109/DSAA.2016.69.
- 157 [2] R. N. Bhattacharya and E. C. Waymire. *Stochastic Processes with Applications*. SIAM, Aug. 2009. ISBN
158 978-0-89871-689-4. Google-Books-ID: 89dZJlId6XcC.
- 159 [3] S. L. Brunton, J. L. Proctor, and J. N. Kutz. Discovering governing equations from data by sparse
160 identification of nonlinear dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113
161 (15):3932–3937, Apr. 2016. ISSN 0027-8424, 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1517384113. URL <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1517384113>.
- 163 [4] N. M. Mangan, S. L. Brunton, J. L. Proctor, and J. N. Kutz. Inferring Biological Networks by Sparse
164 Identification of Nonlinear Dynamics. *IEEE Transactions on Molecular, Biological and Multi-Scale*
165 *Communications*, 2(1):52–63, June 2016. doi: 10.1109/TMBMC.2016.2633265.
- 166 [5] N. M. Mangan, J. N. Kutz, S. L. Brunton, and J. L. Proctor. Model selection for dynamical systems
167 via sparse regression and information criteria. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 473(2204):20170009, Aug. 2017. ISSN
168 1364-5021, 1471-2946. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2017.0009. URL <http://rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/473/2204/20170009>.
- 170 [6] F. v. d. Meulen, M. Schauer, and J. v. Waaij. Adaptive nonparametric drift estimation for diffusion processes
171 using Faber–Schauder expansions. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*, pages 1–26, June 2017.
172 ISSN 1387-0874, 1572-9311. doi: 10.1007/s11203-017-9163-7. URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11203-017-9163-7>.
- 174 [7] H.-G. Müller, F. Yao, and others. Empirical dynamics for longitudinal data. *The Annals of Statistics*, 38(6):
175 3458–3486, 2010. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aos/1291126964>.
- 176 [8] J. Nicolau. NONPARAMETRIC ESTIMATION OF SECOND-ORDER STOCHASTIC DIFFER-
177 ENTIAL EQUATIONS. *Econometric Theory*, 23(05):880, Oct. 2007. ISSN 0266-4666, 1469-
178 4360. doi: 10.1017/S0266466607070375. URL http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0266466607070375.
- 180 [9] O. Papaspiliopoulos and G. Roberts. Importance sampling techniques for estimation of diffusion models.
181 *Statistical methods for stochastic differential equations*, 124:311–340, 2012.
- 182 [10] O. Papaspiliopoulos, G. O. Roberts, and O. Stramer. Data Augmentation for Diffusions. *Journal of*
183 *Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 22(3):665–688, July 2013. ISSN 1061-8600, 1537-2715. doi: 10.
184 1080/10618600.2013.783484. URL <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10618600.2013.783484>.
- 186 [11] G. O. Roberts and O. Stramer. On inference for partially observed nonlinear diffusion models using
187 the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm. *Biometrika*, 88(3):603–621, Oct. 2001. ISSN 0006-3444. doi:
188 10.1093/biomet/88.3.603. URL <https://academic.oup.com/biomet/article/88/3/603/340094>.

- 189 [12] S. H. Rudy, S. L. Brunton, J. L. Proctor, and J. N. Kutz. Data-driven discovery of partial differential
190 equations. *Science Advances*, 3(4):e1602614, Apr. 2017. ISSN 2375-2548. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602614.
191 URL <http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/3/4/e1602614>.
- 192 [13] H. Schaeffer. Learning partial differential equations via data discovery and sparse optimization.
193 *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science*, 473(2197):
194 20160446, Jan. 2017. ISSN 1364-5021, 1471-2946. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2016.0446. URL [http://](http://rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org/lookup/doi/10.1098/rspa.2016.0446)
195 rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org/lookup/doi/10.1098/rspa.2016.0446.
- 196 [14] H. Schaeffer, R. Caflisch, C. D. Hauck, and S. Osher. Sparse dynamics for partial differential equations.
197 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(17):6634–6639, Apr. 2013. ISSN 0027-8424,
198 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1302752110. URL [http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1302752110)
199 [1302752110](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1302752110).
- 200 [15] H. Schaeffer, G. Tran, and R. Ward. Extracting Sparse High-Dimensional Dynamics from Limited Data.
201 *arXiv:1707.08528 [math]*, July 2017. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08528>. arXiv: 1707.08528.
- 202 [16] G. Tran and R. Ward. Exact Recovery of Chaotic Systems from Highly Corrupted Data. *Multiscale*
203 *Modeling & Simulation*, 15(3):1108–1129, Jan. 2017. ISSN 1540-3459. doi: 10.1137/16M1086637. URL
204 <https://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/16M1086637>.
- 205 [17] F. van der Meulen, M. Schauer, and H. van Zanten. Reversible jump MCMC for nonparametric drift
206 estimation for diffusion processes. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 71:615–632, Mar. 2014.
207 ISSN 0167-9473. doi: 10.1016/j.csda.2013.03.002. URL [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016794731300090X)
208 [article/pii/S016794731300090X](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016794731300090X).
- 209 [18] N. Verzelen, W. Tao, H.-G. Müller, and others. Inferring stochastic dynamics from func-
210 tional data. *Biometrika*, 99(3):533–550, 2012. URL [http://nicolas.verzelen.free.fr/pdf/](http://nicolas.verzelen.free.fr/pdf/2012-Ver-Biometrika.pdf)
211 [2012-Ver-Biometrika.pdf](http://nicolas.verzelen.free.fr/pdf/2012-Ver-Biometrika.pdf).
- 212 [19] B. Øksendal. *Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications*. Universitext. Springer-
213 Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 6 edition, 2003. ISBN 978-3-540-04758-2. URL [http://www.springer.com/us/](http://www.springer.com/us/book/9783540047582)
214 [book/9783540047582](http://www.springer.com/us/book/9783540047582).