WTI Example

Scott W. Hegerty

ECON 343, Spring 2021

This example runs the oil-price volatility modeling exercise from *Macroeconomic Data Analysis." The course originally used Eviews and Excel; here it is in R. A few of the numbers might not be exactly the same due to differences in how I set up the calculations.

Procedure

First, pull the preformatted data from the website. Remember that an essential part of the course is finding the data yourself and constructing a usable database, but this is a defined example.

```
data<-read.csv("https://sites.google.com/site/swhegerty/macroeconomic-data-analysis/WTI_Data.cs
v",header=TRUE)
head(data)</pre>
```

```
## DATE WTI PPI

## 1 1/1/1980 37.00 85.2

## 2 2/1/1980 37.04 86.9

## 3 3/1/1980 39.52 87.5

## 4 4/1/1980 39.50 87.8

## 5 5/1/1980 39.50 88.3

## 6 6/1/1980 39.50 88.7
```

The data (West Texas Intermediate oil price, in dollars, and the U.S. Producer Price Index) are monthly and begin in January, 1980.

Next, we isolate the main variables and use them to create the real oil price. We save a step and immediately format the real oil price *WTI* as a time series.

```
wti<-data[,2]
ppi<-data[,3]
rwti<-ts(100*wti/ppi,start=c(1980,1),frequency = 12)</pre>
```

Next, we calculate log changes and percent changes, both month-on-month and year-over-year. This gives us three versions of the percent change in real oil prices. Note the functions used, including logs, lags, and differences:

```
dlnrwti<-100*diff(log(rwti))
momrwti<-100*diff(rwti)/lag(rwti,-1)
yoyrwti<-100*diff(rwti,12)/lag(rwti,-12)</pre>
```

Measuring Volatility

The main goal here is to measure the time-varying volatility of log real oil prices, first by calculating a rolling standard deviation. Here, I make sure that these results match Excel's population standard deviation by adjusting by the population parameter $(n/n_1)^{.5}$. In this exercise, we will need to make sure we have the *zoo* and the

rugarch packages installed. We apply the standard deviation function over rolling 12-month windows. Then we make a time series and adjust the population size.

```
library(zoo)
sd12dln<-rollapply(dlnrwti,12,FUN="sd")
sd12dln<-ts(sd12dln,end=c(2016,9),frequency = 12)
sd12dln<-sqrt(11/12)*sd12dln</pre>
```

We can make a matrix that includes all of our series: Nominal WTI, the PPI, real WTI, the three inflation rates, and the volatility term. We lose 11 observations, since the first 12 months generate only one rolling standard deviation.


```
series<-na.omit(cbind(wti,ppi,rwti,dlnrwti,momrwti,yoyrwti,sd12dln))
print(head(series))</pre>
```

```
## wti ppi rwti dlnrwti momrwti yoyrwti sd12dln
## [1,] 38.00 95.2 39.91597 1.2123468 1.2197255 -8.085396 2.895510
## [2,] 38.00 96.1 39.54214 -0.9409374 -0.9365245 -7.229690 2.875698
## [3,] 38.00 97.0 39.17526 -0.9321663 -0.9278351 -13.263283 2.128549
## [4,] 37.99 98.0 38.76531 -1.0519693 -1.0464554 -13.833066 2.115847
## [5,] 36.02 98.3 36.64293 -5.6305176 -5.4749376 -18.086818 2.422183
## [6,] 36.00 98.5 36.54822 -0.2587922 -0.2584577 -17.928420 2.430802
```

We can plot this matrix as a time series (another option could be to re-format it, but I will leave it as-is here). First, we plot the nominal and real oil price:

```
ts.plot(cbind(wti,rwti),lty=c(1,3),col=c("black","#333333"),xlab="",ylab="",main = "Nominal and
Real Oil Prices")
legend("topleft",legend=c("WTI","RWTI"),lty=c(1,3),col=c("black","#333333"),bty="n")
```


Nominal and Real Oil Prices



We can also plot the real oil price by itself:

ts.plot(rwti,xlab="",ylab="",main = "Real WTI Oil Price")


Real WTI Oil Price



Next, we plot log changes (the percentage change):

```
ts.plot(dlnrwti,xlab="",ylab="",main = "Real WTI (monthly log changes)")
```


Real WTI (monthly log changes)



We also plot the rolling standard deviation. Note periods of high volatility in the late 1980s, during the 1991 Gulf War, and around the 2008 Global Financial Crisis.

```
ts.plot(sd12dln,xlab="",ylab="",main = "Real WTI Volatility, Rolling St. Dev.")
```

Real WTI Volatility, Rolling St. Dev.



Next, we make a (formatted) correlation table for the percentage change series. The (monthly) log approximation is very close to the monthly inflation rate, but the annual inflation rate differs from the other two series.

```
cortable<-round(cor(series[,4:6]),3)
cortable[lower.tri(cortable)]<-""
print(noquote(cortable))</pre>
```

```
## dlnrwti momrwti yoyrwti
## dlnrwti 1 0.996 0.268
## momrwti 1 0.256
## yoyrwti 1
```

We then make a table of summary statistics. This uses the *apply()* function and also compiles and rounds the numbers. The '2' in the *apply()* function refers to columns (rows would be '1'). For this example, the statistics are calculated for the common sample that begins in 1981, so mean *RWTI* would be different if the full sample (beginning in 1980) were used.

```
series2<-series[,c(1,3,4,7)]
sumtable<-rbind(colMeans(series2),apply(series2,2,sd),apply(series2,2,min),apply(series2,2,max))
rownames(sumtable)<-c("Mean","SD","Min","Max")
print(round(sumtable,2))</pre>
```

```
##
           wti rwti dlnrwti sd12dln
## Mean 41.23 27.23
                       -0.11
                                6.52
         28.36 12.33
## SD
                       7.75
                                3.31
## Min
         11.31 9.21
                     -38.04
                                1.25
## Max 133.93 66.80
                      37.36
                               17.07
```

Many academic papers require this table, even if you never refer to it again.

The GARCH Model


The R results do not exactly match the EViews results used elsewhere, but the *rugarch* package is comes close.

```
library(rugarch)
```

We use the traditional Box-Jenkins approach to identify an appropriate ARMA(p,q) model via the Autocorrelation Function and the Partial Autocorrelation Function:


```
acf(dlnrwti)
```

Series dInrwti



```
pacf(dlnrwti)
```

Series dInrwti



For our purposes, an AR(1) will work. We don't seem to need any higher AR order, and this looks better than an ARMA(1,1):

```
ar1<-arima(dlnrwti,order = c(1,0,0))
print(ar1)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## arima(x = dlnrwti, order = c(1, 0, 0))
##
## Coefficients:
## ar1 intercept
## 0.2873 -0.1344
## s.e. 0.0456 0.4901
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 53.78: log likelihood = -1501.06, aic = 3008.12
```

```
arma11<-arima(dlnrwti,order = c(1,0,1))
print(arma11)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## arima(x = dlnrwti, order = c(1, 0, 1))
##
## Coefficients:
## ar1 ma1 intercept
## 0.1504 0.1503 -0.1376
## s.e. 0.1525 0.1518 0.4725
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 53.66: log likelihood = -1500.55, aic = 3009.11
```

No coefficient is significant in the ARMA(1,1) model.

We specify a GARCH(1,1) model with an AR(1) mean equation as follows:

```
 \verb|g1<-ugarchspec(variance.model=list(model="sGARCH", garchOrder=c(1,1)), mean.model=list(armaOrder=c(1,0)), distribution.model="std") |
```

Next, we fit the model:

```
garch11<-ugarchfit(g1,data = dlnrwti)</pre>
```

We can examine the coefficients from the model-they should all be significant.


```
garch11@fit$matcoef
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## mu -0.3274099 0.31233531 -1.048264 2.945169e-01
## ar1 0.2189884 0.04979272 4.398000 1.092528e-05
## omega 1.5907510 1.24291738 1.279853 2.005970e-01
## alpha1 0.2537457 0.05531249 4.587493 4.486004e-06
## beta1 0.7452543 0.05582120 13.350741 0.0000000e+00
## shape 8.8783725 3.23272514 2.746405 6.025233e-03
```

The intercepts are not significant, but the others are.

```
vol1 <- ts(garch11@fit$sigma^2,end=c(2016,9),frequency = 12)
ts.plot(vol1,xlab="",yab="",main="Real WTI Volatility, GARCH(1,1)")</pre>
```

Real WTI Volatility, GARCH(1,1)



The same periods exhibit volatility as was shown with the moving standard deviation.