1 Grammar

2 Functions

Definition (annot :: $\tau \times \varepsilon \to \hat{\tau}$)

- 1. $annot(\{\bar{r}\}, _) = \{\bar{r}\}$
- 2. $\operatorname{annot}(\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon) \to_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon)$

Definition (annot :: $e \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{e}$)

- 1. annot(x,) = e
- 2. annot(r,) = r
- 3. $\operatorname{annot}(e_1e_2,\varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(e_1)\operatorname{annot}(e_2)$
- 4. $annot(e.\pi, \varepsilon) = annot(e).\pi$
- 5. $\operatorname{annot}(\lambda x : \tau.e, \varepsilon) = \lambda x : \operatorname{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon).\operatorname{annot}(e, \varepsilon)$

Definition (annot :: $\Gamma \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$)

- 1. $annot(\emptyset, _) = \emptyset$
- 2. $\operatorname{annot}((\Gamma, x : \tau), \varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), x : \operatorname{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$

Definition (erase :: $\hat{\tau} \rightarrow \tau$)

- $1.\ \mathtt{erase}(\{\bar{r}\},\underline{\ })=\{\bar{r}\}$
- 2. $\operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2) = \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1) \to \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

Definition (erase :: $\hat{e} \rightarrow e$)

- 1. erase(x) = x
- 2. erase(r) = r
- 3. $erase(e_1e_2) = erase(e_1)erase(e_2)$
- 4. $erase(e.\pi) = erase(e).\pi$
- 5. $erase(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e}) = \lambda x : erase(\hat{\tau}).erase(\hat{e})$

Definition (effects :: $\tau \to \varepsilon$)

- 1. $effects(\{\bar{r}\}) = \{r.\pi \mid r \in \bar{r}, \pi \in \Pi\}$
- 2. effects $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \varepsilon \cup \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

This function computes those effects:

- Directly invoked by a function of type $\hat{\tau}$.
- Captured by functions created/returned by $\hat{\tau}$.

Definition (ho-effects :: au o arepsilon)

- 1. ho-effects $(\{\bar{r}\}) = \emptyset$
- 2. ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

This function computes those effects:

- Captured by functions passed into $\hat{\tau}$.

Examples

Suppose a is a base type that captures no effects.

Consider
$$\hat{\tau} = (a \rightarrow_b (a \rightarrow_c a)) \rightarrow_d (a \rightarrow_e a)$$
.
effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{d, e\}$
ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{b, c\}$

Consider
$$\hat{\tau} = ((a \rightarrow_b a) \rightarrow_c (a \rightarrow_d a)) \rightarrow_e ((a \rightarrow_f a) \rightarrow_g (a \rightarrow_h a))$$
 effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{e, b, g, h\}$ ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}) = \{c, d, f\}$

3 Static Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{ (T-Var)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\}} \text{ (T-Resource)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 . e : \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \text{ (T-Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \to \tau_3 \quad \varGamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\varGamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_3} \ (\text{T-APP}) \qquad \frac{\varGamma \vdash e : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \forall r \in \bar{r} \mid r \in R \quad \pi \in \varPi}{\varGamma \vdash e.\pi : \text{Unit}} \ (\text{T-OperCall})$$

$$\hat{ec{\Gamma}} dash \hat{e} : \hat{ au}$$
 with $arepsilon$

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\Gamma}, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\varepsilon\text{-VAR}) \qquad \frac{1}{\hat{\Gamma}, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\} \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\varepsilon\text{-RESOURCE})$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau}_2 \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_3}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \lambda x : \tau_2.\hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_2 \to_{\varepsilon_3} \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\varepsilon\text{-ABS}) \qquad \frac{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_1 : \hat{\tau}_2 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon} \ (\varepsilon\text{-APP})$$

$$\frac{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{e} : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \forall r \in \bar{r} \mid r : \{r\} \in \varGamma \quad \pi \in \varPi}{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{e} . \pi : \mathtt{Unit with} \ \{\bar{r} . \pi\}} \ (\varepsilon \text{-}\mathsf{OPERCALL}) \qquad \frac{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash e : \tau \ \mathtt{with} \ \varepsilon \quad \tau' <: \tau \quad \varepsilon' \subseteq \varepsilon}{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash e : \tau' \ \mathtt{with} \ \varepsilon'} \ (\varepsilon \text{-}\mathsf{SUBSUME})$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 & \quad \varepsilon = \texttt{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \\ \frac{\texttt{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \quad \quad x : \texttt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau}{\hat{\varGamma} \vdash \texttt{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \ \texttt{in} \ e : \texttt{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon) \ \texttt{with} \ \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_1} \ (\varepsilon\text{-Module}) \end{split}$$

$$\mathtt{safe}(\tau,\varepsilon)$$

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{safe}(\{\bar{r}\},\varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-RESOURCE)} \quad \frac{}{\mathsf{safe}(\mathsf{Unit},\varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-UNIT)}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \quad \mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau}_1,\varepsilon) \quad \mathsf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)}{\mathsf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-ARROW)}$$

$$\mathtt{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau},\varepsilon)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\{\bar{r}\},\varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-RESOURCE)} \qquad \frac{1}{\mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\mathsf{Unit},\varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-UNIT)} \\ \frac{\mathsf{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1,\varepsilon) \quad \mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)}{\mathsf{ho\text{-}safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2,\varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-ARROW)}$$

 $\hat{\tau} <: \hat{\tau}$

$$\frac{\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \quad \hat{\tau}_2 <: \hat{\tau}_2' \quad \hat{\tau}_1' <: \hat{\tau}_1}{\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2 <: \hat{\tau}_1' \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2'} \text{ (S-EFFECTS)}$$

4 Dynamic Rules

$$\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e} \mid \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\hat{e}_{1} \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_{1} \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{e}_{1}\hat{e}_{2} \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_{1}\hat{e}_{2} \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-APP1)} \qquad \frac{\hat{e}_{2} \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_{2} \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{v}_{1}\hat{e}_{2} \longrightarrow \hat{v}_{1}\hat{e}'_{2} \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-APP2)} \qquad \frac{(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e})\hat{v}_{2} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_{2}/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing}{(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e})\hat{v}_{2} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_{2}/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing} \text{ (E-APP3)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \to \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{e}.\pi \longrightarrow \hat{e}'.\pi \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-OPERCALL1)} \qquad \frac{r \in R \quad \pi \in \Pi}{r.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \{r.\pi\}} \text{ (E-OPERCALL2)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon'}{\text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow \text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e}' \text{ in } e \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-MODULE1)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon}{\text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \varnothing} \text{ (E-MODULE2)}$$

5 Encodings

5.1 \(\preceq

We can define the bottom type as $\bot = \{\}$, because there is no empty-set literal.

5.2 unit, Unit

Define unit = λx : {}.x, i.e. the function which takes an empty set of resources and returns it. We shall refer to its type, which is {} $\rightarrow_{\varnothing}$ {}, as Unit. It has various properties befitting unit.

- 1. unit cannot be invoked, as {} is uninhabited.
- 2. unit is a value.
- 3. The only term with type Unit is unit.
- 4. \vdash unit: Unit, by using ε -ABS and ε -VAR.
- 5. $effects(Unit) = ho-effects(Unit) = \emptyset$
- 6. $safe(Unit, \varepsilon)$ and ho-safe(Unit, ε)

6 Proofs

Theorem 1 (Progress). If $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$ with ε_A then \hat{e}_A is a value or $\hat{e}_A \longrightarrow \hat{e}_B \mid \varepsilon$.

Proof. By induction on $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$ with ε_A .

Case: ε -RESOURCE, ε -UNIT, ε -ABS Then \hat{e}_A is a value.

Case: ε -Subsume Then $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e : \tau'$ with ε' , and $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e : \tau$ with ε , where $\tau' <: \tau$ and $\varepsilon' \subseteq \varepsilon$ are subderivations. The theorem conclusion holds by inductive assumption applied to $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e : \tau$ with ε .

Case: ε -APP Then $\hat{e}_A = \hat{e}_1$ \hat{e}_2 . We consider the cases in which \hat{e}_1 and \hat{e}_2 are values.

If \hat{e}_1 is not a value then by inductive assumption there is a reduction $\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon$. Then $\hat{e}_1 \ \hat{e}_2$ reduces by the rule E-APP1, giving $\hat{e}_1 \ \hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \ \hat{e}_2 \mid \varepsilon$.

If \hat{e}_2 is not a value then WLOG \hat{e}_1 is a value. By inductive assumption $\hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon$. Then \hat{v}_1 \hat{e}_2 reduces by the rule E-APP2, giving \hat{v}_1 $\hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{v}_1$ $\hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon$.

If \hat{e}_1 and \hat{e}_2 are both values then by canonical forms $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{v}_1 = \lambda x : \tau_2.e$. Then \hat{v}_1 \hat{v}_2 reduces by the rule E-APP3, giving \hat{v}_1 $\hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing$.

Case: ε -OperCall Then $\hat{e}_A = \hat{e}_1.\pi$. We consider whether \hat{e}_1 is a value.

If \hat{e}_1 is not a value then by inductive assumption there is a reduction $\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon$. Then $\hat{e}_1.\pi$ reduces by the rule E-OPERCALL1, giving $\hat{e}_1.\pi \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1.\pi \mid \varepsilon$.

If \hat{e}_1 is a value then $\hat{e}_1 = r$ by canonical forms. By the assumption that $r.\pi$ is closed under Γ , we know $r \in R$ and $\pi \in \Pi$. Then $\hat{e}_1.\pi$ reduces by the rule E-OPERCALL2, giving $r.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit } \mid \varepsilon$.

Case: ε -Module Then $e_A = \operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \ \operatorname{in} e$. If \hat{e} is an expression then it can be reduced, so $\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon'$, and so by E-Module we get $\operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \ \operatorname{in} \ e \longrightarrow \operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e}' \ \operatorname{in} \ e \mid \varepsilon'$. Otherwise $\hat{e} = \hat{v}$ is a value. Then by E-Module we get $\operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \operatorname{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \varnothing$.

Lemma 1 (Substitution). If $\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau}' \vdash e : \hat{\tau}$ with ε and $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash v : \hat{\tau}'$ with \varnothing then $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [v/x]e : \hat{\tau}$ with ε .

Lemma 2. ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) \implies \varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2)$

Lemma 3. $safe(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) \implies \varepsilon \subseteq effects(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2)$

Proof. By simultaneous induction on derivations.

ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$ By definition, safe $(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon)$ and ho-safe $(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$. By applying inductive assumptions, $\varepsilon \subseteq$ effects $(\hat{\tau}_1)$ and $\varepsilon \subseteq$ ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_2)$. Then $\varepsilon \subseteq$ effects $(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup$ ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_2) =$ ho-effects $(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2)$.

 $\boxed{ \mathtt{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) } \text{ By definition, } \varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \text{ and ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \text{ and safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon). \text{ By applying inductive assumptions,} \\ \varepsilon \subseteq \mathsf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \text{ and } \varepsilon \subseteq \mathsf{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2). \text{ Then } \varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \cup \mathsf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \mathsf{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2) = \mathsf{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2). \\ \end{aligned}$

Lemma 4. If $effects(\hat{\tau}) \subseteq \varepsilon$ and $ho-safe(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$ then $\hat{\tau} <: annot(erase(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon)$.

Lemma 5. If $\varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau})$ and $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$ then $\text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) <: \hat{\tau}$.

Proof. By simultaneous induction on derivations.

Case: $\hat{\tau} = \{\bar{r}\}\$ Then $\mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) = \hat{\tau}$ and both lemmas hold immediately.

```
\begin{split} & \text{Case: } \hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \, \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \subseteq \varepsilon, \, \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \\ & \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) = \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1)) \to_{\varepsilon'} \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)) \\ & \text{Need annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1)) <: \hat{\tau}_1 \\ & \text{Need } \hat{\tau}_2 <: \, \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)) \end{split} & \text{Case: } \hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_1 \to_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \, \varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}), \, \text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \\ & \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) = \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1)) \to_{\varepsilon'} \, \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)) \\ & \text{Need annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)) <: \hat{\tau}_2 \\ & \text{Need } \hat{\tau}_1 <: \, \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1)) \end{split}
```

Theorem 2 (Preservation). If $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$ with ε_A and $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$, then $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_B : \tau_B$ with ε_B , where $e_B <: e_B \text{ and } \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B \subseteq \varepsilon_A$.

Proof. By induction on $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \tau_A$ with ε_A , and then on $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$.

 ε -VAR, ε -RESOURCE, ε -UNIT, ε -ABS Then e_A cannot be reduced and so the theorem statement vacuously holds.

 $\boxed{\varepsilon\text{-App}}$ Then $e_A = \hat{e}_1\hat{e}_2$ and $\hat{e}_1: \hat{\tau}_2 \to_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_3$ with ε_1 and $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_2: \hat{\tau}_2$ with ε_2 . If the reduction rule used was E-App1 or E-App2, then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to \hat{e}_1 and \hat{e}_2 respectively.

Otherwise the rule used was E-APP3. Then $(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}_2.\hat{e})\hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} \mid \varnothing$. By inversion on the typing rule for $\lambda x : \hat{\tau}_2.\hat{e}$ we know $\Gamma, x : \hat{\tau}_2 \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3$ with ε_3 . By canonical forms, $\varepsilon_2 = \varnothing$ because $\hat{e}_2 = \hat{v}_2$ is a value. Then by the substitution lemma, $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3$ with ε_3 . By canonical forms, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varnothing = \varepsilon$. Therefore $\varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_B \cup \varepsilon$.

 ε -OperCall Then $e_A = e_1.\pi$ and $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}$ with ε_1 . If the reduction rule used was E-OperCall then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to \hat{e}_1 .

Otherwise the reduction rule used was E-OPERCALL2 and $v_1.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \{r.\pi\}$. By canonical forms, $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash v_1$: unit with $\{r.\pi\}$. Also, $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \text{unit}$: Unit with \emptyset . Then $\tau_B = \tau_A$. Also, $\varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B = \{r.\pi\} = \varepsilon_A$.

 $[\varepsilon\text{-Module}]$ Then $e_A = \mathsf{import}(\varepsilon)$ $x = \hat{e}$ in e. If the reduction rule used was E-ModuleCall then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to \hat{e} .

Otherwise the following are true:

```
\begin{array}{ll} 1. \ e_A = \operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \ \operatorname{in} \ e \\ 2. \ \hat{\varGamma} \vdash e_A : \operatorname{annot}(\tau,\varepsilon) \ \operatorname{with} \ \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_1 \\ 3. \ \operatorname{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \ \operatorname{in} \ e \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \operatorname{annot}(e,\varepsilon) \mid \varnothing \\ 4. \ \hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{v} : \hat{\tau} \ \operatorname{with} \ \varnothing \\ 5. \ \varepsilon = \operatorname{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \\ 6. \ \operatorname{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau},\varepsilon) \\ 7. \ x : \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau \end{array}
```

Use the annotation lemma to get $\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$ with ε .

By **3** we have $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{v} : \hat{\tau}$ with \varnothing .

By substitution lemma, $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [\hat{v}/x] \texttt{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \texttt{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$ with ε .

Lemma 6 (Annotation). If the following are true for every Γ :

```
\begin{split} & - \ \hat{\varGamma} \vdash \hat{v} : \hat{\tau} \ \mathtt{with} \ \varnothing \\ & - \ \varGamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau \\ & - \ \varepsilon = \mathtt{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \end{split}
```

```
- ho-safe(\hat{	au}, arepsilon)
```

Then $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \text{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \cup \text{effects}(\text{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon))$.

Proof. By induction on $\Gamma, y : \texttt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau$.

Case: T-VAR Then e = x and $\Gamma, y : erase(\hat{\tau}) \vdash x : \tau$. There are two cases: x = y or $x \neq y$.

Subcase 1: x=y. Then by ε -VAR we get $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot $(\Gamma,\varepsilon),y:\hat{\tau}\vdash x:\hat{\tau}$ with \varnothing . First note that annot $(x,\varepsilon)=x$ in this case. Therefore $\Gamma,y:$ erase $(\hat{\tau})\vdash$ annot $(\operatorname{erase}(x),\varepsilon):\hat{\tau}$ with \varnothing . We know by assumption that effects $(\hat{\tau})\subseteq\varepsilon$ and ho-safe $(\hat{\tau},\varepsilon)$. Then by Lemma 4 we know $\hat{\tau}<:$ annot $(\operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}),\varepsilon)$. Lastly, by ε -Subsume we have $\Gamma,y:$ erase $(\hat{\tau})\vdash$ annot $(\operatorname{erase}(x),\varepsilon):$ annot $(\operatorname{erase}(x),\varepsilon)$ with ε \cup effects $(\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma,\varepsilon))$.

Subcase 2: $x \neq y$. Then $x : \tau \in \Gamma$. Together with the definition $\mathtt{annot}(x,\varepsilon) = x$, we know $x : \mathtt{annot}(\tau,\varepsilon) \in \mathtt{annot}(\Gamma,\varepsilon)$. By ε -VAR we have $\hat{\Gamma}$, $\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma,\varepsilon)$, $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(x,\varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau,\varepsilon)$ with \varnothing . Lastly, by ε -Subsume we have $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash \mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(x),\varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\mathtt{erase}(x),\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma,\varepsilon))$.

Case: T-RESOURCE Then $\Gamma, y : \operatorname{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash r : \{r\}$. By definition, $\operatorname{annot}(r, \varepsilon) = r$ and $\operatorname{annot}(\{r\}, \varepsilon)$. By ε -RESOURCE $\hat{\Gamma}$, $\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau} \vdash r : \{r\}$ with \varnothing . By ε -Subsume, $\hat{\Gamma}$, $\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau} \vdash r : \{r\}$ with $\varepsilon \cup \operatorname{effects}(\operatorname{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon))$.

Case: T-Abs Then Γ, y : erase $(\hat{\tau}) \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1.e_{body} : \tau_1 \to \tau_2.$

By inversion, we get the sub-derivation $\Gamma, y : \texttt{erase}(\hat{\tau}), x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$.

By definition, $annot(e, \varepsilon) = annot(\lambda x : \tau_1.e_2, \varepsilon) = \lambda x : annot(\tau_1, \varepsilon).annot(e_2, \varepsilon).$

By definition, $\operatorname{annot}(\tau,\varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\tau_1 \to \tau_2,\varepsilon) = \operatorname{annot}(\tau_1,\varepsilon) \to_\varepsilon \operatorname{annot}(\tau_2,\varepsilon).$

To apply the inductive assumption to e_2 we use the unlabelled context $\Gamma, x : \tau_1$. The inductive assumption tells us $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau}, x : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon) \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e_2, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon)) \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon))$. Call this last effect-set ε' .

By ε -ABS, we get $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \lambda x : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon)$.annot $(e_2, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_1) \to_{\varepsilon'} \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_2)$ with \varnothing .

By ε -Subsume, we get $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_1) \to_{\varepsilon} \mathtt{annot}(\hat{\tau}_2)$ with $\varepsilon \cup \mathtt{effects}(\mathtt{annot}(\Gamma), \varepsilon)$.

Case: T-APP Then $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_3$, where $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \to \tau_3$ and $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$.

By applying the inductive assumption to e_1 and e_2 , we get $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e_1, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon)$ with ε and $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e_2, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon)$ with ε .

By simplifying: Γ , annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e_1, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon) \rightarrow_{\varepsilon} \mathtt{annot}(\tau_3, \varepsilon)$ with ε .

By ε -APP, we get $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash \mathtt{annot}(e_1 \ e_2, \varepsilon) : \mathtt{annot}(\tau_3, \varepsilon)$ with ε .

Case: T-OPERCALL Then $\Gamma, y : \mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_1.\pi : \mathtt{Unit}.$

By inversion we get the sub-derivation $\Gamma, y : \mathbf{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}.$

By definition, annot($\{\bar{r}\}, \varepsilon$) = $\{\bar{r}\}$.

By inductive assumption, $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot (Γ, ε) , $y : \hat{\tau} \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}\$ with $\varepsilon \cup \text{effects}(\text{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon))$.

By ε -OperCall, $\hat{\Gamma}$, annot $(\Gamma, \varepsilon), y : \hat{\tau} \vdash e_1.\pi : \{\bar{r}\}$ with $\varepsilon \cup \{\bar{r}.\pi\}$.

It remains to show $\{\bar{r}.\pi\}\subseteq \varepsilon$. We shall do this by considering where r must have come from (which subcontext left of the turnstile).

Subcase 1. $r = \hat{\tau}$. As $\varepsilon = \texttt{effects}(\hat{\tau})$, then $r.\pi \in \texttt{effects}(\hat{\tau})$.

Subcase 2. $r: \{r\} \in \Gamma$. As annot $(r, \varepsilon) = r$, then $r.\pi \in \text{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$.

Subcase 3. $r:\{r\}\in\hat{\Gamma}$. Then because $\Gamma,y:\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau})\vdash e_1:\{\bar{r}\}$, then $r\in\Gamma$ or $r=\mathtt{erase}(\hat{\tau})=\hat{\tau}$ and one of the above subcases must also hold.