# GMMs by Mean Field Variational Inference

## Jiajun He

### February 20, 2021

In Bayesian framework, we want to find a set of parameters

$$\theta = \{\pi, z_1, z_2, ..., z_N, \mu_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_2, \Sigma_2, ..., \mu_K, \Sigma_K\}$$

, which maximizes the posterior probability  $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{X})$ . But the form of the posterior distribution is relatively complicated in GMMs, so we use  $q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  to approximate it.

According to Bayes Rule, the probability of observing  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$  is

$$P(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})}{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{X})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})}{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \frac{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{X})}$$

Take logarithm and expectation on both sides, we get

$$\int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log P(\boldsymbol{X}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} = \int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log \frac{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})}{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})} d\boldsymbol{\theta} + \int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log \frac{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{X})} d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

i.e.,

$$\log P(\boldsymbol{X}) = \int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log \frac{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})}{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})} d\boldsymbol{\theta} + D_{KL}[q(\boldsymbol{\theta})||p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{X})]$$

The tern on the left-hand side is a constant, so minimizing KL-divergence between  $q(\theta)$  and real posterior distribution is equivalent to maximizing the first term on the right-hand side, which is named as ELBO.

Under mean field assumption, i.e.,  $q(\theta) = \prod_{\theta_i \in \theta} q(\theta_i)$ , each variable can be optimized successively:

Specifically, for each  $\theta_i \in \boldsymbol{\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{split} ELBO &= \int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log(\frac{P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})}{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ &= \int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \int q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta_i} [\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} - \{\theta_i\}} [\log P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})]] - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log q(\theta_i)] - \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log q(\theta_j)] \\ &= \int q(\theta_i) \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} - \{\theta_i\}} [\log P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})] \mathrm{d}\theta_i - \int q(\theta_i) \log q(\theta_i) \mathrm{d}\theta_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \int q(\theta_j) \log q(\theta_j) \mathrm{d}\theta_j \end{split}$$

The last term is a constant for  $\theta_i$ , i.e.,

$$ELBO = \int q(\theta_i) \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} - \{\theta_i\}} [\log P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{X})] d\theta_i - \int q(\theta_i) \log q(\theta_i) d\theta_i + const$$

As the expectation term is positive, we can view it as an log-probability  $\log \tilde{P}$ , then

$$ELBO = -D_{KL}[q(\theta_i)||\tilde{P}] + const$$

To maximum ELBO is equivalent to maximum the KL-divergence between each  $q(\theta_i)$  and corresponding  $\tilde{P}$ .

Here, our task is just to find an MAP assignment, so to furthermore simplify the calculation, I set all q to be one-point distribution, in which situation, ELBO is maximized when

$$q(\theta_i) = \mathbb{I}\Big\{\theta_i = \text{mode}\{\tilde{P}\}\Big\}$$

Hereinafter, to simplify the notation, I will use  $\theta_i = a$  to represent  $q(\theta_i) = \mathbb{I}\{\theta_i = a\}$ .

In our Gaussian Mixture Model, in each iteration step, I successively optimize  $\pi$ ,  $\mu_1$ ,  $\Sigma_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\Sigma_2$ , ...,  $\mu_K$ ,  $\Sigma_K$ ,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ , ...,  $z_N$  as follows:

#### Optimize $\pi$ :

$$\log q(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = \int q(z_1)q(z_2)...q(z_N)q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2)...q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_K, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_K)$$

$$\log \left\{ P(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \prod_{i=1}^k P(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i) \prod_{j=1}^N [P(z_j|\boldsymbol{\pi})P(\boldsymbol{x}_j|z_j, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{\mu}_K, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_K)] \right\}$$

$$dz_1 dz_2...dz_N d\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 d\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2...d\boldsymbol{\mu}_K d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_K$$

All terms without  $\pi$  in it can be viewed as constants, and integrate out variables that do not occur in log term, we can get

$$\log q(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = \int q(z_1)q(z_2)...q(z_N) \log P(\boldsymbol{\pi})P(z_1|\boldsymbol{\pi})P(z_2|\boldsymbol{\pi})...P(z_N|\boldsymbol{\pi}) dz_1 dz_2...dz_N + const$$

$$= \log P(\boldsymbol{\pi}) + \log P(z_1, z_2, ..., z_N|\boldsymbol{\pi}) + const$$

The last step is correct as  $q(z_i)$  is one-point distribution according to my assumption.

Considering that  $\pi \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$  and  $P(z_1, z_2, ..., z_N | \pi) \sim \text{Multi}(\pi)$ , the right-hand side is just the posterior Dirichlet distribution. Therefore,  $\pi = \text{mode} \left\{ \text{Dir}(\alpha_1 + N_1, \alpha_2 + N_2 ..., \alpha_K + N_K) \right\}$  where  $N_k$  is the number of observations in cluster k.

#### Optimize $\mu_i, \Sigma_i$ :

When optimizing  $\mu_i$ ,  $\Sigma_i$ , given that the entanglement of them does not bring us any inconvenience because we have a well-formed distribution over  $\mu_i$ ,  $\Sigma_i$ , I do not entangle them into two q. Similar to  $\pi$ , we can cancel out irrelevant variables and only get

$$\log q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i) = \log P(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i) + \sum_{z_j = i} \log P(\boldsymbol{x}_j | \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i) + const$$

The right-hand side is just the posterior Normal-Inverse-Wisart distribution. Thus,  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j = \text{mode}\Big\{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{W}(\frac{\lambda\boldsymbol{\mu}_0+N_j\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}}{\lambda+N_j},\lambda+N_j,\nu+N_j,\Psi+\mathcal{C}+\frac{\lambda N_j}{\lambda+N_j}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T)\Big\}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}=\sum_i(\boldsymbol{x}_i-\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})(\boldsymbol{x}_i-\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})^T$  and  $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$  is the mean of samples in class j;

#### Optimize $z_1, z_2, ..., z_N$ :

Via similar calculation, it is easy to find that each  $z_i$  can be optimized as  $z_i = \max_{j=1}^k \{ \pi_j P(x_i | \mu_j, \Sigma_j) \}$ .