

# Search for production of a Higgs boson and a single top quark in $\mu\mu$ final states in proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

Departamento de Investigación en Física  
Maestría en Ciencias (Física)  
Hiram Ernesto Damián

Universidad de Sonora

March 27, 2019



## Contenido

- 1 Overview and motivation
  - 2 tH mechanisms
  - 3 Production cross section
  - 4 Higgs Branching ratios
  - 5 Previous results
  - 6 Fitting
  - 7 Results
  - 8 References
  - 9 Supportive slides



## Overview

- Through this project we will investigate the production of Higgs boson in association with a single top quark ( $tH$ ) in proton-proton collisions with the CMS experiment of the LHC. This mechanism of production of the Higgs boson has not been observed before by any experiment.
  - Understanding the production of the Higgs boson, as well as its decays are an important part of the physical program of the CERN international laboratory experiments that try to complete the tests to verify the Standard Model, the theory of the fundamental particles




## Motivation for single top Higgs (tH)

- Coupling measurement is essential to establish the nature of the Higgs
  - The exploration of Higgs production on the tH channel is subject relatively new. Measurements of CMS and ATLAS are compatible with SM predictions.
  - The tH study explores the relative sign of top-Higgs and W-Higgs couplings.  
Small deviations from SM predictions could be associated with physics beyond the standard model (BSM) such as String Theory and Supersymmetry.



# Standard Model

## Standard Model of Elementary Particles



## Higgs Lagrangian

Higgs lagrangian part from SM lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \quad (1)$$

with


- $D_\mu \Phi = \left( \partial_\mu + igW_\mu - \frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu \right) \Phi$
  - $V(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) = -\mu^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2, \quad \mu^2 > 0$

where


- $\Phi$  is higgs field which is a complex scalar field.
  - $W_\mu$  and  $B_\mu$  are gauge bosons
  - $ig$ : fermion-fermion-scalar coupling constant
  - $\lambda$  and  $\mu^2$  are Higgs potential parameters (coupling and mass parameters respectively).



## Higgs production mechanisms



## tH production mechanisms



**Figure:** tH mechanism. Higgs radiated from a top quark (left). Higgs radiated from a W boson (right)

# tH production mechanisms

- In a proton proton collision, the production cross section of the single top plus Higgs boson ( $tHq$ ) process is driven by a destructive interference of two main diagrams (see Fig. 1.), where the Higgs couples to either the  $W$  boson or the top quark.
- Any deviation from the standard model (SM) in the Higgs coupling structure could therefore lead to a large enhancement of the cross section, making the analysis sensitive to such deviations.



# tH production mechanisms

- A second process, where the Higgs and top quark are accompanied by a W boson ( $tHW$ ) has similar behavior, albeit with a weaker interference pattern.
- However, in the presence of new physics, there may be relative opposite signs between the  $tH$  and  $WH$  couplings which lead to constructive interference and enhance the cross sections by an order of magnitude or more.

# Cross section


- Classical definition: When two particles interact, cross section is the area transverse to their relative motion within which they must meet in order to scatter from each other.
- Quantum definition: Cross section describes the likelihood of two particles interacting under certain conditions[1]
- Experimentally

$$d\sigma = \frac{\text{number of particles scattered into solid angle } \Delta\Omega}{(\text{number of particles incident})(\text{scattering centers/area})} \quad (2)$$

- Cross sections are expressed in barns , where  $1 \text{ barn} = 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^{-2}$



## Cross section



**Figure:** Drawing of an idealized scattering process showing the differential solid angle  $\Delta\Omega$  and the scattering angle  $\theta$

## Cross section

The reaction rate  $N_R$  is determined by the total cross section  $\sigma$  and the incident flux  $L$ .

L is called luminosity and it is measured in  $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ .

$$N_R = \sigma L \quad (3)$$

# Higgs production Cross section


Higgs boson production cross sections in pp collisions for  $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{TeV}$  (in pico barn). Integrated luminosity of  $35.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  for Run 2<sup>1</sup>

Production mechanism	$\sigma$ (picobarns pb)	Number of events
ggF	48.93	1756587
VBF	3.78	135702
WH	1.35	48465
ZH	0.88	31592
ttH	0.50	18255
tH (only)	0.015	560.39

<sup>1</sup>Data taken from The cern collaborarion "Higgs Physics the HL-LHC and HE-LHC" 2019,  
CERN-LPCC-2018-04



## Higgs production cross section



**Figure:** Higgs boson production cross sections as a function of the centre-of-mass-energies



# Branching ratio

In particle physics, the branching ratio for a decay process is the ratio of the number of particles which decay via a specific decay mode with respect to the total number of particles which decay via all decay modes.  
<sup>2</sup>.

$$\text{BR} = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\sum_i \Gamma_i} \quad (4)$$

Where  $\Gamma = \sum_i \Gamma_i$  is the total decay width (sum of all partial widths) of the particle and is related to lifetime of the particle:  $\Gamma = 1/\tau$ . Since the dimension of  $\Gamma$  is the inverse of time, in our system of natural units, it is measured in inverse seconds.<sup>0</sup>

<sup>0</sup> Cleaves H.J. (2011) Branching Ratio. In: Gargaud M. et al. (eds) Encyclopedia of Astrobiology. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg



## Higgs Branching ratio




Figure: Standard Model Higgs boson decay branching ratios

# Higgs Branching ratios per channel

SM Higgs boson branching ratios for  $M_H = 125$  GeV

Higgs decay	Branching ratio (BR)
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	$5.82 \times 10^{-1}$
$H \rightarrow W^+W^-$	$2.15 \times 10^{-1}$
$H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$	$6.27 \times 10^{-2}$
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	$2.61 \times 10^{-2}$
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	$2.27 \times 10^{-3}$
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	$1.53 \times 10^{-3}$
$H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	$2.17 \times 10^{-4}$

### $\mu\mu$ same sign decay rate


Table of decay chains for tH. Expected number of final events assuming 560 produced tH events. l represents  $\mu^\pm, e^\pm, \tau^\pm$ .

Decay chain	BR	Events
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b W^+ W^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b \mu^+ \nu_\mu q \bar{q}$	$2.096 \times 10^{-3}$	1.173
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b W^+ W^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b \mu^+ \nu_\mu l \nu_l$	$3.37 \times 10^{-4}$	0.899
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b \tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau l \nu_l \nu_\tau$	$3.637 \times 10^{-4}$	0.203
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b W^+ W^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau b \mu^+ \nu_\mu q \bar{q} \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau b \mu^+ \nu_\mu q \bar{q}$	$1.890 \times 10^{-4}$	0.105
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b \tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b q \bar{q} \nu_\tau \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau$	$1.681 \times 10^{-4}$	0.094
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b W^+ W^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau b \mu^+ \nu_\mu l \nu_l \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau b \mu^+ \nu_\mu l \nu_l$	$3.045 \times 10^{-5}$	0.017
$tH \rightarrow q \bar{q} b ZZ \rightarrow q \bar{q} b \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.966 \times 10^{-5}$	0.011
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b \tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau b \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau q \bar{q} \nu_\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau b \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau q \bar{q} \nu_\tau$	$1.549 \times 10^{-5}$	0.008
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b Z \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$6.888 \times 10^{-6}$	0.003
$tH \rightarrow W^+ b ZZ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b \mu^+ \mu^- l^+ l^-$	$3.962 \times 10^{-6}$	0.002



LHC

CEBN's Accelerator Complex



LHC Large Hadron Collider SPS Super Proton Synchrotron PS Proton Synchrotron

**AD**: Anticoagulants Discontinuation; **CTEP**: Cte Toxit Efficacy; **ASSURE**: Advanced MAkefield Enrollment; **ESCAPE**: Escalating Sustained Oral Line Dose.

LEED, Low Emission Ring, UNAC, UNlinear ACcalculator, nToE, Nonlinear Time Of Effects, HIRsimMat, HiGHS, Radiation to Materials



# LHC parameters

Quantity	Number
Circumference	26 659 m
Dipole operating temperature	1.9 K (-271.3°C)
Number of magnets	9593
Number of main dipoles	1232
Number of main quadrupoles	392
Number of RF cavities	8 per beam
Nominal energy, protons	6.5 TeV
Nominal energy, ions	2.56 TeV/u (energy per nucleon)
Nominal energy, protons collisions	13 TeV
No. of bunches per proton beam	2808
No. of protons per bunch (at start)	$1.2 \times 10^{11}$
Number of turns per second	11245
Number of collisions per second	1 billion

Table. LHC characteristics for run 2.



# LHC

## Accelerator operation energies

Accelerator	Energy
Linac 2	50 MeV
PS Booster	1.4 GeV
Proton Scyncroton (PS)	25 GeV
SPS	450 GeV
LHC	6.5 TeV

# CMS detector

## CMS DETECTOR

Total weight : 14,000 tonnes

Overall diameter : 15.0 m

Overall length : 28.7 m

Magnetic field : 3.8 T

STEEL RETURN YOKE  
12,500 tonnes

SILICON TRACKERS  
Pixel ( $100 \times 150 \mu\text{m}$ )  $\sim 16\text{m}^2 \sim 66\text{M}$  channels  
Microstrips ( $80 \times 180 \mu\text{m}$ )  $\sim 200\text{m}^2 \sim 9.6\text{M}$  channels

SUPERCONDUCTING SOLENOID  
Niobium titanium coil carrying  $\sim 18,000\text{A}$

MUON CHAMBERS  
Barrel: 250 Drift Tube, 480 Resistive Plate Chambers  
Endcaps: 468 Cathode Strip, 432 Resistive Plate Chambers

PRESHOWER  
Silicon strips  $\sim 16\text{m}^2 \sim 137,000$  channels

FORWARD CALORIMETER  
Steel + Quartz fibres  $\sim 2,000$  Channels

CRYSTAL  
ELECTROMAGNETIC  
CALORIMETER (ECAL)  
 $\sim 76,000$  scintillating  $\text{PbWO}_4$  crystals

HADRON CALORIMETER (HCAL)  
Brass + Plastic scintillators  $\sim 7,000$  channels





Figure: Compact muon solenoid

CMS integrated luminosity




**Figure:** Integrated luminosity for CMS experiment



## Topology of events tH

The characteristics of the signal th:

- $t \rightarrow W^+ b \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu b$
  - $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ 
    - $W \rightarrow l^\pm \nu$ , where  $l$  can be  $\mu^\pm, e^\pm, \tau^\pm$
    - $W \rightarrow q\bar{q}$
  - W bosons decay leptonically resulting in a signature of two same-sign leptons
  - a light-flavor quark and a b jet quark escape in the forward-backward regions [2]



## Event selection

The main analysis strategy is to obtain a selection of events compatible with certain characteristics of the signal at pre-selection level.

It is required:


- The events are selected those that contain two leptons ( $\mu^+ \mu^-$ ) with the same sign.
- Transverse moment  $p_t > 25$  and  $15$  GeV, for the muons.
- A forward jet with  $p_t > 40$  GeV,  $| \eta | > 2.4$
- One or more b-jets with ( $| \eta | < 2.4$ )[1]

## Previous results

- The scalar resonance discovered by the CMS and ATLAS Collaborations at the LHC in 2012 has been found to have properties consistent with the predictions of the standard model (SM) for a Higgs boson with a mass of about 125 GeV
- Direct searches for tHq production using all relevant Higgs decay modes have previously been carried out by CMS in the 8 TeV dataset and in the 2015  $s=\sqrt{13}$  TeV dataset using the  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  channel, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $35.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$


## Previous results

Process	$\mu\mu$
t $\bar{t}$ W $^\pm$	68.03 $\pm$ 0.61
t $\bar{t}$ Z/t $\bar{t}\gamma$	25.89 $\pm$ 1.12
WZ	15.07 $\pm$ 1.19
ZZ	1.16 $\pm$ 0.29
W $^\pm$ W $^\pm$ qq	3.96 $\pm$ 0.52
W $^\pm$ W $^\pm$ (DPS)	2.48 $\pm$ 0.42
VVV	2.99 $\pm$ 0.34
ttt	2.32 $\pm$ 0.45
tZq	5.77 $\pm$ 2.24
tZW	2.13 $\pm$ 0.13
$\gamma$ conversions	-
Non-prompt	80.94 $\pm$ 2.02
Charge flips	-
Total Background	210.74 $\pm$ 3.61
t $\bar{t}$ H	24.18 $\pm$ 0.48
tHq (SM)	1.43 $\pm$ 0.04
tHW (SM)	0.71 $\pm$ 0.03
Total SM	237.06 $\pm$ 3.64
tHq ( $\kappa_V = 1 = -\kappa_t$ )	18.48 $\pm$ 0.22
tHW ( $\kappa_V = 1 = -\kappa_t$ )	7.72 $\pm$ 0.17
Data	280



Post-fit categorized BDT classifier outputs as used in the maximum likelihood fit for the  $\mu\mu$  channel for 35 fb $^{-1}$ . In the box below each distribution, the ratio of the observed and predicted event yields is shown.





**Figure:** Observed and expected 95% C.L. upper limit on the  $tH + ttH$  cross section times  $H \rightarrow WW + \tau\tau + ZZ$  branching fraction for different 20 values of the coupling ratio  $\kappa_t/\kappa_v$ . The expected limit is derived from a background-only MC dataset.



# Main backgrounds

In the leptonic channels, the main backgrounds are expected to arise from the production of top quarks

- In the dominant  $t\bar{t}$  mode, where same-sign dilepton signatures can occur when a non-prompt lepton from heavy-flavor decay passes the signal selection, or in associated production with a W/Z or Higgs boson.
- Processes with single top quarks also contribute, mostly in the associated production with a Z boson ( $tZ$ ) or when produced with both a W and a Z boson ( $WZ$ )

## Main backgrounds

- Backgrounds from associated production of  $t\bar{t}$  pairs and electroweak bosons ( $t\bar{t}W^\pm$  and  $t\bar{t}Z$ ) are estimated directly from simulated events, which are corrected for data/MC differences and inefficiencies in the same way as signal events.
  - Diboson production with leptonic Z decays and additional jet radiation in the final state can lead to signatures very similar to that of the signal. Due to the larger cross section, the main contribution arises from WZ production.



## Main backgrounds

All background contributions are obtained from ttH same sign  $\mu\mu$  analysis.

- WZ
  - ttZ
  - ttH
  - tV,VVV,WW,tttt
  - Non prompt leptons are included



# Boosted decision tree (BDT)

A decision tree takes a set of input features and splits input data recursively based on those features. Boosting is a method of combining many weak learners (trees) into a strong classifier. The features can be a mix of categorical and continuous data.

- ① BDT training using MC samples for signal and backgrounds for  $t\bar{t}V$  ( $t\bar{t}W^\pm$  and  $t\bar{t}Z$ ):
- ② Against combined  $t\bar{t}Z$  and  $t\bar{t}W$ : prompt lepton type background.
- ③ Extract the signal contribution in a second analysis step, using multivariate discriminators against the main backgrounds of  $t\bar{t}W^\pm$  / $t\bar{t}Z$  and non prompt leptons from  $t\bar{t}[2]$ .



## BDT Variables

- Trailing lepton  $p_t$
  - Total charge of tight leptons
  - $\min \Delta R$  (lepton pairs)
  - $\Delta\phi$  between highest  $p_t$  lepton pair
  - Number of jets with  $|\eta| < 2.4$
  - Number of non b-tagged jets with  $|\eta| > 1.0$
  - Maximum  $|\eta|$  for jets
  - $\Delta\eta$  (most forward light jet, closest lepton)
  - $\Delta\eta$  (most forward light jet, hardest loosely b-tagged jet)
  - $\Delta\eta$  (most forward light jet, 2nd hardest loosely b-tagged jet)



# Sources of uncertainty on event yields

- Luminosity measurement: 2.6%.
- Data/MC scale factors for lepton selection (ID, iso) and trigger efficiencies 5% per lepton.
- Choice of PDF set:
  - 3.7% for tHq
  - 4% for tHW, t $\bar{t}$ W, t $\bar{t}$ Z, ttH


## Sources of uncertainty on event yields

### Systematic uncertainties (background normalization)

- $t\bar{t}h$  5%
  - $t\bar{t}Z$  10.7 %
  - $t\bar{t}W$  12.6 %
  - $tZ$  50%
  - $WZ$  50%
  - Non prompt leptons /fakes 40%



## Fitting



# Results

## Likelihood scan

The likelihood function is the product of Poisson probabilities for all bins

$$L(\mu, \theta) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(\mu s_j + b_j)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-(\mu s_j + b_j)} \quad (5)$$

- N=number of bins
- $\mu$ =parameter of signal
- s=signal
- b=background
- n=number of events




# Results

Table: Prefit and postfit table for each yield.


Process	Number of events prefit	Number of events Postfit
tH	$2.13 \pm 0.05$	$10.28 \pm 26.17$
tth	$24.18 \pm 0.10$	$24.31 \pm 0.13$
t̄tW	$68.03 \pm 8.60$	$75.57 \pm 7.85$
t̄tZ	$25.89 \pm 2.78$	$26.43 \pm 2.77$
tZ	$15.04 \pm 7.52$	$16.25 \pm 7.53$
WZ	$15.07 \pm 7.53$	$15.95 \pm 7.46$
fakes	$80.94 \pm 32.37$	$96.80 \pm 25.58$

Prefit uncertainty is statistical only. Postfit uncertainty is statistical + systematic.

## Results



Pre-fit signal and background yields for tH process. In the box below each distribution, the ratio of the observed and predicted event yields is shown



Post-fit signal and background yields for the  $t\bar{t}H$  process. In the box below each distribution, the ratio of the observed and predicted event yields is shown




# Results

Table: Prefit and postfit table for each yield.  $k_t = -1$ .

Process	Number of events prefit	Number of events Postfit
tH	$26.2 \pm 0.27$	$6.49 \pm 25.38$
tth	$24.18 \pm 1.31$	$24.32 \pm 0.14$
t̄tW	$68.03 \pm 8.60$	$75.69 \pm 8.178$
t̄tZ	$25.89 \pm 2.78$	$26.44 \pm 2.78$
tZ	$15.04 \pm 7.52$	$16.40 \pm 7.44$
WZ	$15.07 \pm 7.53$	$16.10 \pm 7.53$
fakes	$80.94 \pm 32.37$	$99.45 \pm 25.80$


Prefit uncertainty is statistical only. Postfit uncertainty is statistical + systematic.

## Results



### Pre-fit signal and background yields for tH process for $k_t = -1$ .

In the box below each distribution, the ratio of the observed and predicted event yields is shown



Post-fit signal and background yields for tH process for  $k_t = -1$ .

In the box below each distribution, the ratio of the observed and predicted event yields is shown



# Results

## Likelihood scan

- Likelihood function (often simply the likelihood) is a function of the parameters of a statistical model, given specific observed data.
- Likelihood functions play a key role in frequentist inference, especially methods of estimating a parameter from a set of statistics.
- In informal contexts, "likelihood" is often used as a synonym for probability.

# Results

## Likelihood scan


To test a hypothesized value of  $\mu$  we consider the profile likelihood ratio

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\theta})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta})} \quad (6)$$


- Here  $\hat{\theta}$  in the numerator denotes the value of  $\theta$  that maximizes  $L$  for the specified  $\mu$ , it is the conditional maximum-likelihood (ML) estimator of  $\hat{\theta}$  (and thus is a function of  $\mu$ ). The denominator is the maximized (unconditional) likelihood function, i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\theta}$  are their ML estimators[3].
- The presence of the nuisance parameters broadens the profile likelihood as a function of  $\mu$  relative to what one would have if their values were fixed. This reflects the loss of information about  $\mu$  due to the systematic uncertainties[3][4].

## Results

## Likelihood scan



## Likelihood scan for $k_t=1$ (SM)



### Likelihood scan for $k_t = -1$



## References

- Gross F. *Relativistic quantum mechanics and field theory* 1994 Wiley
  - The CMS collaboration, *Search for production of a Higgs boson and a single top quark in multilepton final states in proton collisions at 13 TeV*, CMS-PAS-HIG-17- 005
  - Verkerke W *Dealing with systematic uncertainties* 2014. From [https://indico.cern.ch/event/287744/contributions/1641261/attachments/535763/738679/Verkerke\\_Statistics\\_3.pdf](https://indico.cern.ch/event/287744/contributions/1641261/attachments/535763/738679/Verkerke_Statistics_3.pdf)
  - Cowan G. , Cranmer K., Gross E. , Vitells O. *Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics* 2013 , arXiv:1007.1727



## Back up




## BDT parameters

- Gradient boosted (BDTG)
  - No. of trees = 800
  - No. of cuts = 50
  - Maximum depth = 3
  - Found to be most discriminating, minimal overtraining.



TMVA overtraining check for classifier: BDTG



# List of histograms used in the analysis

Data taken from the file plots-thq-2lss-kinMVA.root 2016  
CERN

thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tZq  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_ttZ  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_VVV  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_ttw  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_data\_fakes  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tth  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tHW\_hww  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_WWss  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tttt  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_WZ  
thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tHq\_hww

## Backgrounds and signal histograms

## tHq: Signal (tH)

- thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tHq\_hww
  - thqMVA ttv 2lss 40 tHW hww

## Backgrounds

ttW

- thqMVA ttv 2lss 40 ttW

ttZ

- thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_ttZ

wz

- thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_WZ



## Backgrounds and signal histograms

## Backgrounds

tZ, VVV, tttt, WW;

- thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tZq
  - thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_WWss
  - thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_VVV
  - thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_tttt

11

- thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_ttH

### Non prompt leptons (fakes)

- thqMVA\_ttv\_2lss\_40\_data\_fakes



# Sources of systematic uncertainty in HEP (high energy physics)

## Detector-simulation related uncertainty

- Calibrations (electron, jet energy scale)
  - Efficiencies (particle ID, reconstruction)
  - Resolutions (jet energy, muon momentum)

## Theoretical uncertainties

- Factorization/Normalization scale of MC generators
  - Choice of MC generator (ME and/or PS, e.g. Herwig vs Pythia)

## Monte Carlo Statistical uncertainties

- Statistical uncertainty of simulated samples[2]



# Observed events

- After applying the event pre-selection on the dataset, 280 events are observed in the same-sign  $\mu\mu$  channel
- The events are then sorted into ten categories depending on the output of the two BDT classifiers according to an optimized binning strategy, resulting in a one-dimensional histogram with ten bins.
- In each point, the tH and ttH production cross sections and the Higgs decay branching ratios are modified with the Higgs-top ( $\kappa_t$ ) and Higgs-vector boson ( $\kappa_v$ ) coupling strength.
- The Higgs-tau coupling strength modifier ( $\kappa_\tau$ ) is assumed to be equal to  $\kappa_t$ .
- All other parameters are assumed to be at the values predicted by the standard model[1].



# $\alpha$ values for post fit

Floating Parameter	FinalValue	+/- Error
Lumi	1.0000e+00	+/- 1.00e-04
alpha_sample_tth_sys	1.1516e-01	+/- 9.94e-01
alpha_sample_ttw_sys	8.7701e-01	+/- 9.13e-01
alpha_sample_ttz_sys	1.9573e-01	+/- 9.95e-01
alpha_sample_tz_sys	1.6106e-01	+/- 1.00e+00
alpha_sample_wz_sys	1.1766e-01	+/- 9.90e-01
alpha_sample_fakes_sys	4.8992e-01	+/- 7.90e-01
mu	4.8280e+00	+/- 1.23e+01

# $\alpha$ values for post fit thq $k_t=1$

Floating Parameter	Final Value	+/- Error
Lumi	1.0000e+00	+/- 1.00e-04
alpha_sample_tth_sys	1.1667e-01	+/- 9.96e-01
alpha_sample_ttw_sys	8.9019e-01	+/- 9.51e-01
alpha_sample_ttz_sys	2.0063e-01	+/- 9.99e-01
alpha_sample_tz_sys	1.8157e-01	+/- 9.90e-01
alpha_sample_wz_sys	1.3754e-01	+/- 1.00e+00
alpha_sample_fakes_sys	5.7180e-01	+/- 7.97e-01
mu	2.4808e-01	+/- 9.69e-01

# Statistical test

It is convenient to use the statistic

$$t_\mu = -2 \ln \lambda(\mu) \quad (7)$$

as the basis of a statistical test. Higher values of  $t_\mu$  thus correspond to increasing incompatibility between the data and  $\mu$ . We may define a test of a hypothesized value of  $\mu$  by using the statistic  $t_\mu$  directly as measure of discrepancy between the data and the hypothesis, with higher values of  $t_\mu$  correspond to increasing disagreement[4]

## Statistical test




Figure: Statistic test plot  $f(t_\mu)$  vs  $t_\mu$  with  $t_\mu = -2 \ln \lambda(\mu)$



## P value

To quantify the level of disagreement we compute the P-value

$$P_\mu = \int_{t_\mu}^{\infty} f(t\mu|\mu) dt_\mu \quad (8)$$

where  $t_\mu$  is the value of the statistic  $t\mu$  observed from the data and  $f(t\mu|\mu)$  denotes the PDF (Probability density function) of  $t\mu$  under the assumption of the signal strength  $\mu$ [4]

## P value




Figure:  $P_\mu$  vs  $f(t_\mu | \mu)$

