

OSM Boot Camp Math Notes

Harrison Beard

Summer 2018

Mon, 23 Jul. 2018

- **Topic.** Nonlinear Optimization.

–

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \preceq \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

\Downarrow

$$\text{nonlinear } \min_{\mathbf{x}} f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Start with a guess \mathbf{x}_0 . This yields through the algorithm an \mathbf{x}_1 , then $\mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2$, and $\mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_3$, and so forth. Eventually we get convergence. This is all according to the rule

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = f(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

Typically, f does one of two things: It could move in a direction that decreases the objective function (**descent function**) or it could approximate the objective function near \mathbf{x}_i with some simpler function, and then that function itself is then optimized (**local approximation methods**).

- **Topic.** Convergence.

– What does it look like?

(i). $\|\mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}_i\| < \varepsilon$

(ii). $\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}_i\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_i\|} < \varepsilon$

(iii). $\|\mathbf{D}f(\mathbf{x}_i)\| < \varepsilon$, by the FONC

(iv). $|f(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_i)| < \varepsilon$

– Quadratic Optimization: f is minimized where g is minimized where

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c,$$

where $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{A}$. A minimizer exists only if $\mathbf{Q} > 0$. The minimizer is the solution to $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{D}g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$.

– In general, we find a solution to the linear system of equations of n equations with n unknowns:

(i). LU-Decomposition

(ii). QR-Decomposition

(iii). Cholesky

– All the above algorithms are $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ in time.

- **Topic.** Standard Least Squares.

- For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, the problem of finding an $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to minimize $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2$ is the same as minimizing

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} - 2\mathbf{Ax}\mathbf{b}.$$

Note

$$\langle \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} - 2\mathbf{Ax}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

We also have

$$\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

The solution is the same as minimizing $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} - 2\mathbf{Ax}\mathbf{b}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{D}g(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} \\ &= \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{b}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Topic.** Gradient Descent.

- Move in the direction of $-\mathbf{D}f^\top(\mathbf{x}_i)$, the direction of steepest descent. The new approximation:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i - \alpha \mathbf{D}f^\top(\mathbf{x}_i),$$

for some value of α . To choose α_i , choose

$$\alpha_i^* = \arg \min_{\alpha_i} f(\mathbf{x}_i - \alpha \mathbf{D}f^\top(\mathbf{x}_i)),$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i - \alpha_i^* \mathbf{D}f^\top(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

This policy of proceeding down the surface is called **steepest descent**.

- **Topic.** Newton's Method: multivariate version. Note that the Hessian $\mathbf{D}^2 f$ has to be positive definite.

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{D}^2 f(\mathbf{x}_i))^{-1} \mathbf{D}f^\top(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

Converges quadratically.

- Problems with Newton:

- (i). If \mathbf{x}_0 is too far from \mathbf{x}^* .
- (ii). When $\mathbf{D}^2 f(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is not positive definite ($\mathbf{D}^2 f(\mathbf{x}_i) \not\succ 0$).
- (iii). When $(\mathbf{D}^2 f(\mathbf{x}_i))^{-1} \mathbf{D}f^\top(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is too expensive to compute or unstable, or impossible.

Wed, 25 Jul. 18

Conjugate Gradient Methods.

- Different than Quasi Newton Method in that they don't store the $n \times n$ Hess (or approximations)
- Most useful when obj. fn. is of form:

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c,$$

where \mathbf{Q} is symmetric, $\mathbf{Q} > 0$, and \mathbf{Q} is sparse (most of the entries are zero).

- Each step of Conj. Grad. has temporal and spatial complexity $\mathcal{O}(m)$, where m is the number of nonzero entries.

Nonlinear Least Squares.

- Of the form

$$f = \mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{r}.$$

1. If the dimension is not too big:
 - (a) if \mathbf{x}_0 is close to \mathbf{x}^* :
 - i. If computing $(\mathbf{D}^2 f(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} \mathbf{D} f^T(\mathbf{x})$ is cheap and feasible, then use Newton's.
 - ii. Else,
 - If $f = \mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{r}$, use Gauss-Newton.
 - Use BFGS.
 - (b) Else, use a gradient descent until you get a better " \mathbf{x}_0 ".
 - (c) If all other methods are not converging rapidly, then try conjugate gradient.
2. If dimension large and Hess sparse, use conj. grad.

Gradient Methods.

Proposition 9.2.1.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that is differentiable at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Among all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , the unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has the gradient directional derivative $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}} f(\mathbf{x})$ at \mathbf{x} and has the normalized gradient

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T / \|\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T\|.$$

□ **Proof.** By C-S, for $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{D} f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})| &= |\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}| \\ &= |\langle \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T, \mathbf{u} \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T\|. \end{aligned}$$

But if we let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T / \|\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T\|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) &= \langle \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T, \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T \rangle / \|\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T\| \\ &= \|\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T\|, \end{aligned}$$

so the normalized gradient $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T / \|\mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})^T\|$ maximizes the directional derivative. ■