### Notes on Multi-view Matrix Factor

Zhu Tianhua

09300240004

May 22, 2012

#### What we want to do

- Given some observed vectors  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , each  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are features for one object.
- Reduce dimension for X's and Y's.

### Ideas

- Build three matrices, P, A and Q, so that  $EXY^{\top} \approx PAQ^{\top}$ .
- $P \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times s}, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times t}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times t}$
- So that  $\bar{X} = P^{\top}X = \arg\min_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} \left[ \mathfrak{L}_1(Px,X) + R_1(x) \right] \in \mathbb{R}^s$ .  $\mathfrak{L}_1$  is a loss function, and  $R_1$  is a regularization parameter to encourage sparsity.  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^s$  Similar conditions hold for  $\bar{Y} = Q^{\top}Y$ .

### Relation to two-view topic model

- Consider two hidden random vectors  $W \in \mathbb{R}^s$  and  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^t$  that are unobserverd. Due to symmetry, discussions about Y is omitted here.
- W is a posibility vector which means that X is generated from a mixture W over s topics. Denote the probability of topic I as  $p_I(X)$ , then we have
- $p(X|W) = \sum_{l=1}^{s} W_l p_l(X)$
- p(X|W) is independent of Y and Z, but W and Z are correlated.

## Explaining P, A, and Q

So, we have:

$$\mathsf{E}_{X,Y}XY^{\top} = \sum_{l,l'} \mathsf{E}W_l Z_{l'} \int X p_l(X) \int Y^{\top} q_{l'}(Y) \tag{1}$$

$$P \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times s}$$
 satisfies that  $P[I,:] = \int X p_I(X)$   
 $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times t}$ ,  $Q[I,:] = \int Y q_{I'}(Y)$ .  
 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times t}$ ,  $A_{I,I'} = \mathsf{E}_{W,Z} W_I Z_{I'}$ 

### Constraints on these three matrices

 $A_{i,j} \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} = 1$ , measuring how topics are correlated.  $P,Q \ge 0.||P[I,:]||_1 = 1, ||Q[I',:]||_1 = 1.$ 

#### Consider the following optimization:

$$L_{1} = \lambda_{1} E_{X} \inf_{x} \left[ \mathfrak{L}_{1}(Px, X) + R_{1}(x) \right]$$

$$L_{2} = \lambda_{2} E_{Y} \inf_{y} \left[ \mathfrak{L}_{2}(Qy, Y) + R_{2}(y) \right]$$

$$L_{0} = \mathfrak{L}_{0}(PAQ^{\top}, E_{X,Y}XT^{\top}) + R_{0}(P, A, Q)$$

$$min_{P,A,Q}[L_{1} + L_{2} + L_{0}]$$

## Algorithm

$$\mathsf{E}_{X_i, Y_k} X_j Y_k = P_i^{\top} A Q_k, 1 \le j \le p, 1 \le k \le q, A, P_j, Q_k \ge 0$$
 (2)

$$[P, A, Q] = \arg\min_{P, A, Q} \sum_{j,k} (P_j^\top A Q_k - \mathsf{E} X_j Y_k)^2 \tag{3}$$

and  $||P||_0 \le u, ||Q||_0 \le v$ 

Alternating least squares: Fix P, A, and solve for Q; then fix P, Q for A, and A, Q for P.

Consider diagonal A at first.



### Least square

Denote 
$$\Delta = PAQ^{\top} - EXY^{\top}$$
, so we have  $L = \sum_{j,k} (P_j^{\top} A Q_k - EX_j Y_k)^2 = \operatorname{trace}(\Delta \Delta^{\top})$   $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Delta} = 2\Delta, \ \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial P} = -AQ^{\top}.$ 

Similar conclusions apply to Q.

We have two ways to optimize L. One is to use Newton's method to solve the equation, solve alternatively for the three variables:

$$L(P,A,Q)=0 (4)$$

Another method is to solve equations  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial *} = 0$ , where \* stands for P, Q and A.



## Lagrange multiplier

Rewrite our target function F as:

$$F = L + R + \mu(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} - 1) + \sum_{l} \nu_{l}(||P[l,:]||_{1} - 1) + \sum_{l'} \kappa_{l'}(||Q[l',:]||_{1} - 1)$$
(5)

So, we have:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial P} = \frac{\partial (L+R)}{\partial P} + \sum_{l} \nu_{l} sgn(P[l,:]) \mathbf{1}_{l}^{p \times s} = 0$$
 (6)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial Q} = \frac{\partial (L+R)}{\partial Q} + \sum_{l'} \kappa_{l'} \operatorname{sgn}(Q[l',:]) \mathbf{1}_{l'}^{q \times t} = 0$$
 (7)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial A} = \frac{\partial (L+R)}{\partial A} + \mu \mathbf{1}_{t \times s} = 0$$
 (8)

with all these constraints are simutaneous.



# Algorithm (cont'd)

**Newton's Method** Take *P* for example.

$$dL = \sum_{j,k} 2(P_j^\top A Q_k - \mathsf{E} X_j Y_k) d(P_j^\top A Q_k - \mathsf{E} X_j Y_k)$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} 2\Delta_{jk} d(P_j^{\top} A Q_k)$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} 2\Delta_{jk} dP_j^{\top} A Q_k = L$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\Delta_{jk} dP_j^{\top} A Q_k = -\Delta_{jk}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow dP_j^{\top} A Q_k = -1/2\Delta_{jk}$$

$$\Rightarrow dP_j^{\top} = -pinv(AQ_k)\Delta_{jk}/2$$

Note: here  $Q_k$  is the transpose of the k-th row in Q.

#### How to meet with the constraints?

#### Constraints.

$$A_{i,j} \ge 0, \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} = 1$$
 (9)

$$P, Q \ge 0 \tag{10}$$

$$||P[I,:]||_1 = 1 \tag{11}$$

$$||Q[l',:]||_1 = 1$$
 (12)

The last two constraints are relatively easy to hold. We calculate 1-norm for each line of P or Q, and then divide this line by its 1-norm value, in each iteration. Such criteria will influence the accuracy (i.e., the value of L).

Initialize P and Q with  $\frac{1}{pq}\mathbf{1}_{p\times s}$  and  $\frac{1}{qt}\mathbf{1}_{q\times t}$ , respectively, will hold the second constraint in our experiment. **Note:**  $P\in[0,1]^p$ , and  $Q\in[0,1]^q$ .



## How to meet with the constraints? (cont'd)

$$A_{i,j} \geq 0, \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} = 1$$

The first part, in our experiment, also holds. But the second part, for the reason that we always use *A* to hold the last two constraints, will not hold.

This requires us to preprocess our inputs or our model to satisfy this criterion. Here we denote  $\lambda^2$  for  $\sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}$ , so our model should be:

$$\mathsf{E}_{X,Y}XY^{\top} = \lambda^2 PAQ^{\top}. \tag{13}$$

And when projection is applied, we use  $\lambda P^{\top}X$  for  $\bar{X}$ , and  $\lambda Q^{\top}X$  for  $\bar{Y}$ .

