

8 $\text{Trop}_!$ is a cartesian differential category

We here focus on the category $Q_!^\Pi$, that is, the matricial category associated with $\text{Trop}_!$. Let us recall that a morphism of $Q_!^\Pi(X, Y)$ is a matrix $f \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(X) \times Y}$, and that composition of $f \in Q_!^\Pi(X, Y)$ and $g \in Q_!^\Pi(Y, Z)$ is given by

$$(g \circ f)_{\mu, z} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\mu_i, y_i} + g_{[y_1, \dots, y_n], z} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y, \mu = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n \right\}$$

Notice that $\infty \circ f = f \circ \infty = \infty$. However, while $\min\{g, h\} \circ f = \min\{g \circ f, h \circ f\}$ holds, as it can be computed using the continuity of μ , \inf and $+$, it is not true in general that $h \circ \min\{f, g\} = \min\{h \circ f, h \circ g\}$ (as a consequence of the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{f_{\mu_i, y_i}, g_{\mu_i, y_i}\} \neq \min\{\sum_{i=1}^n f_{\mu_i, y_i}, \sum_{i=1}^n g_{\mu_i, y_i}\}$). In other words, morphisms in $Q_!^\Pi$ are only *left- Q -additive*. A morphism $h \in Q_!^\Pi(X, Y)$ that satisfies $h \circ \min\{f, g\} = \min\{h \circ f, h \circ g\}$ for all object X' and $f, g \in Q_!^\Pi(X', X)$, is called *additive*.

Proposition 18. $Q_!^\Pi$ is a cartesian closed left- Q -additive category.

Proof. While left- Q -additivity was already proved, to show that $Q_!^\Pi$ is cartesian closed left- Q -additive we must also check that (1) products and projections of additive morphisms are additive, and that (2) $\Lambda(\min\{f, g\}) = \min\{\Lambda(f), \Lambda(g)\}$, $\Lambda(\infty) = \infty$, where $\Lambda : Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(Z+X) \times Y} \rightarrow Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(Z) \times (\mathcal{M}_t(X) \times Y)}$ is the isomorphism given by $(\Lambda(f))_{\mu, \nu, y} = f_{\mu \oplus \nu, y}$, where $\mu \oplus \nu$ is defined by $(\mu \oplus \nu)(\langle 0, x \rangle) = \mu(x)$ and $(\mu \oplus \nu)(\langle 1, x \rangle) = \nu(x)$.

1. Let $f \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(X) \times Y}$ and $g \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(X) \times Z}$ be additive; then $\langle f, g \rangle \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(X) \times (Y+Z)}$, which is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mu, \langle i, a \rangle} = \begin{cases} f_{\mu, a} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ g_{\mu, a} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

is also additive. Indeed, for all $h \in Q_!^\Pi(X', X)$, in any cartesian category it holds that $\langle f, g \rangle \circ h = \langle f \circ h, g \circ h \rangle$. Now, if $i = 0$, then for all $h_1, h_2 \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(X') \times X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle f, g \rangle \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\})_{\rho, \langle i, z \rangle} &= (\langle f \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\}, g \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\} \rangle)_{\rho, \langle i, z \rangle} \\ &= (f \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\})_{\rho, z} \\ &= \min\{(f \circ h_1)_{\rho, z}, (f \circ h_2)_{\rho, z}\} \\ &= \min\{\langle f \circ h_1, g \circ h_1 \rangle_{\rho, \langle i, z \rangle}, \langle f \circ h_2, g \circ h_2 \rangle_{\rho, \langle i, z \rangle}\} \\ &= \min\{(\langle f, g \rangle \circ h_1)_{\rho, \langle i, z \rangle}, (\langle f, g \rangle \circ h_2)_{\rho, \langle i, z \rangle}\} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly if $i = 1$.

Moreover, suppose $f \in Q_!^\Pi(X, Y+Z)$ is additive, and let us show that $\pi_1(f) \in Q_!^\Pi(X, Y)$, defined by $(\pi_1(f))_{\mu, y} = f_{\mu, \langle 0, y \rangle}$, is also additive: first observe that $\pi_1(f) = \pi_1 \circ f$, where $\pi_1 \in Q_!^\Pi(Y+Z, Y)$ is given by $(\pi_1)_{\mu, y} = 0$ if $\mu = [y] \oplus \emptyset$ and is ∞ otherwise; moreover, $\pi_1(\min\{g, h\}) = \min\{\pi_1(g), \pi_1(h)\}$, since $(\pi_1(\min\{g, h\}))_{\mu, y} = (\min\{g, h\})_{\mu, \langle 0, y \rangle} = \min\{g_{\mu, \langle 0, y \rangle}, h_{\mu, \langle 0, y \rangle}\} = \min\{\pi_1(g), \pi_1(h)\}_{\mu, y}$. Now, given $h_1, h_2 \in Q_!^\Pi(X', X)$, we have that $\pi_1(f) \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\} = (\pi_1 \circ f) \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\} = \pi_1 \circ (f \circ \min\{h_1, h_2\}) = \pi_1 \circ \min\{f \circ h_1, f \circ h_2\} = \pi_1(\min\{f \circ h_1, f \circ h_2\}) = \min\{\pi_1(f \circ h_1), \pi_1(f \circ h_2)\} = \min\{\pi_1(f) \circ h_1, \pi_1(f) \circ h_2\}$.

2. It is clear then that $\Lambda(\infty) = \infty$, and moreover

$$\Lambda(\min\{f, g\})_{\mu, \nu, y} = \min\{f, g\}_{\mu \oplus \nu, y} = \min\{f_{\mu \oplus \nu, y}, g_{\mu \oplus \nu, y}\} = \min(\Lambda(f), \Lambda(g))_{\mu, \nu, y}$$

□

For any morphism $f \in Q_!^\Pi(X, Y)$, let us define a morphism $D(f) \in Q_!^\Pi(X + X, Y)$, i.e. $D(f) \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_t(X+X), Y}$, by

$$D(f)_{\mu, y} = \begin{cases} f_{\mu' + x, y} & \text{if } \mu = [x] \oplus \mu' \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 19. *The category $Q_!^\Pi$, endowed with the operator D , is a cartesian closed differential category.*

Proof. We must check axioms (1)-(7) of cartesian differential categories plus axiom (D-curry).

- (1) $D(\min\{f, g\}) = \min\{D(f), D(g)\}$ and $D(\infty) = \infty$: while the latter is obvious, for the former we have $D(\min\{f, g\})_{[x] \oplus \nu, y} = \min\{f, g\}_{\nu + x, y} = \min\{f_{\nu + x, y}, g_{\nu + x, y}\} = \min\{D(f), D(g)\}_{[x] \oplus \nu, y}$, and if $\mu \neq [x] \oplus \nu$, $D(\min\{f, g\})_{\mu, y} = \infty = \min\{\infty, \infty\} = \min\{D(f), D(g)\}_{\mu, y}$.
- (2) $D(f) \circ \langle \min\{h, k\}, v \rangle = \min\{D(f) \circ \langle h, v \rangle, D(f) \circ \langle k, v \rangle\}$, and $D(f) \circ \langle \infty, v \rangle = \infty$: we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} (D(f) \circ \langle \min\{h, k\}, v \rangle)_{\mu, y} &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \min\{h, k\}_{\rho_i, w_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m v_{\nu_j, z_j} + f_{[z_1, \dots, z_m] + w, y} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid \mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_j, [w] = [w_1, \dots, w_n] \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \min\{h, k\}_{\rho, w} + \sum_{j=1}^m v_{\nu_j, z_j} + f_{[z_1, \dots, z_m] + w, y} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid \mu = \rho + \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_j \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf \left\{ h_{\rho, w} + \sum_{j=1}^m v_{\nu_j, z_j} + f_{[z_1, \dots, z_m] + w, y} \mid \mu = \rho + \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_j \right\}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \inf \left\{ k_{\rho, w} + \sum_{j=1}^m v_{\nu_j, z_j} + f_{[z_1, \dots, z_m] + w, y} \mid \mu = \rho + \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_j \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ (D(f) \circ \langle h, v \rangle)_{\mu, y}, (D(f) \circ \langle k, v \rangle)_{\mu, y} \right\} \\ &= \left(\min \{ D(f) \circ \langle h, v \rangle, D(f) \circ \langle k, v \rangle \} \right)_{\mu, y} \end{aligned}$$

where, in the first equation, the condition $[w_1, \dots, w_n] = [w]$ (i.e. $n = 1$) is forced by the fact that, otherwise, the application of $D(f)$ would give ∞ . Moreover, we have

$$(D(f) \circ \langle \infty, v \rangle)_{\mu, y} = \inf \left\{ \infty + \sum_{j=1}^m v_{\nu_j, z_j} + f_{[z_1, \dots, z_m] + w, y} \mid \mu = \rho + \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_j \right\} = \infty$$

- (3) $D(\text{id}) = \pi_1$, $D(\pi_i) = \pi_i \circ \pi_1$: recall that $\text{id}_{[x],x} = 0$ and $\text{id}_{\mu,x} = \infty$, if $\mu \neq [x]$. Moreover $(\pi_1)_{\mu,x} = 0$ if $\mu = [x] \oplus \emptyset$, and is ∞ otherwise, and π_2 is defined similarly. Hence $D(\text{id})_{[x] \oplus \nu, y} = \text{id}_{\nu+x, y}$ is 0 precisely when $x = y$ and $\nu = \emptyset$, and in all other cases is ∞ . This shows that $D(\text{id}) = \pi_1$.
 $D(\pi_1) \in Q^{\mathcal{M}_r((X+Y)+(X+Y)) \times Y}$ is given by $D(\pi_1)_{[x] \oplus \emptyset \oplus (\mu \oplus \nu), y} = (\pi_1)_{(\mu \oplus \nu) + \langle 0, x \rangle, y}$, which is 0 precisely when $(\mu \oplus \nu) + \langle 0, x \rangle = y \oplus \emptyset$, i.e. when $x = y$ and $\mu = \nu = \emptyset$; in all other cases one can check that $D(\pi_1)_{\rho, y} = \infty$, so we conclude $D(\pi_1) = \pi_1 \circ \pi_1$. One can argue similarly for π_2 .

- (4) $D(\langle f, g \rangle) = \langle D(f), D(g) \rangle$: we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\langle f, g \rangle)_{[x] \oplus \mu, \langle 0, y \rangle} &= (\langle f, g \rangle)_{\mu+x, \langle 0, y \rangle} = f_{\mu+x, y} = D(f)_{[x] \oplus \mu, y} \\ D(\langle f, g \rangle)_{[x] \oplus \mu, \langle 1, y \rangle} &= (\langle f, g \rangle)_{\mu+x, \langle 1, y \rangle} = g_{\mu+x, y} = D(g)_{[x] \oplus \mu, y} \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce $D(\langle f, g \rangle)_{[x] \oplus \mu, \langle i, y \rangle} = \langle D(f), D(g) \rangle_{[x] \oplus \mu, \langle i, y \rangle}$ by the definition of $\langle -, - \rangle$. If $\rho \neq [x] \oplus \mu$, then $D(\langle f, g \rangle)_{\rho, \langle i, y \rangle} = \infty = \langle \infty, \infty \rangle = \langle D(f), D(g) \rangle_{\rho, \langle i, y \rangle}$ (where the equation $\infty = \langle \infty, \infty \rangle$ is to be read as an equality between the functions $X + Y \longrightarrow Q$ defined by $\langle i, y \rangle \mapsto \infty$ and by $\langle 0, x \rangle \mapsto \infty$, respectively).

- (5) $D(f \circ g) = D(f) \circ \langle D(g), g \circ \pi_2 \rangle$: we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D(f) \circ \langle D(g), g \circ \pi_2 \rangle \right)_{[x] \oplus \mu, z} &= \inf \left\{ D(g)_{[x] \oplus \mu', w} + \sum_i g_{\mu_i, w_i} + D(f)_{[w] \oplus [w_1, \dots, w_n], z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid w, w_i \in Y, \mu = \mu' + \sum_i \mu_i \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ g_{\mu'+x, w} + \sum_i g_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n] + w, z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid w, w_i \in Y, \mu = \mu' + \sum_i \mu_i \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \sum_i g_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n], z} \mid w_1, \dots, w_n \in Y, \mu + x = \sum_i \mu_i \right\} \\ &= (f \circ g)_{\mu+x, y} = D(f \circ g)_{[x] \oplus \mu, z} \end{aligned}$$

if $\rho \neq [x] \oplus \mu$, then $D(f \circ g)_{\rho, z} = \infty$ and from the first equation above it follows that also $(D(f) \circ \langle D(g), g \circ \pi_2 \rangle)_{\rho, z} = \infty$.

- (6) $D(D(f)) \circ \langle \langle g, \infty \rangle, \langle h, k \rangle \rangle = D(f) \circ \langle g, k \rangle$: observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D(D(f)) \right)_{[\langle 1, x' \rangle] \oplus ([x] \oplus \mu), z} &= (D(f))_{[x] \oplus (\mu+x'), z} = f_{\mu+x'+x, z} \\ \left(D(D(f)) \right)_{[\langle 0, x \rangle] \oplus (\emptyset \oplus \mu), z} &= (D(f))_{[x] \oplus \mu, z} = f_{\mu+x, z} \end{aligned}$$

and in all other cases $(D(D(f)))_{\mu, z} = \infty$. Using this fact we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{D}(f)) \circ \langle \langle g, \infty \rangle, \langle h, k \rangle \rangle \right)_{\mu, z} &= \min \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\inf \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\infty_{\rho_1, x'} + h_{\rho_2, x} + \sum_i k_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n] + x' + x, z} \\ &| x, x', w_i \in Y, \mu = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \sum_i \mu_i \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\inf \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g_{\rho, x} + \sum_i k_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n] + x, z} \\ &| x, w_i \in Y, \mu = \rho + \sum_i \mu_i \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ g_{\rho, x} + \sum_i k_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n] + x, z} \mid x, w_i \in Y, \mu = \rho + \sum_i \mu_i \right\} \\
&= \left(\mathsf{D}(f) \circ \langle g, k \rangle \right)_{\mu, z}
\end{aligned}$$

(7) $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{D}(f)) \circ \langle \langle \infty, h \rangle, \langle g, k \rangle \rangle = \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{D}(f)) \circ \langle \langle \infty, g \rangle, \langle h, k \rangle \rangle$: by computations similar to the case above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{D}(f)) \circ \langle \langle \infty, h \rangle, \langle g, k \rangle \rangle \right)_{\mu, z} \\
&= \inf \left\{ h_{\rho', x'} + g_{\rho, x} + \sum_i k_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n] + x' + x, z} \mid x, x', w_i \in Y, \mu = \rho' + \rho + \sum_i \mu_i \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ g_{\rho, x} + h_{\rho', x'} + \sum_i k_{\mu_i, w_i} + f_{[w_1, \dots, w_n] + x + x', z} \mid x, x', w_i \in Y, \mu = \rho + \rho' + \sum_i \mu_i \right\} \\
&= \left(\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{D}(f)) \circ \langle \langle \infty, g \rangle, \langle h, k \rangle \rangle \right)_{\mu, z}
\end{aligned}$$

(D-curry) $\mathsf{D}(\Lambda(f)) = \Lambda(\mathsf{D}(f) \circ \langle \pi_1 \times \infty, \pi_2 \times \text{id} \rangle)$: by observing that both morphisms are in $Q_1^\Pi(X + X, Z^Y) = Q^{\mathcal{M}_f(X+X) \times \mathcal{M}_f(Y) \times Z}$, and that $\langle \pi_1 \times \infty, \pi_2 \times \text{id} \rangle \in Q_1^\Pi((X + X) + Y, (X + Y) + (X + Y))$, we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Lambda(\mathsf{D}(f) \circ \langle \pi_1 \times \infty, \pi_2 \times \text{id} \rangle))_{[x] \oplus \mu, \nu, z} \\
&= (\mathsf{D}(f) \circ \langle \pi_1 \times \infty, \pi_2 \times \text{id} \rangle)_{([x] \oplus \mu) \oplus \nu, z} \\
&= \inf \left\{ (\pi_1)_{[x] \oplus \emptyset, x} + \sum_i (\pi_2)_{\emptyset \oplus [w_i], w_i} + \sum_j (\text{id})_{[z_j], z_j} + \mathsf{D}(f)_{([x] \oplus \emptyset) \oplus (\mu \oplus \nu)} \mid \begin{aligned} &\mu = [w_1, \dots, w_n], \\ &\nu = [z_1, \dots, z_m] \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ 0 + 0 + 0 + \mathsf{D}(f)_{([x] \oplus \emptyset) \oplus (\mu \oplus \nu)} \mid \begin{aligned} &\mu = [w_1, \dots, w_n], \\ &\nu = [z_1, \dots, z_m] \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= (\mathsf{D}(f))_{([x] \oplus \emptyset) \oplus (\mu \oplus \nu), z} \\
&= f_{(\mu + x) \oplus \nu, z} \\
&= (\Lambda(f))_{\mu + x, \nu, z} = (\mathsf{D}(\Lambda(f)))_{[x] \oplus \mu, \nu, z}
\end{aligned}$$

If $\rho \neq [x] \oplus \mu$, then $(\mathsf{D}(\Lambda(f)))_{\rho, \nu, z} = \infty$ and $(\Lambda(\mathsf{D}(f) \circ \langle \pi_1 \times \infty, \pi_2 \times \text{id} \rangle))_{\rho, \nu, z} = (\mathsf{D}(f) \circ \langle \pi_1 \times \infty, \pi_2 \times \text{id} \rangle)_{\rho \oplus \nu, z}$, and one can check that also this is ∞ , using the second equation above and the fact that $(\pi_1)_{\rho \oplus \emptyset, x} = \infty$.

□