

# Syllabus

## LIN 629 Learnability

### Fall 2025

### TTh 09:30-10:50 – SBS TBD

Jeffrey Heinz

August 25, 2025

## 1 What is this course?

Humans learn language, but little is understood about how this happens. In fact, there is disagreement about what learning means and what kind of behavior learning algorithms should reasonably exhibit. This course studies, from a computational perspective, different ways to examine the general behavior of learning algorithms. We also study different algorithms in the literature and their behavior as they relate to learning patterns in natural language.

We will study computational learning theories including, but not necessarily limited to, *identification in the limit* and *probably approximately correct* learning. We will also discuss a range of machine learning techniques including, but not necessarily limited to, grammatical inference. We will argue over how various results should be understood and we will read papers making some of these arguments. We will learn to understand the tension between theoretical, analytical approaches to learning and benchmarking on specific tasks.

## 2 Prerequisites

It is highly recommended that students have a background in mathematics or computer science akin to having taken Mathematical Methods in Linguistics and/or Computational Linguistics 2 at Stony Brook University. Students without such a background will likely struggle and will only be able to contribute partially to some of the broader discussions of the issues.

## 3 Course Objectives

By the end of this course, students will be able to critically assess work in machine learning as it applies to problems in language learning. Specifically, they will be able to:

1. Understand the importance of the general behavior of learning algorithms.

2. Understand multiple formal definitions of learning and formal properties of learning algorithms.
3. Understand fundamental issues in developing such definitions.
4. Understand how some algorithms solve some learning problems.
5. Explain advantages and disadvantages of theoretical, analytical approaches to machine learning vis a vis benchmarking on specific tasks.
6. Critically assess computational learning research in the linguistic literature.

Furthermore, students will begin to conduct original research on learning problems as they apply to natural language. Students will:

1. Develop learning problems related to one's own interests in linguistics.
2. Develop theoretical or applied solutions to these problems.

Ideally, by the end of the course, students will be able to conduct original research in grammatical inference and/or computational modeling of language-learning.

## 4 Office Hours

The instructor's office hours are Mondays and Wednesdays 3:00-4:30pm in the SBS building, room N237.

## 5 Course Materials

There is no textbook for the course. I will make readings and notes available online on the course website.

<http://jeffreyheinz.net/classes/25F/>

Some of the materials we may use are listed below. Students may also present other research subject to my approval. For example, spectral methods for learning finite-state models and statistical relational learning are two current areas of interest not well-represented below.

Mathematical Treatments (Gold)

- E.M. Gold. Language identification in the limit. *Information and Control*, 10:447–474, 1967.
- Daniel Osherson, Scott Weinstein, and Michael Stob. *Systems that Learn*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1986.
- Dana Angluin. Learning regular sets from queries and counterexamples. *Information and Computation*, 75:87–106, 1987.
- Dana Angluin. Identifying languages from stochastic examples. Technical Report 614, Yale University, New Haven, CT, 1988.
- Sanjay Jain, Daniel Osherson, James S. Royer, and Arun Sharma. *Systems That Learn: An Introduction to Learning Theory (Learning, Development and Conceptual Change)*. The MIT Press, 2nd edition, 1999.

Mathematical Treatments (PAC)

- M. Anthony and N. Biggs. *Computational Learning Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- M.J. Kearns and U.V. Vazirani. *An Introduction to Computational Learning Theory*. MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1994.
- L.G. Valiant. A theory of the learnable. *Communications of the ACM*, 27:1134–1142, 1984.

#### Non-mathematical treatment (PAC)

- Leslie Valiant. *Probably Approximately Correct: Nature's Algorithms for Learning and Prospering in a Complex World*. Basic Books, 2013.

#### Algorithms

- Dana Angluin. Inference of reversible languages. *Journal for the Association of Computing Machinery*, 29(3):741–765, 1982.
- Alexander Clark and Rémi Eyraud. Polynomial identification in the limit of substitutable context-free languages. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 8:1725–1745, Aug 2007.
- Colin de la Higuera. *Grammatical Inference: Learning Automata and Grammars*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- Jeffrey Heinz. String extension learning. In *Proceedings of the 48th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 897–906, Uppsala, Sweden, July 2010. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jeffrey Heinz, Anna Kasprzik, and Timo Kötzing. Learning with lattice-structured hypothesis spaces. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 457:111–127, October 2012.
- Jane Chandlee, Rémi Eyraud, and Jeffrey Heinz. Learning strictly local subsequential functions. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2:491–503, November 2014.
- Jeffrey Heinz, Colin de la Higuera, and Menno van Zaanen. *Grammatical Inference for Computational Linguistics*. Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies. Morgan and Claypool, 2015.
- Jeffrey Heinz and José Sempere, editors. *Topics in Grammatical Inference*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2016. ISBN 978-3-662-48395-4.
- Jane Chandlee, Remi Eyraud, Jeffrey Heinz, Adam Jardine, and Jonathan Rawski. Learning with partially ordered representations. In *Proceedings of the 16th Meeting on the Mathematics of Language*, pages 91–101, Toronto, Canada, 18–19 July 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenyue Hua and Adam Jardine. Learning input strictly local functions from their composition. In Jane Chandlee, Rémi Eyraud, Jeff Heinz, Adam Jardine, and Menno van Zaanen, editors, *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Grammatical Inference*, volume 153 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 47–65. PMLR, 23–27 Aug 2021. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v153/hua21a.html>.
- Dakotah Lambert. Grammar interpretations and learning tsl online. In Jane Chandlee, Rémi Eyraud, Jeff Heinz, Adam Jardine, and Menno van Zaanen, editors, *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Grammatical Inference*, volume 153 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 81–91. PMLR, 23–27 Aug 2021. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v153/lambert21a.html>.

- Dakotah Lambert, Jonathan Rawski, and Jeffrey Heinz. Typology emerges from simplicity in representations and learning. *Journal of Language Modelling*, 9(1):151–194, 2021.
- Sarah Payne. A generalized algorithm for learning positive and negative grammars with unconventional string models. In *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics*, volume 7, pages 75–85, 2024. doi: <https://doi.org/10.7275/scil.2132>.
- Logan Swanson. Learning multi tier-based strictly 2-local languages. In *Proceedings of the 18th Meeting of the Mathematics of Language*, 2025. Forthcoming.
- Logan Swanson, Jeffrey Heinz, and Jon Rawski. Phonotactic learning and constraint selection without statistics. *Linguistic Inquiry*, forthcoming. Accepted for publication subject to minor revisions.
- Tatevik Yolyan. A framework for learning phonological maps as logical transductions. In *Proceedings of the 18th Meeting of the Mathematics of Language*, 2025. Forthcoming.
- Jane Chandlee and Adam Jardine. Using locality and natural classes to infer underlying representations and a phonological grammar, 2025. Under Review.
- Magda Markowska and Jeffrey Heinz. Learning k-isl functions with phonological features. *Journal of Language Modelling*, Under Review.
- Han Li and Jeffrey Heinz. Tonal pattern learning over autosegmental representations. *Phonology*, Draft. About to be submitted.

#### Managing noisy data

- Dana Angluin and Philip Laird. Learning from noisy examples. *Machine Learning*, 2: 343–370, 1988.
- Katherine Wu and Jeffrey Heinz. String extension learning despite noisy intrusions. In François Coste, Faissal Ouardi, and Guillaume Rabusseau, editors, *Proceedings of 16th edition of the International Conference on Grammatical Inference*, volume 217 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 80–95. PMLR, 10–13 Jul 2023.
- Philip Kaelbling, Dakotah Lambert, and Jeffrey Heinz. Robust identification in the limit from incomplete positive data. In Henning Fernau and Klaus Jansen, editors, *Fundamentals of Computation Theory*, volume 14292 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 276–290. Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43587-4\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43587-4_20).

#### Understanding Neural Networks

- S.C. Kleene. Representation of events in nerve nets. In C.E. Shannon and J. McCarthy, editors, *Automata Studies*, pages 3–40. Princeton University. Press, 1956.
- Luzi Sennhauser and Robert Berwick. Evaluating the ability of LSTMs to learn context-free grammars. In *Proceedings of the 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*, pages 115–124, Brussels, Belgium, November 2018. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/W18-5414.
- Javid Ebrahimi, Dhruv Gelda, and Wei Zhang. How can self-attention networks recognize Dyck-n languages? In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 4301–4306. Association for Computational Linguistics, November 2020. doi: 10.18653/v1/2020.findings-emnlp.384.

- Tianyu Li Doina Precup and Guillaume Rabusseau. Connecting weighted automata, tensor networks and recurrent neural networks through spectral learning. *Machine Learning*, 113: 2619–2653, 2022. doi: 10.1007/s10994-022-06164-1.
- Zhengxiang Wang. Learning transductions and alignments with rnn seq2seq models. In François Coste, Faissal Ouardi, and Guillaume Rabusseau, editors, *Proceedings of 16th edition of the International Conference on Grammatical Inference*, volume 217 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 223–249. PMLR, 10–13 Jul 2023. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v217/wang23a.html>.
- William Merrill. Formal languages and the nlp black box. In Frank Drewes and Mikhail Volkov, editors, *Developments in Language Theory*, pages 1–8. Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023.
- Sam van der Poel, Dakotah Lambert, Kalina Kostyszyn, Tiantian Gao, Rahul Verma, Derek Andersen, Joanne Chau, Emily Peterson, Cody St. Clair, Paul Fodor, Chihiro Shibata, and Jeffrey Heinz. Mlregtest: A benchmark for the machine learning of regular languages. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(283):1–45, 2024. URL <http://jmlr.org/papers/v25/23-0518.html>.
- Lena Strobl, William Merrill, Gail Weiss, David Chiang, and Dana Angluin. What formal languages can transformers express? a survey. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:543–561, 05 2024. ISSN 2307-387X. doi: 10.1162/tac1\_a\_00663. URL [https://doi.org/10.1162/tac1\\_a\\_00663](https://doi.org/10.1162/tac1_a_00663)
- Lena Strobl, Dana Angluin, David Chiang, Jonathan Rawski, and Ashish Sabharwal. Transformers as transducers. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 13: 200–219, 02 2025. ISSN 2307-387X. doi: 10.1162/tac1\_a\_00736. URL [https://doi.org/10.1162/tac1\\_a\\_00736](https://doi.org/10.1162/tac1_a_00736).

### Perspectives

- Martin A. Nowak, Natalia L. Komarova, and Partha Niyogi. Computational and evolutionary aspects of language. *Nature*, 417:611–617, June 2002.
- Jeffrey Heinz and William Idsardi. Sentence and word complexity. *Science*, 333(6040): 295–297, July 2011.
- Jeffrey Heinz and Jason Riggle. Learnability. In Marc van Oostendorp, Colin Ewen, Beth Hume, and Keren Rice, editors, *Blackwell Companion to Phonology*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
- Adam Albright and Bruce Hayes. Learning and learnability in phonology. In John Goldsmith, Jason Riggle, and Alan Yu, editors, *Handbook of Phonological Theory*, pages 661–690. 2011.
- Alexander Clark and Shalom Lappin. *Linguistic Nativism and the Poverty of the Stimulus*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
- Nick Chater, Alexander Clark, John A. Goldsmith, and Amy Perfors. *Empiricism and Language Learnability*. Oxford University Press, 2015.
- Jeffrey Heinz. Computational theories of learning and developmental psycholinguistics. In Jeffrey Lidz, William Synder, and Joe Pater, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Developmental Linguistics*, chapter 27, pages 633–663. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2016.

- Joe Pater. Generative linguistics and neural networks at 60: Foundation, friction, and fusion. *Language*, 95(1):e41–e74, 2019. doi:10.1353/lan.2019.0009.
- Jonathan Rawski and Jeffrey Heinz. No free lunch in linguistics or machine learning: Response to Pater. *Language*, 95(1):e125–e135, 2019.
- Jeffrey Heinz and Jonathan Rawski. History of phonology: Learnability. In Elan Dresher and Harry van der Hulst, editors, *Oxford Handbook of the History of Phonology*, chapter 32. Oxford University Press, 2022.
- Jane Chandlee, Jeffrey Heinz, Adam Jardine, Jon Rawski, and Jason Riggle. Learnability. In *Blackwell Companion to Phonology*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2nd edition, 2025. Under review.

### Latest Arguments

- Yuan Yang and Steven T. Piantadosi. One model for the learning of language. *PNAS*, 119(5), 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021865119>.
- Jordan Kodner, Spencer Caplan, and Charles Yang. Another model not for the learning of language. *PNAS*, 119(29), 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2204664119>.
- Steven Piantadosi. Modern language models refute chomsky’s approach to language, 2023. URL <https://ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/007180>
- Jordan Kodner, Sarah Payne, and Jeffrey Heinz. Why linguistics will thrive in the 21st century: A reply to piantadosi (2023), August 2023

## 6 Grades

Attendance	10%
Homework	10%
Topic Presentation	10%
Project proposal	10%
Project presentation	10%
Project paper	50%

Attendance is expected and counts for 10% of the final grade. If you are going to be absent, please let me know as soon as you can. Repeated, unexplained absences will lower this grade.

There will be occasional written homework assignments. Collectively, these will count for 10% of the final grade.

Each students will present some topic (for example a paper, or part of a paper) during the course of this class. The presentation will count for 10% of the final grade.

Students will complete a project in the course of the semester which relates to learning and/or learnability. The project proposal should be 300-500 words, include references, and explain the project and how you plan to go about it. **Proposals are submitted to me and returned to you with feedback in a cycle that repeats until I approve them. Project proposals can be initially submitted anytime but must be approved by November 1, 2022.** Successful proposals earn 10% of the final project grade.

Projects can be theoretical or applied. Projects are due December 16. The project paper counts for 50% of the final grade.

## 7 Minimum Requirements for Credits

---

0 credits	attend
1 credit	attend, homework
2 credits	attend, homework, topic presentation
3 credits	attend, homework, topic presentation, project

---

## 8 University Policies and Services

### 8.1 Student Accessibility Support Center Statement

If you have a physical, psychological, medical, or learning disability that may impact your course work, please contact the Student Accessibility Support Center, Stony Brook Union Suite 107, (631) 632-6748, or at [sasc@stonybrook.edu](mailto:sasc@stonybrook.edu). They will determine with you what accommodations are necessary and appropriate. All information and documentation is confidential.

Students who require assistance during emergency evacuation are encouraged to discuss their needs with their professors and the Student Accessibility Support Center. For procedures and information, visit [Environmental Health and Safety](#).

### 8.2 Academic Integrity Statement

Each student must pursue his or her academic goals honestly and be personally accountable for all submitted work. Representing another person's work as your own is always wrong. Faculty is required to report any suspected instances of academic dishonesty to the Academic Judiciary. Faculty in the Health Sciences Center (School of Health Technology & Management, Nursing, Social Welfare, Dental Medicine) and School of Medicine are required to follow their school-specific procedures. For more comprehensive information on academic integrity, including categories of academic dishonesty please refer to the academic judiciary website at [http://www.stonybrook.edu/commcms/academic\\_integrity/index.html](http://www.stonybrook.edu/commcms/academic_integrity/index.html).

### 8.3 Critical Incident Management

Stony Brook University expects students to respect the rights, privileges, and property of other people. Faculty are required to report to the Office of Student Conduct and Community Standards any disruptive behavior that interrupts their ability to teach, compromises the safety of the learning environment, or inhibits students' ability to learn. Faculty in the HSC Schools and the School of Medicine are required to follow their school-specific procedures. Further information about most academic matters can be found in the Undergraduate Bulletin, the Undergraduate Class Schedule, and the Faculty-Employee Handbook.