

Density-Aware Selection Tools

Paymahn Moghadasian
University of Manitoba
66 Chancellors Cir
umpaymah@cs.umanitoba.ca
?

Pourang Irani
University of Manitoba
66 Chancellors Cir
irani@cs.umanitoba.ca
?

Niklas Elmqvist
Purdue University
?
elm@purdue.edu
?

ABSTRACT

In this paper we present selection tools that utilize pre-existing knowledge of the distribution of the underlying data to ease browsing and selection. These new data-aware selection tools include a slider, a range slider, and an improved lasso selection method. Standard models of these tools suffer from two common problems: poor subpixel data querying, and uniform visual representation of non-uniform data. We provide density-aware interactions to give users more efficient subpixel data querying and use embedded visualisations to better represent the data encoded by the tools. Through several controlled user studies, we find that our proposed density-aware slider outperforms standard alphasliders and default trackbars. We also find that a density-aware range slider and lasso selection outperform current state-of-the-art methods.

Author Keywords

Dynamic query, information visualization, slider, data selection, Alphaslider, lasso, range slider

ACM Classification Keywords

Need help with this

General Terms

Need help with this

INTRODUCTION

Dynamic queries provide easy to use, powerful and efficient tools and interfaces which allow users to rapidly and reversibly query data and uncover trends in the data being explored [1]. Currently, there are many tools available to users which benefit from the advantages of dynamic queries such as the slider, checkbox or button. The Alphaslider is a slider designed to query large lists of alphabetically sorted alphanumeric items [2]. The range slider is a slider which allows users to query a range of data items. This is a natural extension of the Alphaslider which only allows for single item querying. The lasso, a technique used to select multidimensional data, is an extension of the

range slider where users lasso graphed or visualized data to highlight or zoom in on it.

The Alphaslider, range slider and the lasso technique suffer from two common problems. None of these tools have an awareness of the data they encode. This is especially important when the interfaces map more than one item to any pixel. Subpixel querying is a new problem because information density is ever increasing. With highly dense data more items are mapped to each pixel making efficient data selection increasingly difficult. The second problem is how each tool visualizes data in general and in high density areas. Many times there is no way for the user to know whether a given pixels maps to few or many items.

We propose density-aware selection tools. These tools are knowledgeable of the data they encode and use this information to simplify data querying. Our most efficient slider interface intelligently redistributes the items in densely packed pixels over a larger area and provides users with a list of nearby items giving the user a sense of location within the pixel. <Summarize range slider and lasso>. To solve the issue of data visualisation we used embedded visualisations such as those proposed by Willett et al [3]. These visualizations aid in estimation of the density of items in a given pixel.

Our results show that users can query significantly faster with density aware widgets when compared against the standard tools. **Interface X** allowed subjects to query significantly faster than both the Alphaslider and the default trackbar. Our proposed range slider outperforms standard range sliders while our lasso technique gave users no improvement for low and medium density information but showed large improvement over the current lasso technique for high density information.

This paper provides novel solutions to an aging problem and demonstrates that intelligent selection tools have the potential to improve upon the status quo. Although the proposed solutions perform more efficiently than previous standards there is still work to do in fine-tuning and possibly developing hybrid designs that take advantage of aged and novel ideas. In this paper we discuss will present the problem in greater detail, discuss related works and continue to describe the experimental design and results of our controlled user study. We will conclude the paper by discussing the implications of the research.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

CHI'12, May 5–10, 2012, Austin, Texas, USA.

Copyright 2012 ACM 978-1-4503-1015-4/12/05...\$10.00.

RELATED WORK

A significant amount of research has been done exploring dynamic query interfaces. Studies have shown that dynamic queries allow users to interactively and rapidly form queries [1] to explore datasets and discover trends [4]. FilmFinder by Ahlberg et al. [5] allowed users to search a database of movies through the use of several dynamic query tools. Users could explore the data to find that, for example, Western movies were more prevalent in the 1970s than in the 2000s. The Dynamic HomeFinder, an idea proposed by Williamson et al. allowed users to explore a real estate market to find homes satisfying their needs [6]. For example, the Dynamic HomeFinder allowed user to see how changing their acceptable price range would affect the available houses and their properties. The Dynamap [7] allowed users to explore trends in health statistics by overlaying health information on a map of the United States of America. Tools, such as the slider, were available to query different parameters to in order to explore the data. The paradigm we have built our experiments around is very similar to that of the FilmFinder where users can interact with and explore a database of movies. Users are given several dynamic query widgets which can be used to filter the movies and discover trends.

Standard dynamic query interfaces such as the slider, checkbox or button offer sufficient functionality in many cases; however, there have been many proposed improvements to these traditional interfaces. The Alphaslider [2] is a slider which allows users to quickly select a single item from an alphanumerically sorted list. The slider offers coarse and fine movement through a two tiled thumb and arrow buttons. The TrapezoidBox is a dynamic query tool which allows users to specify spatial proximity queries [8]. The TrapezoidBox allows users to specify queries such as: if the restaurant is within 300 meters then it can be 3 stars or higher; if the restaurant is with 500 meters then it must be rated 4 stars or higher; if the restaurant farther than 500 but no further than 1 kilometer then the restaurant must be rated 5 stars. Lanning et al [9] offer a novel way of interacting with and visualizing multidimensional data called MultiNav. The visual metaphor best associated with MultiNav is that of sliding rods where each rod (or slider) is linked to a dimension of data. Users can select value ranges along each rod to interact with the data. The Alphaslider is the baseline slider for our experiment. The Alphaslider was chosen for two purposes, namely it's efficiency and it's versatility. MultiNav was not an inspiration for our research because it is not suited to precise data selection in large data sets.

Very often there is a need for highly precise parameter selection. These situations arise in real-world scenarios where the range of parameters vastly exceeds the available number of pixels. For example, an hour long movie filmed at 24 frames per second has 86400 frames. Using a slider to access individual frames for editing can be tedious. The Alphaslider [2] was designed with situations such as this in

mind. The PVSlider [10] and FineSlider [11] both aim to improve upon the Alphaslider. The PVSlider uses a popup vernier to give users sub-pixel-pitch control and sub-pixel visualization. The FineSlider uses an elastic band metaphor to give users more intuitive control over scrolling speed and precision where a longer elastic band correlates to faster scrolling and a shorter band correlates to more precise and deliberate item selection. The Zlider proposed by Ramos et al takes advantage of pressure input to fluidly change from coarse to fine granularity and shift granularity control from the system to the user [12]. Aspects of the PVSlider and FineSlider overlap with the purpose of this research but they were not given priority over the Alphaslider for several purposes: 1) The FineSlider, as shown in the experiment by Ayatsuka et al in [10] performed worse than the Alphaslider and was less favoured by the participants. 2) The PVSlider, although marginally faster, gives subpixel visual feedback which is reliant on monodensity information across the slider which is not required by the Alphaslider. The Zlider was not chosen because there is no way for users to give pressure input to our system.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Introduction

An experiment was conducted to compare different designs of density aware sliders against the Alphaslider and the standard Trackbar found in WinForms.

Apparatus

The interfaces used in the experiment were built using Visual Studio 2010 Professional. A 23.5 inch Dell monitor with a resolution of 1920x1080 pixels with a standard 3 button mouse was used. Query results were displayed in Microsoft Sans Serif with a font size of 8.25 while query targets were displayed in Microsoft Sans Serif with a font size of twelve. An Intel i5-2400 CPU with a clock speed of 3.1 GHz was used along with 4 GB of RAM.

Interfaces

A total of 7 different sliders were used in the experiment (Figures 1 through 6). In all cases the text output was RSVP and was displayed under the slider. Between searches subjects had to press a "Start" button indicating they were ready and upon completion of the search the subject had to press a "Confirm" button indicating they have completed the search. **This is going to be changed. Instead of clicking on buttons the user will toggle states by pressing the space bar.**

Alphaslider

The Alphaslider (Figure 1) has four navigation techniques. Users can jump directly to an item in the list by clicking anywhere in the bounded area above the letters. Users can navigate through the list at a rate of ten items per mouse movement by clicking in the top tile of the slider thumb and dragging. Users can also navigate one item at a time either

by clicking on the arrows at the ends of the slider or by clicking in the bottom tile of the slider thumb and dragging.

ActiveArea Slider

The ActiveArea Slider (Figure 3) allows users to navigate by clicking on and dragging the slider thumb, by clicking on and dragging the secondary red slider or by pressing the left or right arrow keys on the keyboard. The main slider has a variable size which changes based on the density of information of the pixel it queries. Dragging the secondary red slider allows users to query other items found in the pixel being queried by main slider. The red slider is bound by the edges of the main slider.

Hypotheses

This paper is primarily concerned with designing the most efficient slider. While accuracy is an important factor in designing a slider that attribute falls largely upon the user. Because of this speed is the most significant factor to measure. The speed with which a user locates an item is largely dependent on total mouse movement. For each of the sliders there is a period of querying where the user approximates the area of the target and a period of querying where the user does fine adjustments to acquire the target. Based on this assumption the following hypotheses can be made:

- 1) The MultiValue slider will outperform all other sliders in low local density areas because additional mouse movement will be minimized.
- 2) The Histogram Slider will be faster than the ActiveArea Slider because reacquiring the ActiveArea Slider's slider in low local density areas is difficult.
- 3) The MouseWheel Slider will perform better than the Alphaslider because rolling the mouse wheel is far more precise than moving the mouse.
- 4) The Trackbar will perform the worst because it depends on keyboard input for single item navigation.

Experiment Variables

Independent Variables

- i) Type of interface
- ii) Target density
- iii) Data size

Dependent Variables

- i) Speed of acquisition
- ii) Error rate
- iii) Subjective satisfaction

Tasks – rewrite this part

Subjects completed three trials for each permutation of the independent variables. Each target was randomly generated at runtime. To mitigate learning effects new data was

randomly selected upon interface change. With each new task the thumb was brought to the beginning of the slider.

Participants

Procedures

A pilot study was first done to weed out poorly designed and inefficient interfaces. Prior to the timed trials the subjects were given five minutes to familiarize themselves with each interface while reading interface specific instructions and ask questions. During the timed trial the subjects were not allowed to ask questions. Upon completion of the experiment the subjects were asked to fill out a questionnaire.

RESULTS

Need to write this

CONCLUSION

Need to write this

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Need to write this

REFERENCES

- [1] Shneiderman B., "Dynamic Queries for Visual Information Seeking," Maryland, 1994.
- [2] Christopher Ahlberg and Ben Shneiderman, "The Alphaslider: A Compact and Rapid Selector," in *Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Boston, 1994, pp. 365-371.
- [3] Wesley Willett, Jeffrey Heer, and Maneesh Agrawala, "Scented Widgets: Improving Navigation Cues with Embedded Visualizations," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1129-1136, November 2007.
- [4] Christopher Ahlber, Christopher Williamson, and Ben Shneiderman, "Dynamic Queries for Information Exploration: An Implementation and Evaluation," in *CHI*, 1992, pp. 619-626.
- [5] Christopher Ahlberg and Ben Shneiderman, "Visual Information Seeking: Tight Coupling of Dynamic Query Filters with Starfield Displays," in *CHI*, 1994, pp. 313-317.
- [6] Christopher Williamson and Ben Shneiderman, "The Dynamic HomeFinder: Evaluating Dynamic Queries in a Real-Estate Information Exploration System," in *ACM SIGIR*, 1992, pp. 338-346.
- [7] Catherine Plaisant and Vinit Jain, "Dynamaps: dynamic queries on a health statistics atlas," in *CHI Conference Companion on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1994, pp. 439-440.
- [8] Myoungsu Cho, Bohyoung Kim, Dong K Jeong, Yeong-Gil Shin, and Jinwook Seo, "Dynamic Query

Interface for Spatial Proximity Query with Degree-of-Interest Varied by Distance to Query Point," in *CHI*, 2010, pp. 693-702.

[9] Tom Lanning, Kent Wittenburg, Michael Heinrichs, Christina Fyock, and Glenn Li, "Multidimensional Information Visualization through Sliding Rods," in *AVI*, 2000, pp. 173-180.

[10] Yuji Ayatsuka, Jun Rekimoto, and Satoshi Matsuoka, "Popup Vernier: a Tool for Sub-pixel-pitch Dragging with Smooth Mode Transition," in *11th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, 1998, pp. 39-48.

[11] Toshiyuki Masui, Kouichi Kashiwagi, and George R. IV Borden, "Elastic Graphical Interfaces to Precise Data Manipulation," in *CHI Conference Companion*

on *Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1995, pp. 143-144.

[12] Gonzalo Ramos and Ravin Balakrishnan, "Zliding: Fluid Zooming and Sliding for High Precision Parameter Manipulation," in *18th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, 2005, pp. 143-152.

**The columns on the last page should be of approximately equal length.
Remove these two lines from your final version.**