## Blind selection sort analysis

## Christopher He

October 25, 2023

## 1 Exact running time

Let a be a permutation of 1, 2, ..., N. Let  $inv(a) = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le N, a_i > a_j\}$  be the set of inversions of a. Let  $ginv(a) = \{(i, j) \in inv(a) \mid a_j > j\}$  be the set of good inversions of a. Let  $inv_k(a) = \{(i, j) \in inv(a) \mid i = k\}$  denote inversions where the left is k, and similarly define  $ginv_k(a)$ .

First we proved that if  $(i, j) \in inv(a)$  and  $a_j$  starts before its correct position j, then so does  $a_i$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $(i,j) \in ginv(a)$  then  $a_i > i$ .

*Proof.* If 
$$(i,j) \in ginv(a)$$
 then  $a_i > a_j > j > i$ , so  $a_i > i$ .

We then proved that if an element starts before its position i.e  $a_i > i$ , the distance  $a_i - i$  is at most  $|inv_i(a)|$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $a_i > i$  then  $a_i - i \leq |inv_i(a)|$ .

*Proof.* There are  $a_i-1$  elements smaller than  $a_i$ , but only i-1 available positions to its left. That means that at least  $a_i-1-(i-1)=a_i-i$  are on its right, forming that many inversions. So  $|inv_i(a)| \ge a_i-i$ .

Conjecture 1. The number of swaps s(a) performed by blind selection sort on permutation a is

$$s(a) = \sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$
 (1)

*Proof.* In general, the number of swaps involving  $a_i$  (where  $a_i$  is the left element) is equal to  $|inv_i(a)|$ . For example, let a = [6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1]. The number of swaps involving 3 is 2. When the algorithm searches for 1, it will generate one swap for 3. Another one will be generated when 2 is being searched.

But notice that a swap with  $a_i$  has a net effect of shifting  $a_i$  to the right. If an element starts before its position  $(a_i > i)$ , then by Lemma 2, the element shifts at most  $a_i - i$  times before it is frozen.

Lastly, we subtract  $\sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$  to account for that fact that elements may be frozen "accidentally", saving one swap for every unfrozen element before it. Note that by Lemma 1,  $\sum_i |ginv_i(a)| = \sum_{i < a_i} |ginv_i(a)|$ .

Conjecture 2. The number of swaps s(a) performed by blind selection sort on permutation a is

$$s(a) = \sum_{i} inv_i(a) - 2\sum_{i} |ginv_i(a)|$$
 (2)

Proof. From Conjecture 1 we know the number of swaps is

$$s(a) = \sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$
 (3)

If the following equality is proven (TODO: prove this by proving that good inversions balance the distance)

$$\sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i = \sum_{i \le a_i} inv_i(a) - \sum_i ginv_i(a)$$
(4)

then this statement is proven.

$$s(a) = \sum_{i < a_i} a_i - i + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$

$$= \sum_{i \le a_i} inv_i(a) - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)| + \sum_{i > a_i} |inv_i(a)| - \sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$

$$= \sum_i inv_i(a) - 2\sum_i |ginv_i(a)|$$
(5)

## 2 Structure of the worst case input

**Theorem 1.** If a is the worst case permutation of 1, 2, ..., N for blind selection sort, then  $ginv_i(a) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $ginv_i(a) \neq \emptyset$ , then pick  $(i,j) \in ginv_i(a)$  such that  $\forall k,i < k < j, a_k < k$ . So we start with  $a = [a_1, ..., a_i, ..., a_j, ..., a_N]$ . We can construct a new permutation by swapping  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  i.e  $a' = [a_1, ..., a_j, ..., a_i, ..., a_N]$ . This effectively removes the good inversion (i,j). Furthermore, let k,i < k < j. The number of inversions involving k remains the same after the swap. TODO: finish. By Conjecture 2, s(a') = s(a) + 1, thus contradicting that a is the worst case.

This gives us the immediate result that in the worst input a, if an element starts before its position, all elements before it are smaller (otherwise  $ginv(a) \neq \emptyset$ ).

**Corollary 1.** In the worst case permutation of 1, 2, ..., N for blind selection sort, if  $a_j > j$  then  $\forall i < j, a_i < a_j$ .

**Theorem 2.** The worst case permutation of 1, 2, ..., N for blind selection sort starts with an increasing, consecutive sequence up to N.

**Lemma 3.** If a is the worst input for blind selection sort and  $a_j > j$ , then  $\forall i < j, a_i > i$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the equivalent statement: if j > 1,  $a_j > j$ , then  $a_{j-1} > j - 1$ . Assume for the sake of contradition that  $a_{j-1} < j - 1$ . By Corollary 1, we know  $a_{j-1} < a_j$ . So switch the position of  $a_{j-1}$ , and  $a_j$ . We created an inversion, and we know this is not a good inversion since  $a_{j-1} < j - 1 < j$  (in other words, after moving  $a_{j-1}$  to the right, it is still after its correct position.) So by Conjecture 2, we made an even worse input.

**Definition 1.** If  $a_k = x$  then idx(x) = k.

**Lemma 4.** If a is the worst input for blind selection sort and  $\exists j > 1$  such that  $a_j > j$ , then  $a_{j-1} = a_j - 1$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 1,  $a_{j-1} < a_j$ . Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $a_{j-1} \neq a_j - 1$ , so  $a_{j-1} < a_j - 1$ . Let  $k = idx(a_j - 1)$ , we know that k > j - 1, otherwise  $(k, j - 1) \in ginv(a)$ . Switch  $a_{j-1}$  with  $a_k$ . By doing this we create an inversion (j - 1, k), and we know this is not a good inversion since  $a_{j-1} < a_k < k$ . TODO: show that elements between j and k were unaffected. Thus by Conjecture 2, we made an even worse input.

*Proof.* Since idx(N) < N (otherwise it is frozen), then by Lemma 2 and 3, the statement is proven.