

June 8, 2016

1 Effects

Fix some set of resources R . A resource is some language primitive that has the authority to directly perform I/O operations. Elements of the set R are denoted by r . Π is a fixed set of operations on resources. Its members are denoted π . An effect is a member of the set of pairs $R \times \Pi$. A set of effects is denoted by ε . In this system we cannot dynamically create resources or resource-operations.

Throughout we refer to the notions of effects and captures. A piece of code C has the effect (r, π) if operation π is performed on resource r during execution of C . C captures the effect (r, π) if it has the authority to perform operation π on resource r at some point during its execution.

We use $r.\pi$ as syntactic sugar for the effect (r, π) . For example, *FileIO.append* instead of $(FileIO, append)$.

Types are either resources or structural. Structural types have a set of method declarations. An object of a particular structural type $\{\bar{\sigma}\}$ can have any of the methods defined by σ invoked on it. The structural type \emptyset with no methods is called **Unit**.

We assume there are constructions of the familiar types using the basic structural type \emptyset and method declarations (for example, \mathbb{N} could be made using \emptyset and a **successor** function, Peano-style).

Note the distinction between methods (usually denoted m) and operations (usually denoted π). An operation can only be invoked on a resource; resources can only have operations invoked on them. A method can only be invoked on an object; objects can only have methods invoked on them.

We make a simplifying assumption that every method/lambda takes exactly one argument. Invoking some operation π on a resource returns \emptyset .

2 Static Semantics For Fully-Annotated Programs

In this first system every method in the program is explicitly annotated with its set of effects.

2.1 Grammar

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 e ::= x & \text{expressions} \\
 | r & \\
 | \mathbf{new} \ x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e} & \\
 | e.m(e) & \\
 | e.\pi(e) & \\
 \\
 \tau ::= \{\bar{\sigma}\} \mid \{\bar{r}\} & \text{types} \\
 \\
 \sigma ::= \mathbf{def} \ m(x : \tau) : \tau \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon \ \text{labeled decls.} & \\
 \\
 \Gamma ::= \emptyset & \\
 | \Gamma, \ x : \tau &
 \end{array}$$

Notes:

- Declarations (σ -terms) are annotated by what effects they have.
- d -terms do not appear in programs, except as part of σ -terms.
- All methods (and lambda expressions) take exactly one argument. If a method specifies no argument, then the argument is implicitly of type **Unit**.
- Although $e_1.\pi(e_2)$ is a syntactically valid expression, it is only well-formed under the static semantics if e_1 has a resource-type (as π operations can only be performed on resources).

2.2 Rules

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \ x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \ \mathbf{with} \ \emptyset} \ (\varepsilon\text{-VAR}) \qquad \frac{r \in R}{\Gamma, \ r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\} \ \mathbf{with} \ \emptyset} \ (\varepsilon\text{-RESOURCE})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \ x : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \vdash \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e} \ \mathbf{OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{new} \ x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e} : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \ \mathbf{with} \ \emptyset} \ (\varepsilon\text{-NEWOBJ})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\} \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon_2 \quad \pi \in \Pi}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.\pi(e_2) : \mathbf{Unit} \ \mathbf{with} \ \{\bar{r}.\pi\} \cup \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2} \ (\varepsilon\text{-OPERCALL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon_2 \quad \sigma_i = \mathbf{def} \ m_i(y : \tau_2) : \tau \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.m_i(e_2) : \tau \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon} \ (\varepsilon\text{-METHCALLOBJ})$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash \sigma = e \ \mathbf{OK}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \ x : \tau \vdash e : \tau' \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon \quad \sigma = \mathbf{def} \ m(x : \tau) : \tau' \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma = e \ \mathbf{OK}} \ (\varepsilon\text{-VALIDIMPL}_\sigma)$$

Notes:

- The rules ε -VAR, ε -RESOURCE, and ε -NEWOBJ have in their consequents an expression typed with no effect: merely having an object or resource is not an effect; you must do something with it, like a call a method on it, in order for it to have an effect.
- ε -VALIDIMPL says that the return type and effects of the body of a method must agree with what its signature says.

3 Static Semantics For Partly-Annotated Programs

What happens if we relax the requirement that all methods in an object must be effect-annotated? In the next system we allow objects which have no effect-annotated methods. When an object is annotated we can use the rules from the previous section. When an object has no annotations we use the additional rules introduced here, which give an upper bound on the effects of a program.

3.1 Grammar

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 e ::= x & \text{expressions} \\
 \mid r & \\
 \mid \mathbf{new}_\sigma x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma = e} & \\
 \mid \mathbf{new}_d x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e} & \\
 \mid e.m(e) & \\
 \mid e.\pi(e) & \\
 \\
 \tau ::= \{\bar{\sigma}\} & \text{types} \\
 \mid \{\bar{r}\} & \\
 \mid \{\bar{d}\} & \\
 \mid \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} & \\
 \\
 \sigma ::= d \text{ with } \varepsilon & \text{labeled decls.} \\
 \\
 d ::= \mathbf{def } m(x : \tau) : \tau & \text{unlabeled decls.}
 \end{array}$$

Notes:

- σ denotes a declaration with effect labels. d denotes a declaration without effect labels.
- There are two new expressions: \mathbf{new}_σ for objects whose methods are annotated; \mathbf{new}_d for objects whose methods aren't.
- $\{\bar{\sigma}\}$ is the type of an annotated object. $\{\bar{d}\}$ is the type of an unannotated object.
- $\{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}$ is a special kind of type that doesn't appear in source programs but may be assigned as a consequence of the capture rules. ε is an upper-bound on the possible effects of the object $\{\bar{d}\}$.

3.2 Rules

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{ (T-VAR)} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma, r : \{\bar{r}\} \vdash r : \{\bar{r}\}} \text{ (T-RESOURCE)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \{\bar{r}\} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad m \in M}{\Gamma \vdash r.\phi(e_1) : \mathbf{Unit}} \text{ (T-METHCALL}_r\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{\sigma}\}, \mathbf{def } m(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon \in \{\bar{\sigma}\} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.m(e_2) : \tau_2} \text{ (T-METHCALL}_\sigma\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{d}\}, \mathbf{def } m(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2 \in \{\bar{d}\} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.m(e_2) : \tau_2} \text{ (T-METHCALL}_d\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \sigma_i = e_i \text{ OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{new}_\sigma x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma = e} : \{\bar{\sigma}\}} \text{ (T-NEW}_\sigma\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash d_i = e_i \text{ OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{new}_d x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e} : \{\bar{d}\}} \text{ (T-NEW}_d\text{)}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash d = e \text{ OK}}$$

$$\frac{d = \text{def } m(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash d = e \text{ OK}} \quad (\varepsilon\text{-VALIDIMPL}_d)$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon = \text{effects}(\Gamma') \quad \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \quad \Gamma', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash \overline{d = e} \text{ OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{new}_d x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e} : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \text{ with } \emptyset} \quad (\text{C-NEWOBJ})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2 \quad d_i := \text{def } m_i(y : \tau_2) : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.m_i(e_2) : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \text{effects}(\tau_2) \cup \varepsilon} \quad (\text{C-METHCALL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \text{ with } \text{effects}(\Gamma')} \quad (\text{C-EFFECTINFERENCE})$$

Notes:

- Rules with the judgement form $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ do standard typing judgements on structural objects, without any effect analysis. These rules are needed to apply the $\varepsilon\text{-ValidImpl}_d$ rule.
- The ε judgements from the previous section are to be applied to annotated parts of the program; the C from this section are for unannotated parts.
- In applying C-NEWOBJ the variable Γ is the current context. The variable Γ' is some sub-context. A good choice of sub-context is Γ restricted to the free variables in the method-body being typechecked. This means we only consider the effects used in the method-body, giving a tighter upper bound on the effects.
- To perform effect analysis on an unannotated object $\{\bar{d}\}$ we give it the type $\{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}$ by the rule C-NEWOBJ, where ε is an upper-bound on the possible effects that object can have. If a method is called on that object, C-NEWOBJ concludes the effects to be those captured in ε .

3.3 Effects Function

The **effects** function returns the set of effects in a particular context.

A method m can return a resource r (directly or via some enclosing object). Returning a resource isn't an effect but it means any unannotated program using m also captures r . To account for this, when the **effects** function is operating on a type τ it must analyse the return type of the method declarations in τ . Since the resource might be itself enclosed by an object, we do a recursive analysis.

- $\text{effects}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
- $\text{effects}(\Gamma, x : \tau) = \text{effects}(\Gamma) \cup \text{effects}(\tau)$
- $\text{effects}(\{\bar{r}\}) = \{(r, \pi) \mid r \in \bar{r}, \pi \in \Pi\}$
- $\text{effects}(\{\bar{\sigma}\}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \bar{\sigma}} \text{effects}(\sigma)$
- $\text{effects}(\{\bar{d}\}) = \bigcup_{d \in \bar{d}} \text{effects}(d)$
- $\text{effects}(d \text{ with } \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \cup \text{effects}(d)$
- $\text{effects}(\text{def } m(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2) = \text{effects}(\tau_2)$
- $\text{effects}(\{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}) = \varepsilon$

4 Dynamic Semantics

4.1 Terminology

- If e is an expression, then $[e_1/x_1, \dots, e_n/x_n]e$ is a new expression, the same as e , but with every free occurrence of x_i replaced by e_i .
- \emptyset is the empty set/sequence. The empty type is denoted **Unit**. Its single instance is **unit**.
- A small-step reduction has the form $e \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e' \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'$. Σ and Σ' are the stores before and after; e and e' are the expression to be evaluated before and after; ε is the set of effects during execution of e .
- A store Σ is a mapping from variables to value-type pairs (v, τ) .
- To execute a program e is to perform reduction steps starting from the configuration $e \mid \emptyset$.
- $e_1 \mid \Sigma_1 \longrightarrow_* e_2 \mid \Sigma_2 \mid \varepsilon_2$ if multiple small-step rules can be applied to $e_1 \mid \Sigma_1$, with ε_2 as the set of accumulated effects.
- If $e_1 \mid \Sigma_1 \longrightarrow_* v \mid \Sigma_2 \mid \varepsilon_2$, for some value v then we say that $e_1 \mid \Sigma_1$ terminates.

4.2 Grammar

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|----------------|
| $ \begin{array}{l} e ::= x \\ \quad \quad e.m(e) \\ \quad \quad e.\pi(e) \\ \quad \quad v \end{array} $ | <i>expressions</i> | $ \begin{array}{l} \tau ::= \{\bar{\sigma}\} \\ \quad \quad \{\bar{r}\} \end{array} $ | <i>types</i> |
| $ \begin{array}{l} v ::= r \\ \quad \quad \mathbf{new}_\sigma x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma \equiv e} \\ \quad \quad \mathbf{new}_d x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e} \end{array} $ | <i>values</i> | $ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma ::= \emptyset \\ \quad \quad \Gamma, x : \tau \end{array} $ | <i>context</i> |
| $d ::= \mathbf{def} \ m(x : \tau) : \tau$ <i>unlabeled decls.</i> | | $ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma ::= \emptyset \\ \quad \quad \Sigma, x \mapsto (v, \tau) \end{array} $ | <i>store</i> |
| $\sigma ::= d$ with ε | <i>labeled decls.</i> | | |

4.3 Rules

$$\boxed{e \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e \mid \Sigma \mid \varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{}{x \mid \Sigma, x \mapsto (v, \tau) \longrightarrow v \mid \Sigma, x \mapsto (v, \tau) \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-VAR)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_1 \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'}{e_1.m(e_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_1.m(e_2) \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-METHCALL1)}$$

$$\frac{v_1 = \mathbf{new}_\sigma x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e} \quad e_2 \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_2 \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'}{v_1.m(e_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow v_1.m(e'_2) \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-METHCALL2}_\sigma\text{)}$$

$$\frac{v_1 = \mathbf{new}_d x \Rightarrow \overline{d} = \overline{e} \quad e_2 \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_2 \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'}{v_1.m(e_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow v_1.m(e'_2) \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-METHCALL2}_d\text{)}$$

$$\frac{v_1 = \mathbf{new}_\sigma x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e} \quad \mathbf{def} \ m(y : \tau_1) : \tau_2 \ \mathbf{with} \ \varepsilon' = e' \in \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e}}{v_1.m(v_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e' \mid \Sigma, x \mapsto (v_1, \{\overline{\sigma}\}), y \mapsto (v_2, \tau_2) \mid \emptyset} \text{ (E-METHCALL3}_\sigma\text{)}$$

$$\frac{v_1 = \mathbf{new}_d x \Rightarrow \overline{d} = \overline{e} \quad \mathbf{def} \ m(y : \tau_1) : \tau_2 = e' \in \overline{d} = \overline{e}}{v_1.m(v_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e' \mid \Sigma, x \mapsto (v_1, \{\overline{d}\}), y \mapsto (v_2, \tau_2) \mid \emptyset} \text{ (E-METHCALL3}_d\text{)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_1 \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'}{e_1.\pi(e_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_1.\pi(e_2) \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-OPERCALL1)} \quad \frac{e_2 \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow e'_2 \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'}{r.\pi(e_2) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow r.\pi(e'_2) \mid \Sigma' \mid \varepsilon'} \text{ (E-OPERCALL2)}$$

$$\frac{r \in R \quad \pi \in \Pi}{r.\pi(v) \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbf{unit} \mid \Sigma \mid \{r.\pi\}} \text{ (E-OPERCALL3)}$$

$$\boxed{e \mid \Sigma \longrightarrow_* e \mid \Sigma \mid \varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mid \Sigma_1 \longrightarrow e_2 \mid \Sigma_2 \mid \varepsilon_2 \quad e_2 \mid \Sigma_2 \longrightarrow e_3 \mid \Sigma_3 \mid \varepsilon_3}{e_1 \mid \Sigma_1 \longrightarrow_* e_3 \mid \Sigma_3 \mid \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon_3} \text{ (E-BIGSTEP1)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mid \Sigma_1 \longrightarrow_* e_2 \mid \Sigma_2 \mid \varepsilon_2 \quad e_2 \mid \Sigma_2 \longrightarrow_* e_3 \mid \Sigma_3 \mid \varepsilon_3}{e_1 \mid \Sigma_1 \longrightarrow_* e_3 \mid \Sigma_3 \mid \varepsilon_3} \text{ (E-BIGSTEP2)}$$

5 Proofs

Theorem 5.3. (Type Preservation)

Statement. If $e_A : \tau$ **with** ε and $e_A \mid \varepsilon_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon_B$, then $e_B : \tau$ **with** ε .

Proof. We first induct on possible derivations of $e_A : \tau$ **with** ε , and then on the rule used to reduce $e_A \mid \varepsilon_A$ to $e_B \mid \varepsilon_B$.

Case. C-METHCALL.

Then we know the following:

- 1. $e_A = e_1.m_i(e_2)$
- 2. $e_A : \tau$ **with** $\varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \mathbf{effects}(\tau_2) \cup \varepsilon$
- 3. $e_1 : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}$ **with** ε_1
- 4. $e_2 : \tau_2$ **with** ε_2
- 5. $d_i = \mathbf{def } m_i(y : \tau_2) : \tau$

We do a case analysis on the reduction rules applicable to $e_1.m(e_2)$, for m_i an unannotated method.

Subcase. E-METHCALL3_d Then we also know:

- 6. $e_1 = v_1 = \mathbf{new } x \Rightarrow \bar{d} = e$
- 7. $\mathbf{def } m_1(y : \tau_2) : \tau = e_i \in \bar{d} = e$
- 8. $\Sigma_B = \Sigma_A, x \mapsto (v, \{\bar{d}\}), y \mapsto (v_2, \tau_2)$
- 9. $e_B = e'$
- 10. $e_2 = v_2$, some value.

(P1) We need to figure out the type of e_B and make sure it aligns with the type of e_A . First lets say Γ_B is the context inside the method body $e_i = e_B$, and Γ_A is the context at the method call $e_A = e_1.m_i(e_2)$. To type e_B we'll apply C-EFFECTINFERENCE. To do that we need to choose some $\Gamma'_B \subseteq \Gamma_B$.

(P2) The only rule that can be used to produce (3) is C-NEWOBJ. By rule inversion we have that $\varepsilon = \mathbf{effects}(\Gamma')$, for some $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma_A$. Furthermore $\Gamma', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash \bar{d} = e$ OK. This can be weakened to $\Gamma', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash d_i = e_i$ OK. We'll build Γ'_B out of that context on the left-hand side of the turnstile.

(P3) Let $\Gamma'' = \Gamma' \cap \mathbf{freevars}(e_i)$. Then $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma_B$ because Γ'' only contains variables used in the method body e_i , and by the closure assumption the free variables in e_i are in Γ_B . Let $\Gamma'_B = \Gamma'', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}, y : \tau_2$ **with** ε_2 . x is in Γ_B because x is the 'this' variable that gets added to the context when we invoke the method on the object. y is in Γ_B because y is the parameter passed in during the method call. So $\Gamma'_B \subseteq \Gamma_B$.

(P4) Now we'll show that C-EFFECTINFERENCE can be applied using $\Gamma'_B \subset \Gamma_B$ as our choice of sub-context. We showed $\Gamma', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash d_i = e_i$ OK in (P2). The statement is true for any restriction of Γ' to the variables used in e_i , which is $\Gamma' \cap \mathbf{freevars}(e_i)$, which is how we defined Γ'' . So $\Gamma'', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash d_i = e_i$ OK. We can arbitrarily extend the context left of the turnstile and the statement still holds. So if we add $y : \tau_2$ to the context left of the turnstile then we have $\Gamma'_B \vdash d_i = e_i$ OK. The only judgement with this form is ε -VALIDIMPL_d. By rule inversion $\Gamma'_B \vdash e_i : \tau$, recalling from (5) that $d_i = \mathbf{def } m_1(y : \tau_2) : \tau$.

(P5) From (P3) and (P4) we can apply C-EFFECTINFERENCE to type e_B . Then $e_B : \tau$ **with** $\mathbf{effects}(\Gamma'_B)$. From (3) we can immediately see that e_A and e_B have the same type τ . The effect info of e_A is $\varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \mathbf{effects}(\tau_2) \cup \varepsilon$. The effect info e_B is $\mathbf{effects}(\Gamma'_B)$. What is the relationship between their effect info?

(P6) We show the effect info of e_B is a subset of the effect info of e_A . Note that $\mathbf{effects}(\Gamma'_B)$ is the same as $\mathbf{effects}(\Gamma'') \cup \varepsilon \cup \mathbf{effects}(\tau_2)$. Let $r.\pi \in \mathbf{effects}(\Gamma'_B)$. There are 3 places it could have come from. If $r.\pi \in \varepsilon \cup \mathbf{effects}(\tau_2)$ then we're done since these are in the effect info of e_A . Otherwise if $r.\pi \in \mathbf{effects}(\Gamma'')$ then $r.\pi \in \mathbf{effects}(\Gamma' \cap \mathbf{freevars}(e_i))$. Then $r.\pi \in \mathbf{effects}(\Gamma') = \varepsilon$.

(P7) Let $r.\pi \in \varepsilon_1$. This is the set of effects associated with evaluation of e_1 in the expression $e_1.m_i(e_2)$. From (3), $e_1 = v_1 = \mathbf{new} \ x \Rightarrow \overline{d} = \overline{e}$. The only judgement matching $e_1 : \{\overline{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1$ is C-NEWOBJ. From rule inversion $\varepsilon_1 = \emptyset$, so we're done (vacuously).

(P8) Let $r.\pi \in \varepsilon_2$. This is the set of effects associated with evaluation of e_2 . Since $e_2 = v_2$ for some value, by canonical forms $\varepsilon_2 = \emptyset$.

(P9) From (P6), (P7), and (P8) we've shown that e_A and e_B have the exact same effect info. From (P5) we know they have the same type info. Therefore e_A and e_B have the same type.

□