# Pragmatics Homework #1: Presuppositions

#### Exam Number B018520

January 23, 2015

#### Part I

1. Propositions b. and c. are presupposed, while proposition d. is entailed, assuming

$$\forall x. \Diamond scared(x) \rightarrow animate(x)$$

My reasoning for **b**. is as follows: consider the negation test, i.e.

as applied to an inanimate object, e.g.

Sentence 2 implies a table capable of being scared. To support this, a context can be constructed which in my evaluation triggers accommodation of the table being animate, e.g.

Bursts of light erupted from Mickey's wand as he made the broomsticks dance; this did not scare the table.

My reasoning for  $\mathbf{c}$ . stems primarily from the negation test as performed in (1): John's assault survives negation. The contrapositive test can be used to show  $\mathbf{a}$ .'s entailment relationship with  $\mathbf{d}$ . Given

That John was assaulted did not cause fear in Mary.

Mary was also not scared by John's assault, assuming causing fear in and being scared are roughly synonymous.

2. Proposition b. is presupposed; proposition c. is entailed. The presupposition relationship of a. to b. can be demonstrated using a denial test: contrast

That's false; Carmen still works at the University of Edinburgh.

with

# That's false; Carmen never worked at the University of Edinburgh.

Contraposition holds for **c.** and **a.**, demonstrating an entailment relationship:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The symbol # throughout this work is used to indicate my own evaluation.

It's not the case that Carmen is not working at the University of Edinburgh.

٠.

It's not the case that Carmen is no longer working at the University of Edinburgh.

3. Proposition b. is presupposed; proposition c. is entailed.

### Part II

4. Proposition a. entails b., and vice-versa. Simply stated,

$$\neg \forall x. one(x) \rightarrow try(x, kill(Templeton, x))$$

is equivalent to

$$\exists x. one(x) \land \neg try(x, kill(Templeton, x))$$

following from the well-established equivalences

$$\neg \forall x. P(x) \equiv \exists x. \neg P(x)$$

and

$$\neg(p \to q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

- 5. Proposition b. entails a.
- 6. Proposition a. presupposes b.

## Part III

8. (a)