1 Grammar

$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho & ::= x & primitives \\ & | & r & \\ \\ & \tau_{\rho} ::= \{r\} & primitive types \\ \\ e_{u} ::= \rho & deeply unlabeled progs. \\ & | & e_{u}.m(e_{u}) & e_{u}.\pi \\ \\ d & ::= \operatorname{def} m(y:\tau_{u}):\tau_{u} & e_{u}\text{-prog decls.} \\ \\ & \tau_{u} ::= \{\overline{d}\} & e_{u}\text{-prog types} \\ \\ & | & \tau_{\rho} & deeply labeled progs. \\ \\ & | & l.m(l) & | & l.\pi \\ \\ \sigma & ::= \operatorname{def} m(y:\tau_{l}):\tau_{l} \text{ with } \varepsilon & e_{l}\text{-prog decls.} \\ \\ & \tau_{l} ::= \{\overline{\sigma}\} & e_{l}\text{-prog types} \\ \\ & | & \tau_{\rho} & e_{l}\text{-prog types} \\ \\ e & ::= \rho & progs. \\ \\ & | & e.\pi & | & new_{d} \ x \Rightarrow \overline{d} = e_{u} \\ & | & new_{\sigma} \ x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma} = \overline{e} \\ \\ \hline{\tau} & ::= \tau_{l} & types \\ \end{array}$$

Notes:

- $-e_u$ programs are deeply unlabeled programs: no labels appear in the source code (though label inference may be done by the type system).
- e_l programs are deeply labeled programs: everything in the source code is labeled.
- e programs are the general form of a syntactically-correct program. They may contain a mixture of labeled and unlabeled parts. Any unlabeled parts must be deeply unlabeled, but labeled parts need not be deeply labeled. This means you can have unlabeled parts appearing inside labeled parts, but not vice versa.
- Any e_l or e_u term is also an e term.

2 Static Semantics

$$\frac{}{\varGamma,x:\tau\vdash x:\tau}\ (\rho\text{-Var}) \qquad \qquad \frac{}{\varGamma,r:\{r\}\vdash r:\{r\}}\ (\rho\text{-Resource})$$

 $\varGamma \vdash \rho : \tau \ \mathtt{with} \ \overline{\varepsilon}$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\rho\text{-Var}_{\varepsilon}) \qquad \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\} \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\rho\text{-Resource}_{\varepsilon})$$

 $\Gamma \vdash e_u : \tau_u$

$$\frac{\varGamma, x : \{\overline{d}\} \vdash \overline{d = e_u} \text{ OK}}{\varGamma \vdash \text{ new}_d \ x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e_u} : \{\overline{d}\}} \ (e_u\text{-NEW}) \qquad \qquad \frac{\varGamma \vdash e_u : \{r\}}{\varGamma \vdash e_u . \pi : \text{Unit}} \ (e_u\text{-OperCall})$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_{u,1} : \{\overline{d}\} \qquad \text{def } m(y : \tau_{u,2}) : \tau_{u,3} \in \{\overline{d}\} \qquad \varGamma \vdash e_{u,2} : \tau_{u,2}}{\varGamma \vdash e_{u,1}.m(e_{u,2}) : \tau_{u,3}} \ \left(e_u \text{-METHCALL}\right)$$

 $\Gamma \vdash d = e_u$ OK

$$\frac{d = \text{def } m(y:\tau_{u,2}):\tau_{u,3} \quad \varGamma, y:\tau_{u,2} \vdash e_u:\tau_{u,3}}{\varGamma \vdash d = e_u \text{ OK}} \ \left(e_u\text{-VALIDIMPL}\right)$$

 $\varGamma \vdash e : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon$

$$\frac{\varGamma,\ x:\{\bar{\sigma}\}\vdash \overline{\sigma}=\overline{e}\ \mathtt{OK}}{\varGamma\vdash \mathtt{new}_{\sigma}\ x\Rightarrow \overline{\sigma}=\overline{e}:\{\bar{\sigma}\}\ \mathtt{with}\ \varnothing}\ \left(e\text{-NewObJ}\right) \qquad \frac{\varGamma\vdash e_1:\{r\}\ \mathtt{with}\ \varepsilon_1}{\varGamma\vdash e_1.\pi:\mathtt{Unit}\ \mathtt{with}\ \{r.\pi\}\cup\varepsilon_1}\ \left(e\text{-OperCall}\right)$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \varGamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2 \quad \sigma = \text{def } m(y : \tau_2) : \tau_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_3 \in \overline{\sigma = e}}{\varGamma \vdash e_1.m_i(e_2) : \tau_3 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon_3} \quad (e\text{-METHCALL})$$

 $\varGamma \vdash \sigma = e \text{ OK}$

$$\frac{\varGamma,\ y:\tau_2\vdash e:\tau_3\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_3\quad \sigma=\text{def}\ m(y:\tau_2):\tau_3\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_3}{\varGamma\vdash\sigma=e\ \text{OK}}\ \left(\varepsilon\text{-VALIDIMPL}\right)$$

 $\varGamma \vdash \tau \mathrel{<:} \tau$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_3}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_3} \quad (\text{ST-Reflexive})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2} \quad (\text{ST-Subsumption})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \quad \varepsilon_1 \subseteq \varepsilon_2}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 <: \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2} \quad (\text{ST-EffectTypes})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \{\bar{\sigma}\}_1 \text{ is a permutation of } \{\bar{\sigma}\}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{\bar{\sigma}\}_1 <: \{\bar{\sigma}\}_2} \quad (\text{ST-Permutation}_{\sigma})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \{\bar{d}\}_1 \text{ is a permutation of } \{\bar{d}\}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{\bar{d}\}_1 <: \{\bar{d}\}_2} \quad (\text{ST-Permutation}_{d})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \{\bar{d}\}_1 <: \{\bar{d}\}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{\sigma_i \stackrel{i \in 1...n}{}\} <: \{\sigma_j \stackrel{j \in 1...n}{}\}} \quad (\text{ST-Depth}_{\sigma})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \{d_i \stackrel{i \in 1...n}{}\} <: \{d_j \stackrel{j \in 1...n}{}\}} \quad (\text{ST-Depth}_{d})$$

$$\frac{n, k \geq 0}{\Gamma \vdash \{\sigma_i \stackrel{i \in 1...n+k}{}\} <: \{\sigma_i \stackrel{i \in 1...n}{}\}} \quad (\text{ST-Width}_{\sigma})$$

 $\Gamma \vdash \sigma < :: \sigma$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_i &= \text{def } m_A(y:\tau_1): \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_A \qquad \sigma_j = \text{def } m_B(y:\tau_1'): \tau_2' \text{ with } \varepsilon_B \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \varepsilon_A \subseteq \varepsilon_B}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma_i <:: \sigma_j} \end{split} \tag{ST-METHOD}_\sigma)$$

 $\Gamma \vdash d < :: d$

$$d_i = \operatorname{def} \ m_A(y:\tau_1):\tau_2 \qquad d_j = \operatorname{def} \ m_B(y:\tau_1'):\tau_2'$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Gamma \vdash d_i <:: d_j} \qquad \text{(ST-METHOD}_d)$$

Notes:

- A good choice of Γ' for e_u -NEW_{ε} is the intersection of Γ with the free variables in the object.
- By convention we use ε_c to denote the output of the effects function.

3 Definition: effects Function

The effects function returns the set of effects captured in a particular context.

 $\begin{array}{l} -\text{ effects}(\varnothing)=\varnothing\\ -\text{ effects}(\varGamma,x:\tau)=\text{ effects}(\varGamma)\cup\text{ effects}(\tau)\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{r}\})=\{(r,\pi)\mid r\in\bar{r},\pi\in\varPi\}\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{\sigma}\})=\bigcup_{\sigma\in\bar{\sigma}}\text{ effects}(\sigma)\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{d}\})=\bigcup_{d\in\bar{d}}\text{ effects}(d)\\ -\text{ effects}(d\text{ with }\varepsilon)=\varepsilon\cup\text{ effects}(d)\\ -\text{ effects}(\text{def m}(x:\tau_1):\tau_2)=\text{ effects}(\tau_2) \end{array}$

- effects $(\{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon_c\}) = \varepsilon_c$

Notes:

1. The function is monotonic: if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$, then $\mathsf{effects}(\Gamma_1) \subseteq \mathsf{effects}(\Gamma_2)$.

4 Dynamic Semantics

$$\frac{e_u \longrightarrow_* e_u \mid \varepsilon}{e_u \longrightarrow_* e_u \mid \varnothing} \text{ (E-MULTISTEP1)} \qquad \frac{e_u \longrightarrow e'_u \mid \varepsilon}{e_u \longrightarrow_* e'_u \mid \varepsilon} \text{ (E-MULTISTEP2)}$$

$$\frac{e_u \longrightarrow_* e'_u \mid \varepsilon_1 \quad e' \longrightarrow_* e'' \mid \varepsilon_2}{e_u \longrightarrow_* e''_u \mid \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2} \text{ (E-MULTISTEP3)}$$

Notes:

- The runtime only operates on (deeply) unlabeled expressions. You may think of a compiler as stripping all the effect labels from a program before execution.

5 Lemma (Canonical Forms)

TODO

6 Definition (substitution)

TODO

7 Lemma (Substitution)

Lemma. Suppose the following is true:

```
1. \Gamma, z : \tau' \vdash e : \tau with \varepsilon
2. \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau' with \varepsilon'
```

Then $\Gamma \vdash [e'/z]e : \tau$ with ε .

Proof. TODO (Should be same as the proof in previous grammar, just need to convert everything to new grammar)

8 Definition (label)

A program may be converted into a fully-labeled program. This is a function from e-terms to e_l -terms. It is always defined relative to some Γ , which is usually clear from context. The process is well-defined on e if $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ with ε . Then label is defined below.

```
1. label(\rho) = \rho
2. label(e_1.\pi) = label(e_1).\pi
3. label(e_1.m(e_2)) = label(e_1).m(label(e_2))
4. label(new_d \ x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e_u}) = new_\sigma \ x \Rightarrow \underline{label - decl(d = e_u)}
5. label(new_\sigma \ x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma = e}) = new_\sigma \ x \Rightarrow \sigma = label(e)
```

The helper function label-decl works by labeling each declaration with what it captures in the context Γ . We abbreviate this as effects($\Gamma \cap \text{freevars}(e)$). The helper is defined below.

```
5. label-decl(d = u) = d with effects(\Gamma \cap freevars(e)) = label(u)
```

Notes:

- The image of $label(e_u)$ is an e_l -term (proof by induction on definition).
- $-e_u$ is a value \iff label (e_u) is a value.
- We can define $\varnothing \cap \mathtt{freevars}(e)$ as \varnothing , and $(\Gamma, x : \tau) \cap \mathtt{freevars}(e)$ as $(\Gamma \cap \mathtt{freevars}(e)) \cup (\{x\} \cap \mathtt{freevars}(e))$.

9 Definition (unlabel)

The inverse of label. TODO

10 Theorem (label and sub Commute)

TODO

11 Theorem (Soundness)

Theorem. Suppose $\Gamma \vdash e_A : \tau_A$ and $e_A \longrightarrow e_B \mid \varepsilon$. The following are true:

```
 \begin{split} &1. \  \, \Gamma \vdash e_B : \tau_B \\ &2. \  \, \tau_B <: \tau_A \\ &3. \  \, \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{label}(e_A) : \hat{\tau}_A \mathtt{ with } \varepsilon_A \\ &4. \  \, \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{label}(e_B) : \hat{\tau}_B \mathtt{ with } \varepsilon_B \\ &5. \  \, \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon_B = \varepsilon_A \end{split}
```

Proof.