

# VCRank: A Data-driven Ranking of Venture Capital Firms

Siddharth Reddy  
Cornell Venture Capital

SID.REDDY@CORNELLVC.COM

## Abstract

...

## 1. Motivation

Our motivation for this project is three-fold:

- Produce a marketing tool that will put CVC “on the map”
- Provide useful recommendations and comparisons to New Atlantic Ventures
- Generate novel visualizations of venture capital investment activity

## 2. Problem Setup

**Data:** As part of this project we plan to use several sources of venture capital investment data: Crunchbase, AngelList, Mattermark, Seed DB, Midas, NVCA, and Find The Best.

**Objects:** Venture capital firms (composed of individual investors), start-up companies

**Observed Links:** Investment rounds, exits (including acquisitions)

## 3. Problem Statement

We aim to rank venture capital firms by the following general criteria:

- Ability to pick successful start-up companies to invest in
- Ability to help portfolio companies grow
- Ability to attract other VC firms as co-investors
- Ability to attract and retain successful individual investors

*This work is done for a Cornell Venture Capital project.  
NMI5000 Spring 2014*

## 4. General Approach

We plan on using several approaches to rank VCs:

**Investor Rank:** Here, we model co-investments as a random walk on a graph with VC firms as vertices. We draw edges between VCs when they invest in the same start-up company. Edge probability is measured as  $e_{ij} = \frac{\text{\# times VC } i \text{ co-invests with VC } j}{\text{\# times VC co-invests with other VCs}}$ . The stationary probability given by the Pagerank algorithm gives us our ranking. This approach was inspired by a TechCrunch article describing a similar method<sup>1</sup>. We take our inputs from the Crunchbase data set.

**EarlyBird Rank:** Here, we compare VC firms’ ability to pick successful start-up companies by comparing *when* any two firms invest in a company. Presumably, the VC firm that invests earlier than another firm (in the same start-up company) is better able to predict companies’ ability to raise funds (which we use as a proxy for “success”). We take our inputs from the Crunchbase data set.

**Growth Rank:** Here, we measure how well a VC firm helps start-up companies grow by calculating the following metric for all (VC, Startup) pairs:  $M1 = \frac{\text{Total Funding Raised}}{\text{Initial Investment Amount}}$ . We take our inputs from the Crunchbase data set.

**People Rank:** Here, we measure how well VC firms are able to attract and retain successful individual investors. First, we calculate the following metric for all individual investors:

*.Then, we calculate the following metric for all VC firms :*

. We take our inputs from the AngelList data set.

We plan on generating the following visualizations:

- Clustering of individual investors by Markets Seeking and Locations Seeking in the AngelList data set
- Heat map of VC activity (i.e. funds raised by start-up companies from VC firms) by geographic region and

<sup>1</sup><http://techcrunch.com/2011/05/25/top-10-vc-firms-investorrank/>

---

## Ranking Venture Capital Firms

---

110	industry over time	165
111		166
112		167
113		168
114		169
115		170
116		171
117		172
118		173
119		174
120		175
121		176
122		177
123		178
124		179
125		180
126		181
127		182
128		183
129		184
130		185
131		186
132		187
133		188
134		189
135		190
136		191
137		192
138		193
139		194
140		195
141		196
142		197
143		198
144		199
145		200
146		201
147		202
148		203
149		204
150		205
151		206
152		207
153		208
154		209
155		210
156		211
157		212
158		213
159		214
160		215
161		216
162		217
163		218
164		219