Quadrature Histograms in Maximum Likelihood Quantum State Tomography

J. L. E. Silva, H. M. Vasconcelos, 1, 2, * and S. Glancy 2

¹Departamento de Engenharia de Teleinformática, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, 60440, Brazil ²Applied and Computational Mathematics Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado, 80305, USA (Dated: October 9, 2017)

Quantum state tomography (QST) aims to determine the quantum state of a system from measured data and is an essential tool for quantum information. When dealing with quantum states of light, QST is done by measuring quantum noise statistics of the field amplitudes at different optical phases using homodyne detection. The quadrature-phase homodyne measurement outputs a continuous variable, but we can histogram the continuous measurements and make the statistical estimation faster without losing too much information. This paper investigate different ways to determine the quadrature histograms for optical homodyne QST.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Wj, 03.67.-a, 42.50.Dv

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum information science and engineering is now at the point where rudimentary quantum computers are available in the laboratory and commercially [1–4]. Consequently, precise reconstruction and diagnostic tools used to estimate quantum states [5–12], processes [13–20], and measurements [21–24] are fundamental.

Quantum tomography techniques for quantum states of light became a subject of major interest in recent years, since quantum light sources are essential for implementations of continuous-variable (CV) quantum computation [25–29]. These source are also extensively exploited in quantum criptography [30–34], quantum metrology [35, 36], state teleportation [37–39], dense coding [40, 41] and cloning [42, 43].

In quantum state tomography, we perform a large number of experimental measurements on a collection of quantum systems, all prepared in a same unknown state. The goal is to estimate this unknown state from the experimental measurements results. This estimation can be done from the experimental statistical data by different methods. In here we will be dealing with Maximum Likelihood estimation, that finds among all possible states, the one which maximizes the probability of obtaining the experimental data set in hand.

Quantum homodyne tomography is one of the most popular optical tomography techniques available. It rapidly became a versatile tool and has been applied in many different quantum optics experimental settings since it was proposed by Vogel and Risken in 1989 [5] and first implemented by Smithey et al. in 1993 [6]. This technique permits to characterize a light quantum state by means of the electric field quantum noise statistics collected through multiple phase-sensitive measurements.

A homodyne measurement generates a continuous

value. While no data binning is necessarily needed, we believe that the loss due to binning may be insignificant. On the other hand, discretization of the data by binning it reduces considerably the number of data, expediting the reconstruction algorithm. But how can we estimate the quadrature bin width, such that the bins are not too small nor too big? Bins should not be too small in order to avoid significant statistical fluctuation due to scarcity of samples in each bin. And bins should not be too large in order to avoid a lack of resolution, making the histogram a poor representation of the underlying distribution shape.

In this paper, we use numerical experiments to simulate optical homodyne tomography of quantum optical states and perform maximum likelihood tomography on the data with and without binning. When choosing a quadrature bin width, we use and compare two different ways: Scott's rule [44] and equation (5.136) from Leonhardt's book [45]. The paper is divide as follow: in Section II we review maximum likelihood in homodyne tomography. In Section III we describe our numerical experiments and present our results. In Section IV we discuss the interpretation of our results and make some concluding remarks.

II. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD IN HOMODYNE TOMOGRAPHY

III. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

IV. CONCLUSION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

^{*} hilma@ufc.br

- A. Kandala, A. Mezzacapo, K. Temme, M. Takita, M. Brink, J. M. Chow, and J. M. Gambetta, "Hardware-efficient quantum optimizer for small molecules and quantum magnets," Nature 549, 242–246 (2014), arXiv:arXiv:1704.05018.
- [2] N. M. Linke, D. Maslov, M. Roetteler, S. Debnath, C. Figgatt, K. A. Landsman, K. Wright, and C. Monroe, "Experimental comparison of two quantum computing architectures," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 3305–3310 (2017).
- [3] T. Monz, D. Nigg, E. A. Martinez, M. F. Brandl, P. Schindler, R. Rines, S. X. Wang, I. L. Chuang, and R. Blatt, "Realization of a scalable shor algorithm," Science 351, 1068–1070 (2017).
- [4] V. S. Denchev, S. Boixo, S. V. Isakov, N. Ding, R. Babbush, V. Smelyanskiy, J. Martinis, and H. Neven, "What is the computational value of finite-range tunneling?" Phys. Rev. X 6, 031015 (2016).
- [5] K. Vogel and H. Risken, "Determination of quasiprobability distributions in terms of probability distributions for the rotated quadrature phase," Phys. Rev. A 40, 2847–2849 (1989).
- [6] D. T. Smithey, M. Beck, M. G. Raymer, and A. Faridani, "Measurement of the wigner distribution and the density matrix of a light mode using optical homodyne tomography: Application to squeezed states and the vacuum," Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1244–1247 (1993).
- [7] T. Dunn, I. A. Walmsley, and S. Mukamel, "Experimental determination of the quantum-mechanical state of a molecular vibrational mode using fluorescence tomography," Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 884–887 (1995).
- [8] K. Banaszek, C. Radzewicz, K. Wodkiewicz, and J. S. Krasinski, "Direct measurement of the wigner function by photon counting," Phys. Rev. A 60, 674–677 (1999).
- [9] K. Banaszek, G. M. D'Ariano, M. G. A. Paris, and M. F. Sacchi, "Maximum-likelihood estimation of the density matrix," Phys. Rev. A 61, 010304(R) (2000).
- [10] A. G. White, D. F. V. James, W. J. Munro, and P. G. Kwiat, "Exploring hilbert space: Accurate characterization of quantum information," Phys. Rev. A 65, 012301 (2002).
- [11] A. Ourjoumtsev, H. Jeong, R. Tualle-Brouri, and P. Grangier, "Generation of optical 'schrödinger cats' from photon number states," Nature 448, 784–786 (2007).
- [12] J. S. Neergaard-Nielsen, B. Melholt Nielsen, C. Hettich, K. Mø lmer, and E. S. Polzik, "Generation of a superposition of odd photon number states for quantum information networks," Phys. Rev. Lett..
- [13] I. L. Chuang and M. A. Nielsen, "Prescription for experimental determination of the dynamics of a quantum black box," J. Mod. Optics 44, 2455–2467 (1997).
- [14] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, "omplete characterization of a quantum process: The two-bit quantum gate," Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 390–393 (1997).
- [15] J. B. Altepeter, D. Branning, E. Jeffrey, T. C. Wei, P. G. Kwiat, R. T. Thew, J. L. OBrien, M. A. Nielsen, and A. G. White, "Ancilla-assisted quantum process tomography," Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 193601 (2003).
- [16] G. M. D'Ariano and L. Maccone, "Measuring quantum optical hamiltonians," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5465–5468

- (1998).
- [17] M. A. Nielsen, E. Knill, and R. Laflamme, "Complete quantum teleportation using nuclear magnetic resonance," Nature.
- [18] M. W. Mitchell, C. W. Ellenor, S. Schneider, and A. M. Steinberg, "Diagnosis, prescription and prognosis of a bell-state filter by quantum process tomography," Phys. Rev. Lett..
- [19] J. L. O'Brien, G. J. Pryde, A. Gilchrist, D. F. V. James, N. K. Langford, T. C. Ralph, and A. G. White, "Quantum process tomography of a controlled-not gate," Phys. Rev. Lett...
- [20] C. Kupchak, S. Rind, B. Jordaan, and E. Figueroa, "Quantum process tomography of an optically-controlled kerr non-linearity," Sci. Rep. 5, 16581 (2015).
- [21] A. Luis and L. L. Sanchez-Soto, "Complete characterization of arbitrary quantum measurement processes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3573–3576 (1999).
- [22] J. Fiurasek, "Maximum-likelihood estimation of quantum measurement," Phys. Rev. A 64, 024102 (2001).
- [23] G. M. D'Ariano, L. Maccone, and P. Lo Presti, "Quantum calibration of measurement instrumentation," Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 250407 (2004).
- [24] J. S. Lundeen, A. Feito, H. Coldenstrodt-Ronge, K. L. Pregnell, Ch. Silberhorn, T. C. Ralph, J. Eisert, M. B. Plenio, and I. A. Walmsley, "Tomography of quantum detectors," Sci. Rep. 5, 27–30 (2009).
- [25] S. Lloyd and S. L. Braunstein, "Quantum computation over continuous variables," Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1784 (1999).
- [26] D. Gottesman, A. Kitaev, and J. Preskill, "Encoding a qubit in an oscillator," Phys. Rev. A 64, 012310 (2001).
- [27] S. D. Bartlett, H. de Guise, and B. C. Sanders, "Quantum encodings in spin systems and harmonic oscillators," Phys. Rev. A 65, 052316 (2002).
- [28] H. Jeong and M. S. Kim, "Efficient quantum computation using coherent states," Phys. Rev. A 65, 042306 (2002).
- [29] T. Ralph, A. Gilchrist, G. Milburn, W. Munro, and S. Glancy, "Quantum computation with optical coherent state," Phys. Rev. A 68, 042319 (2003), quantph/0306004.
- [30] T. Ralph, "Continuous variable quantum cryptography," Phys. Rev. A 61, 010303(R) (1999).
- [31] M. Hillery, "Quantum cryptography with squeezed states," Phys. Rev. A 61, 022309 (2000).
- [32] Ch. Silberhorn, T. C. Ralph, N. Lü tkenhaus, and G. Leuchs, "Continuous variable quantum cryptography: Beating the 3 db loss limit," Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 167901 (2002).
- [33] S. Pirandola, S. Mancini, S. Lloyd, and S. L. Braunstein, "Continuous-variable quantum cryptography using twoway quantum communication," Nature Phys. 4, 726–730 (2008), arXiv:quant-ph/0304059.
- [34] F. S. Luiz and G. Rigolin, "Teleportation-based continuous variable quantum cryptography," 16, 58 (2017).
- [35] T. Eberle, S. Steinlechner, J. Bauchrowitz, V. Händchen, H. Vahlbruch, M. Mehmet, H. Müller Ebhardt, , and R. Schnabel, "Quantum enhancement of the zero-area sagnac interferometer topology for gravitational wave detection," Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 251102 (2004).

- [36] R. Demkowicz-Dobrzaski, K. Banaszek, and R. Schnabel, "Fundamental quantum interferometry bound for the squeezed-light-enhanced gravitational wave detector geo 600," Phys. Rev. A 88 (2013).
- [37] L. Vaidman, "Teleportation of quantum states," Phys. Rev. A 49, 1473 (1994).
- [38] S. L. Braunstein and H. J. Kimble, "Teleportation of continuous quantum variables," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 869 (1998).
- [39] Q. He, L. Rosales-Zrate, G. Adesso, and M. D. Reid, "Secure continuous variable teleportation and einsteinpodolsky-rosen steering," Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 180502 (2015).
- [40] S. L. Braunstein and H. J. Kimble, "Dense coding for continuous variables," Phys. Rev. A 61, 042302 (2000).

- [41] J. Lee, S. Ji, J. Park, and H. Nha, "Continuous-variable dense coding via a general gaussian state: Monogamy relation," Phys. Rev. A 90, 022301 (2014).
- [42] N. J. Cerf and S. Iblisdir, "Optimal n-to-m cloning of conjugate quantum variables," Phys. Rev. A 62, 040301(R) (2000).
- [43] S. L. Braunstein, N. J. Cerf, S. Iblisdir, P. van Loock, and S. Massar, "Optimal cloning of coherent states with a linear amplifier and beam splitters," Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4938 (2001).
- [44] D. Scott, "Scott's rule," WIREs Comp. Stat. **2**, 497–502 (2010).
- [45] U. Leonhardt, Measuring the Quantum State of Light (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997).