

Dynamics

The Phases of PLs

Static Phase: Well-formedness of programs; e.g., parsing and type checking.

Dynamic Phase: Execution of programs.

Transition Systems

A *transitions systems* is specified by the following four forms of judgment:

1. s state, asserting that s is a *state* of the transition system.
2. s final, where s , state, asserting that s is a final state.
3. s initial, where s state, asserting that s is an initial state.
4. $s_1 \mapsto s_2$, where s_1 state and s_2 state, asserting that state s_1 may transition to the state s_2 .

Transition Systems

1. No transition can transition out of a final state.
2. A state where from which no transition is possible is called a *stuck state*.
3. Final states are stuck by definitions, but others may exist.
4. A transition system is *deterministic* iff for every state s , there exists exactly one transition $s \mapsto s'$ for any state s' . Otherwise, it is *non-deterministic*.

Transition Sequence

A sequence of states s_0, \dots, s_n such that s_0 initial, and $s_i \mapsto s_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < n$.

- *maximal* iff there is no s such that $s_n \mapsto s$.
- *complete* iff it is maximal and, s_n final
- $s \downarrow$ asserts that there is a complete sequence starting with s .

Transition Judgment

$$\frac{}{S \mapsto^* S} \text{ Refl}$$

$$\frac{S \mapsto S' \quad S' \mapsto^* S''}{S \mapsto^* S''} \text{ Step}$$

Transition Judgment: Rule Induction

Suppose we want to show $P(s, s')$ whenever $s \mapsto s'$ holds. Then show:

1. $P(s, s)$
2. if $s \mapsto s'$ and $P(s', s'')$, then $P(s, s'')$ (head expansion)

Transition Judgment: n -times Iterated

$$\frac{}{S \mapsto^0 S} \text{ Refl}$$

$$\frac{S \mapsto S' \quad S' \mapsto^n S''}{S \mapsto^{n+1} S''} \text{ Step}$$

Transition Judgment: n -times Iterated

For all states s_1 and s_2 , $s_1 \mapsto^* s_2$ iff $s_1 \mapsto^k s_2$ for some $k \geq 0$.

$$\frac{}{s \mapsto^0 s} \text{ Refl}$$

$$\frac{s \mapsto s' \quad s' \mapsto^n s''}{s \mapsto^{n+1} s''} \text{ Step}$$

Structural Dynamics

Described by a transition system on abstract syntax:

1. States are closed expressions.
2. All states are initial.
3. Final states are closed values.

Structural Dynamics

$$\frac{}{\text{num}[n] \text{ val}} \text{numVal}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{str}[s] \text{ val}} \text{strVal}$$

$$\frac{n_1 + n_2 = n \text{ nat}}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1]; \text{num}[n_2]) \mapsto \text{num}[n]} \text{plusVal}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e'_1; e_2)} \text{plus1}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e_1; e'_2)} \text{plus2}$$

Structural Dynamics

$$\frac{s_1 \wedge s_2 = s \text{ str}}{\text{cat}(\text{str}[s_1]; \text{str}[s_2]) \mapsto \text{str}[s]} \text{catVal}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{cat}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{cat}(e'_1; e_2)} \text{cat1}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{\text{cat}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{cat}(e_1; e'_2)} \text{cat2}$$

Structural Dynamics

$$\frac{[e_1 \text{ val}]}{\text{let}(e_1; x.e_2) \mapsto [e_1 / x]e_2} \text{letVal}$$

$$\left[\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{let}(e_1; x.e_2) \mapsto \text{let}(e'_1; x.e_2)} \right] \text{let}$$

Structural Dynamics: Example

$\text{let}(\text{str}["C"], s_1 . \text{let}(\text{str}["S"], s_2 . \text{len}(\text{cat}(s_1, s_2))) \mapsto \text{num}[2]$

Structural Dynamics: Example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let}(\text{str}["C"], s_1 . \text{let}(\text{str}["S"], s_2 . \text{len}(\text{cat}(s_1, s_2))) &\mapsto^{\text{LetVal}} \text{let}(\text{str}["S"], s_2 . \text{len}(\text{cat}(\text{str}["C"], s_2)) \\ &\mapsto^{\text{LetVal}} \text{len}(\text{cat}(\text{str}["C"], \text{str}["S"])) \\ &\mapsto^{\text{Len1, CatVal}} \text{len}(\text{str}["CS"]) \\ &\mapsto^{\text{LenVal}} \text{num}[2] \end{aligned}$$

Examples

$2x + 3y$, where $x = 4$ and $y = 2$

- Translate it into our abstract syntax.
- Type it.
- Evaluate it using both methods.

Examples

Translation:

```
let(4,x . let(2,y . plus(mult(2,x), mult(3,y)))
```

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{x : \text{Num} \vdash 2 : \text{Num}} \text{Num} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{x : \text{Num}, y : \text{Num} \vdash \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,x), \text{mult}(3,y)) : \text{Num}} \text{Plus} \\
\hline
\frac{\frac{}{\emptyset \vdash 4 : \text{Num}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{x : \text{Num} \vdash \text{let}(2,y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,x), \text{mult}(3,y))) : \text{Num}} \text{Let}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{let}(4,x . \text{let}(2,y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,x), \text{mult}(3,y)))) : \text{Num}} \text{Let}
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{D}_1 :$

$$\frac{\frac{}{x : \text{Num}, y : \text{Num} \vdash 2 : \text{Num}} \text{Num} \quad \frac{}{x : \text{Num}, x : \text{Num} \vdash y : \text{Num}} \text{Num}}{x : \text{Num}, y : \text{Num} \vdash \text{mult}(2,x) : \text{Num}} \text{Mult}$$

$\mathcal{D}_2 :$

$$\frac{\frac{}{x : \text{Num}, y : \text{Num} \vdash 3 : \text{Num}} \text{Num} \quad \frac{}{x : \text{Num}, y : \text{Num} \vdash y : \text{Num}} \text{Num}}{x : \text{Num}, y : \text{Num} \vdash \text{mult}(3,y) : \text{Num}} \text{Mult}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{let}(4,x . \text{let}(2,y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,x), \text{mult}(3,y)))) \\
\text{LetVal} \quad \mapsto \text{let}(2,y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,4), \text{mult}(3,y))) \\
\text{LetVal} \quad \mapsto \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,4), \text{mult}(3,2)) \\
\text{Plus1} \quad \mapsto \text{plus}(8, \text{mult}(3,2)) \\
\text{Plus2} \quad \mapsto \text{plus}(8,6) \\
\text{PlusVal} \quad \mapsto 14
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{}{4 \text{ val}}^{\text{Num}}$$
$$\frac{\text{let}(4, x . \text{let}(2, y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2, x), \text{mult}(3, y)))) \mapsto \text{let}(2, y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2, 4), \text{mult}(3, y)))}{\text{let}(4, x . \text{let}(2, y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2, x), \text{mult}(3, y)))) \mapsto^* 14}^{\text{LetVal}}$$
 \mathcal{D}_1

Step

 $\mathcal{D}_1 :$
$$\frac{}{2 \text{ val}}^{\text{Num}}$$
$$\frac{\text{let}(2, y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2, 4), \text{mult}(3, y))) \mapsto \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2, 4), \text{mult}(3, 2))}{\text{let}(2, y . \text{plus}(\text{mult}(2, 4), \text{mult}(3, y))) \mapsto^* 14}^{\text{LetVal}}$$
 \mathcal{D}_2

Step

$\mathcal{D}_2 :$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{2 * 4 = 8}{\text{mult}(2,4) \mapsto 8} \text{MultVal}}{\text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,4), \text{mult}(3,2)) \mapsto \text{plus}(8, \text{mult}(3,2))} \text{Plus1}}{\mathcal{D}_3}$$

Step

$$\text{plus}(\text{mult}(2,4), \text{mult}(3,2)) \mapsto^* 14$$

$\mathcal{D}_3 :$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{8 \text{ val}}{\text{Num}} \quad \frac{\frac{3 * 2 = 6}{\text{mult}(3,2) \mapsto 6} \text{MultVal}}{\text{plus}(8, \text{mult}(3,2)) \mapsto \text{plus}(8,6)} \text{Plus2}}{\mathcal{D}_4}$$

Step

$$\text{plus}(8, \text{mult}(3,2)) \mapsto^* 14$$

$\mathcal{D}_4 :$

$$\frac{\frac{8 + 6 = 14}{\text{plus}(8,6) \mapsto 14} \text{ PlusVal} \quad \frac{}{14 \mapsto^* 14} \text{ Refl}}{\text{plus}(8,6) \mapsto^* 14} \text{ Step}$$

Lemma. If $e_1 \mapsto e_2$ and $e_1 \mapsto e_3$, then $e_2 =_\alpha e_3$

Proof. By induction on the form of $e_1 \mapsto e_2$.

Case:
$$\frac{n_1 + n_2 = n \text{ nat}}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1]; \text{num}[n_2]) \mapsto \text{num}[n]} \text{ PLUSVAL}$$

In this case $e_1 = \text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1]; \text{num}[n_2])$ and $e_2 = \text{num}[n]$. It suffices to determine which expression e_3 is. The only possibilities are $e_3 = \text{plus}(e'_3; e''_3)$ for some expressions e'_3 and e''_3 , or $e_3 = \text{num}[n]$. We know that it is impossible for the former to be true, because e_1 's parameters are values, and e_3 must be reachable via e_1 . Thus, $e_3 = \text{num}[n] = e_2$.

Lemma. If $e_1 \mapsto e_2$ and $e_1 \mapsto e_3$, then $e_2 =_\alpha e_3$

Case:
$$\frac{e_4 \mapsto e'_4}{\text{plus}(e_4; e_5) \mapsto \text{plus}(e'_4; e_5)} \text{ PLUS1}$$

In this case $e_1 = \text{plus}(e_4; e_5)$ and $e_2 = \text{plus}(e'_4; e_5)$. It suffices to determine the form of e_3 . By assumption, $e_4 \mapsto e'_4$, and thus, e_4 is not a value. Thus, it must be the case that $e_3 = \text{plus}(e''_4; e_5)$ and $e_1 \mapsto e_3$ ends in the Plus1 rule as follows:

$$\frac{e_4 \mapsto e''_4}{\text{plus}(e_4; e_5) \mapsto \text{plus}(e''_4; e_5)} \text{ PLUS1}$$

At this point we know by assumption that $e_4 \mapsto e'_4$ and from our analysis above $e_4 \mapsto e''_4$. Hence, by the IH $e'_4 =_\alpha e''_4$ which implies that $e_2 = \text{plus}(e'_4; e_5) =_\alpha \text{plus}(e''_4; e_5) = e_3$.

Lemma. If $e_1 \mapsto e_2$ and $e_1 \mapsto e_3$, then $e_2 =_\alpha e_3$

All other cases are similar to the previous two.