National University of Singapore School of Computing CS1010S: Programming Methodology Semester I, 2022/2023

Mission 6 Diagnostics

Release date: 11th September 2022 **Due: 17th September 2022, 23:59**

Required Files

- mission06-template.py
- hi_graph_connect_ends.py
- diagnostic.py
- fibtrace.py

Background:

After days of training, the disciples are now able to freely conjure up curves. However, simple curves do not strengthen one's mindpower enough to manipulate real-life objects.

Grandmaster Ben explains that there is an interesting way to make the curves much more potent. Through Gosperization, images of these curves can be multiplied to greatly increase the strength of defence. However, he cautions that the process of Gosperization should be done quickly, so as not to waste the efficiency of the mind.

"Good. The technique of the mind comes in many forms, not just from a single source. Now multiply your image in your head, with the images coming in from different angles. Make sure the images come quickly into your mind..."

Information:

The Python source file has been renamed to hi_graph_connect_ends.py and modified to include the function connect_ends which should have been previously coded. You may now use the function directly from this source file.

To perform timing and tracing analysis, a new Python source file diagnostic.py has been provided to you. It contains various functions to help analyse the performance of your code.

For your convenience, the template file mission06-template.py contains a line to import the Python source file hi_graph_connect_ends.py, as well as diagnostic.py. Use the template file to answer the questions.

This mission has **three** tasks.

Task 1: (4 marks)

We now have three functions to compute gosper curves:

- gosper_curve
- gosper_curve_with_angle with argument lambda lvl: pi/4 using the "hand-crafted" definition of gosperize_with_angle above
- your_gosper_curve_with_angle in Mission 5 Task 3 that uses your_gosperize_with_angle based on put_in_standard_position.

Your task is to compare the time measurements of these functions for computing selected points on the curve at a **significant level**. The function profile_fn(fn, n) has been defined for you to help you with this task.

The $profile_fn(fn, n)$ function defined in diagnostic.py will report the time in milliseconds required to evaluate a function fn for n times. For example, evaluating

```
profile_fn(lambda: gosper_curve(10)(0.1), 50)
```

will print out the time to compute the point at .1 on the level 10 gosper_curve 50 times. An example of a sample run is as follows:

In the example above, the difference in time taken to profile both gosper_curve and gosper_curve_with_angle is very small (~0.2ms). This is insufficient to show whether there may be any difference in time taken to compute the two functions. You need to adjust the parameters well in order to obtain a significant difference among the two functions.

Similar to the sample run above, time **each of your three gosper curve functions for at least 5 times** to take the average time measurements for more accurate results, and present your findings in a neat and organized manner, as provided by the example in mission06-template.py.

Use your results from the three functions used to conclude, in general, if functions that are more customized (gosper_curve and gosper_curve_with_angle) have an advantage (in speed) as compared to functions which are more customizable (in this case, your_gosper_curve_with_angle).

Think of customizable functions as functions that use higher-level operations compared to customized functions. For example, the identity function is more customizable than the function that always returns 1. Another example is that a function that uses a user-defined higher-order function is more customized than a function that only uses basic operations to achieve the same result.

¹Significant level refers to one that does not take a ridiculous amount of time to perform the function, but yet enough to show the difference in time measurements between the different functions.

Task 2: (4 marks)

One of your fellow disciples, Joe, isn't entirely happy with the style of several of the definitions found in this training. In particular, he feels the code goes overboard in inventing names for values that are used infrequently, and this lengthens the code and burdens someone reading the code with remembering the invented names. For example, he thinks the definition

```
def rotate(angle):
    def transform(curve):
        def rotated_curve(t):
            pt = curve(t)
            x, y = x_of(pt), y_of(pt)
            cos_a, sin_a = cos(angle), sin(angle)
            return make_point(cos_a*x - sin_a*y, sin_a*x + cos_a*y)
        return transform
```

would be a bit more readable if the name pt for the value of curve(t) was dropped. He proposes instead:

```
def joe_rotate(angle):
    def transform(curve):
        def rotated_curve(t):
            x, y = x_of(curve(t)), y_of(curve(t))
            cos_a, sin_a = cos(angle), sin(angle)
            return make_point(cos_a*x - sin_a*y, sin_a*x + cos_a*y)
        return transform
```

The experienced curve instructor, Junwei, warns Joe that the substitution of pt with curve(t) he uses in joe_rotate is actually more computationally expensive compared to just using the abbreviation pt.

- 1. Does joe_rotate work and achieve the same purpose as rotate? (1 mark)
- 2. Briefly explain why using joe_rotate as a function in place of the original rotate in the definition of gosper_curve will turn a process whose time is linear in the level into one which is exponential in the level. (3 marks)

Task 3: (4 marks)

In this exercise we will learn how to use trace. Open fibtrace.py in IDLE:

```
from diagnostic import *
from hi_graph_connect_ends import *
def fib(n):
    if n < 2:
        return n
    else:
        return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2)
trace(fib)
fib(3)
untrace(fib)
fib(3)</pre>
```

Run this Python file. You should get the output as shown in Figure 1. When a function is traced, information on every entry and exit of that function is printed onto the screen. Upon entry, the function call is printed. Upon exit, the return value is printed after the arrow -->. Thus, we can see from the trace that fib has been called five times (there are five function calls, and five corresponding returned values). This information can be used to debug a function. untrace will need to be called to stop receiving information on function entry and exit.

Figure 1: Sample call to trace.

Trace x_{of} to show how dramatically Junwei's warning is confirmed when computing points on the gosper curve using joe_rotate as a subfunction in place of the original rotate.

Submit a table summarizing the number of calls to x_{of} by gosper_curve using the two different rotating functions for 5 illustrative levels.

Hints

1. A way to use joe_rotate in place of rotate is to use replace_fn. This is how to use it:

```
>>> original_rotate = rotate
```

Now both original_rotate and rotate refer to the same function. We do this so that we will have a 'handle' on the original rotate function.

```
>>> replace_fn(rotate, joe_rotate)
```

Both rotate and joe_rotate now refer to Joe's version of the rotate function. If we called gosperize now, it would utilize Joe's version of rotate. In this way, we are able to take timing for gosper_curve that uses joe_rotate without having to edit gosperize. If we did not define original_rotate, none of the variables would refer to the original rotate function and we would have 'lost' the function. To restore the original rotate function, do

```
>>> replace_fn(rotate, original_rotate)
```

2. Do not call a drawing function during tracing, otherwise Python may overflow your Python buffer by generating large amounts of trace output. Instead, trace the number of calls to x_of by obtaining the mid-point of gosper_curve, e.g. for level 3, call gosper_curve(3)(0.5).