

- You know the drill now: Find students around you to form a **small group**; use **all resources** to help to solve the problems; **discuss** your idea with other group member and **write down** your own solutions; raise your hand and pull the **course staffs** to help; **submit** your writeup through Gradescope in *24 hours*.

Our topic for this working session is *language transformation*.

Automatic languages are closed under various transformations. We have seen several examples in class and earlier work sessions: Let L and L' be an automatic language. Then every language in the following list is an automatic language as well.

- $\text{union}(L, L') := \{w \in \Sigma^* : w \in L \cup L'\}$
- $\text{concatenation}(L, L') := \{w \in \Sigma^* : \text{there are } x \in L \text{ and } y \in L' \text{ such that } w = xy\}$
- $\text{star}(L) := \{w \in \Sigma^* : w = x_1 \cdots x_k, \text{ where every } x_i \in L\}$
- $\text{complement}(L) := \{w \in \Sigma^* : w \notin L\}$
- $\text{intersection}(L, L') := \{w \in \Sigma^* : w \in L \cap L'\}$
- $\text{reverse}(L) := \{\text{rev}(w) \in \Sigma^* : w \in L\}$

Today we will work on our techniques in modifying existing DFAs/NFAs for language L so that the resulting machine recognizes certain *transformations* of L . The key is to imagine the process of machine M accepting a word w as a walk from starting state s to accepting state t (which without loss of generality we can assume there is only one, from worksheet last week), such that the labels on the edges in the walk matches the word w .

Now under a given language transformation, the word w is modified to some other words w' . If we can modify the edges and labels of M into new machine M' , such that there is a walk from s' to t' in M' matching w' if and only if M accepts w , then we are done. *We emphasize that it has to be "if and only if"!* That is, if there were no walks from s to t in M matching w , in the new machine M' we shouldn't have a walk from s' to t' matching w' . Make sure to double-check.

Example. Let L be an automatic language. Prove that the following language is also automatic:

- $\text{supersequence}(L) := \{y \in \Sigma^* : \text{some } x \in L \text{ is a subsequence of } y\}$

Solution: Let $M = (Q, s, A, \Sigma, \delta)$ be a DFA whose language is L . We modify the DFA M into an NFA N as follows:

- Add a self-loop to every state q in Q , with label ϵ .

We argue that N accepts y if and only if M accepts some subsequence $x \in L$. Without loss of generality assume both M and N have a single starting state s and accepting state t .

- If there is a walk W' from s to t in N that matches y , consider the subwalk \hat{W} of W' that does not use any self-loops and goes from s to t . All the edges used by \hat{W} appear in M , and thus the label on \hat{W} (denoted as x) must be a word in L and a subsequence of y .
- Now for the reverse, If there is a walk W from s to t in M that matches some $x \in L$ that is a subsequence of y . We can create a walk \hat{W}' in N that matches y , by making use of self-loops to match any character in y that does not appear in x . Thus we can go from s to t via \hat{W}' that matches y , so N accepts y .

Let L be an arbitrary automatic language. Prove that the following languages are also automatic.

1. $\text{prefix}(L) := \{x \in \Sigma^* : xy \in L \text{ for some } y \in \Sigma^*\}$
2. $\text{cycle}(L) := \{yx : xy \in L \text{ for some } x, y \in \Sigma^*\}$
[Hint: How do we remember the state we started from right before reading y ? Remember, adding constant memory to DFA/NFA does not change its power.]
3. $\text{firsthalf}(L) := \{x \in \Sigma^* : xy \in L \text{ for some } y \in \Sigma^* \text{ where } |x| = |y|\}$

To think about later: (No submissions needed)

4. Let N be a given NFA $(Q, S, A, \Sigma, \delta)$. The language accepted by N is defined as

$$L(N) := \{w \in \Sigma^* : \delta^*(S, w) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Prove that the following language associated to a given NFA N is also automatic.

$$L^\subseteq(N) := \{w \in \Sigma^* : \delta^*(S, w) \subseteq A\}$$

(This construction is particularly useful when you try to design NFAs but really hope to set the accepting condition to be “all fingers on double-circles”.)

5. Let L and L' be two arbitrary automatic languages. Prove that the following language is also automatic. $L \sqcap L' := \{x \sqcap y : x \in L \text{ and } y \in L' \text{ and } |x| = |y|\}$, where $x \sqcap y$ denotes the bitwise AND. (For example, $0011 \sqcap 0101 = 0001$.)

Conceptual question: Recall the complement of an automatic language still automatic. Can you repeat this argument for languages recognized by NFAs? Are languages recognized by NFAs closed under complement?