# A/B Testing

#### CMSC320

In this exercise you will experiment with the application of statistical inference in A/B testing. You are a Data Scientist at jsFrameworksRUs and you are tasked with conducting an experiment to measure the effect of a webpage redesign on click rate for a link of interest. You decide to use hypothesis testing to analyze the data you gather from the experiment.

## Part 1: Compare to known click rate ( $p_A = 0.5$ )

In the first case, you assume the click rate for the original version of the page (version A) is  $p_A = .5$ . The experiment you carry out is pretty simple: show the webpage to n = 50 subjects and record whether they click on the link of interest or not. You will use this experiment to estimate your parameter of interest:  $p_B$ , the click rate for the new page design (version B).

When you carry out your experiment, you record that s = 30 subjects clicked on the link of interest.

Based on our discussion in class, you treat this as n=50 draws from a Bernoulli(.5) random variable, and use the sample mean  $\overline{x}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i=\frac{30}{50}=0.6$  as your estimate  $\hat{p}_B$ .

You remember that the hypothesis testing framework is setup in a way where you use your experiment to *reject* the hypothesis that the new design *does not* increase click rate. Therefore, you want to test the (null) hypothesis  $p_B \le p_A = 0.5$  and *reject* it if  $p(\overline{X} > \hat{p}_B) \le \alpha$  under this hypothesis. Remember,  $\alpha$  is the rejection level, and we will use  $\alpha = 0.05$  here.

To compute  $p(\overline{X} > \hat{p}_B)$  under the null hypothesis you will use the normal approximation given by the Central Limit Theorem (CLT).

(a) Derive expressions for  $E\overline{X}$  and  $Var(\overline{X})$  under the null hypothesis in terms of  $p_A$ . You will need to use the properties of expectations and variances described below. Here, I give you the derivation for  $E\overline{X}$ , you need to do the same for  $Var(\overline{X})$ .

$$E\overline{X} = E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right] \tag{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E} X_i \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}(np_A) \tag{3}$$

$$= p_A \tag{4}$$

- (b) Based on your derivation, compute values for  $E\overline{X}$  and  $Var(\overline{X})$  based on  $p_A=0.5$  and n=50. Use R to do this.
- (c) Using the result above, you can now use the CLT by approximating the distribution of  $\overline{X}$  as  $N(\mathrm{E}\overline{X},\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\overline{X})})$ . Based on this approximation, compute  $p(\overline{X}>\hat{p}_B)$ . Use the R function pnorm to compute this.

- (d) Should you reject the null hypothesis  $p_B \leq p_A$ ? Why?
- (e) What if you had observed the same  $\hat{p}_B = 0.6$  but with n = 100 samples. Should you reject the null hypothesis in this case? Why?
- (f) What is the *smallest* value  $\hat{p}_B$  you should reject the null hypothesis with n = 100. Use the qnorm function for this. Denote this *smallest* value as  $q_B$ .
- (g) Based on (f), the smallest detectable improvement for  $p_A = 0.5$  with n = 100 is then  $q_B p_A$ . What is the smallest detectable improvement in your experiment?

## Part 2: Compare to known click rate ( $p_A = 0.75$ )

In this second case, you also assume the click rate for the original version is known, but is  $p_A = 0.75$ . The data recorded for the experiment is the same. You showed the new design to n = 50 subjects and recorded that s = 30 clicked on the link of interest.

You want to test the hypothesis  $p_B \le 0.75$  and reject it if  $p(\overline{X} > \hat{p}_B) < 0.05$  under this hypothesis. Note the probability in this case is different since  $p_A = 0.75$ .

- (a) What are the values of  $E\overline{X}$  and  $Var(\overline{X})$  under the null hypothesis in this case.
- (b) Based on the CLT approximation, compute  $p(\overline{X}\hat{p}_B)$  under the null hypothesis.
- (c) Should you reject the null hypothesis  $p_B \le 0.75$ ? Why?
- (d) What if you had observed the same  $\hat{p}_B = 0.6$  but with n = 100 samples. Should you reject the null hypothesis in this case? Why?
- (e) What is the *smallest* value  $\hat{p}_B$  you should reject the null hypothesis with n=100. Use the qnorm function for this. Denote this *smallest* value as  $q_B$ .
- (f) Based on (e), the smallest detectable improvement for  $p_A = 0.75$  with n = 100 is then  $q_B p_A$ . What is the smallest detectable improvement in your experiment?

#### Part 3

Consider your answers for parts (1g) and (2f). Is the smallest *detectable* improvement in Question (1g) larger or smaller than in Question (2f)? Explain why this makes sense mathematically.

## Part 4: Comparing to estimated click rate $p_A$ .

In this more realistic case you estimate click rates for both page designs in your experiment. The experiment you carry out is as follows: when a customer visits the site, they are randomly (and independently from other customers) shown design A or B, and you record if the click on the link of interest or not. You did this for n=100 customers and recorded the following data:

design	number shown	number clicked
A	$n_A = 55$	$s_A = 35$
В	$n_B = 45$	$s_B = 35$

The null hypothesis we want to test in this case is that  $p_B - p_A \le 0$ . That is, that the new design *does not* improve the click rate. How can we use what we know about the CLT in this case?

What we will do is treat estimates using sample means  $\hat{p_A} = \overline{X}_A$  and  $\hat{p}_B = \overline{X}_B$  as random variables and

define a new random variable  $Y=\overline{X}_B-\overline{X}_A$  corresponding to the *difference in click rates*  $p_B-p_A$ . With that, we derive EY and Var(Y) under the null hypothesis that  $p_B-p_A=0$  (there is a technical reason why this assumption and the assumption that  $p_B-p_A\leq 0$  are equivalent but we will not discuss it).

(a) Derive expressions for EY and Var(Y) under the null hypothesis in terms of  $p_A = p_B = p$ . You will need to use the properties of expectations and variances described below. Here, I give you the derivation for EY, you need to do the same for Var(Y).

$$EY = E\left[\overline{X}_B - \overline{X}_A\right] \tag{5}$$

$$= E\overline{X}_B - E\overline{X}_A \tag{6}$$

$$= p_B - p_A \tag{7}$$

$$= 0 (8)$$

- (b) It looks like we will need an estimate of  $p_A = p_B = p$  for our CLT approximation. Luckily, under the null hypothesis all n = 100 observations from this experiment can be treated as independent identically distributed (iid) draws from a  $\operatorname{Bernoulli}(p)$  distribution. Based on this observation, what would be your estimate of  $p_A = p_B = p$ ?
- (c) Now that you have an estimate of p, compute a value for Var(Y).
- (d) What is your estimate  $\hat{y}$  of  $p_B p_A$  based on the data your recorded for this experiment?

Now, we can reject the null hypothesis of no improvement if  $p(Y > \hat{y}) \le \alpha$  under the null hypothesis.

- (e) Using the CLT approximation, what is  $p(Y > \hat{y})$
- (f) Can you reject the null hypothesis of no improvement in this case? Why? Remember, we are using  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### Bonus: Smallest detectable improvement for estimated click rates

We could compute smallest detectable improvements in parts 1 and 2 above because we assumed  $p_A$  was known. For part 4, we don't know  $p_A$  and instead estimate it, so we cannot compute a smallest detectable improvement before the experiment is run because we don't know  $p_B = p_A = p$ . We can however, compute what the smallest detectable difference *would be* for different values of p.

(a) Make a line plot, with p in the x-axis and the smallest detectable difference as a function of p in the y-axis. You should assume  $n_A = 55$  and  $n_B = 45$  as above. Again, use the qnorm function for this.

#### **Expectation and variance properties**

### Properties of expectation

- (i) E(aX) = aEX for constant a and random variable X
- (ii) E(X + Y) = EX + EY for random variables X and Y

#### Properties of variance

- (i)  $Var(aX) = a^2 Var(X)$  for constant a and random variable X
- (ii) Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) for independent random variables X and Y

## **Submission**

Prepare an Rmarkdown file with your derivations and answer, including code you used to get your answers. Knit to PDF (or save HTML to PDF) and submit to ELMS.