General Regulations.

- Please hand in your solutions in groups of three people. A mix of attendees from Monday and Tuesday tutorials is fine.
- Your solutions to theoretical exercises can be either handwritten notes (scanned), or typeset using LATEX.
- For the practical exercises, the data and a skeleton for your jupyter notebook are available at https://github.com/hci-unihd/mlph_sheet02. Always provide the (commented) code as well as the output, and don't forget to explain/interpret the latter. Please hand in both the notebook (.ipynb), as well as an exported pdf.
- Submit all your files in the Übungsgruppenverwaltung, only once for your group of three.

1 Kernel Density Estimation

(a) Implement a Quartic (biweight) kernel

$$k\left(x-\mu;w\right)=\frac{15}{16w}\left(1-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{w}\right)^2\right)^2\qquad\text{with support in }[-w,w]$$
 and plot it for $\mu=0$ and $w=1$ over the range $[-1,1].$

(b) Take the first N = 50 data points from samples.npy, compute and plot the kernel density estimate over the range [-10, 20] for a set of different bandwidths (e.g. $w \in \{0.1, 0.5, 1, 3, 5\}$). Discuss the results and the influence of the bandwidth. Which bandwidth is optimal in your opinion? Explore what happens as you increase the number of samples N.

2 Bonus: Average shifted Histograms and KDE

Average shifted histograms do what their name implies: they compute a number h of histograms with random offsets and average their results.

Prove that, for $h \to \infty$, average shifted histograms converge to a kernel density estimate. What does the shape of the kernel look like for

(c) 2D histograms made from any regular tiling (covering of the plane using a single shape, without gaps or overlaps, and without rotating the shape) (1 pt)

3 Mean-Shift

(a) Gradient ascent on the KDE with the Epanechnikov kernel corresponds to the update step

$$x_j^{t+1} = x_j + \alpha_j^t \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i:||x_i - x_j^t|| < 1} (x_i - x_j^t)$$

For which choice of the adaptive learning rate α_j^t is this equivalent of updates to the local mean? Why is this a sensible choice of learning rate? (3 pts)

(b) Implement the updates to the local mean in python. Apply your implementation to the 1D dataset from exercise 1 and visualize how the points move over time, by plotting a line of x over t for every data point. (5 pts)

4 K-Means

(a) Derive the Updates. We aim to cluster a data set $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times N}$ into K clusters, by choosing cluster centers $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}$ and cluster memberships $\mathbf{M} \in [0,1]^{K \times N}$, with $\sum_k M_{kn} = 1$, such that

$$E(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{M}; K) = ||\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}||^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} m_{kn} ||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{c}_k||^2$$

is minimized. Solve this by deriving the optimal (alternating) updates for each m_{kn} and \mathbf{c}_k . (4 pts)

(b) Use the implementation of K-Means from scikit-learn and apply it to the jet-tagging dataset from the last sheet. Explore how the algorithm performs for different random starting values and different values of K. In each case plot how E(·) develops over time (Hint: Set n_init=1, max_iter=1 and use the current state as initialization to get a single step). Do this both for choosing a random subset of the data as the initial cluster centers (init="random") and K-Means++ initialization (init="k-means++") and interpret your results.
(6 pts)

5 Bonus: On KDE Bandwidth and Modes

The RBF ("Radial Basis Function") kernel is defined by

$$k(x; w) = \frac{1}{w\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2w^2}\right).$$

Disprove by counterexample or otherwise the following false statement:

For every set of points $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, ..., N$, the number of modes of their KDE with the RBF kernel decreases monotonously as the bandwidth w increases. (5 pts)