

7. Prove that the gamma function

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 0,$$

is the solution of the difference equation  $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$

[Hint: integrate by parts.]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(z+1) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^z dt = -t^z e^{-t} \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} z \cdot t^{z-1} dt \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (-b^z e^{-b}) + z \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } |b^z e^{-b}| &= e^{-b} |b^z| = e^{-b} |e^{z \ln b}| = e^{-b} |e^{(\alpha + i\beta) \ln b}| \\ &= e^{-b + \alpha \ln b} |e^{i\beta \ln b}| = e^{-b + \alpha \ln b} \cdot 1 = e^{-b} \cdot b^{\alpha} = e^{-b} \cdot b^{\operatorname{Re} z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (-b^z e^{-b}) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} e^{-b} \cdot b^{\operatorname{Re} z} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma(z+1) = z \Gamma(z) \quad \square$$

9. Consider the following family of one-step methods depending on the real parameter  $\alpha$

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) f(x_n, u_n) + \frac{\alpha}{2} f(x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \right].$$

Study their consistency as a function of  $\alpha$ ; then, take  $\alpha = 1$  and use the corresponding method to solve the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = -10y(x), & x > 0, \\ y(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Determine the values of  $h$  in correspondance of which the method is absolutely stable.

[Solution: the family of methods is consistent for any value of  $\alpha$ . The method of highest order (equal to two) is obtained for  $\alpha = 1$  and coincides with the Crank-Nicolson method.]

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \tau(h) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{consistent}$$

(pt) Let  $y$  be real solution

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y_n + h \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) y'(x_n) + \frac{\alpha}{2} y'(x_{n+1}) \right] + h \tau_{n+1}(h) \\ &= u_n + h \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) f(x_n, u_n) + \frac{\alpha}{2} f(x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_{n+1}(h) = \frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{h} - \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) y'(x_n) + \frac{\alpha}{2} y'(x_{n+1}) \right]$$

Expanding  $y$  at  $x_n$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y(x_{n+1}) = y(x_n) + h y'(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(x_n) + \frac{h^3}{6} y'''(x_n) + O(h^4) \\ \Rightarrow y'(x_{n+1}) &= y'(x_n) + h y''(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} y'''(x_n) + O(h^3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \tau_{n+1}(h) &= \frac{h y'(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(x_n) + O(h^3)}{h} - \left[ y'(x_n) + \frac{\alpha h}{2} y''(x_n) + O(h^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{h(1-\alpha)}{2} y''(x_n) + O(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } \alpha = 1, \quad \tau_{n+1} = O(h^2)$$

$$\alpha \neq 1, \quad \tau_{n+1} = O(h)$$

Is there possible to have higher order of consistency? If we try to have order 3

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Consider } \tau_{n+1}(h) &= \frac{h y'(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(x_n) + \frac{h^3}{6} y'''(x_n) + O(h^4)}{h} \\ &\quad - \left[ y'(x_n) + \frac{\alpha h}{2} y''(x_n) + \frac{\alpha h^2}{4} y'''(x_n) + O(h^3) \right] \\ &= \frac{h(1-\alpha)}{2} y''(x_n) + \frac{2-3\alpha}{12} h^2 y'''(x_n) + O(h^3)\end{aligned}$$

$\tau_{n+1}$  has order 3 only if  $1-\alpha=0$  and  $2-3\alpha=0$ . Therefore, we have proved that  $\tau_{n+1}$  has order at most 3.

Now, take  $\alpha=1$ , i.e.  $u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_n, u_n) + f(x_{n+1}, u_{n+1})]$  and consider

$$\begin{cases} y' = -10y, & x > 0 \\ y(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Apply the method we have  $u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{2} (-10u_n + (-10u_{n+1}))$

$$\Rightarrow (1+5h)u_{n+1} = (1-5h)u_n$$

$$\Rightarrow u_{n+1} = \frac{1-5h}{1+5h} u_n$$

$$\text{Given that } u_0 = 1 \Rightarrow u_n = \left( \frac{1-5h}{1+5h} \right)^n$$

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \left| \frac{1-5h}{1+5h} \right| < 1 \Rightarrow -1 < \frac{1-5h}{1+5h} < 1 \Rightarrow -1-5h < 1-5h < 1+5h$$

$$\Rightarrow h > 0$$

Hence, for the case  $\alpha=1$ , the method is absolutely stable for any  $h > 0$ .