## 1 Grammar

## 2 Functions

# Definition (annot :: $\tau \times \varepsilon \to \hat{\tau}$ )

- 1.  $annot(\{\bar{r}\}, \_) = \{\bar{r}\}$
- 2.  $\operatorname{annot}(\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon) \to_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon)$

## Definition (annot :: $e \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{e}$ )

- 1.  $\operatorname{annot}(x, \underline{\ }) = e$
- 2. annot(r, ) = r
- 3.  $\operatorname{annot}(e_1e_2,\varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(e_1)\operatorname{annot}(e_2)$
- 4.  $annot(e.\pi, \varepsilon) = annot(e).\pi$
- 5.  $\operatorname{annot}(\lambda x : \tau.e, \varepsilon) = \lambda x : \operatorname{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon).\operatorname{annot}(e, \varepsilon)$

## Definition (annot :: $\Gamma \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ )

- 1.  $annot(\emptyset, \_) = \emptyset$
- 2.  $\operatorname{annot}((\Gamma, x : \tau), \varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), x : \operatorname{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$

# Definition (erase :: $\hat{\tau} \rightarrow \tau$ )

- $1.\ \mathtt{erase}(\{\bar{r}\},\underline{\ })=\{\bar{r}\}$
- 2.  $\operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2) = \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1) \to \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

## Definition (erase :: $\hat{e} \rightarrow e$ )

- 1. erase(x) = x
- 2. erase(r) = r
- 3.  $erase(e_1e_2) = erase(e_1)erase(e_2)$
- 4.  $erase(e.\pi) = erase(e).\pi$
- 5.  $erase(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e}) = \lambda x : erase(\hat{\tau}).erase(\hat{e})$

### Definition (effects :: $\tau \to \varepsilon$ )

- 1.  $effects(\{\bar{r}\}) = \{r.\pi \mid r \in \bar{r}, \pi \in \Pi\}$
- 2. effects $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2)$  = ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \varepsilon \cup$  effects $(\hat{\tau}_2)$

This function computes those effects:

- Directly invoked by a function of type  $\hat{\tau}$ .
- Captured by functions created/returned by  $\hat{\tau}$ .

## Definition (ho-effects :: au o arepsilon)

- 1. ho-effects $(\{\bar{r}\}) = \emptyset$
- 2. ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

This function computes those effects:

- Captured by functions passed into  $\hat{\tau}$ .

#### Examples

Suppose a is a base type that captures no effects.

Consider 
$$\hat{\tau} = (a \rightarrow_b (a \rightarrow_c a)) \rightarrow_d (a \rightarrow_e a)$$
.  
effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{d, e\}$   
ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{b, c\}$ 

Consider 
$$\hat{\tau} = ((a \rightarrow_b a) \rightarrow_c (a \rightarrow_d a)) \rightarrow_e ((a \rightarrow_f a) \rightarrow_g (a \rightarrow_h a))$$
 effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{e, b, g, h\}$  ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{c, d, f\}$ 

## 3 Static Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{ (T-Var)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\}} \text{ (T-Resource)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 . e : \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \text{ (T-Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \to \tau_3 \quad \varGamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\varGamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_3} \ (\text{T-APP}) \qquad \frac{\varGamma \vdash e : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \forall r \in \bar{r} \mid r \in R \quad \pi \in \varPi}{\varGamma \vdash e.\pi : \text{Unit}} \ (\text{T-OperCall})$$

$$\hat{ec{\Gamma}} dash \hat{e} : \hat{ au}$$
 with  $arepsilon$ 

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\Gamma}, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\varepsilon\text{-VAR}) \qquad \frac{1}{\hat{\Gamma}, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\} \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\varepsilon\text{-RESOURCE})$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau}_2 \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_3}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \lambda x : \tau_2.\hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_2 \to_{\varepsilon_3} \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\varepsilon\text{-ABS}) \qquad \frac{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_1 : \hat{\tau}_2 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon} \ (\varepsilon\text{-APP})$$

$$\frac{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{e} : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \forall r \in \bar{r} \mid r : \{r\} \in \varGamma \quad \pi \in \varPi}{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{e} . \pi : \mathtt{Unit with} \ \{\bar{r} . \pi\}} \ (\varepsilon \text{-}\mathsf{OPERCALL}) \qquad \frac{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash e : \tau \ \mathtt{with} \ \varepsilon \quad \tau' <: \tau \quad \varepsilon' \subseteq \varepsilon}{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash e : \tau' \ \mathtt{with} \ \varepsilon'} \ (\varepsilon \text{-}\mathsf{SUBSUME})$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 & \quad \varepsilon = \texttt{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \\ \frac{\texttt{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \quad \quad x : \texttt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau}{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash \texttt{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \ \texttt{in} \ e : \texttt{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon) \ \texttt{with} \ \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_1} \ (\varepsilon\text{-Module}) \end{split}$$

$$\mathtt{safe}(\tau,\varepsilon)$$

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{safe}(\{\bar{r}\},\varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-RESOURCE)} \quad \frac{}{\mathsf{safe}(\mathsf{Unit},\varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-UNIT)}$$
 
$$\frac{\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \quad \mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau}_1,\varepsilon) \quad \mathsf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)}{\mathsf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-ARROW)}$$

$$\mathtt{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau},\varepsilon)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\{\bar{r}\},\varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-RESOURCE)} \qquad \frac{1}{\mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\mathsf{Unit},\varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-UNIT)} \\ \frac{\mathsf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1,\varepsilon) \quad \mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)}{\mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-ARROW)}$$

 $\hat{\tau} <: \hat{\tau}$ 

$$\frac{\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \quad \hat{\tau}_2 <: \hat{\tau}_2' \quad \hat{\tau}_1' <: \hat{\tau}_1}{\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2 <: \hat{\tau}_1' \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2'} \text{ (S-EFFECTS)}$$

## 4 Dynamic Rules

$$\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e} \mid \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\hat{e}_{1} \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_{1} \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{e}_{1}\hat{e}_{2} \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_{1}\hat{e}_{2} \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-APP1)} \qquad \frac{\hat{e}_{2} \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_{2} \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{v}_{1}\hat{e}_{2} \longrightarrow \hat{v}_{1}\hat{e}'_{2} \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-APP2)} \qquad \frac{(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e})\hat{v}_{2} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_{2}/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing}{(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e})\hat{v}_{2} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_{2}/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing} \text{ (E-APP3)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \to \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{e}.\pi \longrightarrow \hat{e}'.\pi \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-OPERCALL1)} \qquad \frac{r \in R \quad \pi \in \Pi}{r.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \{r.\pi\}} \text{ (E-OPERCALL2)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon'}{\text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow \text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e}' \text{ in } e \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-MODULE1)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon}{\text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \varnothing} \text{ (E-MODULE2)}$$

## 5 Encodings

#### 5.1 \( \preceq

We can define the bottom type as  $\bot = \{\}$ , because there is no empty-set literal.

#### 5.2 unit, Unit

Define unit =  $\lambda x$ : {}.x, i.e. the function which takes an empty set of resources and returns it. We shall refer to its type, which is {}  $\rightarrow_{\varnothing}$  {}, as Unit. It has various properties befitting unit.

- 1. unit cannot be invoked, as {} is uninhabited.
- 2. unit is a value.
- 3. The only term with type Unit is unit.
- 4.  $\vdash$  unit : Unit, by using  $\varepsilon$ -ABS and  $\varepsilon$ -VAR.
- 5.  $effects(Unit) = ho-effects(Unit) = \emptyset$
- 6.  $safe(Unit, \varepsilon)$  and ho-safe(Unit,  $\varepsilon$ )

## 6 Proofs

**Theorem 1** (Progress). If  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$  then  $\hat{e}_A$  is a value or  $\hat{e}_A \longrightarrow \hat{e}_B \mid \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -RESOURCE,  $\varepsilon$ -UNIT,  $\varepsilon$ -ABS | Then  $\hat{e}_A$  is a value.

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -Subsume Then  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e : \tau'$  with  $\varepsilon'$ , and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e : \tau$  with  $\varepsilon$ , where  $\tau' <: \tau$  and  $\varepsilon' \subseteq \varepsilon$  are subderivations. The theorem conclusion holds by inductive assumption applied to  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e : \tau$  with  $\varepsilon$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -APP Then  $\hat{e}_A = \hat{e}_1$   $\hat{e}_2$ . We consider the cases in which  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  are values.

If  $\hat{e}_1$  is not a value then by inductive assumption there is a reduction  $\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1 \ \hat{e}_2$  reduces by the rule E-APP1, giving  $\hat{e}_1 \ \hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \ \hat{e}_2 \mid \varepsilon$ .

If  $\hat{e}_2$  is not a value then WLOG  $\hat{e}_1$  is a value. By inductive assumption  $\hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon$ . Then  $\hat{v}_1$   $\hat{e}_2$  reduces by the rule E-APP2, giving  $\hat{v}_1$   $\hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{v}_1$   $\hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon$ .

If  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  are both values then by canonical forms  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{v}_1 = \lambda x : \tau_2.e$ . Then  $\hat{v}_1$   $\hat{v}_2$  reduces by the rule E-APP3, giving  $\hat{v}_1$   $\hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -OperCall Then  $\hat{e}_A = \hat{e}_1.\pi$ . We consider whether  $\hat{e}_1$  is a value.

If  $\hat{e}_1$  is not a value then by inductive assumption there is a reduction  $\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1.\pi$  reduces by the rule E-OPERCALL1, giving  $\hat{e}_1.\pi \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1.\pi \mid \varepsilon$ .

If  $\hat{e}_1$  is a value then  $\hat{e}_1 = r$  by canonical forms. By the assumption that  $r.\pi$  is closed under  $\Gamma$ , we know  $r \in R$  and  $\pi \in \Pi$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1.\pi$  reduces by the rule E-OPERCALL2, giving  $r.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit } | \varepsilon$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -MODULE Then  $e_A = \text{import}(\varepsilon)$   $x = \hat{e}$  in e. If  $\hat{e}$  is an expression then it can be reduced, so  $\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon'$ , and so by E-MODULE1 we get import( $\varepsilon$ )  $x = \hat{e}$  in  $e \longrightarrow \text{import}(\varepsilon)$   $x = \hat{e}'$  in  $e \mid \varepsilon'$ . Otherwise  $\hat{e} = \hat{v}$  is a value. Then by E-MODULE2 we get import( $\varepsilon$ )  $x = \hat{v} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \varnothing$ .

**Lemma 1** (Substitution). If  $\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau}' \vdash e : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\varepsilon$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash v : \hat{\tau}'$  with  $\varnothing$  then  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [v/x]e : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 2.** ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) \implies \varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2)$ 

Lemma 3. safe $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) \implies \varepsilon \subseteq \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2)$ 

*Proof.* By simultaneous induction on derivations.

ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$  By definition, safe $(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon)$  and ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$ . By applying inductive assumptions,  $\varepsilon \subseteq$  effects $(\hat{\tau}_1)$  and  $\varepsilon \subseteq$  ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_2)$ . Then  $\varepsilon \subseteq$  effects $(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup$  ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_2) =$  ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2)$ .

 $\boxed{ \mathtt{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) } \text{ By definition, } \varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \text{ and ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \text{ and safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon). \text{ By applying inductive assumptions,} \\ \varepsilon \subseteq \mathtt{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \text{ and } \varepsilon \subseteq \mathtt{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2). \text{ Then } \varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \cup \mathtt{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \mathtt{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2) = \mathtt{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2). \\ \end{aligned}$ 

**Lemma 4.** If  $\varepsilon \subseteq \text{effects}(\hat{\tau})$  and ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$  then  $\hat{\tau} <: \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 5.** If  $\varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau})$  and  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$  then  $\text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) <: \hat{\tau}$ .

*Proof.* By simultaneous induction on derivations.

Case:  $\hat{\tau} = \{\bar{r}\}\$  Then  $\mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) = \hat{\tau}.$ 

 $\boxed{\text{Case: } \hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \, \varepsilon \subseteq \mathtt{effects}(\hat{\tau}), \, \mathtt{ho\text{-safe}}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)} \text{ By definition of annot and erase we know: } \\ \mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2), \varepsilon = \mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1), \varepsilon) \to_{\varepsilon} \\ \mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2), \varepsilon)$ 

By definition we have the following subderivations.

```
1. effects(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \varepsilon' \cup \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)
```

```
2. \operatorname{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) = \operatorname{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \wedge \operatorname{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)
```

 $\mathbf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \text{ is a subderivation of ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2). \text{ By } \mathbf{Lemma 2}, \text{ ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2) \text{ implies } \varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2) = \texttt{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \texttt{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_2).$ 

**Theorem 2 (Preservation).** If  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$  and  $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$ , then  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_B : \tau_B$  with  $\varepsilon_B$ , where  $e_B <: e_B \text{ and } \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B \subseteq \varepsilon_A$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \tau_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$ , and then on  $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$ .

 $\varepsilon$ -VAR,  $\varepsilon$ -RESOURCE,  $\varepsilon$ -UNIT,  $\varepsilon$ -ABS Then  $e_A$  cannot be reduced and so the theorem statement vacuously holds.

 $\boxed{\varepsilon\text{-App}}$  Then  $e_A = \hat{e}_1\hat{e}_2$  and  $\hat{e}_1: \hat{\tau}_2 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_3$  with  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_2: \hat{\tau}_2$  with  $\varepsilon_2$ . If the reduction rule used was E-App1 or E-App2, then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  respectively.

Otherwise the rule used was E-APP3. Then  $(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}_2.\hat{e})\hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing$ . By inversion on the typing rule for  $\lambda x : \hat{\tau}_2.\hat{e}$  we know  $\Gamma, x : \hat{\tau}_2 \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3$  with  $\varepsilon_3$ . By canonical forms,  $\varepsilon_2 = \varnothing$  because  $\hat{e}_2 = \hat{v}_2$  is a value. Then by the substitution lemma,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3$  with  $\varepsilon_3$ . By canonical forms,  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varnothing = \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_B \cup \varepsilon$ .

 $\varepsilon$ -OperCall Then  $e_A = e_1.\pi$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}\$  with  $\varepsilon_1$ . If the reduction rule used was E-OperCall then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\hat{e}_1$ .

Otherwise the reduction rule used was E-OPERCALL2 and  $v_1.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \{r.\pi\}$ . By canonical forms,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash v_1$ : unit with  $\{r.\pi\}$ . Also,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \text{unit}$ : Unit with  $\emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_B = \tau_A$ . Also,  $\varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B = \{r.\pi\} = \varepsilon_A$ .

E-Module Then  $e_A = \text{import}(\varepsilon)$   $x = \hat{e}$  in e. If the reduction rule used was E-ModuleCall then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\hat{e}$ .

Otherwise the following are true:

```
1. e_A = \operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \ \operatorname{in} \ e
2. \hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_A : \operatorname{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon) \ \operatorname{with} \ \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_1
3. \operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \ \operatorname{in} \ e \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \operatorname{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \varnothing
4. \hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{v} : \hat{\tau} \ \operatorname{with} \ \varnothing
5. \varepsilon = \operatorname{effects}(\hat{\tau})
6. \operatorname{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)
7. x : \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau
```

Use the annotation lemma to get  $\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$ .

By **3** we have  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{v} : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\varnothing$ .

By substitution lemma,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [\hat{v}/x]$ annot $(e, \varepsilon)$ : annot $(\tau, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 6 (Annotation).** If the following are true for every  $\Gamma$ :

```
\begin{split} &-\hat{\varGamma}\vdash \hat{v}:\hat{\tau} \text{ with } \varnothing \\ &-\varGamma,y: \texttt{erase}(\hat{\tau})\vdash e:\tau \\ &-\varepsilon=\texttt{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \\ &-\texttt{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau},\varepsilon) \end{split}
```

Then  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon))$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $\Gamma, y : erase(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau$ .

Case: T-VAR Then e = x and  $\Gamma, y : erase(\hat{\tau}) \vdash x : \tau$ . There are two cases: either x = y or  $x \neq y$ .

Subcase 1: x = y. Then by  $\varepsilon$ -VAR we get  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash x : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\emptyset$ . Need to justify why annot $(\tau, \varepsilon) = \hat{\tau}$ . Note that  $\varepsilon = \mathsf{effects}(\hat{\tau})$ . Maybe show that annot $(\tau, \mathsf{effects}(\tau)) <: \tau$ . Can you use the lemma here?

Subcase 2:  $x \neq y$ . Then  $x : \tau \in \Gamma$ .

Case: T-RESOURCE Then  $\Gamma, y : \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash r : \{r\}$ . By definition,  $\operatorname{annot}(r, \varepsilon) = r$  and  $\operatorname{annot}(\{r\}, \varepsilon)$ . By  $\varepsilon$ -RESOURCE  $\hat{\Gamma}$ ,  $\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau} \vdash r : \{r\}$  with  $\varnothing$ . By  $\varepsilon$ -Subsume,  $\hat{\Gamma}$ ,  $\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau} \vdash r : \{r\}$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \operatorname{effects}(\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon))$ .

Case: T-ABS Then  $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1.e_{body} : \tau_1 \to \tau_2.$ 

By inversion, we get the sub-derivation  $\Gamma, y : \texttt{erase}(\hat{\tau}), x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$ .

By definition,  $annot(e, \varepsilon) = annot(\lambda x : \tau_1.e_2, \varepsilon) = \lambda x : annot(\tau_1, \varepsilon).annot(e_2, \varepsilon).$ 

By definition,  $\operatorname{annot}(\tau,\varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\tau_1 \to \tau_2,\varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\tau_1,\varepsilon) \to_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{annot}(\tau_2,\varepsilon)$ .

To apply the inductive assumption to  $e_2$  we use the unlabelled context  $\Gamma, x : \tau_1$ . The inductive assumption tells us  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau}, x : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon) \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e_2, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon)) \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon))$ . Call this last effect-set  $\varepsilon'$ .

By  $\varepsilon$ -ABS, we get  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \lambda x : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon)$ .annot $(e_2, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_1) \to_{\varepsilon'} \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_2)$  with  $\emptyset$ .

By  $\varepsilon$ -Subsume, we get  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_1) \to_{\varepsilon} \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_2)$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma), \varepsilon)$ .

Case: T-APP TODO

Case: T-OPERCALL Then  $\Gamma, y$ : erase $(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_1.\pi$ : Unit.

By inversion we get the sub-derivation  $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}.$ 

By definition, annot( $\{\bar{r}\}, \varepsilon$ ) =  $\{\bar{r}\}$ .

By inductive assumption,  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}\$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \text{effects}(\text{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon))$ .

By  $\varepsilon$ -OPERCALL,  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash e_1.\pi : \{\bar{r}\}\$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \{\bar{r}.\pi\}$ .

It remains to show  $\{\bar{r}.\pi\}\subseteq \varepsilon$ . We shall do this by considering where r must have come from (which subcontext left of the turnstile).

Subcase 1.  $r = \hat{\tau}$ . As  $\varepsilon = \text{effects}(\hat{\tau})$ , then  $r.\pi \in \text{effects}(\hat{\tau})$ .

Subcase 2.  $r: \{r\} \in \Gamma$ . As annot $(r, \varepsilon) = r$ , then  $r.\pi \in \text{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ .

**Subcase 3.**  $r:\{r\}\in\hat{\Gamma}$ . Then because  $\Gamma,y:\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau})\vdash e_1:\{\bar{r}\}$ , then  $r\in\Gamma$  or  $r=\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau})=\hat{\tau}$  and one of the above subcases must also hold.