1 Effects

Fix some set of resources R. A resource is some language primitive that has the authority to directly perform I/O operations. Elements of the set R are denoted by r. Π is a fixed set of operations on resources. Its members are denoted π . An effect is a member of the set of pairs $R \times \Pi$. A set of effects is denoted by ε . In this system we cannot dynamically create resources or resource-operations.

Throughout we refer to the notions of effects and captures. A piece of code C has the effect (r, π) if operation π is performed on resource r during execution of C. C captures the effect (r, π) if it has the authority to perform operation π on resource r at some point during its execution.

We use $r.\pi$ as syntactic sugar for the effect (r,π) . For example, FileIO.append instead of (FileIO, append).

Types are either resources or structural. Structural types have a set of method declarations. An object of a particular structural type $\{\bar{\sigma}\}$ can have any of the methods defined by σ invoked on it. The structural type \varnothing with no methods is called Unit.

We assume there are constructions of the familiar types using the basic structural type \varnothing and method declarations (for example, \mathbb{N} could be made using \varnothing and a successor function, Peano-style).

Note the distinction between methods (usually denoted m) and operations (usually denoted μ). An operation can only be invoked on a resource. Resources can only have operations invoked on them. A method can only be invoked on an object. Objects can only have methods invoked on them.

We make a simplifying assumption that every method/lambda takes exactly one argument. Invoking some operation π on a resource returns \varnothing .

2 Fully-Annotated Programs

In this first system every method in the program is explicitly annotated with its set of effects.

2.1 Grammar

$$\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= x & expressions \\ & r & \\ & | & \operatorname{new} x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma = e} \\ & | & e.m(e) \\ & | & e.\pi(e) \\ & | & | & \operatorname{tot} x = e \text{ in } e \\ & | & \lambda x : \tau.e \end{array}$$

$$\tau & ::= \{\bar{\sigma}\} \mid \{\bar{r}\} & types \\ \sigma & ::= d \text{ with } \varepsilon & labeled \ decls. \\ d & ::= \operatorname{def} m(x : \tau) : \tau \ unlabeled \ decls. \\ \Gamma & ::= \varnothing & | & \Gamma, \ x : \tau \end{array}$$

Notes:

- Declarations (σ -terms) are annotated by what effects they have.
- d-terms do not appear in programs, except as part of σ -terms.
- All methods (and lambda expressions) take exactly one argument. If a method specifies no argument, then
 the argument is implicitly of type. Unit.
- Although $e_1.\pi(e_2)$ is a syntactically valid expression, it is only well-formed if e_1 is a resource (so e_1 is only a resource in well-typed programs).

2.2 Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash e : au$$
 with $arepsilon$

$$\overline{\Gamma,\ x:\tau\vdash x:\tau\ \text{with}\ \varnothing}\ \left(\varepsilon\text{-VAR}\right) \qquad \overline{\Gamma,\ r:\{r\}\vdash r:\{r\}\ \text{with}\ \varnothing}\ \left(\varepsilon\text{-Resource}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma,\ x:\{\bar{\sigma}\}\vdash \overline{\sigma=e}\ \text{OK}}{\Gamma\vdash \text{new}\ x\Rightarrow \overline{\sigma=e}:\{\bar{\sigma}\}\ \text{with}\ \varnothing}\ \left(\varepsilon\text{-NeWOBJ}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash e_1:\{\bar{r}\}\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_1\quad \Gamma\vdash e_2:\tau_2\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_2\quad \pi\in \varPi}{\Gamma\vdash e_1.\pi(e_2):\varnothing\ \text{with}\ \{\bar{r},m\}\cup\varepsilon_1\cup\varepsilon_2}\ \left(\varepsilon\text{-OPERCALL}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash e_1:\{\bar{\sigma}\}\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_1\quad \Gamma\vdash e_2:\tau_2\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_2\quad \sigma_i=\text{def}\ m_i(y:\tau_2):\tau\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon}{\Gamma\vdash e_1.m_i(e_2):\tau\ \text{with}\ \varepsilon_1\cup\varepsilon_2\cup\varepsilon}\ \left(\varepsilon\text{-METHCALLOBJ}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash \sigma=e\ \text{OK}}{\Gamma\vdash \sigma=e\ \text{OK}}$$

Notes:

- Every expression in the program must be explicitly annotated; either as σ -terms or by what they capture.
- The rules ε -VAR, ε -RESOURCE, and ε -NEWOBJ have in their consequents an expression typed with no effect: merely having an object or resource is not an effect; you must do something with it, like a call a method on it, in order for it to be an effect.
- $-\varepsilon$ -ValidImpl says that the return type and effects of the body of a method must agree with what its signature says.
- In ε -METHCALLRESOURCE, we may only call a method m on a resource r if m is a predefined operation in the set M. Invoking m returns the resource r you called it upon (which has potentially different state afterwards).

3 Partially-Annotated Programs

In this second system methods may either be fully labeled with their effects or have no labels. When they have no labels a conservative effect inference is performed using rules which provide an upper-bound (not necessarily tight) on the effects of the code when executed.

3.1 Grammar

```
e ::= x
                                                      expressions
           \operatorname{new}_{\sigma} x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma = e}
           \mathtt{new}_d \ x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e}
           e.m(e)
           e.\pi(e)
           \mathtt{let}\ x = e\ \mathtt{in}\ e
            \lambda x : \tau . e
\tau ::= \{\bar{\sigma}\}
                                                                    types
            \{\bar{r}\}
            \{\bar{d}\}
            \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}
\sigma ::= d \text{ with } \varepsilon
                                                   labeled decls.
d := def \ m(x : \tau) : \tau \ unlabeled \ decls.
```

Notes:

- $-\sigma$ denotes a declaration with effect labels. d denotes a declaration without effect labels.
- There are two new expressions: \mathbf{new}_{σ} for objects whose declarations are annotated; \mathbf{new}_{d} for objects whose declarations aren't.
- $\{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}$ is a special kind of type that doesn't appear in the source program, but may be assigned as a consequence of the capture rules.

3.2 Rules

In addition to the rules from the previous system, the partially-annotated system has the following rules.

```
\Gamma \vdash e : \tau
```

$$\overline{\Gamma,\ x:\tau\vdash x:\tau}\ (\text{T-Var}) \qquad \overline{\Gamma,\ r:\{\bar{r}\}\vdash r:\{\bar{r}\}}\ (\text{T-Resource})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash r:\{\bar{r}\}\ \Gamma\vdash e:\tau\quad m\in M}{\Gamma\vdash r.\phi(e_1):\varnothing}\ (\text{T-MethCall}_r)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash e_1:\{\bar{\sigma}\},\ \text{def }m(x:\tau_1):\tau_2\ \text{with }\varepsilon\in\{\bar{\sigma}\}\ \Gamma\vdash e_2:\tau_1}{\Gamma\vdash e_1.m(e_2):\tau_2}\ (\text{T-MethCall}_\sigma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash e_1:\{\bar{d}\},\ \text{def }m(x:\tau_1):\tau_2\in\{\bar{d}\}\ \Gamma\vdash e_2:\tau_1}{\Gamma\vdash e_1.m(e_2):\tau_2}\ (\text{T-MethCall}_d)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash \sigma_i=e_i\ \text{OK}}{\Gamma\vdash \text{new}_\sigma\ x\Rightarrow \overline{\sigma}=\overline{e}:\{\bar{\sigma}\}}\ (\text{T-New}_\sigma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash d_i=e_i\ \text{OK}}{\Gamma\vdash \text{new}_d\ x\Rightarrow \overline{d}=\overline{e}:\{\bar{d}\}}\ (\text{T-New}_d)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash e_1:\tau_1\ \Gamma,x:\tau_1\vdash e_2:\tau_2}{\Gamma\vdash \text{let}\ x=e_1\ \text{in}\ e_2:\tau_2}\ (\text{T-Let})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma,x:\tau_1\vdash e:\tau_2}{\Gamma\vdash \lambda x:\tau_1.e:\tau_1\to\tau_2}\ (\text{T-}\lambda)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash f:\tau_1\to\tau_2\ \Gamma\vdash x:\tau_1}{\Gamma\vdash f(x):\tau_2}\ (\text{T-Apply}\lambda)$$

$$\frac{d=\text{def}\ m(x:\tau_1):\tau_2\ \Gamma\vdash e:\tau_2}{\Gamma\vdash d=e\ \text{OK}}\ (\varepsilon\text{-ValidImpl}_d)$$

arGamma dash e : au with arepsilon

 $\Gamma \vdash d = e \text{ OK}$

$$\frac{\varepsilon = effects(\Gamma') \quad \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \quad \Gamma', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash \overline{d = e} \text{ OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ new}_d \ x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e} : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \text{ with } \varnothing} \ (\text{C-NewObJ})$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \varGamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2 \quad d_i := \text{ def } m_i(y : \tau_2) : \tau}{\varGamma \vdash e_1.m_i(e_2) : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup effects(\tau_2) \cup \varepsilon} \text{ (C-METHCALL)}$$

Notes:

- The ε judgements are to be applied to annotated parts of the program; the C rules for unannotated parts.
- The rules ε -VAR, ε -RESOURCE, and ε -NEWOBJ have in their antecedents an expression typed with no effect. Merely having an object or resource is not an effect; you must do something with it, like a call a method on it, in order for your program to have effects.
- The T judgements before standard type checking, but they operate on annotated terms. They are needed to apply the ε -VALIDIMPL $_d$) rule.
- In applying C-NewObj the variable Γ is the current context. The variable Γ' is some sub-context. A good choice of sub-context is Γ restricted to the free variables in the method-body being typechecked. This means we only consider the effects used in the method-body and gives a better approximation of its effects.

– When an unannotated d-declaration is encountered it is first assigned a γ -type by C-NEWOBJ. This annotates it as capturing a certain set of effects. C-METHCALL can then conclude its effects to be what it captures.

3.3 Effects Function

The effects function returns the set of effects in a particular typing context.

A method m can return a resource r (or an object that returns r, and so on). Returning a resource isn't an effect but it means any unannotated program using m also captures r. To account for this, when the effects function is operating on a type τ it must analyse the return type of the method declarations in τ .

```
\begin{array}{l} -\text{ effects}(\varnothing)=\varnothing\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{r}\})=\{(r,m)\mid r\in\bar{r}, m\in M\}\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{\sigma}\})=\bigcup_{\sigma\in\bar{\sigma}}\text{ effects}(\sigma)\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{d}\})=\bigcup_{d\in\bar{d}}\text{ effects}(d)\\ -\text{ effects}(\{\bar{d}\text{ captures }\varepsilon_1\}\text{ with }\varepsilon_2)=\varepsilon_1\cup\varepsilon_2\\ -\text{ effects}(d\text{ with }\varepsilon)=\varepsilon\cup\text{ effects}(d)\\ -\text{ effects}(\text{def m}(x:\tau_1)\ \tau_2)=\text{ effects}(\tau_2) \end{array}
```