Razor Boost Without Explicit Fitting

Sezen Sekmen¹ Nadja Strobbe² and Harrison B. Prosper³

¹ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

² Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

³ Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

CMS Razor Group

March 2, 2013

Razor Analyses

A key assumption of the razor analyses, which are based on the razor variables M_R and R^2 , is that the background density in the razor plane (M_R, R^2) can be adequately modeled using the 4-parameter density

$$p(x, y|\theta) = (z - 1)e^{-nz},$$

where $z = b |(x - x_0) (y - y_0)|^{1/n},$
 $x = M_R,$
 $y = R^2,$ (1)

and $\theta = x_0, y_0, b$, and n are free parameters. The razor likelihood in either the side-band region or the signal region is given by a marked Poisson process

$$L(x, y|\mu, \theta) = \text{Poisson}(N|\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{K} \left(s \, p_s(x_j, y_j|\mu) + \sum_{l=1}^{M} b_l \, p_{bl}(x_j, y_j|\theta_l) \right), \tag{2}$$

where N is the total observed count, n_s and b_l are the expected signal and background counts, respectively, $\lambda = n_s + \sum_{l=1}^{M} b_l$ is the total expected count, and p_s and p_{bl} are the normalized signal and background densities, respectively. In general, the background parameters θ_l differ from one background component to another.

In the current razor analyses, a maximum likelihood fit is performed in a side-band region in which it is assumed that the signal content is negligible. The fit provides estimates $\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0, \hat{b}, \hat{n}$ and the associated 4×4 covariance matrix. In effect, the likelihood in the side-band region is approximated by a 4-variate Gaussian. The model in Eq. (1) is then applied to the signal region, along with the multivariate Gaussian, which serves as a prior that constrains the nuisance parameters x_0, y_0, b, n in the signal region.

The advantage of this approach is that a background model can be constructed once and for all and re-used with different signal hypotheses. The disadvantages are the need to assume negligible signal contamination in the side-band and the neglect of possible non-Gaussian tails in the side-band likelihood.

Alternative to Fitting It is always helpful to remind ourselves of the experimental goal. Our goal is to measure the effective differential cross section $d^2\sigma_{\text{eff}}/dxdy$ across the razor plane, where $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \epsilon \sigma BR$ in which ϵ is the signal acceptance and σBR is the cross section times branching ratio. Given this experimental information, together with a clear, precise, and complete description of the event selection and a readily accessible approximation of the CMS detector response, any theoretical model that yields predictions for the differential cross section in the razor plane can be tested.

A straightforward way to achieve the goal is to discretize the razor plane and measure the signal content of each bin. This reduces the analysis problem to the well-understood multi-count experiment for which the likelihood is generally taken to be

$$L(x, y | \sigma, b, \mathcal{L}) = \prod_{j=1}^{K} \text{Poisson}(N_j | \sigma_j \mathcal{L} + b_{\text{tot}} \sum_{l=1}^{M} b_{lj} / b_{\text{norm}}),$$
(3)

where, now, K is the number of bins in the razor plane, N_j is the observed count in bin j, σ denotes the effective cross sections $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_K$,

$$b(\theta) = \int_{x_{\min}}^{x_{\max}} dx \int_{x_{\min}}^{x_{\max}} dy \, p(x, y | \theta), \quad \text{and}$$

$$b_{\text{norm}} = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{M} b_{lj}.$$

$$(4)$$

The quantity $b_{\text{tot}}(b_{lj}/b_{\text{norm}})$ is the expected background count in a given bin for a given background component, where b_{tot} is the total expected background in the razor plane. The likelihood can then be reduced to a function of the effective cross sections only, either by profiling over the background parameters θ_l and the integrated luminosity \mathcal{L} , constrained by the luminosity prior $\pi(\mathcal{L})$, or by marginalization. This model is one that could be implemented using HistFactory at a later date.