$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$ κ_1 is a consistent subkind of κ_2

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\rm KCHoleL} & {\rm KCHoleR} \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash {\rm KHole} \lesssim \kappa & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim {\rm KHole} \\ \hline \\ & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \\ \hline \\ & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \\ \hline \\ & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

t valid t is a valid type variable

t is valid if it is not a built in-type or keyword, begins with an alpha char or underscore, and only contains alpha numeric characters, underscores, and primes.

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ kind}$ $\kappa \text{ forms a kind}$

$$\frac{\text{KFTy}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{Ty kind}} \qquad \frac{\text{KFHole}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{KHole kind}} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \rightleftarrows \text{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{S}(\tau) \text{ kind}} \qquad \frac{43.2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{S}(\tau) \text{ kind}}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1 \text{ is equivalent to } \kappa_2$

$$\frac{\texttt{KERef1}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{KESingEquiv} \\ & \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{S}(\tau_1) \equiv \mathtt{S}(\tau_2)} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa$ τ synthesizes kind κ

$$\frac{\texttt{KSConst}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow \texttt{S}(c)} \qquad \frac{t : \kappa \in \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \texttt{S}(t)} \qquad \frac{t \not\in \mathsf{dom}(\Phi)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \texttt{KHole}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \Leftarrow \mathtt{S}(\tau_1)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{KSList} & \text{Ty} & \text{KSEHole} \\ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \text{S(τ)} & u :: \kappa \in \Delta \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash \text{list}(\tau) \Rightarrow \text{S(list}(\tau)) & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \textcircled{\parallel}^u \Rightarrow \kappa \end{array}$$

$$\frac{u :: \kappa \in \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa'}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!(\tau)\!)^u \Rightarrow \kappa}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$ τ analyzes against kind κ

KAASubsume
$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa' \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa} \qquad \text{KAVar}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa} \qquad \text{Lick}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \text{ is equivalent to } \tau_2$

KCESymm
$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_1}$$

KCESingEquiv
$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \Leftarrow S(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2}$$

KCEREF

$$\frac{\texttt{KCEConst}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash c \equiv c}$$

$$\frac{t : k \in \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash i \equiv}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \\ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{list}(\tau_1) \equiv \mathtt{list}(\tau_2) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{u} :: \kappa \in \Delta \\ \Delta; \Phi \vdash ()^u \equiv ()^u \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{KCEEHole} \\ & \underline{u :: \kappa \in \Delta} \\ & \underline{\Delta : \Phi \vdash \mathbb{M}^u \equiv \mathbb{M}^u} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{u :: \kappa \in \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{\longleftarrow} \kappa'}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (|\tau|)^u \equiv (|\tau|)^u}$$

Aj更HT::K T is well formed at kind K

$$\frac{+:k \in \mathbb{E}}{\triangle j \in \mathbb{F} + 1::k} \mid \mathcal{F} \qquad \frac{\triangle j \in \mathbb{F} + 1::k_1}{\triangle j \in \mathbb{F} + 1::k_2} \mid \mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{F$$

 $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$ $\hat{\tau}$ synthesizes kind κ and elaborates to τ

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow S(c) \rightsquigarrow c \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSBinOp

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1 \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_2 \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2 \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2) \leadsto \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}$$

TElabSList

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash \mathsf{list}(\hat{\tau}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{list}(\tau)) \leadsto \mathsf{list}(\tau) \dashv \Delta} \qquad \frac{t : \kappa \in \Phi}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(t) \leadsto t \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSUVar

$$\frac{t \not\in \mathsf{dom}(\Phi)}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|t|\!\!)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{TElabSHole}}{\Phi \vdash (\!\!|)^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}}$$

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash ()^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto ()^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash (\!(\hat{\tau})\!)^u \Rightarrow \mathtt{KHole} \leadsto (\!(\tau)\!)^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \mathtt{KHole}}$$

TElabASubsume

$$\frac{\hat{\tau} \neq (\!\!|)^u \qquad \hat{\tau} \neq (\!\!|\hat{\tau}'|)^u \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}$$

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash ()^u \leftarrow \kappa \leadsto ()^u \dashv u :: \kappa}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{TElabAnehole}}{\Phi \vdash (\!(\!)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (\!(\!)\!)^u \dashv u :: \kappa} \qquad \frac{\text{TElabAnehole}}{\Phi \vdash (\!(\hat{\tau})\!)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (\!(\tau)\!)^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \kappa}$$

 $\Phi_1 \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2$ ρ matches against $\tau : \kappa$ extending Φ if necessary

RESVar

$$\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd (\!\!\!) \dashv \Phi}$$

RESVarHole

$$\frac{\neg (t \ \forall a = 1 \ d)}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd (|t|) \dashv \Phi}$$

 $\Gamma; \Phi \vdash e \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \leadsto d \dashv \Delta$ e synthesizes type τ and elaborates to d

ESDefine

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta_1 \\ & \Phi_1 \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2 \qquad \Gamma; \Phi_2 \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto d \dashv \Delta_2 \\ \hline & \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \mathsf{type} \ \rho = \hat{\tau} \ \mathsf{in} \ e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto \mathsf{type} \ \rho = \tau : \kappa \ \mathsf{in} \ d \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \end{split}$$

 $\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi \vdash d : \tau$ d is assigned type τ

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{DEDefine} \\ \underline{\Phi_1 \vdash \tau_1 : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2} & \Delta; \Gamma; \underline{\Phi_2 \vdash d : \tau_2} \\ \underline{\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \text{type} \ \rho = \tau_1 : \kappa \ \text{in} \ d : \tau_2} \end{array}$$

Theorem 1 (Well-Kinded Elaboration)

- (1) If $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \ then \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa$
- (2) If $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \ then \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$

This is like the Typed Elaboration theorem in the POPL19 paper.

Theorem 2 (Elaborability)

- (1) $\exists \Delta \ s.t. \ if \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa \ then \ \exists \hat{\tau} \ such \ that \ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$
- (2) $\exists \Delta \ s.t. \ if \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa \ then \ \exists \hat{\tau} \ such \ that \ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$

This is similar but a little different from Elaborability theorem in the POPL19 paper. Choose the Δ that is emitted from elaboration and then there's an $\hat{\tau}$ that elaborates to any of the τ forms. Elaborability and Well-Kinded Elaboration implies we can just rely on the elaboration forms for the premises of any rules that demand kind synthesis/analysis.

Theorem 3 (Type Elaboration Unicity)

- (1) If $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_1 \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1$ and $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_2 \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2$ then $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$, $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$
- (2) If $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1$ and $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2$ then $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$

This is like the Elaboration Unicity theorem in the POPL19 paper.

Theorem 4 (Kind Synthesis Precision)

If Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa_1$ and Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa_2$ then Δ ; $\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Kind Synthesis Precision says that synthesis finds the most precise kappa possible for a given input type. This is somewhat trivial, but interesting to note because it means we can expect singletons wherever possible.

Theorem 5.1 (Kind Analysis Soundness)

If Ajettek then Ajett: k If Ajettety then Ajett: Ty

Theorem 5.2 (Kind Analysis Completeness)

If Ajett: k then Ajettek lema 5.7.1

If Ajett: Ty then Ajettety

If $Aj \not \equiv F \ T \not = K$ C:: S(c)

Case T = L $C \not = K'$ and $K' \not = K \nearrow K' = S(c) \nearrow C \not = S(c) \nearrow S(c) \not = K \nearrow S(c) \not= K \nearrow S(c) \nearrow S$

CAR T= T. OT, TOTO = K od K'EK) T. + TO TOETS K'= SCT. OT) > T. : TY TOTO SCT. OT) EK > TOTO : K

case $T = 00^{\circ}$

case T = DTID4

are t= t