Topic 5: Word Relationships EPA Reports on EJ

Halina Do-Linh

05/01/2022

Here I am creating an initial corpus, amending stop words, and converting the data into tidy format.

```
# intial corpus
epa_corpus <- corpus(x = ej_pdf,
                     text_field = "text")
summary(epa corpus)
## Corpus consisting of 6 documents, showing 6 documents:
##
##
               Text Types Tokens Sentences type year docvar3
## EPA_EJ_2015.pdf 2136
                            8944
                                        263 EPA
## EPA_EJ_2016.pdf
                                                         2016
                    1599
                            7965
                                        176 EPA
                                                   EJ
## EPA_EJ_2017.pdf
                     3973
                           30564
                                        653 EPA
                                                         2017
## EPA_EJ_2018.pdf
                    2774 16658
                                        447 EPA
                                                   EJ
                                                         2018
                                        672 EPA
## EPA_EJ_2019.pdf 3773
                           22648
                                                   EJ
                                                         2019
## EPA_EJ_2020.pdf 4493 30523
                                        987 EPA
                                                   EJ
                                                         2020
# amending stop words
more_stops <-
  c("2015",
    "2016",
    "2017",
    "2018",
    "2019",
    "2020",
    "www.epa.gov",
    "https")
add_stops <- tibble(word = c(stop_words$word, more_stops))</pre>
# use stop vector with quanteda tools
stop_vec <- as_vector(add_stops)</pre>
# tidy format
tidy_text <- tidy(epa_corpus)</pre>
# adding stop words
words <- tidy_text %>%
  mutate(year = as.factor(docvar3)) %>%
```

unnest_tokens(word, text) %>%

select(-docvar3)

anti_join(add_stops, by = 'word') %>%

Here I am creating data objects so I can do analysis.

```
# # most frequent words across all docs
# words_freq <- words %>%
#
   count(year, word, sort = TRUE)
# # number of total words by doc per year
# words_total <- words_freq %>%
  group_by(year) %>%
   summarize(total = sum(n))
# # join words_freq and words_total
# words_report <- left_join(words_freq, words_total)</pre>
# quanteda word relationship tools
tokens <- tokens(epa_corpus,</pre>
                 remove_punct = TRUE)
tokens_1 <- tokens_select(tokens,</pre>
                           min_nchar = 3)
tokens 1 <- tokens tolower(tokens 1)
tokens_1 <- tokens_remove(tokens_1,</pre>
                           pattern = (stop_vec))
# create document feature matrix
dfm <- dfm(tokens_1)</pre>
tstat_freq <- textstat_frequency(dfm, n = 5, groups = year)</pre>
head(tstat_freq, 10)
##
           feature frequency rank docfreq group
## 1 environmental
                         1088
                                 1
                                          6
                                          6
                                               EJ
## 2
     communities
                          940
                                 2
                                          6
                                               EJ
## 3
                          929
                                 3
               epa
## 4
         community
                          744
                                 4
                                          6
                                               EJ
## 5
           justice
                          606
                                               EJ
```

1. What are the most frequent trigrams in the dataset? How does this compare to the most frequent bigrams? Which n-gram seems more informative here, and why?

```
# most freq trigrams
tokens_3 <- tokens_ngrams(tokens_1, n = 3)
dfm3 <- dfm(tokens_3)
dfm3 <- dfm_remove(dfm3, pattern = c(stop_vec))
freq_words3 <- textstat_frequency(dfm3, n = 20)
freq_words3$token <- rep("trigram", 20)

tstat_freq3 <- textstat_frequency(dfm3, n = 5, groups = year)
head(tstat_freq3, 10)</pre>
```

```
##
                             feature frequency rank docfreq group
## 1
                                            51
            justice_fy2017_progress
                                                  1
                                                          1
## 2
             fy2017_progress_report
                                            51
                                                  1
                                                          1
                                                               EJ
## 3
        environmental_public_health
                                            50
                                                  3
                                                          6
                                                               EJ
       environmental_justice_fy2017
                                            50
                                                  3
                                                          1
                                                               EJ
## 5 national_environmental_justice
                                            37
                                                  5
                                                               F.J
```

```
# most freq bigrams
tokens_2 <- tokens_ngrams(tokens_1, n = 2)
dfm2 <- dfm(tokens_2)
dfm2 <- dfm_remove(dfm2, pattern = c(stop_vec))
freq_words2 <- textstat_frequency(dfm2, n = 20)
freq_words2$token <- rep("bigram", 20)

tstat_freq2 <- textstat_frequency(dfm2, n = 5, groups = year)
head(tstat_freq2, 10)</pre>
```

```
##
                    feature frequency rank docfreq group
## 1 environmental_justice
                                  556
                                          1
                                                  6
                                                       EJ
## 2 technical_assistance
                                  139
                                                       EJ
                                          2
                                                  6
                                                       EJ
## 3
            drinking_water
                                  133
                                         3
                                                       EJ
## 4
             public_health
                                  123
                                          4
                                                  6
## 5
           progress_report
                                  108
                                          5
                                                  6
                                                       EJ
```

Answer: The most frequent trigrams do not seem more informative than the most frequent bigrams. One of the top trigrams is fy2017_progress_report which is not informative at all. Because of this, I would say the bigrams are the more informative n-grams.

2. Choose a new focal term to replace "justice" and recreate the correlation table and network (see corr_paragraphs and corr_network chunks). Explore some of the plotting parameters in the cor_network chunk to see if you can improve the clarity or amount of information your plot conveys. Make sure to use a different color for the ties!

Here I am tokenizing the paragraphs from my tidy corpus, and then tokenizing the paragraphs by words.

Here I am identifying which words tend to occur close together in the EPA reports.

```
word_pairs <- paragraph_words %>%
  pairwise_count(word, par_id, sort = TRUE, upper = FALSE) %>%
  anti_join(add_stops, by = c("item1" = "word")) %>%
  anti_join(add_stops, by = c("item2" = "word"))

## Warning: 'distinct_()' was deprecated in dplyr 0.7.0.

## Please use 'distinct()' instead.

## See vignette('programming') for more help

## This warning is displayed once every 8 hours.

## Call 'lifecycle::last_lifecycle_warnings()' to see where this warning was generated.
```

- 3. Write a function that allows you to conduct a keyness analysis to compare two individual EPA reports (hint: that means target and reference need to both be individual reports). Run the function on 3 pairs of reports, generating 3 keyness plots.
- 4. Select a word or multi-word term of interest and identify words related to it using windowing and keyness comparison. To do this you will create to objects: one containing all words occurring within a 10-word window of your term of interest, and the second object containing all other words. Then run a keyness comparison on these objects. Which one is the target, and which the reference? Hint