OGC API - Common - Part 2
Geospatial Data

Open Geospatial Consortium

Submission Date: <yyyy-mm-dd>

Approval Date: <yyyy-mm-dd>

Publication Date: 2021-03-11

External identifier of this OGC® document: http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/ogcapi-common-2/1.0

Internal reference number of this OGC® document: 20-024

Version: 0.0.1

Category: OGC® Implementation Standard

Editor: Charles Heazel

OGC API - Common - Part 2: Geospatial Data

Copyright notice

Copyright © 2021 Open Geospatial Consortium

To obtain additional rights of use, visit http://www.opengeospatial.org/legal/

Warning

This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is distributed for review and comment. This document is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Document type: OGC® Implementation Standard

Document stage: Draft

Document language: English

License Agreement

Permission is hereby granted by the Open Geospatial Consortium, ("Licensor"), free of charge and subject to the terms set forth below, to any person obtaining a copy of this Intellectual Property and any associated documentation, to deal in the Intellectual Property without restriction (except as set forth below), including without limitation the rights to implement, use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, and/or sublicense copies of the Intellectual Property, and to permit persons to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished to do so, provided that all copyright notices on the intellectual property are retained intact and that each person to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished agrees to the terms of this Agreement.

If you modify the Intellectual Property, all copies of the modified Intellectual Property must include, in addition to the above copyright notice, a notice that the Intellectual Property includes modifications that have not been approved or adopted by LICENSOR.

THIS LICENSE IS A COPYRIGHT LICENSE ONLY, AND DOES NOT CONVEY ANY RIGHTS UNDER ANY PATENTS THAT MAY BE IN FORCE ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD.

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NONINFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY RIGHTS. THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR HOLDERS INCLUDED IN THIS NOTICE DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FUNCTIONS CONTAINED IN THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL MEET YOUR REQUIREMENTS OR THAT THE OPERATION OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR ERROR FREE. ANY USE OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SHALL BE MADE ENTIRELY AT THE USER'S OWN RISK. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR ANY CONTRIBUTOR OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, OR ANY DIRECT, SPECIAL, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, OR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM ANY ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OR ANY LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE OR UNDER ANY OTHER LEGAL THEORY, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION, USE, COMMERCIALIZATION OR PERFORMANCE OF THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.

This license is effective until terminated. You may terminate it at any time by destroying the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form. The license will also terminate if you fail to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement. Except as provided in the following sentence, no such termination of this license shall require the termination of any third party end-user sublicense to the Intellectual Property which is in force as of the date of notice of such termination. In addition, should the Intellectual Property, or the operation of the Intellectual Property, infringe, or in LICENSOR's sole opinion be likely to infringe, any patent, copyright, trademark or other right of a third party, you agree that LICENSOR, in its sole discretion, may terminate this license without any compensation or liability to you, your licensees or any other party. You agree upon termination of any kind to destroy or cause to be destroyed the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form, whether held by you or by any third party.

Except as contained in this notice, the name of LICENSOR or of any other holder of a copyright in all or part of the Intellectual Property shall not be used in advertising or otherwise to promote the sale, use or other dealings in this Intellectual Property without prior written authorization of LICENSOR or such copyright holder. LICENSOR is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize you or any third party to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with any LICENSOR standards or specifications. This Agreement is governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The application to this Agreement of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods is hereby expressly excluded. In the event any provision of this Agreement shall be deemed unenforceable, void or invalid, such provision shall be modified so as to make it valid and enforceable, and as so modified the entire Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. No decision, action or inaction by LICENSOR shall be construed to be a waiver of any rights or remedies available to it.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | 5 |
|---|----|
| 2. Scope | 7 |
| 3. Conformance | 8 |
| 4. References | 9 |
| 5. Terms and Definitions | 11 |
| 6. Conventions | 13 |
| 6.1. Identifiers | 13 |
| 6.2. Link relations | 13 |
| 6.3. Geometry | 14 |
| 6.3.1. Spatial Geometry | 14 |
| 6.3.2. Temporal Geometry | 14 |
| 6.4. Coordinate Reference Systems | 14 |
| 6.5. Timezones | 15 |
| 6.6. API definition. | 15 |
| 6.6.1. General remarks | 15 |
| 6.6.2. Role of OpenAPI | 15 |
| 6.6.3. References to OpenAPI components in normative statements | 16 |
| 6.6.4. Reusable OpenAPI components. | 16 |
| 7. Overview | 17 |
| 7.1. Collections | 17 |
| 8. Requirements Class "Collections" | 18 |
| 8.1. Resource Requirements | 19 |
| 8.1.1. Collections Metadata | 19 |
| 8.1.2. Collection Description | 23 |
| 8.1.3. Collection Resource | 27 |
| 8.2. Parameter Requirements | 28 |
| 8.2.1. Parameter bbox | 28 |
| 8.2.2. Parameter datetime | 30 |
| 8.2.3. Parameter limit | 32 |
| 8.3. General Requirements. | 34 |
| 8.4. General Requirements | 35 |
| 8.4.1. HTTP 1.1 | 35 |
| 8.4.2. HTTP Status Codes | 35 |
| 8.4.3. Query parameters | 36 |
| 8.4.4. Web Caching | 38 |
| | 20 |
| 8.4.5. Support for Cross-Origin Requests | 38 |
| 8.4.5. Support for Cross-Origin Requests 8.4.6. String Internationalization | |

| 8.4.8. Parameter Encoding. 40 |
|---|
| 9. Encoding Requirements Classes |
| 9.1. Overview |
| 9.2. Requirement Class "HTML" |
| 9.3. Requirement Class "JSON" |
| 10. Media Types. 47 |
| 11. Security Considerations |
| Annex A: Abstract Test Suite (Normative) 49 |
| A.1. Introduction 49 |
| A.2. Conformance Class Collections 49 |
| A.2.1. General Tests 49 |
| A.2.2. Feature Collections {root}/collections |
| A.2.3. Feature Collection {root}/collections/{collectionId} |
| A.2.4. Features {root}/collections/{collectionId}/items |
| A.2.5. Second Tier Tests 55 |
| A.3. Conformance Class GeoJSON 56 |
| A.3.1. GeoJSON Definition 57 |
| A.3.2. GeoJSON Content 57 |
| A.4. Conformance Class HTML 57 |
| A.4.1. HTML Definition 58 |
| A.4.2. HTML Content |
| Annex B: Examples (Informative) |
| B.1. Collections Metadata Example |
| B.2. Collection Description Examples |
| B.2.1. Building Collection |
| Annex C: Revision History 61 |
| Annex D: Glossary 62 |
| Annex E: Bibliography. 64 |

Chapter 1. Introduction

i. Abstract

The OGC has extended their suite of standards to include Resource Oriented Architectures and Web APIs. In the course of developing these standards, some practices proved to be common across multiple OGC Web API standards. These common practices are documented in the OGC API - Common suite of standards. OGC API - Common standards serve as reusable building-blocks. Standards developers will use these building-blocks in the construction of OGC Web API Standards. The result is a modular suite of coherent API standards which can be adapted by an system designer for the unique requirements of their system.

Spatial data is rarely considered as a single entity. Feature Collections, Coverages, Data Sets, they are all aggregations of Spatial or Temporal Things. It stands to reason that an OGC Web API would also expose its' holdings as aggregates of spatial resources.

The purpose of the OGC API - Common - Part 2: Geospatial Data Standard (API-GeoData) is to provide a common connection between the API landing page and resource-specific details. That connection includes metadata which describes the hosted geospatial resources, common parameters for selecting subsets of those resources, and URI templates for identifying the above.

This common connection is sufficient to start the client down the path to resource discovery. Developers of OGC Web API standards extend these first steps with details specific to the resources they intend to expose.

ii. Keywords

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

ogcdoc, OGC document, geographic information, spatial data, spatial things, dataset, distribution, API, json, html, OpenAPI, REST, Common

iii. Preface

OGC Declaration

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium Inc. shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

iv. Submitting organizations

The following organizations submitted this Document to the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC):

- Heazeltech LLC
- CubeWerx Inc.

v. Submitters

All questions regarding this submission should be directed to the editors or the submitters:

| Name | Affiliation |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Chuck Heazel (editor) | Heazeltech |
| Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos | CubeWerx Inc. |
| others | TBD |

Chapter 2. Scope

The OGC API - Common suite of standards provides a set of modular API standards which can be used to build resource and mission-specific Web API standards. The OGC API - Common - Part 2: Geospatial Data Standard (API-GeoData) is one of those modules.

API-GeoData describes discovery and query operations for geospatial resources. These resources are typically packaged into sets or collections of related resources. Therefore, this Standard defines operations for both the collection of Geospatial resources and for the individual members (items) that make up the collection. Operations are also defined for the collections resource. Collections is a collection of the geospatial data collections. It serves as a single access point for the geospatial data hosted by an API.

API-GeoData does not specify the nature of the geospatial data that make up a collection nor of the collection itself. Rather, it provides a basic capability which should be applicable to any geospatial resource type. Additional OGC Web API standards extend this foundation to define resource-specific capabilities.

Chapter 3. Conformance

Conformance with this standard shall be checked using the tests specified in Annex A (normative) of this document. The framework, concepts, and methodology for testing, and the criteria to claim conformance are specified in the OGC Compliance Testing Policies and Procedures and the OGC Compliance Testing web site.

The one Standardization Target for this standard is Web APIs.

API-GeoData defines an API module intended for re-use by other OGC Web API standards. It is anticipated that this standard will only be implemented through inclusion in other standards. Therefore, all the relevant abstract tests in Annex A shall be included or referenced in the Abstract Test Suite for each separate standard that normatively references this standard.

This standard identifies three conformance classes. The conformance classes implemented by an OGC API are advertised through the /conformance path on the landing page. Each conformance class is defined by one requirements class. The tests in Annex A are organized by Requirements Class. So an implementation of the *Collections* conformance class must pass all tests specified in Annex A for the *Collections* requirements class.

The requirements classes for API-GeoData are:

- Collections
- Encodings
 - HTML
 - ISON

The *Collections Requirements Class* enables discovery and query access to collections of spatial resources.

The structure and organization of a collection of spatial resources is very much dependent on the nature of that resource and the expected access patterns. This is information which cannot be specified in a common manner. The *Collections Requirements Class* specifies the requirements necessary to discover and understand a generic collection and its' contents. Requirements governing a specific type of resource are specified in resource-specific OGC API standards.

The *Collections* requirements class does not mandate a specific encoding or format for representing resources. The *HTML* and *JSON* requirements classes specify representations for these resources in commonly used encodings for spatial data on the web.

Neither of these encodings is mandatory. An implementor of the *API-GeoData* standard may decide to implement another encoding instead of, or in addition to, these two.

Chapter 4. References

The following normative documents contain provisions that, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this document. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies.

- Rescorla, E.: IETF RFC 2818, HTTP Over TLS, http://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2818.txt
- Klyne, G., Newman, C.: **IETF RFC 3339, Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps**, http://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3339.txt
- Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., Masinter, L: **IETF RFC 3986**, **Uniform Resource Identifier (URI)**: **Generic Syntax**, http://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt
- Greforio, J., Fielding, R., Hadley, M., Nottingham, M., Orchard, D.: **IETF RFC 6570, URI Template**, https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6570
- Fielding, R., Reschke, J.: IETF RFC 7230, Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing, https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7230.txt
- Fielding, R., Reschke, JSchaub: IETF RFC 7231, Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content, https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7231.txt
- Fielding, R., Reschke, J.: IETF RFC 7232, Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Conditional Requests, https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7232.txt
- Fielding, R., Reschke, J.: **IETF RFC 7235, Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Authentication**, https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7235.txt
- Reschke, J.: IETF RFC 7538, The Hypertext Transfer Protocol Status Code 308 (Permanent Redirect), https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7538.txt
- Bray, T.: IETF RFC 8259, The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format, http://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8259.txt* Nottingham, M.: IETF RFC 8288, Web Linking, http://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8288.txt
- Nottingham, M.: IETF RFC 8288, Web Linking, http://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8288.txt
- ISO 8601-1:2019, Date and time Representations for information interchange Part 1: Basic rules
- ISO 19107:2019, Geographic information [] Spatial Schema
- ISO 19108:2002/Cor 1:2006, Geographic information Temporal schema
- ISO 19111:2019, Geographic information 🛘 Spatial referencing by coordinates
- json-schema-org: JSON Schema, September 2019, https://json-schema.org/specification.html
- Open API Initiative: OpenAPI Specification 3.0.3, https://github.com/OAI/OpenAPI-Specification/blob/master/versions/3.0.3.md
- Whiteside, A., Greenwood, J.: OGC Web Services Common Standard, version 2.0, OGC 06-121r9
- Herring, J.: OGC 06-104r4, OpenGIS® Implementation Standard for Geographic information Simple feature access Part 2: SQL option, http://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact id=25354

- van den Brink, L., Portele, C., Vretanos, P.: OGC 10-100r3, **Geography Markup Language (GML) Simple Features Profile**, http://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact_id=42729
- Heazel, C.: OGC 19-072, **OGC API Common Part 1: Core**, http://docs.opengeospatial.org/DRAFTS/19-072.html
- W3C: HTML5, W3C Recommendation, http://www.w3.org/TR/html5/
- Schema.org: http://schema.org/docs/schemas.html
- W3C Recommendation: **XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition**, 28 October 2004, https://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/

Chapter 5. Terms and Definitions

This document uses the terms defined in Sub-clause 5 of OGC API - Common - Part 1: Core (OGC 19-072), which is based on the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards. In particular, the word "shall" (not "must") is the verb form used to indicate a requirement to be strictly followed to conform to this standard.

For the purposes of this document, the following additional terms and definitions apply.

Collection

A body of resources that belong or are used together. An aggregate, set, or group of related resources. (OGC 20-024)

Coverage

feature that acts as a function to return values from its range for any direct position within its spatiotemporal domain, as defined in OGC Abstract Topic 6 (OGC 09-146r6)

Dataset

collection of data, published or curated by a single agent, and available for access or download in one or more serializations or formats (DCAT)

Distribution

specific representation of a dataset. (DCAT)

EXAMPLE: a downloadable file, an RSS feed or an API.

Extent

The area covered by something. Within this document, we always imply spatial extent; e.g. size or shape that may be expresses using coordinates. (W3C/OGC Spatial Data on the Web Best Practice)

Feature

abstraction of real world phenomena (ISO 19101-1:2014)

NOTE: More details about the term feature may be found in the W3C/OGC Spatial Data on the Web Best Practice in the section Spatial Things, Features and Geometry.

• Feature Collection

a set of **Features** from a **dataset**

Geometry

An ordered set of n-dimensional points in a given coordinate reference system. (W3C/OGC Spatial Data on the Web Best Practice)

Resource

- 1. Any information that can be named. (Fielding 2000)
- 2. The intended conceptual target of a hypertext reference. (Fielding 2000)

Resource Type

the definition of a type of resource. Resource types are re-usable components which are independent of where the resource resides in the API.

• Spatial Resource

the resources which we usually think of as Geospatial Data. A Spatial Thing. (OGC 19-072)

· Spatial Thing

anything with spatial extent, (i.e. size, shape, or position) and is a combination of the real-world phenomenon and its abstraction. (W3C/OGC Spatial Data on the Web Best Practice)

• Temporal Coordinate System

temporal reference system based on an interval scale on which distance is measured as a multiple of a single unit of time. (ISO 19108)

• Temporal Position

location relative to a temporal reference system (ISO 19108)

• Temporal Reference System

reference system against which time is measured (ISO 19108)

• Temporal Resource

the resources which we usually think of as time and date focused data. A Temporal Thing. (OGC 19-072)

· Temporal Thing

Anything with temporal extent, i.e. duration. e.g. the taking of a photograph, a scheduled meeting, a GPS time-stamped track-point. (W3C Basic Geo)

· Web API

API using an architectural style that is founded on the technologies of the Web. (W3C Data on the Web Best Practices)

Chapter 6. Conventions

All conventions described in the OGC API - Common Part 1: Core Standard are also applicable to this API-Common Part 2: Geospatial Data Standard except where modified in the following section.

6.1. Identifiers

The normative provisions in this standard are denoted by the URI http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-2/1.0.

All Requirements, Requirement Classes, Conformance Modules, and Conformance Classes that appear in this document are denoted by partial URIs that are relative to this base.

6.2. Link relations

RFC 8288 (Web Linking) is used by this standard to express relationships between resources. Link relation types from the IANA Link Relations Registry are used wherever possible. Additional link relation types are registered with the OGC Naming Authority.

The link relationships used in API-Common GeoData are described in Table 1. Additional relation types may be used if the implementation warrants it.

Table 1. Link Relations

| Link Relation | Purpose |
|--|---|
| alternate | Refers to a substitute for this context [IANA]. Refers to a representation of the current resource which is encoded using another media type (the media type is specified in the type link attribute). |
| http://www.opengis.n et/def/rel/ogc/1.0/ data-meta | Identifies general metadata for the context (dataset or collection) that is primarily intended for consumption by machines. |
| collection | The target IRI points to a resource which represents the collection resource for the context IRI. [IANA] |
| http://www.opengis.n et/def/rel/ogc/1.0/ conformance | Refers to a resource that identifies the specifications that the link's context conforms to. [OGC] |
| http://www.opengis.n et/def/rel/ogc/1.0/ data | Indicates that the link's context is a distribution of a dataset that is an API and refers to the root resource of the dataset in an API. [OGC] |
| describedby | Refers to a resource providing information about the link's context.[IANA] Links to external resources which further describe the subject resource |
| item | The target IRI points to a resource that is a member of the collection represented by the context IRI. [IANA] |

| Link Relation | Purpose |
|--|--|
| http://www.opengis.net/def/rel/ogc/1.0/items | Refers to a resource that is comprised of members of the collection represented by the link's context. [OGC] |
| license | Refers to a license associated with this context. [IANA] |
| self | Conveys an identifier for the link's context. [IANA] A link to another representation of this resource. |
| service-desc | Identifies service description for the context that is primarily intended for consumption by machines. [IANA] API definitions are considered service descriptions. |
| service-doc | Identifies service documentation for the context that is primarily intended for human consumption. [IANA] |
| service-meta | Identifies general metadata for the context that is primarily intended for consumption by machines. [IANA] |

Additional information on the use of link relationships is provided in the OGC API - Common Users Guide.

6.3. Geometry

6.3.1. Spatial Geometry

Standardized concepts for spatial characteristics are needed in order to share geographic information between applications. Concepts for shape (geometry) are key. These concepts are standardized in *ISO* 19107.

The spatial geometry used in the OGC API - Common Standards is documented in the GML Simple Features Profile Standard. This Profile defines a subset of the ISO 19107 geometry which is aligned with the OGC Simple Features for SQL Standard. That geometry includes: Point, Curve (LineString), Surface (Polygon), MultiPoint, MultiCurve, and MultiSurface.

6.3.2. Temporal Geometry

Standardized concepts are also needed for temporal characteristics. The temporal geometry used in the API-Common Standards is defined in ISO 19108. Only a subset of this geometry is used. Specifically:

- TM_Instant a point representing position in time
- TM_Period a one dimensional geometric primitive representing an extent in time and bounded by two different temporal positions (TM_Instant)

6.4. Coordinate Reference Systems

As discussed in Chapter 9 of the W3C/OGC Spatial Data on the Web Best Practices document, the ability to express and share location in a consistent way is one of the most fundamental aspects of

publishing geographic data. To do so, it is important to be clear about the coordinate reference system (CRS) within which the coordinates are expressed.

This OGC API - Common Geospatial Data standard does not mandate the use of a specific coordinate reference system. However, if no CRS is specified, the following default coordinate reference systems apply for spatial geometries.

- CRS84 WGS 84 longitude and latitude without height
- CRS84h WGS 84 longitude and latitude with ellipsoidal height

Temporal geometry is measured relative to an underlying temporal coordinate reference system (TRS). This OGC API - Common - Part 2: Geospatial Data Standard does not mandate a specific temporal coordinate reference system. However, all dates or timestamps discussed in this document are in the Gregorian calendar and conform to RFC 3339. In data, other temporal coordinate reference systems may be used where appropriate.

ISO 19111 provides the conceptual model for Coordinate Reference Systems.

6.5. Timezones

A default time zone of UTC as defined by ISO 8601:2004 and ISO 8601:2000 (or Greenwich Mean Time, also referred to as "Z" for Zulu Time), should be used for all temporal data passed or returned to/from OGC Web APIs. ISO 8601 accounts for local time by specifying an offset to UTC. When time zone offsets are used in a temporal element of a client request, the server processing the request should interpret temporal information with respect to the client's requested time zone. When there is no time zone offset expressed in a temporal element, the server should assume a UTC zone. The local time zone of client or server should not be assumed; it shall either be explicitly stated as an offset or assumed to be UTC.

6.6. API definition

6.6.1. General remarks

This OGC standard specifies requirements and recommendations for APIs that share spatial resources and want to follow a standard way of doing so. In general, APIs will go beyond the requirements and recommendations stated in this standard. They will support additional operations, parameters, and so on that are specific to the API or the software tool used to implement the API.

So that developers can more easily learn how to use the API, good documentation is essential for every API. In the best case, documentation would be available both in HTML for human consumption and in a machine readable format that can be processed by software for run-time binding. OpenAPI is one way to provide that machine readable documentation.

6.6.2. Role of OpenAPI

This document uses OpenAPI 3.0 fragments in its' examples and to formally state requirements. Using OpenAPI 3.0 is not required for implementing an OGC API. Other API definition languages

may be used along with, or instead of, OpenAPI. However, any API definition language used should have an associated conformance class advertised through the /conformance path.

This standard includes a conformance class for API definitions that follow the OpenAPI specification 3.0. Conformance classes for additional API definition languages will be added as the OGC API landscape continues to evolve.

6.6.3. References to OpenAPI components in normative statements

Some normative statements (requirements, recommendations and permissions) state that a component in the API definition of the server must be "based upon" a schema or parameter component in the OGC schema repository.

In this case, the following changes to the pre-defined OpenAPI component are permitted:

- If the server supports an XML encoding, xml properties may be added to the relevant OpenAPI schema components.
- The range of values of a parameter or property may be extended (additional values) or constrained (only a subset of all possible values is allowed). An example for a constrained range of values is to explicitly specify the supported values of a string parameter or property using an *enum*.
- Additional properties may be added to the schema definition of a Response Object.
- Informative text, such as comments or description properties, may be changed or added.

For OGC API definitions that do not conform to the OpenAPI Specification 3.0, the normative statement should be interpreted in the context of the API definition language used.

6.6.4. Reusable OpenAPI components

Reusable components for OpenAPI definitions for an OGC API are referenced from this document. They are available from the OGC Schemas Registry at http://schemas.opengis.net/ogcapi/common/part1/1.0 and http://schemas.opengis.net/ogcapi/common/part2/1.0

Chapter 7. Overview

This API-Common Geospatial Data Standard provides a common connection between the API landing page and resource-specific specifications or standards.

7.1. Collections

Spatial data is rarely considered as a single entity. Feature Collections, Coverages, Data Sets, they are all aggregations of Spatial or Temporal Things. It stands to reason that an OGC Web API would also expose its' holdings as aggregates of spatial/temporal resources.

The purpose of the OGC API - Common - Part 2: Geospatial Data Standard is to provide a common connection between the API landing page and resource-specific details. That connection includes metadata which describes the hosted resources, common parameters for selecting subsets of the hosted resources, and URI templates for identifing the above.

A contentous issue is the term used to describe an aggregation of resources. The term should be consistent with its' coloquial use, should indicate that the members of the aggregation are somehow associated, and it should be independent of any resource type.

Merriam Websters Dictionary provides a few relevant definitions:

- Collection: "An accumulation of objects gathered for study, comparison, or exhibition or as a hobby."
- Aggregate: (a synonym to collection) "A mass or body of units or parts somewhat loosely associated with one another."
- Set: "A number of things of the same kind that belong or are used together."

Based on these definitions, the term collection will be used in this standard to indicate an aggregation of resources. **For purposes of this standard**, a collection is defined as follows:

• Collection: A body of resources that belong or are used together. An aggregate, set, or group of related resources.

OGC Web API standards should extend this definition to address the specific properties of the resources they describe.

Chapter 8. Requirements Class "Collections"

| Requirements Class | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| http://www.open | http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-2/1.0/req/collections | | |
| Target type | Web API | | |
| Dependency | Requirements Class "OAPI Core" | | |
| Dependency | ISO 19107 | | |
| Dependency | GML Simple Features Profile | | |
| Dependency | Simple Features for SQL | | |
| Dependency | ISO 19108 | | |
| Dependency | ISO 19111 | | |
| Dependency | ISO 19108 | | |
| Dependency | ISO 8601 | | |

This Requirements Class describes the resources and operations used to discover and query resource collections exposed through an OGC Web API. It does not include any requirements about how resources are aggregated into collections nor about the aggregated resources themselves. That detail is reserved for resource-specific OGC Web API standards.

The three resources defined in this Requirements Class are summarized in Table 2. Detailed requirements for each of these resources are provided in the Resource Requirements section.

Table 2. Collection Resources

| Resource | URI | HTTP Method | Description |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|
| Collections Metadata | /collections | GET | Information which describes the set of suppoprted Collections |
| Collection Description | /collections/{collectionId} | GET | Information about a specific Collection |
| Collection Resource | /collections/{collectionId}/items | GET | The resources in a specific Collection |

This Requirements Class also describes the operations which can be performed on these resources. Requirements for these operations are included with their associated resource descriptions in the Resource Requirements section. Three parameters are defined for use in these operations. These parameters are documented in the Parameter Requirements section. A summary of the parameters is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Parameter Summary

| Paramet er Name | Target | Description |
|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Bounding Box | Extent | Selects resources which have an Extent element that intersects the bounding box |
| Date- Time | Extent | Selects resources which have an Extent element that intersects the specified time period |
| Limit | The result set | Limits the number of resources which can be returned in one response |

Finally, requirements which have general applicablity are provided in the General Requirements section.

8.1. Resource Requirements

This section expresses the requirements for resources and operations used to discover and query resource collections.

8.1.1. Collections Metadata

OGC APIs typically organize their Spatial Resources into collections. Information about those collections is accessed through the /collections path.

Operation

| Requirement 1 | /req/collections/rc-md-op |
|---------------|--|
| A | The API SHALL support the HTTP GET operation at the path /collections. |

Parameters

The following query parameters can be used with this operation:

- Bounding Box
- Date Time
- Limit

Response

| Requirement 2 | /req/collections/rc-md-success |
|---------------|--|
| A | A successful execution of the operation SHALL be reported as a response with a HTTP status code 200. |

B The content of that response SHALL be based upon the JSON schema collections.json.

The collections metadata returned by this operation is based on the collections.json JSON schema. Examples of collections metadata are provided in Collections Metadata Example.

collections.json

```
{
    "$schema": "http://json-schema.org/draft-07/schema#",
    "title": "Collections Schema",
    "description": "This schema defines the metadata resource returned from
/collections.",
    "type": "object",
    "required": [
        "links",
        "collections"
        1,
    "properties": {
        "links": {
            "type": "array",
            "items": {"$href": "link.json"}
            },
        "timeStamp": {
            "type": "string",
            "format": "date-time"
        "numberMatched": {
            "type": "integer",
            "min": "0"
            },
        "numberReturned": {
            "type": "integer",
            "min": "0"
            },
        "collections": {
            "type": "array",
            "items": {"$href": "collectionDesc.json"}
        }
   }
```

This schema is further constrained by the following requirements and recommendations.

To support hypermedia navigation, the links property must be populated with sufficient hyperlinks to navigate through the whole dataset.

| Requirement 3 | /req/collections/rc-md-links |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| | |

| | A 200-response SHALL include the following links in the links property of the response: A link to this response document (relation: self), A link to the response document in every other media type supported by the API (relation: alternate). |
|---|--|
| В | All links SHALL include the rel and type link parameters. |

Additional information may be available to assist in understanding and using this dataset. Links to those resources should be provided as well.

| Recommendation 1 | /rec/collections/rc-md-descriptions |
|------------------|--|
| A | If external schemas or descriptions exist that provide additional information about the structure or semantics for the resource, a 200-response SHOULD include links to each of those resources in the links property of the response (relation: describedBy). |
| В | The type link parameter SHOULD be provided for each link. This applies to resources that describe the whole dataset. |

The collections property of the Collections Metadata provides a description of each individual collection hosted by the API. These descriptions are based on the Collection Description Schema. This schema is described in detail in the Collection Description section of this Standard.

| Requirement 4 | /req/collections/rc-md-items |
|---------------|--|
| A | For each spatial resource collection accessible through this API, metadata describing that collection SHALL be provided in the collections property of the Collections Metadata. |
| В | This metadata shall be based on the same schema as the Collection Description resource. |

The timeStamp property of the Collections Metadata indicates when the response was generated.

| Requirement 5 | /req/collections/rc-timeStamp |
|---------------|--|
| A | If a property timeStamp is included in the response, the value SHALL be set to the time stamp when the response was generated. |

The numberMatched property of the Collections Metadata indicates the number of collection

descriptions included in the Collections Metadata. This may be a subset of the total set of collections hosted by the API. Selection of which collections to include in a subset is controlled through selection parameters provided by the client.

| Requirement 6 | /req/collections/rc-numberMatched |
|---------------|--|
| A | If a property numberMatched is included in the response, the value SHALL be identical to the number of hosted collections that meet the selection parameters provided by the client. Selection parameters include bbox, datetime or additional filter parameters. |
| В | A server MAY omit this information in a response, if the information about the number of matching resources is not known or difficult to compute. |

The Collections Metadata should describe all of the collections accessible through the API. However, in some cases that is impractical. An API implementation has the option of limiting the size of the Collections Metadata response.

| Permission 1 | /per/collections/rc-md-items |
|--------------|---|
| А | To support servers with many collections, servers MAY limit the number of items included in the collections property. |

The number of collection descriptions included in a Collections Metadata response may be a subset of the number matched. This can due to a server imposed limit or the client specified limit parameter. In that case, the numberReturned property of the Collections Metadata indicates the number of collection descriptions returned in this "page" of the Collections Metadata.

| Requirement 7 | /req/collections/rc-numberReturned |
|---------------|--|
| A | If a property numberReturned is included in the response, the value SHALL be identical to the number of items in the collections array in the Collections Metadata document. |
| В | A server MAY omit this information in a response, if the information about the number of resources in the response is not known or difficult to compute. |

If the Collections Metadata contains a subset of the selected collection descriptions (numberReturned is less than numberMatched) then the Collections Metadata should contain links for navigating to the rest of the collection descriptions as described in the limit parameter section.

Error situations

See HTTP Status Codes for general guidance.

8.1.2. Collection Description

Each resource collection is described by a set of metadata. That metadata is accessed directly using the /collections/{collectionId} path or as an entry in the collections property of the Collections Metadata resource.

Operation

| Requirement 8 | /req/collections/src-md-op |
|---------------|---|
| A | The API SHALL support the HTTP GET operation at the path /collections/{collectionId}. |
| В | The parameter collectionId is each id property in the resource collections response (JSONPath: \$.collections[*].id). |

Parameters

No parameters have been standardized for this operation.

Response

| Requirement 9 | /req/collections/src-md-success |
|---------------|--|
| A | A successful execution of the operation SHALL be reported as a response with a HTTP status code 200. |
| В | The content of that response SHALL be based upon the JSON schema collectionInfo.json. |
| С | The content of that response SHALL be consistent with the content for this resource collection in the /collections response. That is, the values for id, title, description and extent SHALL be identical. |

Collection Descriptions are based on the Collection Description Schema. Examples of Collection Descriptions are provided in Collection Description Examples.

Collection Description Schema

```
{
    "$schema": "http://json-schema.org/draft-07/schema#",
    "title": "Collection Description Schema",
```

```
"description": "This schema defines metadata resource returned from
/collections/{collectionId}.",
    "type": "object",
    "required": [
        "id",
        "links"
        ],
    "properties": {
        "id": {
            "description": "identifier of the collection used, for example, in URIs",
            "type": "string"
            },
        "title": {
            "description": "human readable title of the collection",
            "type": "string"
        "description": {
            "description": "a description of the members of the collection",
            "type": "string"
            },
        "attribution" : {
            "type" : "string",
            "title": "attribution for the collection",
            "description" : "The `attribution` should be short and intended for
presentation to a user, for example, in a corner of a map. Parts of the text can be
links to other resources if additional information is needed. The string can include
HTML markup."
            },
        "links": {
            "type": "array",
            "items": {"$href": "link.json"}
        "extent": {"$href": "extent.json"},
        "itemType": {
            "description": "An indicator about the type of the items in the
collection.",
            "type": "string",
            "default": "unknown"
            },
        "crs": {
            "description": "the list of coordinate reference systems supported by the
API; the first item is the default coordinate reference system",
            "type": "array",
            "items": {
                "type": "string"
                },
            "default": [
                "http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84"
                ],
            "example": [
                "http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84",
```

```
"http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSG/0/4326"
]
}
}
}
```

The purpose of the Colletion Description is to provide clients with a basic understanding of a single collection. It also serves as a starting point for further navigation through the collection.

The landing page includes three metadata elements; title, description, and attribution. These three elements describe the API as a whole. Clients can expect to encounter metadata which is more resource-specific as they follow links and paths from the landing page.

While Collection Description contains four properties are defined as text strings, the attribution element is special. Specifically, it can contain markup text. Markup allows a text string to import images and format text. The capabilities are only limited by the markup language used. See the example collection description for an example of the use of markup in the attribution element.

The Collection Description schema is further constrained by the following requirements and recommendations.

| Recommendation 2 | /rec/collections/rc-md-item-type |
|------------------|---|
| A | If a resource at the path /collection/{collectionId}/items is supported by the API and is accessible, then the itemType key SHOULD be included in the collection object to indicate the type of the items (e.g. feature or record). |

To support hypermedia navigation, the links property must be populated with sufficient hyperlinks to navigate through the whole dataset.

| Requirement 10 | /req/collections/rc-md-items-links |
|----------------|--|
| A | 200-response SHALL include the following links in the links property of the response: A link to this response document (relation: self), A link to the response document in every other media type supported by the API (relation: alternate). |
| В | The links property of the response SHALL include an item for each supported encoding of that collection with a link to the collection resource (relation: items). |
| В | All links SHALL include the rel and type properties. |

Additional information may be available to assist in understanding and using this dataset. Links to those resources should be provided as well.

| Recommendation 3 | /rec/collections/rc-md-items-descriptions |
|------------------|---|
| А | If external schemas or descriptions exist that provide additional information about the structure or semantics of the collection, a 200-response SHOULD include links to each of those resources in the links property of the response (relation: describedBy). |
| В | The type link parameter SHOULD be provided for each link. |

Additional requirements and recommendations apply to the extent property of the Collection Description.

| Requirement 11 | /req/collections/rc-md-extent |
|----------------|---|
| A | For each spatial resource collection, the extent property, if provided, SHALL provide bounding boxes that include all spatial geometries and time intervals that include all temporal geometries in this collection. The temporal extent may use null values to indicate an open time interval. |
| В | If a spatial resource has multiple properties with spatial or temporal information, it is the decision of the API implementation whether only a single spatial or temporal geometry property is used to determine the extent or all relevant geometries. |

| Recommendation 4 | /rec/collections/rc-md-extent-single |
|------------------|--|
| A | While the spatial and temporal extents support multiple bounding boxes (bbox array) and time intervals (interval array) for advanced use cases, implementations SHOULD provide only a single bounding box or time interval unless the use of multiple values is important for the use of the dataset and agents using the API are known to be support multiple bounding boxes or time intervals. |

| Permission 2 | /per/collections/rc-md-extent-extensions |
|--------------|--|
| | |

| A | API-Common only specifies requirements for spatial and temporal extents. However, the extent object MAY be extended with additional members to represent other extents, such as thermal or pressure ranges. |
|---|--|
| В | API-Common only supports Spatial extents in CRS84 or CRS84h and Temporal extents in the Gregorian calendar These are the only <i>enum</i> values in extent.yaml). |
| С | Extensions MAY add additional reference systems to the extent object. |

Error situations

See HTTP Status Codes for general guidance.

If the parameter collectionId does not exist on the server, the status code of the response will be 404 (see Table 4).

8.1.3. Collection Resource

A collection resource is the content of the collection as opposed to metadata about that collection. This standard defines the general behavior of this operation, but detailed requirements are the purview of the API standard for that resource type.

Operation

| Requirement 12 | /req/collections/rc-op |
|----------------|---|
| A | For every resource collection identified in the resource collections response (path /collections), the API SHALL support the HTTP GET operation at the path /collections/{collectionId}/items. • The parameter collectionId is each id property in the resource collections response (JSONPath: \$.collections[*].id). |

Parameters

The following query parameters can be used with this operation:

- Bounding Box
- Date Time

• Limit

| Note: | Since the type of resource which makes up the collection is not |
|-------|--|
| | defined, the behavior of these parameters must be tailored to the |
| | structure and information content of specific resource types. That |
| | tailoring will take place in resource-specific OGC API standards. |
| | |

Response

| Requirement 13 | /req/collections/rc-response |
|----------------|--|
| A | A successful execution of the operation SHALL be reported as a response with a HTTP status code 200. |
| В | The response SHALL only include resources selected by the request. |

Error situations

See HTTP Status Codes for general guidance.

8.2. Parameter Requirements

Query parameters are used in URLs to limit the resources which are returned on a GET request. The OGC API - Common - Part 2: Geospatial Data Standard defines three query parameters for use in OGC API standards:

• bbox: Bounding Box


• datetime: Date and Time

• limit: Response resource count limit

Use of these query parameters with any specific operation is optional. Developers of API-GeoData servers should document their supported parameters in the API definition as describe in API-Core.

8.2.1. Parameter bbox

| Requirement 14 | /req/collections/rc-bbox-definition |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | |



| Requirement 15 | /req/collections/rc-bbox-response |
|----------------|--|
| A | If the bbox parameter is provided by the client, only resources that have a spatial geometry that intersects the bounding box SHALL be part of the result set. |
| В | If a resource has multiple spatial geometry properties, it is the decision of the server whether only a single spatial geometry property is used to determine the extent or all relevant geometries. |
| С | The bbox parameter SHALL also match all resources in the collection that are not associated with a spatial geometry. |
| D | The bounding box is provided as four or six numbers, depending on whether the coordinate reference system includes a vertical axis (height or depth): • Lower left corner, coordinate axis 1 |
| | • Lower left corner, coordinate axis 2 |
| | Minimum value, coordinate axis 3 (optional) Upper right corner, coordinate axis 1 |
| | Upper right corner, coordinate axis 2 |
| | Maximum value, coordinate axis 3 (optional) |

| E | The values for the CRS axis 1 and 2 SHALL be interpreted as WGS84 longitude/latitude (http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84) unless a different coordinate reference system is specified in a parameter bbox-crs. |
|---|--|
| F | The coordinate values SHALL be within the extent specified for the coordinate reference system. |

"Intersects" means that a coordinate that is part of the spatial geometry of the resource falls within the area specified in the parameter bbox. This includes the boundaries of the geometries. For curves the boundary includes the start and end position. For surfaces the boundary includes the outer and inner rings.

In case of a degenerated bounding box, the resulting geometry is used. For example, if the lower left corner is the same as the upper right corner, all resources match where the geometry intersects with this point.

This standard does not specify requirements for the parameter bbox-crs. Those requirements will be specified in a later version of this standard.

For WGS 84 longitude/latitude the bounding box is in most cases the sequence of minimum longitude, minimum latitude, maximum longitude and maximum latitude. However, in cases where the box spans the anti-meridian (180th meridian) the first value (west-most box edge) is larger than the third value (east-most box edge).

Example 1. The bounding box of the New Zealand Exclusive Economic Zone

The bounding box of the New Zealand Exclusive Economic Zone in WGS84 (from $160.6^{\circ}E$ to $170^{\circ}W$ and from $55.95^{\circ}S$ to $25.89^{\circ}S$) would be represented in JSON as [160.6, -55.95, -170, -25.89] and in a query as bbox=160.6, -55.95, -170, -25.89.


Note that the server will return an error if a latitude value of 160.0 is used.

If the vertical axis is included, the third and the sixth number are the bottom and the top of the 3-dimensional bounding box.

A template for the definition of the parameter in YAML according to OpenAPI 3.0 is available at bbox.yaml.

8.2.2. Parameter datetime

| Requirement 16 | /req/collections/rc-time-definition |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | |



| Requirement 17 | /req/collections/rc-time-response |
|----------------|--|
| A | If the datetime parameter is provided, only resources that have a temporal geometry that intersects the temporal information in the datetime parameter SHALL be part of the result set. |
| В | If a resourcee has multiple temporal properties, the API implementor decides whether only a single temporal property is used to determine the extent or all relevant temporal properties. |
| С | The datetime parameter SHALL match all resources in the collection that are not associated with a temporal geometry. |
| D | Temporal geometries are either a date-time value or a time interval. The parameter value SHALL conform to the following syntax (using ABNF): |
| | <pre>interval-closed = date-time "/" date-time interval-open-start = "/" date-time interval-open-end = date-time "/" interval = interval-closed / interval-open- start / interval-open-end datetime = date-time / interval</pre> |
| E | The syntax of date-time is specified by RFC 3339, 5.6. |
| F | Open ranges in time intervals at the start or end SHALL be supported using a double-dot (). |

"Intersects" means that the time (instant or period) specified in the parameter datetime includes a timestamp that is part of the temporal geometry of the resource (again, a time instant or period). For time periods this includes the start and end time.

| Note | ISO 8601-2 distinguishes open start/end timestamps (double-dot) |
|------|---|
| | and unknown start/end timestamps (empty string). For queries, |
| | an unknown start/end has the same effect as an open start/end. |
| | |

Example 2. A date-time

```
February 12, 2018, 23:20:52 GMT:
time=2018-02-12T23%3A20%3A52Z
```

For resources with a temporal property that is a timestamp (like lastUpdate), a date-time value would match all resources where the temporal property is identical.

For resources with a temporal property that is a date or a time interval, a date-time value would match all resources where the timestamp is on that day or within the time interval.

Example 3. Intervals

```
February 12, 2018, 00:00:00 GMT to March 18, 2018, 12:31:12 GMT:

datetime=2018-02-12T00%3A00%3A00Z%2F2018-03-18T12%3A31%3A12Z

February 12, 2018, 00:00:00 UTC or later:

datetime=2018-02-12T00%3A00%3A00Z%2F..

March 18, 2018, 12:31:12 UTC or earlier:

datetime=..%2F2018-03-18T12%3A31%3A12Z
```

A template for the definition of the parameter in YAML according to OpenAPI 3.0 is available at datetime.yaml.

8.2.3. Parameter limit

The limit parameter limits the number of resources that can be returned in a single response.

| Requirement 18 | /req/collections/rc-limit-definition | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | |

The operation SHALL support a parameter limit with the Α following characteristics (using an OpenAPI Specification 3.0 fragment): name: limit in: query required: false schema: type: integer minimum: 1 maximum: 10000 default: 10 style: form explode: false The values for minimum, maximum and default are only examples and Note: MAY be changed.

The number of resources returned depends on the server and the value of the limit parameter.

- The client can request a limit to the number of resources returned.
- The server may have a default value for the limit, and a maximum limit.
- If the server has any more results available than it returns (the number it returns is less than or equal to the requested/default/maximum limit) then the server will include a link to the next set of results.

| Requirement 19 | /req/collections/rc-limit-response |
|----------------|--|
| A | The response SHALL not contain more resources than specified by the optional limit parameter. |
| В | If the API definition specifies a maximum value for the limit parameter, the response SHALL not contain more resources than this maximum value. |
| С | Only items are counted that are on the first level of the collection. Any nested objects contained within the explicitly requested items SHALL not be counted. |

| Permission 3 | /per/collections/rc-server-limit |
|--------------|---|
| A | If a server is configured with a maximum response size, then the server MAY page responses which exceed that threshold. |

| Recommendation 5 | /rec/collections/rc-server-limit |
|------------------|---|
| A | Clients SHOULD be prepared to handle a paged response even if they have not specified a limit parameter in their query. |

The effect of the limit parameter is to divide the response into a number of pages. Each page (except for the last) contains the specified number of entities. The response contains the first page. Additional pages can be accessed through hyperlink navigation.

| Recommendation 6 | /rec/collections/rc-next-1 |
|------------------|---|
| A | A 200-response SHOULD include a link to the next "page" (relation: next), if more resources have been selected than returned in the response. |

| Recommendation 7 | /rec/collections/rc-next-2 |
|------------------|--|
| A | Dereferencing a next link SHOULD return additional resources from the set of selected resources that have not yet been returned. |

| Recommendation 8 | /rec/collections/rc-next-3 |
|------------------|--|
| A | The number of resources in a response to a next link SHOULD follow the same rules as for the response to the original query and again include a next link, if there are more resources in the selection that have not yet been returned. |

Providing prev links supports navigating back and forth between pages, but depending on the implementation approach it may be too complex to implement.

| Permission 4 | /per/collections/rc-prev |
|--------------|--|
| A | A response to a next link MAY include a prev link to the resource that included the next link. |

8.3. General Requirements

The following general requirements and recommendations apply to all OGC APIs which implement the API-Common Geospatial Data Standard.

8.4. General Requirements

The following requirements and recommentations are applicable to all OGC Web APIs.

8.4.1. HTTP 1.1

The standards used for Web APIs are built on the HTTP protocol. Therefore, conformance with HTTP or a closely related protocol is required.

| Requirement 20 | /req/core/http |
|----------------|--|
| A | OGC Web APIs SHALL conform to HTTP 1.1. |
| В | If the API supports HTTPS, then the API SHALL also conform to HTTP over TLS. |

8.4.2. HTTP Status Codes

Table 4 lists the main HTTP status codes that clients should be prepared to receive. This includes support for specific security schemes or URI redirection. In addition, other error situations may occur in the transport layer outside of the server.

Table 4. Typical HTTP status codes

| Status code | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 200 | A successful request. |
| 302 | The target resource was found but resides temporarily under a different URI. A 302 response is not evidence that the operation has been successfully completed. |
| 303 | The server is redirecting the user agent to a different resource. A 303 response is not evidence that the operation has been successfully completed. |
| 304 | An entity tag was provided in the request and the resource has not changed since the previous request. |
| 307 | The target resource resides temporarily under a different URI and the user agent MUST NOT change the request method if it performs an automatic redirection to that URI. |
| 308 | Indicates that the target resource has been assigned a new permanent URI and any future references to this resource ought to use one of the enclosed URIs. |
| 400 | The server cannot or will not process the request due to an apparent client error. For example, a query parameter had an incorrect value. |
| 401 | The request requires user authentication. The response includes a WWW-Authenticate header field containing a challenge applicable to the requested resource. |

| Status code | Description |
|-------------|---|
| 403 | The server understood the request, but is refusing to fulfill it. While status code 401 indicates missing or bad authentication, status code 403 indicates that authentication is not the issue, but the client is not authorized to perform the requested operation on the resource. |
| 404 | The requested resource does not exist on the server. For example, a path parameter had an incorrect value. |
| 405 | The request method is not supported. For example, a POST request was submitted, but the resource only supports GET requests. |
| 406 | Content negotiation failed. For example, the Accept header submitted in the request did not support any of the media types supported by the server for the requested resource. |
| 500 | An internal error occurred in the server. |

The return status codes described in Table 4 do not cover all possible conditions.

| Permission 5 | /per/core/additional-status-codes |
|--------------|--|
| A | Servers MAY implement additional capabilities provided by the HTTP protocol. Therefore, they MAY return status codes in addition to those listed in Table 4. |

When a server encounters an error in the processing of a request, it may wish to include information in addition to the status code in the response. Since Web API interactions are often machine-to-machine, a machine-readable report would be prefered. IETF RFC 7807 addresses this need by providing "Problem Details" response schemas for both JSON and XML.

| Recommendation 9 | /rec/core/problem-details |
|--|---------------------------|
| An OGC Web API should include a "Problem Details" report in any error response in accordance with IETF RFC 7807. | |

8.4.3. Query parameters

| Requirement 21 | /req/core/query-param-unknown |
|----------------|---|
| A | The server SHALL respond with a response with the status code 400, if the request URI includes a query parameter that is not specified in the API definition. |

| Requirement 22 | /req/core/query-param-invalid |
|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | |

| A | The server SHALL respond with a response with the status code |
|---|--|
| | 400, if the request URI includes a query parameter that has an |
| | invalid value. |
| | |

The criteria for a parameter to be "specified" in the API definition depends on the API definition language used, the complexity of the resources exposed, and the abilty of the API server to tolerate errors.

A service implementer should endeavour to provide as much detail in the server's API definition as the API definition language allows. However, there is no requirement for it to list every endpoint for which there is a non-404 behaviour, for it to list every possible query parameter that might affect the behaviour of an endpoint, or for it to list every possible value that each query parameter might accept.

| Permission 6 | /per/core/query-param-specified |
|--------------|---|
| A | The specification of a query parameter in the API definition MAY encompass a <u>range</u> of parameter names. Any query parameter which falls within the specified range can be considered "specified" in the API definition. |
| | Examples of a parameter range include: |
| | • A reqular expression which defines the valid parameter names, |
| | • A URL Template segment which defines the valid parameter names, |
| | An indication that all parameter names are accepted (no parameter validation). |
| В | The API definition language chosen may not be capable of expressing the desired range of values. In that case the server SHOULD provide: |
| | A definition of the parameter range which best expresses the intended use of that parameter, |
| | Additional human readable text documenting the actual range of validity. |

| Permission 7 /per/core/query-param-tolerance | Permission 7 |
|--|---------------------|
|--|---------------------|

| A | Servers MAY display tolerance for requests with incorrect query parameters. These acts of tolerance include: • accept alternate capitalizations, spellings, and/or aliases of parameters, |
|---|--|
| | ignore unknown/unrecognized parameters, return a response with a status code of 30x redirecting the client to a more correct version of the request. |
| В | Servers should not be excessively tolerant. The response a client receives from the server should be a reasonable response for the request submitted. |

8.4.4. Web Caching

Entity tags are a mechanism for web cache validation and for supporting conditional requests to reduce network traffic. Entity tags are specified by HTTP/1.1 (RFC 7232).

| Recommendation 10 | /rec/core/etag |
|-------------------|---|
| A | The service SHOULD support entity tags and the associated headers as specified by HTTP/1.1. |

8.4.5. Support for Cross-Origin Requests

If the data is located on another host than the webpage ("same-origin policy"), access to data from a HTML page is by default prohibited for security reasons. A typical example is a web-application accessing feature data from multiple distributed datasets.

| Recommendation 11 | /rec/core/cross-origin |
|----------------------|--|
| A | If the server is intended to be accessed from a browser, cross-origin requests SHOULD be supported. Note that support can also be added in a proxy layer on top of the server. |

Two common mechanisms to support cross-origin requests are:

- Cross-origin resource sharing (CORS)
- JSONP (JSON with padding)

8.4.6. String Internationalization

If the server supports representing resources in multiple languages, the usual HTTP content negotiation mechanisms apply. The client states its language preferences in the Accept-Language

header of a request and the server responds with responses that have linguistic text in the language that best matches the requested languages and the capabilities of the server.

| Recommendation 12 | /rec/core/string-i18n |
|-------------------|---|
| A | For encodings that support string internationalization, the server SHOULD include information about the language for each string value that includes linguistic text. |

For example, if JSON-LD is used as an encoding, the built-in capabilities to annotate a string with its language should be used.

The link object based on RFC 8288 (Web Linking) includes a hreflang attribute that can be used to state the language of the referenced resource. This can be used to include links to the same data in, for example, English or French. Just like with multiple encodings, a server that wants to use language-specific links will have to support a mechanism to mint language-specific URIs for resources in order to express links to, for example, the same resource in another language. Again, this document does not mandate any particular approach how such a capability is supported by the server.

8.4.7. Resource Encodings

A Web API provides access to resources through representations of those resources. One property of a representation is the format used to encode it for transfer. Components negotiate which encoding format to use through the content negotiation process defined in IETF RFC 7231.

Additional content negotiation techniques are allowed, but support is not required of implementations conformant to this Standard.

While this standard does not specify any mandatory encoding, the following encodings are recommended:

HTML encoding recommendation:

| Recommendation 13 | /rec/core/html |
|----------------------|---|
| A | To support browsing an API with a web browser and to enable search engines to crawl and index the dataset, implementations SHOULD consider supporting an HTML encoding. |

JSON encoding recommndation:

| Recommendation | /rec/core/json |
|----------------|----------------|
| 14 | |

| A | То | support | processing | of | an | API | with | a | web | applet, |
|---|-----|-----------|------------|------|-------|-------|----------|-----|--------|---------|
| | imp | lementati | ons SHOULD | cons | sider | suppo | orting a | JS(| ON enc | oding. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Requirement /req/core/http implies that the encoding of a server response is determined using content negotiation as specified by the HTTP RFC.

The section Media Types includes guidance on media types for encodings that are specified in this document.

Note that any server that supports multiple encodings will have to support a mechanism to mint encoding-specific URIs for resources in order to express links, for example, to alternate representations of the same resource. This document does not mandate any particular approach how this is supported by the server.

As clients simply need to dereference the URI of the link, the implementation details and the mechanism how the encoding is included in the URI of the link are not important. Developers interested in the approach of a particular implementation, for example, to manipulate ("hack") URIs in the browser address bar, can study the API definition.

Two common approaches are to use:

- an additional path for each encoding of each resource (this can be expressed, for example, using format specific suffixes like ".html");
- an additional query parameter (for example, "accept" or "f") that overrides the Accept header of the HTTP request.

8.4.8. Parameter Encoding

The following sections provide the requirements and guidelines for encoding parameters for use in an OGC Web API request.

OGC Web API requests are issued using a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI). The URI syntax is defined in IETF RFC 3986. Rules for building URI Templates can be found in IETF RFC 6570.

The Backus-Naur Form (BNF) definition of a URI is provided in Annex F.

Capitalization

IETF RFC 3986 sections 6.2.2.1 and 2.1 provide the requirements for capitalization in URIs.

| Requirement 23 | /req/core/query-param-capitalization |
|----------------|---|
| A | Parameter names and values SHALL be case sensitive. |

| В | IF a parameter name or value includes a percent encoded (escaped) character, |
|---|--|
| | THEN |
| | the upper case hexadecimal digits ("A" through "F") of that percent encoded character SHALL be equivalent to the lower case digits |
| | "a" through "f" respectively. |

In order to minimize capitalization issues for implementors of OGC Web API standards:

| Recommendation 15 | /rec/core/query-param-capitalization |
|----------------------|---|
| A | Query parameter names SHOULD be in kebab case. (lower case with dash "-" delimiters) |
| В | Query parameter values are usually reflective of the internal structure of the target resource. Unless otherwise specified, these values SHOULD be in Kebab case. |

A Web API may allow filtering on properties of the target resource. In that case, the parameter name would be the name of the resource property. These names are defined by the standards and specifications defining the resource and cannot be constrained by this standard.

Parameter Value Lists

Parameters may pass more than one value. These lists of parameter values may be passed in two ways.

- 1. Repeated name:value pairs where the parameter name is repeated for each value in the list
- 2. A parameter name followed by a delimited list of values.

The following requirements define how to encode a delimited list (case 2) of parameter values. They do not apply if replication (case 1) is uses.

| Requirement 24 | /req/core/query-param-list-delimiter |
|----------------|---|
| A | Parameters values containing lists SHOULD specify the delimiter to be used in the API definition. |
| В | The default list item delimiter SHALL be the comma (","). |

| Requirement 25 | /req/core/query-param-list-escape |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | |

| A | Any list item values which include a space or comma SHALL |
|---|--|
| | escape the space or comma character using the URL encoding |
| | rules from IETF RFC 3986 |
| | |

| Requirement 26 | /req/core/query-param-list-empty |
|----------------|--|
| A | All empty entries SHALL be represented by the empty string (""). |

Thus, two successive commas indicates an empty item, as does a leading comma or a trailing comma. An empty list ("") can either be interpreted as a list containing no items or as a list containing a single empty item, depending on the context.

Numeric and Boolean Values

Geospatial is a mathematical discipline. The clear and accurate exchange of mathematical values is essential. The encoding rules in this section standarize the encoding of numeric and boolean primitives when included in a URL. These rules are based on the computer science basic data types identified by Kernighan and Ritchie.

Boolean values conform to the following requirement.

| Requirement 27 | /req/core/query-param-value-boolean |
|----------------|--|
| A | Boolean values shall be represented by the lowercase strings "true" and "false", representing Boolean true and false respectively. |

Integer values conform to the following requirement.

| Requirement 28 | /req/core/query-param-value-integer |
|----------------|---|
| A | Integer values SHALL be represented by a finite-length sequence of decimal digits with an optional leading negative "-" sign. Positive values are assumed is the leading sign is omitted. |

Real numbers can be represented using either the decimal or double (exponential) format. The decimal format is typically used except for very large or small values.

Decimal values conform to the following requirement.

| Requirement 29 | /req/core/query-param-value-decimal |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | |

| A | Decimal values SHALL be represented by a finite-length sequence of decimal digits separated by a period as a decimal indicator. |
|---|---|
| | • An optional leading negative sign ("-") is allowed. |
| | • If the sign is omitted, positive ("+") is assumed. |
| | • Leading and trailing zeroes are optional. |
| | • If the fractional part is zero, the period and following zero(es) can be omitted. |

Double values conform to the following requirement.

| Requirement 30 | /req/core/query-param-value-double |
|----------------|---|
| A | Double values SHALL be represented by a mantissa followed, optionally, by the character "e", followed by an exponent. |
| В | The exponent SHALL be an integer. |
| С | The mantissa SHALL be a decimal number. |
| D | The representations for exponent and mantissa SHALL follow the lexical rules for integer and decimal. |
| Е | If the "e" and the following exponent are omitted, an exponent value of 0 SHALL be assumed. |

Special values conform to the following requirement.

| Requirement 31 | /req/core/query-param-value-special |
|----------------|---|
| A | The special values positive and negative infinity and not-a- number SHALL be represented using the strings inf, -inf and nan, respectively. |

Chapter 9. Encoding Requirements Classes

9.1. Overview

This clause specifies two requirements classes for encodings to be used by an OGC API implementation. These encodings are commonly used encodings for spatial data on the web:

- HTML
- ISON

None of these encodings are mandatory. An implementation of the Collections requirements class may implement either, both, or none of them.

9.2. Requirement Class "HTML"

Geographic information that is only accessible in formats like GeoJSON or GML has two issues:

- The data is not discoverable using the most common mechanism for discovering information, that is the search engines of the Web,
- The data can not be viewed directly in a browser additional tools are required to view the data.

Therefore, sharing data on the Web should include publication in HTML. To be consistent with the Web, it should be done in a way that enables users and search engines to access all data.

This is discussed in detail in W3C Best Practice. This standard therefore recommends supporting HTML as an encoding.

| Requirements Class | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-2/1.0/req/html | |
| Target type | Web API |
| Dependency | Requirements Class "OAPI Collections" |
| Dependency | HTML5 |
| Dependency | Schema.org |

| Requirement 32 | /req/html/definition |
|----------------|---|
| A | Every 200-response of an operation of the API SHALL support the media type text/html. |

| Requirement 33 | /req/html/content |
|----------------|-------------------|
| | |

| A | Every 200-response of the API with the media type "text/html" SHALL be a HTML 5 document that includes the following information in the HTML body: |
|---|--|
| | All information identified in the schemas of the Response Object in the HTML <body></body>, and All links in HTML <a> elements in the HTML <body></body>. |

| Recommendation 16 | /rec/html/schema-org |
|-------------------|--|
| A | A 200-response with the media type text/html, SHOULD include Schema.org annotations. |

9.3. Requirement Class "JSON"

JSON is a text syntax that facilitates structured data interchange between programming languages. It commonly used for Web-based software-to-software interchanges. Most Web developers are comfortable with using a JSON-based format, so supporting JSON is recommended for machine-to-machine interactions.

| Requirements Class | |
|--|---|
| http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-2/1.0/req/json | |
| Target type | Web API |
| Dependency | Requirements Class "OAPI Collections" |
| Dependency | IETF RFC 8259: The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format |
| Dependency | JSON Schema |

| Requirement 34 | /req/json/definition |
|----------------|--|
| А | 200-responses of the server SHALL support the application/json media type. |

| Requirement 35 | /req/json/content |
|----------------|--|
| A | Every 200-response with the media type application/json SHALL include, or link to, a payload encoded according to the JSON Interchange Format. |

| The schema of all responses with the media type application/json SHALL conform with the JSON Schema specified for that resource. |
|--|
| |

JSON Schema for the Collections Metadata and Collection Description is available at collections.yaml and collectionDesc.yaml.

These are generic schemas that do not include any application schema information about specific resource types or their properties.

Chapter 10. Media Types

JSON media types that would typically be supported by a server that supports JSON are:

- application/geo+json for feature collections and features, and
- application/json for all other resources.

XML media types that would typically be supported by a server that supports XML are:

- application/gml+xml; version=3.2 for any GML 3.2 feature collections and features,
- application/gml+xml; version=3.2; profile=http://www.opengis.net/def/profile/ogc/2.0/gml-sf0 for GML 3.2 feature collections and features conforming to the GML Simple Feature Level 0 profile,
- application/gml+xml;version=3.2;profile=http://www.opengis.net/def/profile/ogc/2.0/gml-sf2 for GML 3.2 feature collections and features conforming to the GML Simple Feature Level 2 profile, and
- application/xml for all other resources.

The typical HTML media type for all "web pages" in a server would be text/html.

Chapter 11. Security Considerations

See OGC API - Common - Part 1: Core, Clause 11.

add additional text as needed

Annex A: Abstract Test Suite (Normative)

A.1. Introduction

OGC Web APIs are not a Web Services in the traditional sense. Rather, they define the behavior and content of a set of Resources exposed through a Web Application Programing Interface (Web API). Therefore, an API may expose resources in addition to those defined by the standard. A test engine must be able to traverse the API, identify and validate test points, and ignore resource paths which are not to be tested.

A.2. Conformance Class Collections

| Conformance Class | |
|--|---|
| http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-2/1.0/conf/collections | |
| Target type | Web API |
| Requirements Class | http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-2/1.0/req/collections |
| Dependency | Conformance Class "OAPI Core" |

A.2.1. General Tests

CRS 84

| Abstract Test 1 | /ats/collections/crs84 |
|-----------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that all spatial geometries provided through the API are in the CRS84 or CRS84h spatial reference system unless otherwise requested by the client. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/crs84 |
| Test Method | 1. Do not specify a coordinate reference system in any request. All spatial data should be in the default coordinate reference system. |
| | 2. If the retrieved spatial data includes elevations, validate that the data is in the CRS84h reference system. |
| | 3. If the retrieved spatial data does not include elevations, validate that the data is in the CRS84 reference system. |

A.2.2. Feature Collections {root}/collections

| Abstract Test 2 | /ats/collections/rc-md-op |
|-----------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that information about the Collections can be retrieved from the expected location. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-md-op |
| Test Method | Issue an HTTP GET request to the URL {root}/collections Validate that a document was returned with a status code 200 Validate the contents of the returned document using test /ats/collections/rc-md-success. |

| Abstract Test 3 | /ats/collections_rc-md-success |
|-----------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the Collections content complies with the required structure and contents. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-md-success, /req/collections/crs84 |
| Test Method | Validate that all response documents comply with /ats/collections/rc-md-links In case the response includes a "crs" property, validate that the first value is either: "http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84" or "http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/0/CRS84h" |
| | 3. Validate the collections content for all supported media types using the resources and tests identified in Table 5 |

The Collections content may be retrieved in a number of different formats. The following table identifies the applicable schema document for each format and the test to be used to validate against that schema. All supported formats should be exercised.

Table 5. Schema and Tests for Collections content

| Format | Schema Document | Test ID |
|--------|------------------|-------------------|
| HTML | collections.json | /ats/html/content |
| JSON | collections.json | /ats/geo/content |

A.2.3. Feature Collection {root}/collections/{collectionId}

| Abstract Test 4 | /ats/collections/src-md-op |
|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | |

| Test Purpose | Validate that the Collection content can be retrieved from the expected location. |
|--------------|--|
| Requirement | /req/collections/src-md-op |
| Test Method | For every Feature Collection described in the Collections content, issue an HTTP GET request to the URL /collections/{collectionId} where {collectionId} is the id property for the collection. 1. Validate that a Collection was returned with a status code 200 2. Validate the contents of the returned document using test /ats/collections/src-md-success. |

| Abstract Test 5 | /ats/collections/src-md-success |
|-----------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the Collection content complies with the required structure and contents. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/src-md-success |
| Test Method | Verify that the content of the response is consistent with the content for this Resource Collection in the /collections response. That is, the values for id, title, description and extent are identical. |

A.2.4. Features {root}/collections/{collectionId}/items

NOTE This test is too Feature centric. Will need to be greatly reduced in scope.

| Abstract Test 6 | /ats/collections/rc-op |
|-----------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that resources can be identified and extracted from a Collection using query parameters. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-op |

| Test Method | For every resource collection identified in Collections, issue an HTTP GET request to the URL /collections/{collectionId}/items where {collectionId} is the id property for a Collection described in the Collections |
|-------------|---|
| | content. |
| | 2. Validate that a document was returned with a status code 200. |
| | Repeat these tests using the following parameter tests: |
| | Dounding Dow |

Bounding Box:

- Parameter /ats/collections/rc-bbox-definition
- Response /ats/collections/rc-bbox-response

DateTime:

- Parameter /ats/collections/rc-time-definition
- Response /ats/collections/rc-time-response

Execute requests with combinations of the "bbox" and "datetime" query parameters and verify that only features are returned that match both selection criteria.

| Abstract Test 7 | /ats/collections/rc-bbox-definition |
|-----------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the bounding box query parameters are constructed correctly. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-bbox-definition |

Test Method

Verify that the bbox query parameter complies with the following definition (using an OpenAPI Specification 3.0 fragment):

name: bbox
in: query
required: false
schema:
 type: array
 minItems: 4
 maxItems: 6
 items:
 type: number

type: number style: form explode: false

Use a bounding box with four numbers in all requests:

- Lower left corner, WGS 84 longitude
- Lower left corner, WGS 84 latitude
- Upper right corner, WGS 84 longitude
- Upper right corner, WGS 84 latitude

| Abstract Test 8 | /ats/collections/rc-bbox-response |
|-----------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the bounding box query parameters are processed corrrectly. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-bbox-response |
| Test Method | 1. Verify that only resources that have a spatial geometry that intersects the bounding box are returned as part of the result set. |
| | 2. Verify that the bbox parameter matched all resources in the collection that were not associated with a spatial geometry (this is only applicable for datasets that include resources without a spatial geometry). |
| | 3. Verify that the coordinate reference system of the geometries is WGS 84 longitude/latitude ("http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84" or "http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/0/CRS84h") since no parameter bbox-crs was specified in the request. |

| Abstract Test 9 | /ats/collections/rc-time-definition |
|-----------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the dateTime query parameters are constructed correctly. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-time-definition |
| Test Method | Verify that the datetime query parameter complies with the following definition (using an OpenAPI Specification 3.0 fragment): name: datetime in: query required: false schema: type: string style: form explode: false |

| Abstract Test 10 | /ats/collections/rc-time-response |
|------------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the dataTime query parameters are processed correctly. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-time-response |
| Test Method | 1. Verify that only resources that have a temporal geometry that intersects the temporal information in the datetime parameter were included in the result set |
| | 2. Verify that all resources in the collection that are not associated with a temporal geometry are included in the result set |
| | 3. Validate that the datetime parameter complies with the syntax described in /req/collections/rc-time-response. |

| Abstract Test 11 | /ats/collections/rc-response |
|------------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the Resource Collection complies with the require structure and contents. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-response |

| Test Method | The test method is specific to the resource type returned. | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | |

A.2.5. Second Tier Tests

These tests are invoked by other tests.

Extent

| Abstract Test 12 | /ats/coollections/rc-md-extent |
|------------------|---|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the extent property if it is present |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-md-extent |
| Test Method | 1. Verify that the extent provides bounding boxes that include all spatial geometries |
| | 2. Verify that if the extent provides time intervals that include all temporal geometries in this collection. |
| | 3. A temporal extent of null indicates an open time interval. |

Items

| Abstract Test 13 | /ats/collections/rc-md-items |
|------------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that each collection provided by the server is described in the Collections Metadata. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-md-items |
| Test Method | 1. Verify that there is an entry in the collections array of the Collections Metadata for each feature collection provided by the API. |
| | 2. Verify that each collection entry includes an identifier. |
| | 3. Verify that each collection entry includes links in accordance with /collections/rc-md-items-links. |
| | 4. Verify that if the collection entry includes an extent property, that that property complies with /collections/rc-md-extent |
| | 5. Validate each collection entry for all supported media types using the resources and tests identified in Table 6 |

The collection entries may be encoded in a number of different formats. The following table identifies the applicable schema document for each format and the test to be used to validate

against that schema. All supported formats should be exercised.

Table 6. Schema and Tests for Collection Entries

| Format | Schema Document | Test ID |
|--------|---------------------|-------------------|
| HTML | collectionInfo.json | /ats/html/content |
| JSON | collectionInfo.json | /ats/json/content |

| Abstract Test 14 | /ats/collections/rc-md-items-links |
|------------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that each Feature Collection metadata entry in the Collections Metadata document includes all required links. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-md-items-links |
| Test Method | 1. Verify that each Collection item in the Collections Metadata document includes a link property for each supported encoding. |
| | 2. Verify that the links properties of the collection includes an item for each supported encoding with a link to the features resource (relation: items). |
| | 3. Verify that all links include the rel and type link parameters. |

Links

| Abstract Test 15 | /ats/collections/rc-md-links |
|------------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Validate that the required links are included in the Collections Metadata document. |
| Requirement | /req/collections/rc-md-links |
| Test Method | Verify that the response document includes: a link to this response document (relation: self), a link to the response document in every other media type supported by the server (relation: alternate). Verify that all links include the rel and type link parameters. |

A.3. Conformance Class GeoJSON

| Conformance Class | |
|-------------------|--|
|-------------------|--|

| http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-2/1.0/conf/json | |
|---|--|
| Target type | Web API |
| Requirements Class | http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-2/1.0/req/json |
| Dependency | Conformance Class "OAPI Core" |

A.3.1. GeoJSON Definition

| Abstract Test 16 | /ats/json/definition | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Test Purpose | Verify support for JSON | | | | |
| Requirement | /req/json/definition | | | | |
| Test Method | A resource is requested with response media type of application/json All 200-responses SHALL support the media type application/json. | | | | |

A.3.2. GeoJSON Content

| Abstract Test 17 | /ats/json/content | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Test Purpose | Verify the content of a JSON document given an input document and schema. | | |
| Requirement | /req/json/content | | |
| Test Method | Validate that the document is a JSON document. Validate the document against the schema using a JSON Schema validator. | | |

A.4. Conformance Class HTML

| Conformance Class | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-2/1.0/conf/html | | | |
| Target type | Web API | | |
| Requirements Class | http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-2/1.0/req/html | | |
| Dependency | Conformance Class "OAPI Core" | | |

A.4.1. HTML Definition

| Abstract Test 18 | /ats/html/definition |
|------------------|--|
| Test Purpose | Verify support for HTML |
| Requirement | /req/html/definition |
| Test Method | Verify that every 200-response of every operation of the API where HTML was requested is of media type text/html |

A.4.2. HTML Content

| Abstract Test 19 | /ats/html/content | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Test Purpose | Verify the content of an HTML document given an input document and schema. | | | | | |
| Requirement | /req/html/content | | | | | |
| Test Method | Validate that the document is an HTML 5 document Manually inspect the document against the schema. | | | | | |

Annex B: Examples (Informative)

B.1. Collections Metadata Example

This collections metadata example response in JSON is for a dataset with a single "buildings" feature collection.

There is a link to the collections response itself (link relation type: "self").

Representations of this resource in other formats are referenced (link relation type: "alternate").

An additional link is to a GML application schema for the collection (link relation type: "describedBy").

```
{
  "links": [
   { "href": "http://data.example.org/collections.json",
      "rel": "self", "type": "application/json", "title": "this document" },
   { "href": "http://data.example.org/collections.html",
      "rel": "alternate", "type": "text/html", "title": "this document as HTML" },
    { "href": "http://schemas.example.org/1.0/foobar.xsd",
      "rel": "describedBy", "type": "application/xml", "title": "XML schema for Acme
Corporation data" }
  ],
  "collections": [
      "id": "buildings",
      "title": "Buildings",
      "description": "Buildings in the city of Bonn.",
      "extent": {
        "spatial": [ 7.01, 50.63, 7.22, 50.78 ],
        "temporal": [ "2010-02-15T12:34:56Z", "2018-03-18T12:11:00Z" ]
     },
      "links": [
        { "href": "http://example.org/concepts/building.html",
          "rel": "describedBy", "type": "text/html",
          "title": "Feature catalogue for buildings" }
      ]
    }
 1
}
```

B.2. Collection Description Examples

B.2.1. Building Collection

This Collection Description example response in ISON is for a single "buildings" collection.

The basic descriptive information includes:

- "id": an identifier for this collection
- "title": the title of this collection
- "description": self evident
- "attribution": markup providing attribution (owner, producer, logo, etc.) of this collection

The response includes links to:

- There is a link to the response itself (link relation type: "self").
- Representations of this response in other formats are referenced using link relation type "alternate".
- An additional link is to a GML application schema for the collection using:https://www.iana.org/assignments/link-relations/link-relations.xhtml[link relation type] "describedBy".

Finally, this response includes both spatial and temporal extents.

Reference system information is not provided as the service provides geometries only in the default system (spatial: WGS 84 longitude/latitude; temporal: Gregorian calendar).

```
{
 "id": "1234567890",
 "title": "Example Collection Description Response",
 "description": "This is an example of a Collection Description in JSON format",
 "attribution": "<a href='www.ign.es' rel=' '>IGN</a> <a href='www.govdata.de/dl-
de/by-2-0'>(c)</a>",
 "links": [
   { "href": "http://data.example.org/collections.json",
      "rel": "self", "type": "application/json", "title": "this document" },
    { "href": "http://data.example.org/collections.html",
     "rel": "alternate", "type": "text/html", "title": "this document as HTML" },
    { "href": "http://schemas.example.org/1.0/foobar.xsd",
      "rel": "describedBy", "type": "application/xml", "title": "XML schema for Acme
Corporation data" }
 ],
  "extent": {
    "spatial": [ 7.01, 50.63, 7.22, 50.78 ],
    "temporal": [ "2010-02-15T12:34:56Z", "2018-03-18T12:11:00Z" ]
    }
}
```

Annex C: Revision History

| Date | Release | Editor | Primary clauses modified | Description |
|------------|----------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2019-11-25 | 1.0.0-SNAPSHOT | Panagiotis (Peter) Vretanos, Clemens Portele | all | initial version |
| 2020-04-21 | 1.0.1-SNAPSHOT | Chuck Heazel | all | Initial API-Common version |

Annex D: Glossary

· Conformance Test Module

set of related tests, all within a single conformance test class (OGC 08-131r3)

NOTE: When no ambiguity is possible, the word test may be omitted. i.e. conformance test module is the same as conformance module. Conformance modules may be nested in a hierarchical way.

This term and those associated to it are included here for consistency with ISO 19105.

• Conformance Test Class; Conformance Test Level

set of conformance test modules that must be applied to receive a single certificate of conformance. (OGC 08-131r3)

NOTE: When no ambiguity is possible, the word test may be left out, so conformance test class may be called a conformance class.

• Executable Test Suite (ETS)

A set of code (e.g. Java and CTL) that provides runtime tests for the assertions defined by the ATS. Test data required to do the tests are part of the ETS (OGC 08-134)

Recommendation

expression in the content of a document conveying that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action is deprecated but not prohibited (OGC 08-131r3)

NOTE: "Although using normative language, a recommendation is not a requirement. The usual form replaces the shall (imperative or command) of a requirement with a should (suggestive or conditional)." (ISO Directives Part 2)

Requirement

expression in the content of a document conveying criteria to be fulfilled if compliance with the document is to be claimed and from which no deviation is permitted (OGC 08-131r3)

Requirements Class

aggregate of all requirement modules that must all be satisfied to satisfy a conformance test class (OGC 08-131r3)

• Requirements Module

aggregate of requirements and recommendations of a specification against a single standardization target type (OGC 08-131r3)

Standardization Target

entity to which some requirements of a standard apply (OGC 08-131r3)

NOTE: The standardization target is the entity which may receive a certificate of conformance for a requirements class.

Annex E: Bibliography

- Fielding, Roy Thomas. Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures. Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Irvine, 2000.
- YAML Ain't Markup Language [online, viewed 2020-03-16]. Edited by O. Ben-Kiki, C. Evans, Ingy döt Net. Available at https://yaml.org.
- Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA). **Link Relation Types** [online, viewed 2020-03-16], Available at https://www.iana.org/assignments/link-relations/link-relations.xml.
- Open Geospatial Consortium: The Specification Model—A Standard for Modular specifications, OGC 08-131
- Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) / World Wide Web Consortium (W3C): Spatial Data on the Web Best Practices [online]. Edited by J. Tandy, L. van den Brink, P. Barnaghi. 2017 [viewed 2020-03-16]. Available at https://www.w3.org/TR/sdw-bp/.
- World Wide Web Consortium (W3C): **Data on the Web Best Practices** [online]. Edited by B.F. Lóscio, C. Burle, N. Calegari. 2017 [viewed 2020-03-16]. Available at https://www.w3.org/TR/dwbp/.
- World Wide Web Consortium (W3C): **Data Catalog Vocabulary** [online]. Edited by R. Albertoni, D. Browning, S. Cox, A.G. Beltran, A. Perego, P. Winstanley. 2020 [viewed 2020-03-16]. Available at https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-dcat/.
- Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC): **Welcome To The OGC APIs** [online, viewed 2020-03-16]. Available at http://www.ogcapi.org/.
- Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC): OGC Link Relations Registry, [online, viewed 2020-04-17].
 Available at https://github.com/opengeospatial/NamingAuthority/blob/master/incubation/linkRelationTypes/linkrelations.csv.
- Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC): **Compliance Testing Program Policies & Procedures** [online, viewed 2020-04-18]. Available at https://portal.ogc.org/files/?artifact_id=28982.