

STAT 530 Homework 7

2022-04-01

(1) Problem 10.1, Casella & Berger.

10.1 A random sample X_1, \dots, X_n is drawn from a population with pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \theta x), \quad -1 < x < 1, \quad -1 < \theta < 1.$$

Find a consistent estimator of θ and show that it is consistent.

Answer: Finding the MLE (if it exists) is too hard. However, the expectation of this distribution is $\theta/3$. So consider the Method of Moments estimator for θ , $T = 3\bar{X}$. This estimator is unbiased for θ , so it is also asymptotically unbiased. If we can show that $\text{Var}(T) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by Theorem 10.1.3 in Casella and Berger, T will be a consistent estimator of θ . So, finding the variance of T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(T) &= \text{Var}(3\bar{X}) \\ &= \frac{9}{n^2} \text{Var}(X) \\ &= \frac{9}{n^2} n \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x + \theta x^2 dx - \frac{\theta^2}{9} \right) \\ &= \frac{9}{n} \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\theta x^3}{3} \Big|_{-1}^1 - \frac{\theta^2}{9} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since no other n 's are going to pop out in the numerator, we can see that the variance of T will approach 0. Therefore, $T = 3\bar{X}$ is a consistent estimator of θ .

(2) Consider the linear model $X_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ where $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, r > 1$, and ϵ_{ij} are *i.i.d.* random samples from $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Find the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \sigma^2)$, and show that the MLE of σ^2 is NOT a consistent estimator as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Putting this in slightly easier-to-understand terms, we have r *i.i.d.* observations drawn from each of n $N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ distributions. The likelihood function would be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^r (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_{ij} - \mu_i)^2 \right\} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-nr/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (x_{ij} - \mu_i)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and the log likelihood function would be

$$l(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{nr}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (x_{ij} - \mu_i)^2.$$

Taking the partial derivative of l with respect to each parameter, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu_i} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^r (x_{ij} - \mu_i) \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{nr}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (x_{ij} - \mu_i)^2\end{aligned}$$

Solving the corresponding likelihood equations, we would get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_i &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^r X_{ij} = \bar{X}_i, \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{nr} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2\end{aligned}$$

Because this lines up well with MLE results for n *i.i.d* samples from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, I am not going to check that these are a maximum. I am fairly certain that they probably are.

The bias for $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \frac{1}{nr} \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[\sum_{j=1}^r (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2 \right] - \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 n(r-1)}{nr} - \sigma^2 \\ &= -\frac{\sigma^2}{nr}\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the bias of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ goes to zero.

Appendix

I. Theorems

Theorem 10.1.3 *If W_n is a sequence of estimators of a parameter θ satisfying*

- i. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_\theta W_n = 0$,
- ii. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Bias}_\theta W_n = 0$,

for every $\theta \in \Theta$, then W_n is a consistent sequence of estimators of θ .