Device Metadata Directly sourceID: 1
EPSS Score: 0.00010000
EPSS Percentile: 0.00000
CVSS Severity: MEDIUM
CVE-ID: CVE-2018-1000
Attack ID: T1027
Description: Obfuscated Files or Information
Source: Enterprise ATT&CK
Application Isolation and Sandboxing (ID: M1048)
ID: 0A: Dynamic Analysis
ID: 0MP: Hardware-based Process Isolation
ID: 0AC: Mandatory Access Control
ID: 0CE: System Call Blocks
Defensive Focusing on opening a file in a realistic "sandbox" environment to determine if the file is a malicious program or if the file exploits another program such as a document reader.
How it works: Analyzing the interaction of a piece of code with a system while the code is being executed in a controlled environment such as a sandbox, virtual machine, or emulator. This exposes the natural behavior of the piece of code without requiring the code to be disassembled.
Considerations: Malware often detects a fake environment, then changes its behavior accordingly. For example, it could detect that the system clock is being sped up in an effort to get it to execute commands that it would normally only execute at a later time, or that the hardware manufacturer of the machine is a manufacturer that typically provides hardware virtualization. Malware may also attempt to determine if it is being debugged, and change its behavior accordingly. Sometimes the malware behavior is triggered only under certain conditions (on a specific system date, after a certain time, or after it is sent a specific command) and can't be detected through a short execution in a virtual environment.
Implementations: Cuckoo Sandbox
Examples: No examples available
Defensive Focusing on process. Executing in the memory space of another process through hardware based address mapping.
How it works: Process isolation, in this context, address space separation controlled by a security function that limits the communication between processes so that one process cannot directly modify the executing code of another process. Sandboxing process isolation is commonly implemented through Direct Memory Access (DMA) which collaborates with a Memory Management Unit (MMU), or Input/Output Memory Management Unit (IOMMU). These hardware controls are deployed directly on processors to aid hosts or enclaves in process isolation.
Considerations: Please locate step 1 - Sandboxing Process Isolation is commonly implemented through hardware controls directly in physical address space.
Implementations: Intel Virtualization Technology for Directed I/O (Intel VT-d), Chromebook
Examples: No examples available
Defensive Controlling access to kernel computer system resources with kernel-level capabilities.
How it works: Mandatory access control is a non-discretionary access control system because the rules and policies that determine access is determined by a security control authority and not distributed to local users. Access determinations are based on designated access control policies and are not based on local resource owner determinations. Access is typically granted by defining sets of subjects and sets of objects. Subjects are the entities requesting access and objects are the resources that subjects are trying to access. Rules and policies are defined that associate subjects and object permissions and access controls.
Implementations: Some implementations of security kernel mandatory access control system examples rules are that are hard to verify and complex to maintain over time. Initial phasing of security kernel and continuous monitoring of the available users, resources and objects is necessary.
Examples: Linux's Grsecurity and Policy engine like SELinux, Windows Mandatory Integrity Control introduced in Windows Vista
No additional information available.