Numerical simulation of heat transfer problem by Freefem++ software

Mai Ta^{1,2}, Franck Pigeonneau and Pierre Saramito²

¹ Surface du verre et interfaces, UMR 125 CNRS/Saint-Gobain 39, quai Lucien Lefranc - BP 135, 93303 Aubervilliers cedex, France Franck.Pigeonneau@saint-gobain.com,

Lab. J. Kuntzmann, CNRS and Grenoble university 51, rue des mathématiques BP 53 - Domaine Universitaire, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France tathithanhmai@gmail.com, Pierre.Saramito@imag.fr

Abstract

•••

Keywords: Inverse source problems, least squares method, Tikhonov regularization, space-time finite element method, conjugate gradient method

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^d$, $d\in\mathbb{N}^+$ be a bounded domain with a boundary Γ and endow the cylinder $Q=\Omega\times(0,\,T]$ and lateral surface area $S=\Gamma\times(0,\,T]$ where T>0. Consider the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u(x,t) = F(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q,$$
 (1.1)

with the Dirichlet boundary and initial conditions, respectively

$$u(x,t) = u_D(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in S,$$
 (1.2)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \qquad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}u(x,t) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ji}(x,t) \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x_j} \right) + a_0(x,t)u(x,t)$$

$$a_{ji} \in L^{\infty}(Q), \ a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \ \forall i,j \in \{1,2,...,d\},$$

$$\lambda_1 \|\xi\|^2 \leqslant \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leqslant \lambda_2 \|\xi\|^2, \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$a_0 \in L^{\infty}(Q), \ 0 \leqslant a_0(x,t) \leqslant \mu_1, \ (x,t) \in Q$$

 $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \ u_D \in L^2(S),$

with λ_1 and λ_2 are positive constants and $\mu_1 \geqslant 0$.

The problem is that to determine u when all data a_{ji} , a_0 , u_0 , u_D and F in (1.1) - (1.2) - (1.3) are given called **direct problem**. But in practice, we miss one of the data above such as the right hand side F of (1.1) known for heat source. The problem identifying F when some additional observations on the solution u available called **inverse problem**. We suppose that the heat source following the form F(x,t) = f(x,t)q(x,t) + g(x,t), where q(x,t), g(x,t) are given. Find f(x,t) if $\omega(x,t) = u(x,t)$ is given on Q.

2. Numerical method

2.1. Direct problem

Find $u(.,t) \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v \right\rangle + a\left(u, v\right) = \left\langle F, v \right\rangle, \ \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega),$$
 (2.1)

and

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \ x \in \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ji} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}} + a_{0}uv \right] dx,$$
$$\langle \varphi, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \varphi v dx.$$

For *spatial approximation*, let \mathcal{T}_h be a triangulation of Ω and define a piecewise linear finite element space $V_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$ by

$$V_h = \left\{ v_h : v_h \in C(\overline{\Omega}), v_h|_K \in P_1(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\},\,$$

where $P_1(K)$ is a continuous piecewise linear polynomial on the element K.

For temporal discretization, discrete [0,T] uniformly into M steps, $t_n=n\Delta t,\ n=0,1,\ldots,M$ with the time step size $\Delta t=T/M$. We define a function $\varphi(x,t)$ and $\varphi(x,t_n)=\varphi^n(x)$.

Now we present a fully discrete finite element approximation for the variational problem (2.1) by the Crank-Nicolson method as follows: Find $u_h^n \in V_h$ for n = 1, 2, ..., M such that

$$\langle d_t u_h^n, v_h \rangle + a \left(\frac{u_h^n + u_h^{n-1}}{2}, v_h \right) = \left\langle \frac{F^n + F^{n-1}}{2}, v_h \right\rangle,$$
(2.3)

and the initial condition

$$u_h^0 = u_0, (2.4)$$

where
$$v_h \in V_h$$
 and $d_t u_h^n = \frac{u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t}$, $n = 1, 2, ..., M$.

The discrete variational problem (2.3) admits a unique solution $u_h^n \in V_h$, see (??). Let $u_h(x,t)$ be the linear interpolation of u_h^n with respect to t. For $x \in \Omega$, $t \in [t_{n-1},t_n]$, we have

$$u_h(x,t) = \frac{t - t_{n-1}}{\Delta t} u_h^{n-1} + \frac{t_n - t}{\Delta t} u_h^n.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let u(x,t) be the solution of variational problem (2.1) - (2.2) and $u_h^n \in V_h$ for n = 1, 2, ..., M be the solution for (2.3). Then there holds the error estimate, see $(\ref{eq:solution})$

$$||u_h - u||_{L^2(Q)} = O(h^2 + \Delta t^2),$$
 (2.5)

where h is the mesh size.

2.2. Inverse problem

We suppose that $F, f, g \in L^2(Q)$ and $q \in L^\infty(Q)$ and hope to recover f(x,t) from the observation $\omega(x,t)$. Since the solution u(x,t) depends on the function f(x,t), so we denote it by u(x,t,f) or u(f). Identify f(x,t) satisfying

$$u(f) = \omega(x, t).$$

We need to minimize the Tikhonov functional

$$J_{\gamma}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \|u(f) - \omega\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|f - f^{*}\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\gamma>0$ being a regularization parameter, f^* is an a prior estimation of f. We will prove that J_{γ} is Frechet differentiable and drive a formula for its gradient.

Theorem 2.2. The functional J_{γ} is Frechet differentiable and its gradient ∇J_{γ} at f has the form

$$\nabla J_{\gamma}(f) = q(x,t)p(x,t) + \gamma (f(x,t) - f^{*}(x,t)), \quad (2.7)$$

where p(x, t) is the solution of the adjoint problem

$$\begin{cases}
-\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}p(x,t) = u(f) - \omega, & (x,t) \in Q, \\
u(x,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in S \\
p(x,T) = 0, & x \in \Omega.
\end{cases}$$
(2.8)

To find f satisfied (2.6), we use the conjugate gradient method (CG). Its iteration follows, we assume that at the kth iteration, we have f^k and then the next iteration will be

$$f^{k+1} = f^k + \alpha_k d^k,$$

with

$$d^k = \begin{cases} -\nabla J_{\gamma}(f^k), & k = 0, \\ -\nabla J_{\gamma}(f^k) + \beta_k d^{k-1}, & k > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_k = \frac{\left\| \nabla J_{\gamma}(f^k) \right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}{\left\| \nabla J_{\gamma}(f^{k-1}) \right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2},$$

and

$$\alpha_k = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\alpha\geqslant 0} J_{\gamma}(f^k + \alpha d^k).$$

To identify α_k , we consider two problems

Problem 2.1. Denote the solution of this problem is u[f]

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u(x,t) = f(x,t)q(x,t), & (x,t) \in Q, \\ u(x,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in S, \\ u(x,0) = 0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Problem 2.2. Denote the solution of this problem is $u(u_0, u_D)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u(x,t) = g(x,t), & (x,t) \in Q, \\ u(x,t) = u_D(x,t), & (x,t) \in S, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

If do so, the observation operators have the form $u(f)=u[f]+u(u_0,u_D)=Af+u(u_0,u_D)$, with A being bounded linear operator from $L^2(Q)$ to $L^2(Q)$. By taking $\frac{\partial J_\gamma(f^k+\alpha d^k)}{\partial \alpha}=0$, we obtain, see $(\ref{eq:continuous})$

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\|r^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}{\|Ad^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \gamma \|d^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}.$$

Thus, the CG algorithm is set up by following loop: CG algorithm

- 1. Set k = 0, initiate f^0 .
- 2. For k = 0, 1, 2, ... Calculate

$$r^k = -\nabla J_{\gamma}(f^k).$$

Update

$$d^{k} = \begin{cases} r^{k}, & k = 0, \\ r^{k} + \beta_{k} d^{k-1}, & k > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_k = \frac{\|r^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}{\|r^{k-1}\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}.$$

3. Calculate

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\|r^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}{\|Ad^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \gamma \|d^k\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}.$$

Update

$$f^{k+1} = f^k + \alpha_k d^k.$$

We rewrite the Tikhonov functional

$$J_{\gamma}(f = \frac{1}{2} \|Af - \hat{\omega}\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|f - f^{*}\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}$$
 (2.9)

where $\hat{\omega} = \omega - u(u_0, u_D)$.

The solution f^{γ} of the minimization problem (2.6) is characterized by the first-order optimality condition

$$\nabla J_{\gamma}(f^{\gamma}) = A^{*}(Af^{\gamma} - \hat{\omega}) + \gamma(f^{\gamma} - f^{*}) = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

with $A^*: L^2(Q) \to L^2(Q)$ is the adjoint operator of A defined by $A^*(u(f) - \omega) = p$ where p is the solution of the adjoint problem (2.8).

We use finite element approximation to approximate A and A^* by Crank-Nicolson method as follows: The discrete version of the optimal control problem (2.4) will be

$$J_{\gamma,h}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \|A_h f - \hat{\omega}_h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|f - f^*\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \to \min.$$

Let f_h^{γ} be the solution of this problem is characterized by the variational equation

$$\nabla J_{\gamma,h}(f_h^{\gamma}) = A_h^* (A_h f^{\gamma} - \hat{\omega}_h) + \gamma (f_h^{\gamma} - f^*) = 0, \qquad (2.11)$$

where A_h^* is the adjoint operator of A_h . But it is hardly to find A_h^* from A_h in practice, so we define a proximate \hat{A}_h^* of A^* instead. Therefore, we suppose that $\hat{A}_h^*\phi=p_h$, where $\phi=u(f)-\omega$ and

 p_h is the approximate solution of adjoint problem (2.8). Therefore, the equation above will be

$$\nabla J_{\gamma,h}(f_h^{\gamma}) \simeq \nabla J_{\gamma,h}(\hat{f}_h^{\gamma}) = \hat{A}_h^* (A_h \hat{f}^{\gamma} - \hat{\omega}_h) + \gamma (\hat{f}_h^{\gamma} - f^*) = 0,$$
(2.12)

Moreover, the observation will have noise in practice, so instead of ω , we suppose that only get ω^{δ} satisfying

$$\left\|\omega - \omega^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)} \leqslant \delta.$$

Therefore, instead of getting \hat{f}_h^{γ} that satisfies the equation (2.13), we will get $\hat{f}_{h}^{\gamma,\delta}$ satisfying

$$\nabla J_{\gamma,h}\left(\hat{f}_{h}^{\gamma,\delta}\right) = \hat{A}_{h}^{*}(A_{h}\hat{f}_{h}^{\gamma,\delta} - \hat{\omega}_{h}^{\delta}) + \gamma(\hat{f}_{h}^{\gamma,\delta} - f^{*}) = 0,$$
(2.13)

with $\hat{\omega}_h^{\delta} = \omega^{\delta} - u_h(u_0, u_D)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let f^{γ} and \hat{f}_h^{γ} are the solution of variational problems (??) and (2.12), respectively. Then there hold a error esti-

$$\|f^{\gamma} - \hat{f}_h^{\gamma}\|_{L^2(Q)} \le c(h^2 + \Delta t^2).$$
 (2.14)

Remark 2.1. Let f^{γ} and \hat{f}_h^{γ} are the solution of variational problems (??) and (2.13), respectively. Then there hold a error esti-

$$\|f^{\gamma} - \hat{f}_{h}^{\gamma,\delta}\|_{L^{2}(Q)} \le c(h^{2} + \Delta t^{2} + \delta).$$
 (2.15)

3. Tests and discussion

3.1. Error evaluation with exact solution

We study a numerical experiment with the exact solution of heat equation and evaluate the error convergence. Consider a square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. Find u(x,y,t) satisfy

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right) = (1 + 2t)\sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) \tag{3.1}$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$u(x, y, 0) = 0$$
 and $u|_{\Gamma} = 0$.

The exact solution is

$$u = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)t,$$

Different cases of mesh size and time step length were studied to show the dependent of error on the mesh smoothness. We also use two different schemes

- · Backward Euler scheme
- · Crank-Nicolson scheme

The approximate solution at final time is illustrated below.

3.2. A problem of thermal engineering

In the aspect of thermal engineering, the simulations of heat $\nabla J_{\gamma,h}(f_h^\gamma) \simeq \nabla J_{\gamma,h}(\hat{f}_h^\gamma) = \hat{A}_h^*(A_h\hat{f}^\gamma - \hat{\omega}_h) + \gamma(\hat{f}_h^\gamma - f^*) = 0, \text{ transfer attend in several applications. In [...] the author mentioned a realistic heat problem which can be solve by simulating$ the heat transfer process.

> Assuming there are two types of material with different thermal conductivity and price. We would like to build a thermal resistance wall from composite plate of the two materials that provide optimal thermal resistance properties and satisfies economical conditions. Let V is the total volume of the plate, V_e and V_c are the volume of expensive and cheap material respectively, then the ratio

$$\mu = \frac{V_e}{V} = \frac{V_e}{V_c + V_e}$$

is fixed. Consider a rectangular room Ω_r has the size $L_x \times L_y$. At the center of the left wall located a radiator that keep the local temperature at T_r , denote as Γ_r , otherwise as Γ_α . The thermal flux through the walls is expected to be zero, equivalent to the Neumann boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{\alpha}.$$

The right corner of the room is where we place our composite thermal resistance plate. Let x is the width of the plate. The right wall is consider the heat source and gain the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u = u_{ext}$$
 on Γ_{ext} .

Finally, let κ_a , κ_c and κ_e is correspondingly the thermal conductivity coefficient of air, our cheap and expensive material. Based on our goal of minimizing the temperature inside the room, the cost function can be formulated as follow

$$J = \frac{1}{|\Omega_a|} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_a} u dx$$

This problem belong to the set of shape optimization problems or more generally is an inverse heat problem. These kinds of problems take part in large amount of engineering applications. An approach is to solve as much acceptable input cases as possible then determine which one is the optimal solution. This way requires numerous computations.

3.3. Numerical experiment of inverse heat problem

FreeFem++ provide an efficient tool to handle inverse problems for partial differential equations by using the C++ optimization module IPOPT. To be more accurate, the module support multiple languages in solving optimization problems. The application of IPOPT for solving inverse heat problem is shown below. To use the IPOPT module we have to add command load "ipopt"

We need to find the expression of objective function J and its gradient ∇J respective with the input control function f. The optimal f is achieved via IPOPT loop using a conjugate gradient method solver such as Newton-Raphson method.

J and gradJ

This is an illustrating of the expected control f and its approximation using IPOPT.

Conclusion

References