

Topic 4 Martingales

1. Measure-theoretic view of probability theory
 - Sample space
 - σ -algebra
 - Probability triple
 - Filtration
 - Adaption
2. Motivation for introducing martingale
3. Definition of a martingale

Motivation

- The definition of a martingale involves conditional expectation, which is fundamentally defined relative to a sigma-algebra.
- A filtration is an increasing family of sigma-algebras that encodes the information available up to each time.
- In the martingale definition we condition on filtration.

Sample space

- Each possible outcome of a random experiment is called a sample point, usually denoted by ω ; the set of all possible outcomes is called the sample space, usually denoted by Ω .
- E.g., tossing a fair coin twice, the sample space is
$$\Omega = \{(H, H), (H, T), (T, H), (T, T)\}$$
- E.g., for experiments with continuous outcomes, the sample space is usually a continuum of numbers, often an interval of the real line.

Events

- We define **events** as subsets of a sample space. An event is said to occur if and only if one of the sample points it contains occurs.
- We regard Ω and \emptyset as events.
- Let Ω be a nonempty set, and let \mathcal{F} be a **collection of subsets** of Ω .

$$\Omega = \{1,2,3\}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$$

σ -algebra

Definition. We say that \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra (or σ -field) of Ω , provided that:

1. The empty set \emptyset belongs to \mathcal{F} ,
2. Whenever a set A belongs to \mathcal{F} , its complement A^c also belongs to \mathcal{F} , and
3. Whenever a sequence of sets $A_1, A_2 \dots$ belong to \mathcal{F} , their countable union $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ also belongs to \mathcal{F} .

$$\Omega = \{1,2,3\}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$$

Probability can be assigned to sets in the sigma-algebra later.

σ -algebra

E.g. Let $\Omega = \{1,2,3,4\}$. Determine whether or not each of the following is a σ – algebra on Ω .

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \{\emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$$

σ -algebra

- E.g., tossing a fair coin once $\{\text{tails}\} = \{\omega: X(\omega) = 0\}$ and $\{\text{heads}\} = \{\omega: X(\omega) = 1\}$ must belong to \mathcal{F} . Similarly, Ω and its complement \emptyset should also be in \mathcal{F} .
- Consider a **continuous valued** event, not only the events $\{\omega: a < X(\omega) \leq b\}$, but also $\{\omega: b < X(\omega)\}$, $\{\omega: X(\omega) \leq a\}$ should also be contained within \mathcal{F} .

σ -algebra

E.g. Let \mathcal{F} be a σ -algebra. If sets $A_i \in \mathcal{F}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ Show that the finite intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{F}, n \geq 1$.

- Proof:

$$A_i \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ so } \overline{A_i} \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\underline{\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{A_i}} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ so } \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{F}.$$

De Morgan's law : $A_1 \cap A_2 = \overline{\overline{A_1} \cup \overline{A_2}}$

The Borel σ -algebra

When the sample space contains real numbers, we cannot list all elements in \mathcal{F} . However, we could construct the σ -algebra by the following steps:

1. We start with a basic class of sets, such as all half-lines of the form $(-\infty, x]$, where x is any real number.
2. Using the defining properties of a σ -algebra (closure under countable unions and complements), we gradually extend this class of sets. This process incorporates various types of intervals (open, closed, singleton sets etc.).
3. Through repeated application of **countable unions, intersections, and complement** operations, we eventually obtain a **σ -algebra** that includes all open sets.

This σ -algebra is called the Borel σ -algebra, and its elements are called Borel sets.

it doesn't contain all possible subsets of real line.

Probability measure and probability space

Let Ω be a nonempty set, and let \mathcal{F} be a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω . A **probability measure** \mathbb{P} is a function that, to **every set** $A \in \mathcal{F}$, assigns a number in $[0,1]$, called the probability of A and written $\mathbb{P}(A)$. We require:

1. $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$, and
 2. Whenever $A_1, A_2 \dots$ is a sequence of **disjoint** sets in \mathcal{F} , then
 $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n)$ (countable additivity)
- The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a probability space.

Probability measure and probability space

- E.g. Consider the sample space of rolling a fair die $\Omega = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Consider this σ -algebra $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1,2,3\}, \{4,5,6\}, \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}\}$.
- A probability measure \mathbb{P} is defined on \mathcal{F} such that
 - $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
 - $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$
 - $\mathbb{P}(\{1,2,3\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{4,5,6\}) = 0.5$
- Now consider the subset $\{1,2\}$ which is not in the σ -algebra \mathcal{F} , it does not have a probability measure.
- Any set not in \mathcal{F} is not measurable and thus does not have a probability.

Probability measure and probability space

- We shall assume that there is an underlying probability triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with respect to which all further probability objects are defined. This assumption shall be universal that we will often not even mention it.

Random variable

- Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. A random variable is a function X from Ω to the real numbers \mathbb{R} such that

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{\omega \in \Omega; X(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ or equivalently

$\{\omega \in \Omega; X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$, for every Borel set B .

$$P \{a < X < b\}$$

- A random variable $X = X(\omega)$ is a real-valued function defined on sample space Ω and relative to a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} so that we can assign a probability measure to the random variable.

Random variable

- E.g., $\Omega = \{a, b, c, d\}$. $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \Omega\}$. $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}, \Omega\}$

Define a random variable $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$X(a) = 1, X(b) = 1, X(c) = 0, X(d) = 0.$$

Show that X is a random variable with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 but not \mathcal{F}_2 .

For $\{1\} \in \mathcal{B}$, the collection of events is $\{a, b\} \in \mathcal{F}_1$ but $\{a, b\} \notin \mathcal{F}_2$.

For $\{0\} \in \mathcal{B}$, the collection of events is $\{c, d\} \in \mathcal{F}_1$ but $\{c, d\} \notin \mathcal{F}_2$.

σ -algebra generated by random variable

- Let X be a random variable defined on a nonempty sample space Ω . The **σ -algebra generated by X** , denoted by $\sigma(X)$, is the collection of all subsets of Ω of the form $\{X \in B\}$, where B ranges over the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} .
- E.g., $\Omega = \{a, b, c, d\}$. $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \Omega\}$.

Define a random variable $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$X(a) = 1, X(b) = 1, X(c) = 0, X(d) = 0.$$

- $\underline{\sigma(X)}$ = $\{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \Omega\}$
- $\sigma(X)$ only **contains the events** whose values can be described by X .

σ -algebra generated by random variable

- $\sigma(X)$ is the smallest σ -algebra for which the random variable X is measurable.
- It is "smallest" in the sense that it includes no more sets than necessary to ensure that all the events in $\sigma(X)$ can be used to describe the behaviour of the random variable X .

σ -algebra generated by stochastic process

- For a stochastic process, the sample space refers to the set of all possible "paths". Each path represents a possible realization of the stochastic process at every point in time.
- For a stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$, $\sigma(X_t)$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing all sets of the form
$$\{\omega: \text{the sample path } X_t(\omega) \text{ belongs to } B\},$$
where B ranges over the Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}.$

Conditional expectation revisit

- Any events that are relevant to a random variable X can be found in $\sigma(X)$.
- Let Y be a random variable or a stochastic process on Ω and $\sigma(Y)$ the σ -algebra generated by Y .
- The conditional expectation of a random variable X given Y is defined by

$$E(X|Y) = E(X|\sigma(Y))$$

Filtration

power set.

- Consider tossing a fair coin 3 times. The sample space Ω is $\{(H, H, H), (H, T, H), (H, H, T), (H, T, T), (T, H, H), (T, H, T), (T, T, H), (T, T, T)\}$
- Denote the events as $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$. \mathcal{F} is the set of all subsets of Ω .

Before tossing the coins, what are the information we know?

- Before the first coin is tossed, we only know that for a sample point ω , $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega$, $\underline{\omega} \notin \emptyset$. At time point 0, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$.
- After the first coin is tossed, we obtain partial information of ω . For example, if it turns out that $\underline{\omega_1} = H$, we know $\omega \in A_1 = \{\text{the first toss is } H\}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $\omega \notin A_0 = \{\text{the first toss is } T\}$, $\omega \notin \emptyset$.

Filtration

- On the other hand, if $\omega_1 = T$, we know $\omega \in A_0 = \{\text{the first toss is } T\}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $\omega \notin A_1 = \{\text{the first toss is } H\}$, $\omega \notin \emptyset$.
- After the first coin is tossed, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\Omega, \emptyset, A_0, A_1\}$ and we can tell if $\omega \in A_0$ or $\omega \in A_1$.
- Also, $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$.
 \mathcal{F}_1 Contains the information of 1st toss.
- Similarly, after the second toss, suppose $\omega_1 = H, \omega_2 = T$, we know $\omega \in A_{10}, A_{10} = \{HTT, HTH\}, \omega \in \Omega, \omega \notin A_{01}, \omega \notin A_{00}, \omega \notin A_{11}, \omega \notin \emptyset$.
- At time point 2, $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\Omega, \emptyset, A_0, A_1, A_{10}, A_{01}, A_{00}, A_{11}, A_{10}^C, A_{01}^C, A_{00}^C, A_{11}^C, A_{11} \cup A_{01}, A_{11} \cup A_{00}, A_{10} \cup A_{01}, A_{10} \cup A_{00}\}$.
- $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}$

ω

A, A^C

Filtration

- The collection of σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ is an example of **filtration**.
- Let Ω be a nonempty set. Let T be a fixed positive number, and assume that for each $t \in [0, T]$ there is a σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}(t)$. Assume further that if $s \leq t$, then every set in $\mathcal{F}(s)$ is also in $\mathcal{F}(t)$. Then we call the **collection of σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$** , a filtration.
- When we get to time t , we will know whether the true sample point ω lies in specific sets of $\mathcal{F}(t)$.
- A filtration reflects an increasing stream of information.

Adaption

- The stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is said to be **adapted** to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ if

$$\underline{\sigma(X_t)} \subset \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0.$$

- The adoptedness of a stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ means that X_t s do not carry more information than \mathcal{F}_t .
- The stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is always **adapted to the natural filtration generated by** $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$.

$$\underline{\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s), s \leq t.}$$

Adaption

We could work with different filtrations for the same stochastic process.

- Consider a stock price process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$.
- Define $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s), s \leq t$, which includes only the historical stock price up to time t .
- Another filtration $\mathcal{G}_t, s \leq t$, which may include additional information such as relevant economic indicators, market news, and perhaps insider information.
- Our prediction of future value of $\{X_t\}$ may change if we consider different filtrations.

Adaption: motivation

- When discussing a stochastic process (e.g., assets price, portfolio processes (i.e., positions), wealth processes), it is generally important to specify that the process is adapted to a certain filtration.
- In Itô calculus, the integrand must be adapted to the filtration to ensure that the integral is well-defined and that the stochastic process behaves consistently with respect to the available information.

Motivation for introducing martingale

In the later chapters, you will see that

- Brownian motion is a martingale.
- Using the risk neutral probability, the discounted stock price and discounted European call option prices are martingales.
- Indefinite Itô integrals are martingales.

4.1 Martingales

- A stochastic process $\{Z_n | n \geq 1\}$ is said to be a *martingale* process if

$$\textcircled{1} E[|Z_n|] < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \quad (\underline{\text{integrability}})$$

and

$$\textcircled{2} E[Z_{n+1} | \underbrace{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n}_{\mathcal{F}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}] = Z_n. \quad (4.1)$$

- A martingale is a generalized version of a fair game.
- If we interpret $\underline{Z_n}$ as a gambler's fortune after the n th game, then Eq. (4.1) states that his expected fortune after the $(n + 1)$ st gamble is equal to his fortune after the n th gamble no matter what may have previously occurred, i.e. no gain or loss.
- Key properties: 1. Knowledge of previous events does not improve our position. 2. No gain or loss is expected.

A more rigorous definition of martingale

The stochastic process $\{X_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is called a discrete-time martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$ if

- $E|X_n| < \infty$ for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$
- X_n is adapted to \mathcal{F}_n
- $E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$, for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$

In other words, X_n is the best prediction of X_{n+1} given \mathcal{F}_n .

Take-home messages

- σ -algebra is a collection of subsets of a sample space Ω that satisfies the definitive properties.
- The definition of a martingale involves conditional expectations. Filtration helps in defining these conditional expectations by specifying what information is available at each time step.
- Martingales are defined with respect to specific filtrations. The filtration describes how information is revealed over time.