$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$   $\kappa_1$  is a consistent subkind of  $\kappa_2$ 

$$\begin{tabular}{lll} {\tt KCHoleL} & {\tt KCHoleR} & {\tt KCRespectEquiv} \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash {\tt KHole} \lesssim \kappa$ & $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa\lesssim {\tt KHole}$ & $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1\equiv\kappa_2$ \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1\lesssim\kappa_2$ & $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\kappa_1\lesssim\kappa_2$ \\ \hline & {\tt KCSubsumption} \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau\Leftarrow {\tt Ty}$ \\ \hline $\Delta;\Phi\vdash {\tt S}(\tau)\lesssim {\tt Ty}$ & $\Delta$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$$

t valid type variable

t is valid if it is not a builtin-type or keyword, begins with an alpha char or underscore, and only contains alphanumeric characters, underscores, and primes.

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ kind} \quad \kappa \text{ forms a kind}$ 

$$\frac{\texttt{KFTy}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{Ty kind}} \qquad \frac{\texttt{KFHole}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{KHole kind}} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \texttt{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{S}(\tau) \texttt{ kind}}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1 \text{ is equivalent to } \kappa_2$ 

$$\frac{\texttt{KESymm}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3}$$

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2} \\ & \underbrace{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S(\tau_1) \equiv S(\tau_2)} \end{split}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa$   $\tau$  synthesizes kind  $\kappa$ 

$$\frac{\texttt{KSConst}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow \texttt{S}(c)} \qquad \frac{t : \kappa \in \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \texttt{S}(t)} \qquad \frac{\texttt{KSUVar}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \texttt{KHole}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \Leftarrow \mathtt{S}(\tau_1) \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \Leftarrow \mathtt{S}(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \mathtt{S}(\tau)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{list}(\tau) \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}(\mathtt{list}(\tau))} \qquad \frac{u :: \kappa \in \Delta}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash ()^u \Rightarrow \kappa}$$

$$\frac{u :: \kappa \in \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa'}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!(\tau)\!)^u \Rightarrow \kappa}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$   $\tau$  analyzes against kind  $\kappa$ 

KAASubsume
$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa' \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa' \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa}$$

$$\frac{\text{KAAWeid(txt)}}{\text{L:S(T)} \in \Phi}$$

$$\frac{\text{L:S(T)} \in \Phi}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$   $\tau_1$  is equivalent to  $\tau_2$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \text{KCESymm} & & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_3 \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_1 & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_3 & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_3 & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{KCEConst}}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash c \equiv c} \qquad \frac{t : \kappa \in \Phi}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash t \equiv t} \qquad \frac{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \qquad \Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_3 \equiv \tau_4}{\Delta;\Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_3 \equiv \tau_2 \oplus \tau_4}$$

KCEListKCEEHole
$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$$
 $u :: \kappa \in \Delta$  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash list(\tau_1) \equiv list(\tau_2)$  $\Delta; \Phi \vdash ()^u \equiv ()^u$ 

$$\frac{u :: \kappa \in \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa'}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!(\tau)\!)^u \equiv (\!(\tau)\!)^u}$$

 $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$   $\hat{\tau}$  synthesizes kind  $\kappa$  and elaborates to  $\tau$ 

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow S(c) \rightsquigarrow c \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSBinOp

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1 \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_2 \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2 \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2) \leadsto \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}$$

TElabSList

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash \mathsf{list}(\hat{\tau}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{list}(\tau)) \leadsto \mathsf{list}(\tau) \dashv \Delta} \qquad \frac{t : \kappa \in \Phi}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(t) \leadsto t \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSUVar

$$\frac{t \not\in \mathsf{dom}(\Phi)}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|t|\!\!)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{TElabSHole}}{\Phi \vdash (\!\!|)^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}}$$

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash (|)^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (|)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash (\!(\hat{\tau})\!)^u \Rightarrow \mathtt{KHole} \leadsto (\!(\tau)\!)^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \mathtt{KHole}}$$

TElabASubsume

$$\frac{\hat{\tau} \neq (\!\!|)^u \qquad \hat{\tau} \neq (\!\!|\hat{\tau}'|)^u \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}$$

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash ()^u \leftarrow \kappa \leadsto ()^u \dashv u :: \kappa}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{TElabAnehole}}{\Phi \vdash (\!|\!|)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (\!|\!|)^u \dashv u :: \kappa} \qquad \frac{\frac{\texttt{TElabAnehole}}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}}{\Phi \vdash (\!|\!|\hat{\tau}|\!|)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (\!|\tau|\!|)^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \kappa}$$

 $\Phi_1 \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2$   $\rho$  matches against  $\tau : \kappa$  extending  $\Phi$  if necessary

RESVar

$$\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd (\!\!\! ) \dashv \Phi}$$

RESVarHole

$$\frac{\neg (t \ \forall a = 1 \ d)}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd (|t|) \dashv \Phi}$$

 $\Gamma; \Phi \vdash e \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \leadsto d \dashv \Delta$  e synthesizes type  $\tau$  and elaborates to d

ESDefine

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta_1 \\ & \Phi_1 \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2 \qquad \Gamma; \Phi_2 \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto d \dashv \Delta_2 \\ \hline & \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \mathsf{type} \ \rho = \hat{\tau} \ \mathsf{in} \ e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto \mathsf{type} \ \rho = \tau : \kappa \ \mathsf{in} \ d \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \end{split}$$

 $\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi \vdash d : \tau$  d is assigned type  $\tau$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{DEDefine} \\ \underline{\Phi_1 \vdash \tau_1 : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2} & \Delta; \Gamma; \underline{\Phi_2 \vdash d : \tau_2} \\ \underline{\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \text{type} \ \rho = \tau_1 : \kappa \ \text{in} \ d : \tau_2} \end{array}$$

## Theorem 1 (Well-Kinded Elaboration)

- (1) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \ then \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa$
- (2) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \ then \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$

This is like the Typed Elaboration theorem in the POPL19 paper.

## Theorem 2 (Elaborability)

- (1)  $\exists \Delta \ s.t. \ if \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa \ then \ \exists \hat{\tau} \ such \ that \ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$
- (2)  $\exists \Delta$  s.t. if  $\Delta$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$  then  $\exists \hat{\tau}$  such that  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$

This is similar but a little different from Elaborability theorem in the POPL19 paper. Choose the  $\Delta$  that is emitted from elaboration and then there's an  $\hat{\tau}$  that elaborates to any of the  $\tau$  forms. Elaborability and Well-Kinded Elaboration implies we can just rely on the elaboration forms for the premises of any rules that demand kind synthesis/analysis.

## Theorem 3 (Type Elaboration Unicity)

- (1) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_1 \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_2 \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2$  then  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$ ,  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ ,  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$
- (2) If  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2$  then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ ,  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$

This is like the Elaboration Unicity theorem in the POPL19 paper.

## Theorem 4 (Kind Synthesis Precision)

If 
$$\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa_1 \text{ and } \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa_2 \text{ then } \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$$

Kind Synthesis Precision says that synthesis finds the most precise kappa possible for a given input type. This is somewhat trivial, but interesting to note because it means we can expect singletons wherever possible.