# Harvard University Extension School Computer Science E-121

#### Problem Set 4

Due Due October 23, 2015 at 11:59 PM.

Submit your solutions electronically on the course website, located at

https://canvas.harvard.edu/courses/4896/assignments. On the site, use the assignments tab to find the correct problem set, then with the "submit assignment" button, upload the PDF file of your solution.

#### LATE PROBLEM SETS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

See the syllabus for the collaboration policy.

#### PROBLEM 1 (3+3+3 points, suggested length of 1/2 page)

For each of the following languages, state whether the language is context-free or not. If context-free, give a context-free grammar that generates the language (or construct a pushdown automaton that recognizes the language). If not context-free, write a short proof to justify.

- (A)  $\{a^n : n \text{ is a prime number}\}.$
- (B)  $\{a^n b^m : n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m \text{ and } n \neq 2m\}.$
- (C)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(ab)^n (ca)^n (cb)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

#### PROBLEM 2 (6 points, suggested length of 1/2 page)

Draw the state diagram of a PDA that recognizes the language  $\{w : \text{the number of } a \text{'s in } w \text{ is greater}$ than two times the number of  $b \text{'s in } w \}$ . Use Sipser's notation to label the transitions. (That is, use labels in the form  $x, y \to z$  to mean that when you read an x from your string and pop a y from the stack, follow the transition and push a z onto the stack.) Explain in a few sentences why your construction is correct (no need to prove formally).

## PROBLEM 3 (6 points, suggested length of 1/2 page)

A pushdown automaton (PDA) is made by equipping an NFA with a stack. In this problem, we consider an NFA equipped with a queue – a Queue Automaton (QA). The queue supports the following two operations:

- 1. Pop. Read the leftmost symbol of the queue and remove it from the queue.
- 2. Push. Write a symbol to the rightmost end of the queue.

In a Queue Automaton, a transition  $(q, \sigma, \gamma) \to (q', \gamma')$  means "if the machine is in state q, after reading  $\sigma$  from the input string and popping  $\gamma$  from the queue, push  $\gamma'$  onto the queue and transition to state q'". Note that  $\varepsilon$ -transitions are still allowed. For example,  $(q, \varepsilon, \gamma) \to (q', \gamma')$  doesn't read anything from the input string. You can also use an  $\varepsilon$  for  $\gamma$  to indicate that nothing should be popped from the queue, and an  $\varepsilon$  for  $\gamma'$  to indicate that nothing should be pushed onto the queue. Initially the queue is empty.

We saw in class that  $L = \{a^{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not Context-Free, so there isn't a PDA that recognizes it. Construct a Queue Automaton that *does* recognize L (give the 6-tuple for it) and provide a short explanation for why it works.

# PROBLEM 4 (4+6+(2)) points, suggested length of 1 page)

Recall that allowing nondeterminism did not add any power to finite automata—any language that could be accepted by an NFA could also be accepted by a DFA. In this problem, you will show that this is *not the case* for PDAs by defining Deterministic PDAs (DPDAs).

## DPDAs are similar to PDAs with a few subtle differences:

- Most notably, they are deterministic in their transitions and stack operations. Note that this means that they don't allow transitions on  $\varepsilon$ . In one step, a DPDA reads exactly one input symbol, reads and pops exactly one symbol from the top of the stack, and pushes a *string* onto the stack.
- Instead of starting with an empty stack, they start with a special symbol, \$, as the lone symbol on the stack. This way a DPDA can always know when it has reached the bottom of its stack. The \$ symbol can never be erased; that is, any transition reading \$ must push it back on the stack.
- (A) Formalize the above by definining a DPDA as a 7-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \$, \delta, q_0, F)$ , filling in the requirements of each component. You can refer to the definitions of a regular PDA for the components that don't change.
- (B) Now you will show that DPDAs are more powerful than finite automata. Consider the language MAJORITY =  $\{w : w \text{ contains more } a \text{'s than } b \text{'s} \}$ . Construct a DPDA that recognizes MAJORITY. Prove that no finite automata recognizes MAJORITY.
- (C) (CHALLENGE!!! Optional, worth 2 extra points.) Show that DPDAs are less powerful than PDAs.

# PROBLEM 5 (8 points, suggested length of 3/4 page)

If L is a language, then PERMUTATION(L) =  $\{x : \text{there exists a string } w \in L \text{ such that } |x| = |w| \text{ and the number of occurrences of any letter in } w \text{ and } x \text{ are the same} \}$ . Show that if L is regular, then PERMUTATION(L) is context free when  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . (Hint: Your proof must use the fact that there are only two symbols in the alphabet—the proof does not generalize to the case  $|\Sigma| > 2$ .)