Introduction

Research Problem

Handwriting Recognition is an old ML problem that is still not completely solved because of its complexity. Once properly set up, It can be used to digitize different types of documents like historical texts, patient prescriptions, bank records, etc. In our project, we will be focussing on how we can utilize this technique to help society. We decided to create an application that will help visually impaired people listen to handwritten notes.

Why Is It Important?

Visually impaired people are dependent on other people to help them read handwritten notes. We want to make this task easier by providing an accessible application that would take an image of a handwritten note and convert it to lifelike speech. This will make it so the task can be done independently. Currently, there's no good free-to-use application that converts handwritten notes to audio. This project has a great social impact and can help many people struggling with accessibility.

What Do We Know So Far? Who has done what?

The existing work includes image to sequence model

(https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.06450.pdf) which does not include image segmentation. The author here has trained the model to detect full pages of handwritten text using the Image to Sequence model which can extract text present in an image and then sequence it correctly without imposing any constraints regarding orientation, layout and size of text and non-text. Another paper [2112.13328] Continuous Offline Handwriting Recognition using Deep Learning Models where handwriting recognition is done using deep learning uses a new recognition model based on integrating two types of deep learning architectures: convolutional neural networks (CNN) and sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) models, respectively. The convolutional component of the model is oriented to identify relevant features present in characters, and the seq2seq component builds the transcription of the text by modeling the sequential nature of the text.

Following the same deep learning technique another paper Handwritten Text Recognition using Deep Learning talks about using CNN model for classifying words & LSTM model for character segmentation.

For our project, we are using the IAM dataset, which already has many models built for handwriting recognition. However, we have not found any that also convert the text to speech. Some models that have been used include training the model on lines, words, and rarely also on characters. The character segmentation is a complex process to understand and replicate. Also, the use of deep learning techniques makes it difficult to interpret.

Knowledge Gap and Narrowing the Gap

There are many different handwriting styles and there is no perfect model that can convert handwriting to text. There are many models that have attempted to solve this problem using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and Multidimensional Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) and we are trying to find a better solution by testing these models against other traditional machine learning models like K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Random Forest, Naive Bayes and Support Vector Machines (SVM).

Another important component of this project is converting handwritten text to life-like speech. At present, there is no such product that uses a voiceover to read texts. Our aim is to work on the text-to-speech conversion to build a better model. An issue with converting handwritten text to speech (TTS) is we want to avoid having a robotic voice relay the text, having the voice sound more human-like will be a big challenge. There are different libraries like AWS Poly and Watson TTS that we have used.

Purpose of the study

The sole purpose of this project is to test different traditional models that are not commonly used for handwriting recognition, as well as to try & test different TTS libraries. Since we are using handwritten notes, the first question was to extract & identify words from the full-page text image. The next step we needed to tackle was how we can use different models to recognize words from images and compare them to already existing methods.

Also, we are reassembling the words into the final text and converting the text into life-like speech. So the next question would be to define a voice for the final text.


Methods and Materials

Data Sources

The IAM Handwriting Database contains handwritten English text in various formats that can be used to train and test handwritten text recognizers. The database has documents that contain unconstrained handwritten text forms, scanned at a resolution of 300 dpi and saved as PNG images with 256 gray levels. The dataset contains 115,320 words contained in 1,539 pages of handwritten text, by 657 unique writers. The dataset offers handwriting pages, which have also been extracted into lines and words using an automatic segmentation scheme. We have decided to use the full pages of the handwritten notes as a dataset since that is the most similar to what we would be faced with, in a real-world use case.

Sample image:

```
A MOVE to stop Mr. Gairshell from nominating any more Labour life Pear is to be made at a meeting of labour OM Ps tomorrow. Mr. Michael Foot has put down a resolution on the subject and he is to be backed by Mr. Will Giff, the,
```



Initial Setup

```
%capture
!rm -rf ml
# Using personal repo because 521 org private repo access is limited.
We did not copy whole code in this repo, only the common functions.
Main repo is still https://github.com/ISTA421INF0521/ML-final-project-
Handwriting-Recognition
!git clone https://github.com/pal0064/ml

%capture
!cd ml;make install-sys-packages
!cd ml;make install-python-packages
# restart runtime, some libraries need that.

# Download the dataset to google drive
# https://fki.tic.heia-fr.ch/databases/iam-handwriting-database

from google.colab import drive
drive.mount('/content/drive')
```

Download Dataset

Mounted at /content/drive

- Create a folder in Google Drive named iam_dataset
- Download all compressed iam files from iam
- Upload all files to iam_dataset folder

%%capture

!cd ml; make download-data-from-google-drive

Analysis

- We used the existing word-segmented images from the dataset.
- We reduced the dataset size (because of limited resources)
- · By using 1 of 2 approaches mentioned below

By choosing a maximum number of images per word

Start Model Training

import sys sys.path.insert(1, "/content/ml/src/python") from IPython.display import Audio import numpy as np from datasets.iam.labels pre processing import get input for labels based max size, get images and labels, get features _and_labels,get_input_for_labels_based_on_training_words,get_word from datasets.iam.predictions import recognize,get word count import random import easyocr import cv2 from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder from sklearn.model selection import train_test_split from tabulate import tabulate from ml.model.models import get gaussian model, get random forest model, get knn model, get svm model from ml.model.model exec import run model, run model with cv,qet predict from model, get accuracy score from ml.model.performance.model performance import get performance data from ml.model.performance.visualization.model_peformance_viz import make box plots for models performance comparison, show fig from ml.model.tuning.hyper param tuning import tune_svm_model, show tuned model performance from processing.image.image processing import read image, show image from processing.audio.tts import convert to audio import plotly import plotly.io as pio pio.renderers.default = "colab+notebook+pdf" np.random.seed(2022) # Folder containing images of words base path for images = "/words" # Text file containing info about images and words file path = "/words label/words.txt" Approach 1: Choosing the most common words from the dataset # Approach 1 # MAX WORDS: Number of words to be included in the our dataset (takes n most common words) MAX WORDS = 50

Approach 2: Choosing a list of handpicked words from the dataset

```
# Approach 2
# TRAIN_WORDS: specific list of words that we will include in the
dataset

TRAIN_WORDS = {'the', 'he', 'for', 'stop', 'on', 'Foot', 'Labour',
'at', 'Griffiths', 'and', 'of', 'by', 'any', '.', 'subject', 'Peers',
'tomorrow', 'nominating', 'has', 'Mr.', 'backed', 'MOVE', 'life',
'be', 'is', 'resolution', 'Ps', 'meeting', 'a', 'from', 'Michael',
'Gaitskell', 'more', 'put', 'Exchange', 'Manchester', 'to', 'down',
'Will', ',', 'made', 'P', 'A'}

# Choosing maximum number of images per word

MAX_IMAGES_PER_WORD = 200

TEST_DATASET_SIZE = 0.05 # portion of dataset we will use in test set
NORMALIZE_SCALE = 255.0 # normalizing pixels for all the images
IMAGE_SIZE = (128,128) # to resize words to same dimensions

METRICS = {}
```

Mapping the image to the label of the selected word

```
# APPROACH 1 (Max words)
# input_for_labels =
get_input_for_labels_based_max_size(file_path,max_words=MAX_WORDS,max_
images_per_word=MAX_IMAGES_PER_WORD)

# APPROACH 2 (List of words)
input_for_labels =
get_input_for_labels_based_on_training_words(file_path,TRAIN_WORDS,MAX_IMAGES_PER_WORD)
```


Image Processing

- We read the image in grayscale.
- We resize all images to a fixed size
- We converted each image to an array and normalized it.

Bounding Boxes for Word Detection

- Each line is detected by dilation technique
- Within each line, each word is detected

```
MOVE to stop Mr. Gaitshell from Movinating any more Labour life Food wominating any more Labour life Food wominating any more Labour life Food with to be made at a meeting of Labour is to be made at a meeting of Labour MPs tomorrow. Mr. Michael Foot has MPs tomorrow. Mr. Michael Foot has put down a resolution on the subject on a he is to be backed by Mr. Will on a he is to be backed by Mr. Will Griffiths, MP for Manched Exchange Griffiths, MP for Manched Exchange
```



```
input data = get images and labels(base path for images,
input for labels, IMAGE SIZE)
random.shuffle(input data)
We encoded the labels to map each label to a number
label encoder = LabelEncoder()
features, labels = get features and labels(input data, label encoder)
Training and testing split
x train,x test,y train,y test = train test split(features, labels,
test size=TEST DATASET SIZE)
x train unscaled, x test unscaled, features unscaled =
x train,x test,features
x train,x test = x train/NORMALIZE SCALE,x test/NORMALIZE SCALE
features = features/NORMALIZE SCALE
w train = len(set(y train.tolist())) # number of words in train set
w test = len(set(y test.tolist())) # number of words in test set
i_train = len(y_train.tolist()) # number of images in train set
i_test = len(y_test.tolist()) # number of images in test set
data = [["Training Set",i_train,w_train],["Test Set",i_test,w_test]]
print(tabulate(data, headers=["", "Number of images", "Number of
words"1))
```

	Number of images	Number of words
Training Set	4063	40
Test Set	214	30

```
We trained the dataset using the K cross-validation method with k=5 with different models:
     Naive Bayes
     Gaussian
     Multinomial
results = None
model_names = [
      'GaussianNB',
      'MultinomialNB'
for model_name in model_names:
  nb_model = get_gaussian model(model name)
  results = run model(nb model,x train,
y train,x test,y test,model name)
 METRICS[model name] = run model with cv(model name, nb model, x train,
y train)
  print("\n\n")
  final model = nb_model
Training time: 0 mins 2 sec
Model: GaussianNB
Using: Train/Test Split
Accuracy: 57%
CV Training time: 1 mins 17 sec
Model: GaussianNB
Using: 5 -fold cross validation
           Training Validation
Score
          -----
                      -----
Accuracy
           65%
                      56%
Precision 69%
                       62%
Recall
          65%
                       56%
F1
           64%
                       56%
Training time: 0 mins 0 sec
Model: MultinomialNB
Using: Train/Test Split
```

Accuracy: 62%

CV Training time: 0 mins 5 sec

Model: MultinomialNB

Using: 5 -fold cross validation Score Training Validation

```
Accuracy
          67%
                      61%
Precision 70%
                      66%
Recall
          67%
                      61%
F1
          68%
                      62%
     K Nearest Neighbors
     k = 5
     p=2 Euclidean Distance
model name = 'KNN'
knn model = get knn model()
run_model(knn_model,x_train, y_train,x_test,y_test,model_name)
METRICS[model name] = run model with cv(model name,knn model,x train,
y train)
Training time: 0 mins 0 sec
Model: KNN
Using: Train/Test Split
Accuracy: 71%
CV Training time: 0 mins 56 sec
Model: KNN
Using: 5 -fold cross validation
Score
         Training Validation
          -----
          79%
                     67%
Accuracy
Precision 83%
                     73%
Recall
          79%
                    67%
F1
          79%
                     66%
     Random Forest
     Number of trees = 100
model_name = 'Random Forest'
rf model = get random forest model()
run_model(rf_model,x_train_unscaled,
y_train,x_test_unscaled,y_test,model_name)
METRICS[model name] =
run model with cv(model name,rf model,x train unscaled, y train)
Training time: 0 mins 37 sec
Model: Random Forest
Using: Train/Test Split
Accuracy: 73%
CV Training time: 2 mins 36 sec
```

Model: Random Forest

```
Using: 5 -fold cross validation
Score
          Training
                     Validation
                     _____
                     74%
Accuracy
          100%
Precision 100%
                     76%
Recall
          100%
                     74%
F1
          100%
                     72%
```

- Support Vector Machines
- Poly kernel with Degree 3

```
model_name = 'SVM Poly'
svm_poly = get_svm_model()
run_model(svm_poly,x_train, y_train,x_test,y_test,model_name)
METRICS[model_name] = run_model_with_cv(model_name,svm_poly,x_train,
y_train)
```

Training time: 2 mins 23 sec

Model: SVM Poly

Using: Train/Test Split

Accuracy: 81%

CV Training time: 20 mins 28 sec

Model: SVM Poly

Using: 5 -fold cross validation
Score Training Validation
------Accuracy 100% 77%
Precision 100% 78%
Recall 100% 77%
F1 100% 76%

tuning using bayesian optimization

final model = tune svm model(x train,y train)

/usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/model_selection/
_split.py:676: UserWarning:

The least populated class in y has only 1 members, which is less than n_splits=5.

/usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/model_selection/_split.
py:676: UserWarning:

The least populated class in y has only 1 members, which is less than n_{splits} .

/usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/model_selection/_split.
py:676: UserWarning:

The least populated class in y has only 1 members, which is less than

n_splits=5.

/usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/model_selection/_split.
py:676: UserWarning:

The least populated class in y has only 1 members, which is less than $n_splits=5$.

/usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/model_selection/_split.
py:676: UserWarning:

The least populated class in y has only 1 members, which is less than $n_{splits=5}$.

Results

performance_df = get_performance_data(METRICS)
performance df.head(10)

model_name	fit_time	score_time	test_accuracy
train_accuracy \			
<pre>9 SVM Poly</pre>	94.785535	27.880433	0.752768
0.999385			
1 SVM Poly	103.129050	29.430198	0.739237
0.999692			
2 SVM Poly	99.588099	28.269174	0.773678
1.000000			
3 SVM Poly	91.350872	34.233665	0.773399
0.999692			
4 SVM Poly	102.283377	28.029816	0.801724
0.999692			
5 Random Forest	29.678700	0.109339	0.740467
0.999692			
6 Random Forest	29.541366	0.104445	0.720787
1.000000			
7 Random Forest	29.739092	0.102699	0.752768
1.000000		0.20200	01.70=700
8 Random Forest	35.137243	0.107289	0.725369
0.999692	331137113	0.120,200	01723303
9 Random Forest	29.673220	0.102428	0.752463
0.999692	23.073220	0.102420	01752405
0.333032			

	test f1	train f1	test precision	train precision	test recall	\
0	$0.748\overline{3}90$	$0.999\overline{3}85$	0.767978	0.999389	0.752768	
1	0.733072	0.999692	0.756133	0.999694	0.739237	
2	0.766208	1.000000	0.783222	1.000000	0.773678	
3	0.765465	0.999692	0.787177	0.999695	0.773399	
4	0.796604	0.999692	0.811073	0.999694	0.801724	


```
0.724363
             0.999692
                               0.760075
                                                 0.999694
                                                               0.740467
6
   0.703407
             1.000000
                               0.742881
                                                 1.000000
                                                               0.720787
             1.000000
7
   0.735750
                               0.773492
                                                 1.000000
                                                               0.752768
8
  0.708407
             0.999692
                               0.750114
                                                 0.999695
                                                               0.725369
9
   0.733664
                               0.761697
                                                               0.752463
             0.999692
                                                 0.999694
```

```
train recall
0
       0.999385
1
       0.999692
2
        1.000000
3
       0.999692
4
       0.999692
5
       0.999692
6
       1.000000
7
       1.000000
8
       0.999692
9
       0.999692
```

Accuracy Comparison

fig = make_box_plots_for_models_performance_comparison(performance_df,
['train_accuracy','test_accuracy'],'Accuracy')
show fig(fig)

fig.show() #for exporting to pdf & local pynb




Precision Comparison

fig=make box plots for models performance comparison(performance df,

['train_precision','test_precision'],'Precision') show_fig(fig)

fig.show() #for exporting to pdf & local pynb

Models Performance Precision Comparison




Recall Comparison

fig = make_box_plots_for_models_performance_comparison(performance_df,
['train_recall','test_recall'],'Recall')
show_fig(fig)

fig.show() #for exporting to pdf & local pynb

Models Performance Recall Comparison




F1 Score Comparison

fig = make_box_plots_for_models_performance_comparison(performance_df,
['train_f1','test_f1'],'F1 Score')
show_fig(fig)

fig.show() #for exporting to pdf & local pynb


Models Performance F1 Score Comparison



Score Time and Fit Time Comparison
fig = make_box_plots_for_models_performance_comparison(performance_df,
['score_time','fit_time'],'Score Time & Fit Time')
show_fig(fig)

fig.show() #for exporting to pdf & local pynb

Models Performance Score Time & Fit Time Comparison



```
# SVM model peformance after hyperparameter tuning show_tuned_model_performance(final_model,x_test,y_test)

test score: 0.8130841121495327

val. score: 0.7792352110713224

best params: OrderedDict([('C', 5.87540411933884e-05), ('degree', 6), ('gamma', 0.001948086705393068), ('kernel', 'poly')])
```


Discussion

- We can use an image to sequence model which does not use image segmentation.
 Replicating Full Page Handwriting Recognition via Image to Sequence Extraction
- We need lots of words images hard to obtain these images and make sure every word is included, and then it would be computationally expensive to train the model
- All the life-like speech libraries are paid
- Traditional ML models do not work really well

Demo


For the demo, we did word segmentation of the image using Opencv (bounding box) and predicted the text using the best model (SVM)

```
image_path = '/forms/a01-000u.png'
show image(read image(image path))
```



Using Human like voice

```
# Using Google Text to Speech engine
sound_file,predictions =
recognize(final_model,label_encoder,image_path,IMAGE_SIZE,NORMALIZE_SC
ALE,show_sample=20,forms=True,engine_type='gtts')
print(" ".join(predictions))
Audio(sound_file, autoplay=False)
# Not so good prediction because we don't have enough training images
for some words
```



, Mr. a Mr. by to be for by Mr. Mr. any more be the to Mr. Mr. to be A of , by he be life he to Mr. he to the for be , be , the subject at the Mr. , he be be by to made A Mr. , , for made for

<IPython.lib.display.Audio object>

Using Human like voice

Using AWS Polly engine To use AWS Polly, we need AWS Credentials (Access Key, Secret Key). Upload aws Credentials profile file to root directory of the project.

File name should be aws_credentials.

```
BBBB
# # Once you have uploaded the credentials, uncomment the code below
# sound file,predictions =
recognize(final model, label encoder, image path, IMAGE SIZE, NORMALIZE SC
ALE, show sample=10, forms=True, engine type='polly') #use polly or gtts
for aws polly
# print(" ".join(predictions))
# Audio(sound file, autoplay=False)
# Sample of using polly Text To Speech engine
# text = ['Hi', 'Cristian', 'I', 'am', 'Justin', '.',
'Congrats', 'on', 'being', 'nominated', 'for', 'afrocoloumbian', 'of',
'the', 'vear', '2022'1
# Audio(convert to audio(text, engine type='polly'))
Trying python package on same image
%%capture
# Trying easyocr library
reader = easyocr.Reader(['en'],gpu = False) # load once only in
memory.
image = read_image(image_path, forms=True)
r easy ocr = reader.readtext(image,detail=0)
WARNING:easyocr.easyocr:Using CPU. Note: This module is much faster
with a GPU.
WARNING:easyocr.easyocr:Downloading detection model, please wait. This
may take several minutes depending upon your network connection.
WARNING:easyocr.easyocr:Downloading recognition model, please wait.
This may take several minutes depending upon your network connection.
" ".join(r_easy_ocr)
{"type": "string"}
```

sample content of file: [default] aws access key id = AAAAAAA aws secret access key =

Limitations

We have limited computational resources. Since we are training the model on images of words, the dataset used has a limited number of words. It doesn't include every word in the English language, with all their variations, and some of the included words may have as little as one image. Finding a complete dataset would be near to impossible.

Future Work

Traditional machine learning models do not perform well so using Neural network models can probably increase the performance. For image segmentation to work well, we need to have a large inclusive dataset of words, which is hard to achieve, so instead we can use Image to Sequence architecture. In our project, we have given a voiceover to read the final text. Emotions are important when you are reading something to make it clear like sad, happy or excited. Introducing emotions to words can be a wide aspect to look at. Also, the handwritten text can not always make sense to the models like when working with different handwriting styles or coming across spelling mistakes, typos. We can always take a look at the large & synthetic datasets to work on more images.

Conclusion

We did handwriting recognition using different traditional models and image segmentation. The model with the best performance was Support Vector Machines. This model is easy to replicate and makes it easy to understand. Considering the performance, traditional ML models do not outperform Neural Networks. The image segmentation approach requires a lot of training data for each word, in order to predict accurately. It does not perform well when the data changes for e.g. curved text, change in height and width, and formatting and indentation is not always preserved. So, Image to Sequence architecture with Neural Networks implementation seems to be a better method, however it may not be as easily replicated as it is more complex and requires more computational costs.