Blind selection sort analysis

Christopher He

October 21, 2023

1 Exact running time

Let a be a permutation of 1, 2, ..., N. Let $inv(a) = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le N, a_i > a_j\}$ be the set of inversions of a. Let $ginv(a) = \{(i, j) \in inv(a) \mid a_j > j\}$ be the set of good inversions of a. Let $inv_k(a) = \{(i, j) \in inv(a) \mid i = k\}$ denote inversions where the left is k, and similarly define $ginv_k(a)$.

First we proved that if $(i, j) \in inv(a)$ and a_j starts before its correct position j, then so does a_i .

Lemma 1. If $(i,j) \in ginv(a)$ then $a_i > i$.

Proof. If
$$(i,j) \in ginv(a)$$
 then $a_i > a_j > j > i$, so $a_i > i$.

We then proved that if an element starts before its position i.e $a_i > i$, the distance $a_i - i$ is at most $|inv_i(a)|$.

Lemma 2. If $a_i > i$ then $a_i - i \leq |inv_i(a)|$.

Proof. There are a_i-1 elements smaller than a_i , but only i-1 available positions to its left. That means that at least $a_i-1-(i-1)=a_i-i$ are on its right, forming that many inversions. So $|inv_i(a)| \ge a_i-i$.

Conjecture 1. The number of swaps s(a) performed by blind selection sort on permutation a is

$$s(a) = \sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$
 (1)

Proof. In general, the number of swaps involving a_i (where a_i is the left element) is equal to $|inv_i(a)|$. For example, let a = [6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1]. The number of swaps involving 3 is 2. When the algorithm searches for 1, it will generate one swap for 3. Another one will be generated when 2 is being searched.

But notice that a swap with a_i has a net effect of shifting a_i to the right. If an element starts before its position $(a_i > i)$, then by Lemma 2, the element shifts at most $a_i - i$ times before it is frozen.

Lastly, we subtract $\sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$ to account for that fact that elements may be frozen "accidentally", saving one swap for every unfrozen element before it. Note that by Lemma 1, $\sum_i |ginv_i(a)| = \sum_{i < a_i} |ginv_i(a)|$.

Conjecture 2. The number of swaps s(a) performed by blind selection sort on permutation a is

$$s(a) = \sum_{i} inv_i(a) - 2\sum_{i} |ginv_i(a)|$$
 (2)

Proof. From Conjecture 1 we know the number of swaps is

$$s(a) = \sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$
 (3)

If the following equality is proven

$$\sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i = \sum_{i < a_i} inv_i(a) - \sum_i ginv_i(a)$$
(4)

then this statement is proven.

$$s(a) = \sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$

$$= \sum_{i \le a_i} inv_i(a) - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)| + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$

$$= \sum_i inv_i(a) - 2\sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$
(5)

2 Structure of the worst case input

Theorem 1. If a is the worst case permutation of 1, 2, ..., N for blind selection sort, then $ginv_i(a) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume $ginv_i(a) \neq \emptyset$, then pick $(i,j) \in ginv_i(a)$ such that $\forall k,i < k < j, a_k < k$. So we start with $a = [a_1, ..., a_i, ..., a_j, ..., a_N]$. We can construct a new permutation by swapping a_i and a_j i.e $a' = [a_1, ..., a_j, ..., a_i, ..., a_N]$. This effectively removes the good inversion (i,j). Furthermore, let k,i < k < j. The number of inversions involving k remains the same after the swap. TODO: finish By Conjecture 2, s(a') = s(a) + 1, thus contradicting that a is the worst case.

This gives us the immediate result that in the worst input a, if an element starts before its position, all elements before it are smaller (otherwise $ginv(a) \neq \emptyset$).

Corollary 1. In the worst case permutation of 1, 2, ..., N for blind selection sort, if $a_j > j$ then $\forall i < j, a_i < a_j$.

Theorem 2. The worst case permutation of 1, 2, ..., N for blind selection sort starts with an increasing, consecutive sequence up to N-1.

Lemma 3. If a is the worst input for blind selection sort and $a_j > j$, then $\forall i < j, a_i > i$.

Lemma 4. If a is the worst input for blind selection sort and $\exists j > 1$ such that $a_j > j$, then $a_{j-1} = j-1$.