Running head: KOREAN VOWELS							
1	A Comparative Analysis on Native and Non-Native Korean Speakers' Vowel Productions						
2	Jungah-Lee ¹ , Heidi Shi ¹ , & Jeongim Jin ¹						
3	¹ University of Oregon						
4	Author Note						
5	Jung-ah Lee joined the Department of East Asian Langauges and Literatures at the						
6	University of Oregon at 2017.						

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Jungah-Lee, 1248

University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon 97403-1248. E-mail: jlee27@uoregon.com

9 Abstract

This paper investigates Korean vowel spaces of native Korean speakers (NS) and non-native Korean speakers (NNS, L1: English). The vowel production of NS and NNS were compared.

All the speakers recorded eight Korean cardinal vowels, which are [i], [e], [ae], [W], [A], [o], [u], and [a] three times. A preceding consonant was lenis fricative [s] sound of Korean. The participants' production was normalized by using Lobanov normalization function in the R program. Results show that NNS cannot differentiate the Korean mid-vowels and back

vowels such as [A], [o], and [u]. This research can be helpful to investigate that L1 English

18 Keywords: Korean Vowels, L1 Phonetics

can affect the L2 Korean learners' vowel pronunciation.

Word count: 5000

16

17

A Comparative Analysis on Native and Non-Native Korean Speakers' Vowel Productions

21 Introduction

In this paper, our group investigated Korean vowel productions of Korean native speakers (NS) and non-native Korean speakers (NNS) by analyzing acoustic features. We aimed to examine native speaker pattern of vowel formants in Korean, and to explore second language learners' patterns in comparison to those of NNSs. Our results were analyzed by using normalization and statistic functions in the R program. The results indicate that L1 (English) of the NNSs might affect their L2 Korean vowel production. It is important to know what sounds students may have trouble with and what causes the difficulty in L2 Korean acquisition Seongmoon (2003) Heoung (1965). Thus, this study is useful in terms of as well as suggesting crucial research in the second language education field.

Methodology

To compare the convergence and divergence of L1 Korean and L2 Korean Vowel
Production, we used a one-way between-subjects design, and analyzed the collected data
using two Hz-basd measurements and a phonetic measurement tool, Praat.

35 Participants

31

Using convenince sampling, we sampled six adult residents living in Eugene, Oregon; three of them were Native Koreans, and the rest were non-Native sperking Koreans. Two Native Koreans were doctoral students majoring in East Asian Lanuages and Literatures, and the other one was a Korean instructor at the University of Oregon. Non-native speakers were recruited from one of the Korean classes at the University of Oregon. Korean participants were an average of 28 in age, while the average age of the non-Korean subjects were 19.

42 Speech Materials

We prepared seven sentences consisting of eight Korean vowels; i,e,ae,w,^,a,u. The
sentences to be tested will be added at the end of the original paper as one of the appendice.

Procedure Procedure

The Korean vowles of the participants were audie-recorded. We met in the quite room individally and we had them repeat the eight sentences three times using a Praat program, which is widely used in phonetic measurements. By measuring F1 and F2 in the vowel mid-point, we intended to find vowel formant patterns.

50 Results

In this section, vowel formant patterns of NSs and NNSs will be contrasted based on the obtained speech samples. First, we will report the mean ferments across three repetitions. Namely, the vowel charts all participants based on mean values will be presented and compared below.

The NS vowel charts reveal several convergences and divergences among the native speakers. For instance, NS1 tends to pronounce high-back vowel [u] as a front vowel.

Meanwhile, her high-mid vowel [W] also approximates a high back vowel. Another sparkling native speaker is NS3, who is the only native subject who did not demonstrate a merge of [i], [e], and [æ], marked by her clear differentiation of [e] and [æ]. In general, NS 3 produced a similar pattern with the vowel chart of Shin, Kiaer, and Cha (2012) regardless of some subtle variations. For instance, the mid-back vowel [o] in Shin et al. (2012) is realized as a high-back vowel by NS3. NS3's distinction in vowel articulation might be triggered by multiple reasons. Her standard Korean training experience for a teaching certificate in the Seoul Education office, as well as her relative shorter residence in English speaking countries, might be the causes.

In the next section, we will present the vowel charts of NNS. Several shared typical L2 66 errors from the NNS data can be observed. First, compared to the NSs, none of the NNS 67 participants successfully distinguished [A] and [o]. Although English vowels contrast [A] and 68 [o], due to their shorter duration of learning Korean (five months), they may not have fully 69 acquired the accurate L2 pronunciation. Moreover, except for NNS 2, the other two NNSs 70 were both confused between [W] and [u]. Since NS 1 and NS 3 are their teachers in the 71 Korean 102 class, they might have assimilated teachers' inputs when producing the two 72 sounds. In addition, given the apparent difference between [e] of "met" and [æ] of "mat" in English, they articulated [e] and [æ] as a merged vowel [e]. In other words, the merger consistently appeared in the NNS pronunciation because the teachers might not have fully explained the differences between [e] and [æ], or the students might not have perceived any dissimilarities between the teachers' pronunciations.

Fortunately, there are noticeable native-like vowel patterns in the NNSs' data as well.

Especially their accurate production of [i] and [a]. They pronounce [i] as a high front vowel and [a] as a low mid vowel. Since there are similarities between Korean vowels and English vowels— English [i] is also articulated in a high front position of the vocal tract— they managed to produce Korean vowel [i] correctly. However, [a] has different acoustic features cross-language. For instance, English does not have [a] but an [a]. While the English [a] is a low back vowel, the Korean [a] is a low mid vowel. Thus, even though Korean [a] is different from English [a], L2 learners of Korean still can articulate Korean [a] accurately. It is interesting that they pronounce [a] more native-like than other vowels, despite dissimilarities, which is a dimension worth thorough investigation in the future analysis.

88 Discussion

In summary, our analysis indicates that even native Korean speakers may have different vowel formant patterns due to their various duration of living in foreign countries and native

language phonetic training. Additionally, teachers' classroom input may play an essential role in L2 sound acquisition. For instance, the NNS in this study demonstrated a confusion between vowel [W] and [u]. Furthermore, despite the dissimilarities between the participants' L1 and L2, the learners still can have relatively more native-like articulations of [a]. We speculate that the teacher's demo pronunciation and explicit instruction are critical for this achievement. In the meantime, the vowels [e] and [æ] extracted from the current data are controversial. Since the merger of [e] and [æ] is progressing in Standard Seoul Korean, how to teach the articulations of these two sounds needs to be discussed in the future.

99 References

- Heoung. (1965). Korean phonetics.
- Seongmoon, C. (2003). Acoustic phonetics on the vowel system of modern korean. *Korean*Language and Culture, 24, 427–441.
- Shin, J., Kiaer, J., & Cha, J. (2012). The sounds of korean. Cambridge University Press.

Table 1 $Native\ Korean\ Speakers$

speaker	vowel/frame	context	F1	F2	F3	gl F1	gl F2	gl F3
	,							
NS1	i	S	493.24	2,751.15	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	i	S	507.53	2,865.64	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	i	S	522.43	2,776.42	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	e	S	585.41	2,708.40	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	e	S	584.29	2,706.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	e	S	576.32	2,553.86	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	ae	S	521.99	2,820.22	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	ae	S	532.95	2,809.91	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	ae	S	587.51	2,668.71	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	W	S	406.37	1,063.77	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	W	S	403.29	1,029.09	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	W	S	500.08	2,189.07	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	A	S	651.39	1,389.82	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	A	S	695.30	1,439.22	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	A	S	691.93	1,425.34	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	a	S	1,040.91	1,666.94	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	a	S	872.94	1,467.56	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	a	S	1,045.71	1,754.29	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	u	S	532.77	1,744.82	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	u	S	521.84	1,904.34	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	u	S	519.87	1,516.32	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	О	S	497.19	1,015.99	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	O	S	603.15	1,240.24	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS1	O	S	563.18	1,021.59	NA	NA	NA	NA
NS2	i	S	484.58	2,530.19	NA	NA	NA	NA

 $\label{thm:constraints} \begin{tabular}{ll} Table 2 \\ Non-Native \ Korean \ Speakers \\ \end{tabular}$

speaker	vowel/frame	context	F1	F2	F3	gl F1	gl F2	gl F3
	,							
NNS1	i	S	347.01	2,656.34	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	i	S	399.53	2,514.29	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	i	S	307.08	2,393.82	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	e	S	560.68	2,240.84	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	e	S	528.43	2,086.39	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	e	S	429.09	2,100.12	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	ae	S	534.99	2,152.61	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	ae	\mathbf{S}	609.01	2,080.39	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	ae	S	535.69	2,026.09	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	W	S	487.49	1,719.51	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	W	S	423.22	1,936.02	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	W	S	431.31	1,830.81	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	A	S	604.53	1,451.76	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	A	S	505.90	1,144.18	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	A	S	571.07	1,355.49	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	a	S	951.45	1,789.92	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	a	S	838.73	1,815.07	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	a	\mathbf{S}	739.69	1,872.77	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	u	\mathbf{S}	440.41	1,481.12	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	u	S	486.57	1,659.80	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	u	S	450.58	2,023.23	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	O	S	539.79	1,380.35	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	O	S	501.06	1,128.31	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS1	0	\mathbf{S}	439.22	1,155.86	NA	NA	NA	NA
NNS2	i	S	361.52	2,606.11	NA	NA	NA	NA

Individual vowel formant values non-normalized





Figure 1. First plot (this should be more descriptive)

Native Korean Speakers



 $Figure\ 2$. Another brilliant caption

Non-Native Korean Speakers





Figure 3. This vowel plot is beautiful, isn't it?

Native Korean speakers



 $Figure~ {\it 4}\,.~ another~ caption~ for~ another~ plot$

Non-Native Korean Speakers




Figure 5. yet another

Comparison of Two Speaker Groups




Figure 6. and one last plot