

The Finite Difference Method

Heiner Igel

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

Stability, Dispersion, Convergence

Starting with the definition of a plane harmonic wave for pressure p propagating in x -direction with wavenumber k and angular frequency ω

$$p(x, t) = e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$$

In the finite-difference approximation to the wave equation, the spatio-temporal discretization was

$$x \rightarrow jdx$$

$$t \rightarrow ndt$$

Using this for the plane-wave formula such that

$$\begin{aligned}P_j^n &\rightarrow e^{i(kjdx - \omega ndt)} \\P_{j+1}^n &= e^{i(k(j+1)dx - \omega ndt)} \\&= e^{ikdx} e^{i(kjdx - \omega ndt)} \\&= e^{ikdx} P_j^n \\P_j^{n+1} &= e^{-i\omega dt} P_j^n\end{aligned}$$

Stability

Obtaining from the (source-free) finite-difference approximation of the acoustic wave equation and discretized plane-wave Ansatz

$$e^{i\omega dt} + e^{-i\omega dt} - 2 = c^2 \frac{dt^2}{dx^2} (e^{ikdx} + e^{-ikdx} - 2)$$

Dividing both sides by the term P_j^n and using the definition

$$\cos x = \frac{1}{2}(e^{ix} + e^{-ix})$$

yields to

$$\cos(\omega dt) - 1 = c^2 \frac{dt^2}{dx^2} (\cos(kdx) - 1)$$

Stability

and with the trigonometric relation

$$\sin \frac{x}{2} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos x}{2}}$$

finally arrive at

$$\sin \left(\omega \frac{dt}{2} \right) = c \frac{dt}{dx} \sin \left(k \frac{dx}{2} \right)$$

The equation only has real solutions when the connecting term has the following property

$$\boxed{c \frac{dt}{dx} \leq 1}$$

Courant-Friederichs-Lewy (CFL-) criterion

- The space-time discretization can not be arbitrarily chosen but depends on the medium properties (here: velocity c).
- The CFL-criterion describes the *conditional stability* that allows convergent behaviour of the solution.
- As the space discretization is often imposed by considering the smallest seismic velocities in the medium and the highest frequencies, the CFL criterion determines the time increment and thus the number of time steps to achieve a certain simulation length.
- The actual value that has to be respected depends on the number of space dimensions and the overall algorithm.
- It is important to note that the fulfillment of the CFL criterion is by no means a guarantee for an accurate simulation!

Courant-Friederichs-Lewy (CFL-) criterion

- The space-time discretization can not be arbitrarily chosen but depends on the medium properties (here: velocity c).
- The CFL-criterion describes the *conditional stability* that allows convergent behaviour of the solution.
- As the space discretization is often imposed by considering the smallest seismic velocities in the medium and the highest frequencies, the CFL criterion determines the time increment and thus the number of time steps to achieve a certain simulation length.
- The actual value that has to be respected depends on the number of space dimensions and the overall algorithm.
- It is important to note that the fulfillment of the CFL criterion is by no means a guarantee for an accurate simulation!

Courant-Friederichs-Lewy (CFL-) criterion

- The space-time discretization can not be arbitrarily chosen but depends on the medium properties (here: velocity c).
- The CFL-criterion describes the *conditional stability* that allows convergent behaviour of the solution.
- As the space discretization is often imposed by considering the smallest seismic velocities in the medium and the highest frequencies, the CFL criterion determines the time increment and thus the number of time steps to achieve a certain simulation length.
- The actual value that has to be respected depends on the number of space dimensions and the overall algorithm.
- It is important to note that the fulfillment of the CFL criterion is by no means a guarantee for an accurate simulation!

Courant-Friederichs-Lewy (CFL-) criterion

- The space-time discretization can not be arbitrarily chosen but depends on the medium properties (here: velocity c).
- The CFL-criterion describes the *conditional stability* that allows convergent behaviour of the solution.
- As the space discretization is often imposed by considering the smallest seismic velocities in the medium and the highest frequencies, the CFL criterion determines the time increment and thus the number of time steps to achieve a certain simulation length.
- The actual value that has to be respected depends on the number of space dimensions and the overall algorithm.
- It is important to note that the fulfillment of the CFL criterion is by no means a guarantee for an accurate simulation!

Courant-Friederichs-Lewy (CFL-) criterion

- The space-time discretization can not be arbitrarily chosen but depends on the medium properties (here: velocity c).
- The CFL-criterion describes the *conditional stability* that allows convergent behaviour of the solution.
- As the space discretization is often imposed by considering the smallest seismic velocities in the medium and the highest frequencies, the CFL criterion determines the time increment and thus the number of time steps to achieve a certain simulation length.
- The actual value that has to be respected depends on the number of space dimensions and the overall algorithm.
- It is important to note that the fulfillment of the CFL criterion is by no means a guarantee for an accurate simulation!

Numerical Dispersion


The angular frequency ω can be expressed as

$$\omega = \frac{2}{dt} \sin^{-1} \left[c \frac{dt}{dx} \sin k \frac{dx}{2} \right]$$

In this case the phase velocity should be identical to the acoustic velocity obtained by relating it to the wavenumber k

$$c(k) = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{2}{k dt} \sin^{-1} \left[c \frac{dt}{dx} \sin k \frac{dx}{2} \right]$$

Numerical Dispersion



Convergence

When the finite-difference becomes infinitesimally small the analytical derivative is recovered. Does that hold for our numerical approximation of the acoustic wave equation?

$$c(k) = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{2}{k dt} \sin^{-1} \left[c \frac{dt}{dx} \sin k \frac{dx}{2} \right]$$

$$\sin x \approx x \quad \text{for small } x$$

$$\sin^{-1} x \approx x \quad \text{for small } x.$$

As the spatial increment dx and the time increment dt converge to zero the original dispersion relation is recovered


$$\lim_{\substack{dt \rightarrow 0 \\ dx \rightarrow 0}} c(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{k} = c_{\text{exact}}$$

Acoustic Wave Propagation in 2D

Acoustic Wave Propagation in 2D

In 2D the constant-density acoustic wave equation is given as

$$\ddot{p}(x, z, t) = c(x, z)^2 (\partial_x^2 p(x, z, t) + \partial_z^2 p(x, z, t)) + s(x, z, t)$$



Acoustic Wave Propagation in 2D

Discretizing space-time using

$$p(x, z, t) \rightarrow p_{j,k}^n = p(ndt, jdx, kdz) .$$

and the 3-point operator for the 2nd derivatives in time leads to the extrapolation scheme

$$\frac{p_{j,k}^{n+1} - 2p_{j,k}^n + p_{j,k}^{n-1}}{dt^2} = c_j^2(\partial_x^2 p + \partial_z^2 p) + s_{j,k}^n$$

where

$$\partial_x^2 p = \frac{p_{j+1,k}^n - 2p_{j,k}^n + p_{j-1,k}^n}{dx^2}$$
$$\partial_z^2 p = \frac{p_{j,k+1}^n - 2p_{j,k}^n + p_{j,k-1}^n}{dz^2}$$

Example

Investigating the behaviour of the wavefield

Simulating P-wave propagation in a reservoir scale model with maximum velocity $c_{max} = 5km/s$ and minimum velocity $c_{min} = 3km/s$

Dominant frequency is 20 Hz \rightarrow dominant wavelength

$$\lambda_{dom} = c/f_{dom} = 150m$$

Simulating a spatial domain of $5 \times 5km$ and using a grid point distance $dx = 10m$ resulting in 15 grid points per wavelength for the dominant frequency

Example


a: $f = 20$ Hz




b: $f = 35$ Hz



c: $f = 50$ Hz



d: $f = 65$ Hz



Starting with the description of a plane harmonic wave propagating in 2D with wavenumber vector \mathbf{k} pointing in the direction of propagation

$$p(x, z, t) = e^{i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} - \omega t)} = e^{i(k_x x + k_z z - \omega t)} .$$

and using the discretization of our 2D problem to obtain

$$p_{j,k}^n = e^{i(k_x j dx + k_z k dx - \omega n dt)} .$$

Numerical anisotropy


Injecting the formulation into the finite-difference approximation of the source-free 2D acoustic wave equation and following the same steps as done for the 1D numerical dispersion analysis leads to the following relation for the numerical phase velocity in 2D (assuming $dx = dz$)

$$c^{num}(k_x, k_z) = \frac{2}{\lambda dt} \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{dt^2}{dx^2} c^2 \left(\sin \left(\frac{k_x dx}{2} \right) + \sin \left(\frac{k_z dx}{2} \right) \right) \right] .$$

This relation can be analysed as a function of propagation direction noting that

$$\mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} k_x \\ k_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\mathbf{k}| \cos \alpha \\ |\mathbf{k}| \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Numerical anisotropy



Fault zone waves - Landers, CA



Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

The simulation of fault-zone trapped waves

Noting: Scalar acoustic wave equation is mathematically identical to the SH-wave propagation problem

Investigating the effects of a narrow 200m wide fault zone with a 25% velocity decrease

	Description	Value
f_0, f_{max}	Dominant, maximum frequency	10 Hz, 30 Hz
c_{min}, c_{max}	Min., max. velocity	2250 m/s, 3000 m/s
x_{max}, z_{max}	Min., max. extension	10 km, 10 km
t_{max}	Seismogram length	3.5 s

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the smallest wavelength propagating in the medium?

Answer: With the $f_{max} = 30\text{Hz}$ and the smallest velocity $c_{min} = 2250\text{m/s}$ the shortest wavelength is given by $\lambda_{min} = c_{min}/f_{max} = 75\text{m}$.

- How many numbers per smallest wavelength is required by the numerical method (given the wave propagation distance that needs to be covered)?

Answer: The dominant wavelength in the low-velocity medium is $\lambda_{dom} = c_{min}/f_{dom} = 225\text{m}$. Thus we expect to propagate more than 20 wavelengths to the surface. As we use a 5-point operator we choose 20 points per dominant wavelength, resulting in about 7.5 points per smallest wavelength.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the smallest wavelength propagating in the medium?

Answer: With the $f_{max} = 30\text{Hz}$ and the smallest velocity $c_{min} = 2250\text{m/s}$ the shortest wavelength is given by $\lambda_{min} = c_{min}/f_{max} = 75\text{m}$.

- How many numbers per smallest wavelength is required by the numerical method (given the wave propagation distance that needs to be covered)?

Answer: The dominant wavelength in the low-velocity medium is $\lambda_{dom} = c_{min}/f_{dom} = 225\text{m}$. Thus we expect to propagate more than 20 wavelengths to the surface. As we use a 5-point operator we choose 20 points per dominant wavelength, resulting in about 7.5 points per smallest wavelength.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the smallest wavelength propagating in the medium?

Answer: With the $f_{max} = 30\text{Hz}$ and the smallest velocity $c_{min} = 2250\text{m/s}$ the shortest wavelength is given by $\lambda_{min} = c_{min}/f_{max} = 75\text{m}$.

- How many numbers per smallest wavelength is required by the numerical method (given the wave propagation distance that needs to be covered)?

Answer: The dominant wavelength in the low-velocity medium is $\lambda_{dom} = c_{min}/f_{dom} = 225\text{m}$. Thus we expect to propagate more than 20 wavelengths to the surface. As we use a 5-point operator we choose 20 points per dominant wavelength, resulting in about 7.5 points per smallest wavelength.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the smallest wavelength propagating in the medium?

Answer: With the $f_{max} = 30\text{Hz}$ and the smallest velocity $c_{min} = 2250\text{m/s}$ the shortest wavelength is given by $\lambda_{min} = c_{min}/f_{max} = 75\text{m}$.

- How many numbers per smallest wavelength is required by the numerical method (given the wave propagation distance that needs to be covered)?

Answer: The dominant wavelength in the low-velocity medium is $\lambda_{dom} = c_{min}/f_{dom} = 225\text{m}$. Thus we expect to propagate more than 20 wavelengths to the surface. As we use a 5-point operator we choose 20 points per dominant wavelength, resulting in about 7.5 points per smallest wavelength.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the (in our case constant) grid spacing that needs to be implemented?

Answer: With 20 points per dominante wavelength we obtain

$dx = \lambda_{dom}/20 = 11.25m$ grid spacing.

- What is the size of the physical domain and how many overal grid points are required?

Answer: The spatial extent of the 2D model is $10km \times 10km$. In each dimension we thus need $10000m/dx \approx 900$ grid points leading to 900^2 overall grid points.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the (in our case constant) grid spacing that needs to be implemented?

Answer: With 20 points per dominante wavelength we obtain

$dx = \lambda_{dom}/20 = 11.25m$ grid spacing.

- What is the size of the physical domain and how many overal grid points are required?

Answer: The spatial extent of the 2D model is $10km \times 10km$. In each dimension we thus need $10000m/dx \approx 900$ grid points leading to 900^2 overall grid points.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the (in our case constant) grid spacing that needs to be implemented?

Answer: With 20 points per dominante wavelength we obtain

$dx = \lambda_{dom}/20 = 11.25m$ grid spacing.

- What is the size of the physical domain and how many overal grid points are required?

Answer: The spatial extent of the 2D model is $10km \times 10km$. In each dimension we thus need $10000m/dx \approx 900$ grid points leading to 900^2 overall grid points.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the (in our case constant) grid spacing that needs to be implemented?

Answer: With 20 points per dominante wavelength we obtain

$dx = \lambda_{dom}/20 = 11.25m$ grid spacing.

- What is the size of the physical domain and how many overal grid points are required?

Answer: The spatial extent of the 2D model is $10km \times 10km$. In each dimension we thus need $10000m/dx \approx 900$ grid points leading to 900^2 overall grid points.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- Will the seismogram(s) be influenced by artificial boundary reflections (i.e., is it necessary to implement absorbing boundaries or increase the model size)?

Answer: With the model setup as chosen - the fault zone in the middle of the model - we will not expect problems with reflections from the boundaries. Thus no need to implement absorbing boundaries.

- What is the maximum velocity in the model, and the resulting time step (given the grid increment and the CFL criterion)?

Answer: The maximum velocity in the model is $c_{max} = 3000m/s$. Assuming a CFL value of $\epsilon = 0.7$ we can determine the time step required for a stable simulation as $dt = \epsilon dx / c_{max} = 0.0026s$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- Will the seismogram(s) be influenced by artificial boundary reflections (i.e., is it necessary to implement absorbing boundaries or increase the model size)?

Answer: With the model setup as chosen - the fault zone in the middle of the model - we will not expect problems with reflections from the boundaries.

Thus no need to implement absorbing boundaries.

- What is the maximum velocity in the model, and the resulting time step (given the grid increment and the CFL criterion)?

Answer: The maximum velocity in the model is $c_{max} = 3000\text{m/s}$. Assuming a CFL value of $\epsilon = 0.7$ we can determine the time step required for a stable simulation as $dt = \epsilon dx / c_{max} = 0.0026\text{s}$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- Will the seismogram(s) be influenced by artificial boundary reflections (i.e., is it necessary to implement absorbing boundaries or increase the model size)?

Answer: With the model setup as chosen - the fault zone in the middle of the model - we will not expect problems with reflections from the boundaries. Thus no need to implement absorbing boundaries.

- What is the maximum velocity in the model, and the resulting time step (given the grid increment and the CFL criterion)?

Answer: The maximum velocity in the model is $c_{max} = 3000m/s$. Assuming a CFL value of $\epsilon = 0.7$ we can determine the time step required for a stable simulation as $dt = \epsilon dx / c_{max} = 0.0026s$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- Will the seismogram(s) be influenced by artificial boundary reflections (i.e., is it necessary to implement absorbing boundaries or increase the model size)?

Answer: With the model setup as chosen - the fault zone in the middle of the model - we will not expect problems with reflections from the boundaries. Thus no need to implement absorbing boundaries.

- What is the maximum velocity in the model, and the resulting time step (given the grid increment and the CFL criterion)?

Answer: The maximum velocity in the model is $c_{max} = 3000m/s$. Assuming a CFL value of $\epsilon = 0.7$ we can determine the time step required for a stable simulation as $dt = \epsilon dx / c_{max} = 0.0026s$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the overall number of time steps to be propagated?

Answer: For a desired simulation time of $t_{max} = 3.5s$ the number of time steps required is $t_{max}/dt \approx 1300$.

- How much core memory (RAM) will the simulation approximately require?

Answer: For a simple estimate we focus on the space-dependent fields that will constitute the largest part of the memory allocation. Those fields are 1) the velocity model, and 2) the pressure field at three different time levels, and 3) two temporary fields containing the 2nd space derivatives. Assuming double precision floating point numbers (8 bytes per number) this will require approximately $6 \times 900^2 \text{ bytes} \approx 40 \text{ MBytes}$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the overall number of time steps to be propagated?

Answer: For a desired simulation time of $t_{max} = 3.5s$ the number of time steps required is $t_{max}/dt \approx 1300$.

- How much core memory (RAM) will the simulation approximately require?

Answer: For a simple estimate we focus on the space-dependent fields that will constitute the largest part of the memory allocation. Those fields are 1) the velocity model, and 2) the pressure field at three different time levels, and 3) two temporary fields containing the 2nd space derivatives. Assuming double precision floating point numbers (8 bytes per number) this will require approximately $6 \times 900^2 \text{ bytes} \approx 40 \text{ MBytes}$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters

- What is the overall number of time steps to be propagated?

Answer: For a desired simulation time of $t_{max} = 3.5s$ the number of time steps required is $t_{max}/dt \approx 1300$.

- How much core memory (RAM) will the simulation approximately require?

Answer: For a simple estimate we focus on the space-dependent fields that will constitute the largest part of the memory allocation. Those fields are 1) the velocity model, and 2) the pressure field at three different time levels, and 3) two temporary fields containing the 2nd space derivatives. Assuming double precision floating point numbers (8 bytes per number) this will require approximately $6 \times 900^2 \text{ bytes} \approx 40 \text{ MBytes}$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters


- What is the overall number of time steps to be propagated?

Answer: For a desired simulation time of $t_{max} = 3.5s$ the number of time steps required is $t_{max}/dt \approx 1300$.

- How much core memory (RAM) will the simulation approximately require?

Answer: For a simple estimate we focus on the space-dependent fields that will constitute the largest part of the memory allocation. Those fields are 1) the velocity model, and 2) the pressure field at three different time levels, and 3) two temporary fields containing the 2nd space derivatives. Assuming double precision floating point numbers (8 bytes per number) this will require approximately $6 \times 900^2 \text{ bytes} \approx 40 \text{ MBytes}$.

Choosing the Right Simulation Parameters



Snapshot of pressure amplitude for a source located at the left edge of a fault zone model

It indicates the occurrence of head waves and the development of a dispersive wavefield trapped inside the low-velocity zone

Elastic Wave Propagation in 1D

Elastic Wave Propagation in 1D

In chapter 3 we introduced the stress-strain relation as

$$\sigma_{ij} = \lambda \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij} + 2\mu \epsilon_{ij}$$

where λ, μ are the Lamé parameters and σ_{ij} and ϵ_{ij} are the stress and strain tensors

Considering a 1D wave propagation in x-direction and particle motion in a horizontal direction y this relation reduces to

$$\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx} = 2\mu \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_x u_y + \partial_y \underbrace{u_x}_{=0} \right) = \mu \partial_x u_y$$

Velocity - Stress Formulation

Defining velocity v and stress component σ as

$$\partial_t u = v$$

$$\sigma = \mu \partial_x u$$

and assuming space-time dependencies leads to the wave equation


$$\rho \partial_t v = \partial_x \sigma + f$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = \mu \partial_x v$$

Our unknowns are

$$v_i^j = v(\text{id}x, jdt)$$

Velocity - Stress: Staggered grid formulation



$$\bullet \begin{array}{l} v, \rho \\ \circ \mu, \sigma \end{array}$$

$$\frac{v_i^{j+\frac{1}{2}} - v_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho_i} \frac{\sigma_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^j - \sigma_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^j}{dx} + \frac{f_i^j}{\rho_i}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{j+1} - \sigma_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^j}{dt} = \mu_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{v_{i+1}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} - v_i^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{dx}$$

leading to the extrapolation scheme

$$v_i^{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{dt}{\rho_i} \frac{\sigma_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^j - \sigma_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^j}{dx} + v_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{dt}{\rho_i} f_i^j$$

$$\sigma_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{j+1} = dt \mu_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{v_{i+1}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} - v_i^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{dx} + \sigma_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^j$$





Example

Simulation parameters and Matlab code fragment for 1D velocity-stress simulation


Parameter	Value
ρ	2500 kg/m ³
μ	5 × 50 GPa
v_S	4500 m/s
x_{max}	1000 km
dx	1000 m
dt	0.18 s
f_0	1/15 Hz

```
% Time extrapolation
for it = 1 : nt,
    (...)
    % Stress derivative
    for j=2:nx-1
        ds(j)=(s(j+1)-s(j))/dx;
    end
    % Velocity extrapolation
    v=v+dt/rho*ds;
    % Add sources
    v(is)=v(is)+src(i)*dt/rho;
    % Velocity derivative
    for j=2:nx-1,
        dv(j)=(v(j)-v(j-1))/dx;
    end
    % Stress extrapolation
    s=s+dt*mu*dv;
    (...)
```

Example




Elastic 2D - Staggered Grids




1. Contains fundamental aspects of grid staggering for the stress-strain relation for higher dimensions
2. The extension to 3D is straight forward
3. Scheme in seismology most widely used

Elastic 3D - Staggered Grids



1. Most common FD scheme today
2. Usually 4-point operators
3. Time extrapolation with Runge-Kutta

Layered models



- Layers might not coincide with grid lines
- Blocky representation of interfaces (errors)
- Grid stretching, curvi-linear grids, homogenization

Optimal Operators (Geller et al.)

Conventional ($1/dt^2$)

t+dt		1	
t		-2	
t-dt		1	
	x-dx	x	x+dx

Conventional ($1/dx^2$)

t+dt			
t	1	-2	1
t-dt			
	x-dx	x	x+dx

Optimal ($1/dt^2$)


t+dt	1/12	10/12	1/12
t	-2/12	-20/12	-2/12
t-dt	1/12	10/12	1/12
	x-dx	x	x+dx

Optimal ($1/dx^2$)

t+dt	1/12	-2/12	1/12
t	10/12	-20/12	10/12
t-dt	1/12	-2/12	1/12
	x-dx	x	x+dx


- FD operators spread in time and space
- Implicit scheme turned explicit using Born approximation

Optimal Operators (Geller et al.)




- Substantial accuracy improvement
- Negligible extra costs



Unstructured grids



■ primary grid + secondary grid



Spherical coordinates



Summary

- Replacing the partial derivatives by finite differences allows partial differential equations such as the wave equation to be solved directly for (in principle) arbitrarily heterogeneous media.
- The resulting space-time discretization leads to unphysical phenomena such as numerical dispersion that can only be avoided by sampling with enough number of grid points per wavelength.
- The accuracy of finite-difference operators can be improved by using information from more grid points (i.e., longer operators). The weights for the grid points can be obtained using Taylor series.
- Classic plane-wave analysis of the approximative scheme leads to the famous Courant-Friedrich-Levy (CFL) criterion that restricts the choice of the space-time discretization.
- In higher dimensions the error of the wave propagation becomes anisotropic. In regular-spaced grids the most accurate direction is at 45° w.r.t. the grid axes.
- The implementation of boundary conditions in the case of finite-differences needs special care.

Comprehension Questions

- 1 Are finite-difference (FD) based approximations of partial-differential equations unique (give arguments)?
- 2 What strategies are there to improve the accuracy of finite-difference based derivatives? Give the procedures in words.
- 3 What is stability in connection with FD algorithms, give the relevant condition for the 1D wave propagation problem.
- 4 What is convergence?
- 5 What is the difference between physical and numerical dispersion?
- 6 Which propagation direction is most accurate on a rectangular (square) grid, any reasons?
- 7 How would you check whether an FD simulation is accurate (a - homogeneous medium, b - strongly heterogeneous medium)?