

## 1 Grammar

$e ::= x$	<i>exprs.</i>		
$r$			
$\lambda x : \tau. e$			
$e e$		$\varepsilon ::= \{\bar{r}.\pi\}$	<i>effects</i>
$e.\pi$			
$\hat{e} ::= x$	<i>labelled exprs.</i>	$\tau ::= \{\bar{r}\}$	<i>types</i>
$r$		$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	
$\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e}$		$\hat{\tau} ::= \{\bar{r}\}$	<i>labelled types</i>
$\hat{e} \hat{e}$		$\hat{\tau} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}$	
$\hat{e}.\pi$		$\Gamma ::= \emptyset$	<i>type ctx.</i>
<b>import</b> ( $\varepsilon$ ) $x = \hat{e}$ <b>in</b> $e$		$\Gamma, x : \tau$	
$v ::= r$	<i>values.</i>	$\hat{\Gamma} ::= \emptyset$	<i>labelled type ctx.</i>
$\lambda x : \tau. e$		$\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau}$	
$\hat{v} ::= r$	<i>labelled values</i>		
$\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e}$			

## 2 Functions

**Definition** ( $\text{annot} :: \tau \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{\tau}$ )

1.  $\text{annot}(\{\bar{r}\}, \_) = \{\bar{r}\}$
2.  $\text{annot}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, \varepsilon) = \text{annot}(\tau_1, \varepsilon) \rightarrow_{\varepsilon} \text{annot}(\tau_2, \varepsilon)$

**Definition** ( $\text{annot} :: e \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{e}$ )

1.  $\text{annot}(x, \_) = x$
2.  $\text{annot}(r, \_) = r$
3.  $\text{annot}(e_1 e_2, \varepsilon) = \text{annot}(e_1) \text{annot}(e_2)$
4.  $\text{annot}(e.\pi, \varepsilon) = \text{annot}(e).\pi$
5.  $\text{annot}(\lambda x : \tau. e, \varepsilon) = \lambda x : \text{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon). \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon)$

**Definition** ( $\text{annot} :: \Gamma \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ )

1.  $\text{annot}(\emptyset, \_) = \emptyset$
2.  $\text{annot}((\Gamma, x : \tau), \varepsilon) = \text{annot}(\Gamma, \varepsilon), x : \text{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$

**Definition** ( $\text{erase} :: \hat{\tau} \rightarrow \tau$ )

1.  $\text{erase}(\{\bar{r}\}, \_) = \{\bar{r}\}$
2.  $\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_1) \rightarrow \text{erase}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

**Definition** ( $\text{erase} :: \hat{e} \rightarrow e$ )

1.  $\text{erase}(x) = x$
2.  $\text{erase}(r) = r$
3.  $\text{erase}(e_1 e_2) = \text{erase}(e_1) \text{erase}(e_2)$
4.  $\text{erase}(e.\pi) = \text{erase}(e).\pi$
5.  $\text{erase}(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}.\hat{e}) = \lambda x : \text{erase}(\hat{\tau}). \text{erase}(\hat{e})$

**Definition** ( $\mathbf{effects} :: \tau \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

1.  $\mathbf{effects}(\{\bar{r}\}) = \{r.\pi \mid r \in \bar{r}, \pi \in \Pi\}$
2.  $\mathbf{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_\varepsilon \hat{\tau}_2) = \mathbf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \varepsilon \cup \mathbf{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

This function computes those effects:

- Directly invoked by a function of type  $\hat{\tau}$ .
- Captured by functions created/returned by  $\hat{\tau}$ .

**Definition** ( $\mathbf{ho-effects} :: \tau \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

1.  $\mathbf{ho-effects}(\{\bar{r}\}) = \emptyset$
2.  $\mathbf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_\varepsilon \hat{\tau}_2) = \mathbf{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \mathbf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$

This function computes those effects:

- Captured by functions passed into  $\hat{\tau}$ .

**Examples**

Suppose  $a$  is a base type that captures no effects.

Consider  $\hat{\tau} = (a \rightarrow_b (a \rightarrow_c a)) \rightarrow_d (a \rightarrow_e a)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{effects}(\hat{\tau}) &= \{d, e\} \\ \mathbf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}) &= \{b, c\} \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $\hat{\tau} = ((a \rightarrow_b a) \rightarrow_c (a \rightarrow_d a)) \rightarrow_e ((a \rightarrow_f a) \rightarrow_g (a \rightarrow_h a))$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{effects}(\hat{\tau}) &= \{e, b, g, h\} \\ \mathbf{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}) &= \{c, d, f\} \end{aligned}$$

**3 Static Rules**

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{ (T-VAR)} & \frac{}{\Gamma, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\}} \text{ (T-RESOURCE)} & \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ (T-ABS)} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_3} \text{ (T-APP)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \forall r \in \bar{r} \mid r \in R \quad \pi \in \Pi}{\Gamma \vdash e.\pi : \mathbf{Unit}} \text{ (T-OPERCALL)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\hat{I} \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau} \text{ with } \varepsilon}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{}{\hat{I}, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \text{ with } \emptyset} \text{ (\varepsilon-VAR)} & \frac{}{\hat{I}, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\} \text{ with } \emptyset} \text{ (\varepsilon-RESOURCE)} \\ &\frac{\hat{I}, x : \hat{\tau}_2 \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_3}{\hat{I} \vdash \lambda x : \tau_2. \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_2 \rightarrow_\varepsilon \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \emptyset} \text{ (\varepsilon-ABS)} & \frac{\hat{I} \vdash \hat{e}_1 : \hat{\tau}_2 \rightarrow_\varepsilon \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \hat{I} \vdash \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2}{\hat{I} \vdash \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon} \text{ (\varepsilon-APP)} \\ &\frac{\hat{I} \vdash \hat{e} : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \forall r \in \bar{r} \mid r \in R \quad \pi \in \Pi}{\hat{I} \vdash \hat{e}.\pi : \mathbf{Unit} \text{ with } \{\bar{r}.\pi\}} \text{ (\varepsilon-OPERCALL)} \\ &\frac{\hat{I} \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \varepsilon = \mathbf{effects}(\hat{\tau}) \quad \mathbf{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \quad x : \mathbf{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau}{\hat{I} \vdash \mathbf{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e : \mathbf{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon) \text{ with } \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_1} \text{ (\varepsilon-MODULE)} \end{aligned}$$

$\text{safe}(\tau, \varepsilon)$

$$\frac{}{\text{safe}(\{\bar{r}\}, \varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-RESOURCE)} \quad \frac{}{\text{safe}(\text{Unit}, \varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-UNIT)}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \quad \text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)}{\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)} \text{ (SAFE-ARROW)}$$

$\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$

$$\frac{}{\text{ho-safe}(\{\bar{r}\}, \varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-RESOURCE)} \quad \frac{}{\text{ho-safe}(\text{Unit}, \varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-UNIT)}$$

$$\frac{\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \quad \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)}{\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)} \text{ (HOSAFE-ARROW)}$$

$\hat{\tau} <: \hat{\tau}$

$$\frac{\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \quad \hat{\tau}_2 <: \hat{\tau}'_2 \quad \hat{\tau}'_1 <: \hat{\tau}_1}{\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon} \hat{\tau}_2 <: \hat{\tau}'_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}'_2} \text{ (S-EFFECTS)}$$

## 4 Dynamic Rules

$\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e} \mid \varepsilon$

$$\frac{\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \hat{e}_2 \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-APP1)} \quad \frac{\hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{v}_1 \hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{v}_1 \hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-APP2)} \quad \frac{}{(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}. \hat{e}) \hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x] \hat{e} \mid \emptyset} \text{ (E-APP3)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \rightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon}{\hat{e}. \pi \longrightarrow \hat{e}'. \pi \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-OPERCALL1)} \quad \frac{r \in R \quad \pi \in \Pi}{r. \pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \{r. \pi\}} \text{ (E-OPERCALL2)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon'}{\text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow \text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{e}' \text{ in } e \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-MODULE1)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{import}(\varepsilon) \ x = \hat{v} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \emptyset} \text{ (E-MODULE2)}$$

## 5 Encodings

### 5.1 $\perp$

We can define the bottom type as  $\perp = \{\}$ , because there is no empty-set literal.

### 5.2 `unit`, `Unit`

Define `unit` =  $\lambda x : \{\}.x$ , i.e. the function which takes an empty set of resources and returns it. We shall refer to its type, which is  $\{\} \rightarrow_{\emptyset} \{\}$ , as `Unit`. It has various properties befitting `unit`.

1. `unit` cannot be invoked, as  $\{\}$  is uninhabited.
2. `unit` is a value.
3. The only term with type `Unit` is `unit`.
4.  $\vdash \text{unit} : \text{Unit}$ , by using  $\varepsilon$ -ABS and  $\varepsilon$ -VAR.
5.  $\text{effects}(\text{Unit}) = \text{ho-effects}(\text{Unit}) = \emptyset$
6.  $\text{safe}(\text{Unit}, \varepsilon)$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\text{Unit}, \varepsilon)$

## 6 Proofs

**Theorem 1 (Progress).** *If  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$  then  $\hat{e}_A$  is a value or  $\hat{e}_A \longrightarrow \hat{e}_B \mid \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -RESOURCE,  $\varepsilon$ -UNIT,  $\varepsilon$ -ABS Then  $\hat{e}_A$  is a value.

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -APP Then  $\hat{e}_A = \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2$ . We consider the cases in which  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  are values.

If  $\hat{e}_1$  is not a value then by inductive assumption there is a reduction  $\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2$  reduces by the rule E-APP1, giving  $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \hat{e}_2 \mid \varepsilon$ .

If  $\hat{e}_2$  is not a value then WLOG  $\hat{e}_1$  is a value. By inductive assumption  $\hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2$  reduces by the rule E-APP2, giving  $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}'_2 \mid \varepsilon$ .

If  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  are both values then by canonical forms  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{v}_1 = \lambda x : \tau_2.e$ . Then  $\hat{v}_1 \hat{v}_2$  reduces by the rule E-APP3, giving  $\hat{v}_1 \hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x]\hat{e} \mid \emptyset$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -OPERCALL Then  $\hat{e}_A = \hat{e}_1.\pi$ . We consider whether  $\hat{e}_1$  is a value.

If  $\hat{e}_1$  is not a value then by inductive assumption there is a reduction  $\hat{e}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1 \mid \varepsilon$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1.\pi$  reduces by the rule E-OPERCALL1, giving  $\hat{e}_1.\pi \longrightarrow \hat{e}'_1.\pi \mid \varepsilon$ .

If  $\hat{e}_1$  is a value then  $\hat{e}_1 = r$  by canonical forms. By the assumption that  $r.\pi$  is closed under  $\Gamma$ , we know  $r \in R$  and  $\pi \in \Pi$ . Then  $\hat{e}_1.\pi$  reduces by the rule E-OPERCALL2, giving  $r.\pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \varepsilon$ .

Case:  $\varepsilon$ -MODULE Then  $e_A = \text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e$ . If  $\hat{e}$  is an expression then it can be reduced, so  $\hat{e} \longrightarrow \hat{e}' \mid \varepsilon'$ , and so by E-MODULE1 we get  $\text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow \text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{e}' \text{ in } e \mid \varepsilon'$ . Otherwise  $\hat{e} = \hat{v}$  is a value. Then by E-MODULE2 we get  $\text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{v} \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x]\text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 1 (Substitution).** *If  $\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau}' \vdash e : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\varepsilon$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash v : \hat{\tau}'$  with  $\emptyset$  then  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [v/x]e : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\varepsilon$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\varepsilon' \subseteq \varepsilon$  then  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \implies \text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon')$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) \implies \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon')$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\hat{\tau} = \{\bar{r}\}$  then the result holds immediately. Otherwise  $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2$ . We proceed by simultaneous induction on derivations of  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$ .

$\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$  By definition this means  $\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon_2$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon)$  and  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$ . By inductive assumption,  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon')$  and  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon')$ . Also  $\varepsilon' \subseteq \varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon_2$ . Therefore  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon')$ .

$\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$  By definition this means  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon)$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$ . By inductive assumption,  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon')$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon')$ . Therefore  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon')$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\varepsilon \subseteq \text{effects}(\hat{\tau})$  and  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$  then  $\hat{\tau} <: \text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon)$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau})$  and  $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$  then  $\text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) <: \hat{\tau}$ .*

*Proof.* By simultaneous induction on derivations.

Case: Unit,  $\{\bar{r}\}$  Then  $\text{annot}(\text{erase}(\hat{\tau}), \varepsilon) = \hat{\tau}$ .

Case:  $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon \subseteq \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}), \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$   
 $\text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \varepsilon \cup \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$ .  
 $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) = \text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \wedge \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$ .

Case:  $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon \subseteq \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}), \text{safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$   
 $\text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2) = \text{effects}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \text{ho-effects}(\hat{\tau}_2)$   
 $\text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_1 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon'} \hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon' \wedge \text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}_1, \varepsilon) \wedge \text{safe}(\hat{\tau}_2, \varepsilon)$

---

**Theorem 2 (Preservation).** *If  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \hat{\tau}_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$  and  $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$ , then  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_B : \tau_B$  with  $\varepsilon_B$ , where  $e_B <: e_A$  and  $\varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B \subseteq \varepsilon_A$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_A : \tau_A$  with  $\varepsilon_A$ , and then on  $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$ .

$\varepsilon$ -VAR,  $\varepsilon$ -RESOURCE,  $\varepsilon$ -UNIT,  $\varepsilon$ -ABS Then  $e_A$  cannot be reduced and so the theorem statement vacuously holds.

$\varepsilon$ -APP Then  $e_A = \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2$  and  $\hat{e}_1 : \hat{\tau}_2 \rightarrow_\varepsilon \hat{\tau}_3$  with  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{e}_2 : \hat{\tau}_2$  with  $\varepsilon_2$ . If the reduction rule used was E-APP1 or E-APP2, then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  respectively.

Otherwise the rule used was E-APP3. Then  $(\lambda x : \hat{\tau}_2. \hat{e}) \hat{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\hat{v}_2/x] \hat{e} \mid \emptyset$ . By inversion on the typing rule for  $\lambda x : \hat{\tau}_2. \hat{e}$  we know  $\Gamma, x : \hat{\tau}_2 \vdash \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3$  with  $\varepsilon_3$ . By canonical forms,  $\varepsilon_2 = \emptyset$  because  $\hat{e}_2 = \hat{v}_2$  is a value. Then by the substitution lemma,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [\hat{v}_2/x] \hat{e} : \hat{\tau}_3$  with  $\varepsilon_3$ . By canonical forms,  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \emptyset = \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_B \cup \varepsilon$ .

$\varepsilon$ -OperCall Then  $e_A = e_1. \pi$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\}$  with  $\varepsilon_1$ . If the reduction rule used was E-OPERCALL1 then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\hat{e}_1$ .

Otherwise the reduction rule used was E-OPERCALL2 and  $v_1. \pi \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid \{r. \pi\}$ . By canonical forms,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash v_1 : \text{unit}$  with  $\{r. \pi\}$ . Also,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \text{unit} : \text{Unit}$  with  $\emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_B = \tau_A$ . Also,  $\varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B = \{r. \pi\} = \varepsilon_A$ .

$\varepsilon$ -Module Then  $e_A = \text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{e} \text{ in } e$ . If the reduction rule used was E-MODULECALL1 then the result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\hat{e}$ .

Otherwise the following are true:

1.  $e_A = \text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{v} \text{ in } e$
2.  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash e_A : \text{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_1$
3.  $\text{import}(\varepsilon) x = \hat{v} \text{ in } e \longrightarrow [\hat{v}/x] \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) \mid \emptyset$
4.  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash \hat{v} : \hat{\tau}$  with  $\emptyset$
5.  $\varepsilon = \text{effects}(\hat{\tau})$
6.  $\text{ho-safe}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon)$
7.  $x : \text{erase}(\hat{\tau}) \vdash e : \tau$

Show that  $\hat{\Gamma}, x : \hat{\tau} \vdash \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \text{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$ .

Then by substitution lemma,  $\hat{\Gamma} \vdash [\hat{v}/x] \text{annot}(e, \varepsilon) : \text{annot}(\tau, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$ .