```
BinOp ⊕ ::= Product | Sum | Arrow
                                                                                                                             BinOp ⊕ ::= Product
                      Kind \kappa ::= Ty | KHole | S(\tau)
                                                                                                                                Kind \kappa ::= Ty | KHol
     ConstantTypes c ::= Int | Float | Bool
                                                                                                                ConstantTypes c ::= Int \mid Flo
             UserHTyp \hat{\tau} ::= c \mid \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2 \mid \mathtt{list}(\hat{\tau}) \mid ()^u \mid (|\hat{\tau}|)^u
                                                                                                                        UserHTyp \hat{\tau} ::= c \mid \hat{\tau}_1 \oplus \hat{\tau}_2
      InternalHTyp 	au ::= c \mid 	au_1 \oplus 	au_2 \mid \mathtt{list}(	au) \mid \emptyset^u \mid (\pi)^u
                                                                                                                  InternalHTyp \tau ::= c \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2
                                                                                                                         TypeVars t
              TypeVars t
         TypePattern \rho ::= t \mid (|| \mid | \mid t|)
                                                                                                                    TypePattern \rho ::= t \mid \langle \rangle \mid \langle t \rangle
     UserExpression e ::= type \rho = \hat{\tau} in e \mid elided
                                                                                                               UserExpression e ::= type \rho =
Internal Expression \tau ::= type \rho = \tau : \kappa in d \mid elided
                                                                                                          Internal Expression \tau ::= type \rho =
     \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_1 \text{ is a consistent subkind of } \kappa_2
                                                                                                     KCRespectEquiv
             KCHoleL
                                                         KCHoleR
                                                                                                      \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2
             \overline{\Delta ; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{KHole} \lesssim \kappa} \qquad \qquad \overline{\Delta ; \Phi \vdash \kappa \lesssim \mathtt{KHole}}
                                                                                           \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2
                                       KCSubsumption KCSubsumption
                                        \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \mathtt{Ty}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{S}(\tau) \lesssim \mathtt{Ty}} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(\tau) \lesssim \kappa}
```

t valid t is a valid type variable

t is valid if it is not a builtin-type or keyword, begins with an alpha char or underscore, and only contains alphanumeric characters, underscores, and primes.

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \text{ kind } \kappa \text{ forms a kind}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} & & & & & & & \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{Ty} \ \mathsf{kind} & & & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \ \mathsf{kind} \\ & & & \overline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{KHole} \ \mathsf{kind} \\ & & & \underline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash \mathsf{S}(\tau) \ \mathsf{kind} & & \underline{\Delta}; \Phi \vdash S_{\kappa}(\tau) \ \mathsf{kind} \\ \end{array}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2$ κ_1 is equivalent to κ_2

$$\frac{\texttt{KESymm}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa \equiv \kappa} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_1} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2} \qquad \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_3}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{KESingEquiv} & \texttt{KESingEquiv} \\ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \\ \hline \Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{S}(\tau_1) \equiv \texttt{S}(\tau_2) & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{S}_{\texttt{Ty}}(\tau_1) \equiv \texttt{S}_{\texttt{Ty}}(\tau_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau' \equiv \tau} \\ & \underbrace{\Delta; \Phi \vdash S_{\mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(\tau')}(\tau) \equiv \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(\tau')} \end{split}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa \mid \tau \text{ synthesizes kind } \kappa$

$$\frac{t \not\in \mathsf{dom}(\Phi)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \Leftarrow S(\tau_1) \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \Leftarrow S(\tau_2)}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \Rightarrow S(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)} \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \Leftarrow Ty \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \Leftarrow Ty}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \Rightarrow S_{Ty}(\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2)}$$

$$\frac{\text{KSList}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \texttt{S}(\tau)} \frac{\text{KSList}}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \texttt{Ty}} \\ \frac{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{list}(\tau) \Rightarrow \texttt{S}(\texttt{list}(\tau))}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \texttt{list}(\tau) \Rightarrow \texttt{S}_{\texttt{Ty}}(\texttt{list}(\tau))}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{KSEHole} & \text{KSNEHole} \\ \underline{u :: \kappa \in \Delta} & \underline{u :: \kappa \in \Delta} & \underline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa'} \\ \underline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!\!|)^u \Rightarrow \kappa} & \underline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash (\!\!|\tau|\!\!)^u \Rightarrow \kappa} \end{array}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$ τ analyzes against kind κ

$$\frac{ \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa' \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa}$$

 $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$ τ_1 is equivalent to τ_2 at kind Ty

$$\begin{tabular}{lll} {\sf KCESymm} & & & & & & & & & & & \\ $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_1\equiv\tau_2$ & & & $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_1\equiv\tau_2$ & & & & & \\ $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_2\equiv\tau_1$ & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{tabular} & & & & & & & \\ $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_1\equiv\tau_2$ & & & & \\ $\Delta;\Phi\vdash\tau_1\equiv\tau_3$ & & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{KCEBinOp} & \text{KCEList} \\ \underline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2} & \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_3 \equiv \tau_4 \\ \underline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \oplus \tau_3 \equiv \tau_2 \oplus \tau_4} & \underline{\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2} \\ \text{KCEEHole} & \text{KCENEHole} \\ \end{array}$$

 $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$ $\hat{\tau}$ synthesizes kind κ and elaborates to τ

TElabSConst TElabSConst

$$\overline{\Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}(c) \leadsto c \dashv \cdot} \, \overline{\Phi \vdash c \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{Ty}}(c) \leadsto c \dashv \cdot}$$

TElabSBinOp

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_{1} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_{1} \dashv \Delta_{1} \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_{2} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_{2} \dashv \Delta_{2}}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_{1} \oplus \hat{\tau}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2}) \leadsto \tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2} \dashv \Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}} \underbrace{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_{1} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau_{1} \dashv \Delta_{1}}_{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_{1} \oplus \hat{\tau}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Ty}}(\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2}) \leadsto \tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2} \dashv \Delta_{1}}_{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau}_{1} \oplus \hat{\tau}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Ty}}(\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2}) \leadsto \tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2} \dashv \Delta_{1}}$$

$$\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$$

$$\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$$

TElabSList
$$\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$$

$$\Phi \vdash \mathsf{list}(\hat{\tau}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{list}(\tau)) \leadsto \mathsf{list}(\tau) \dashv \Delta$$
TElabSList
$$\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \mathsf{Ty} \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$$

$$\Phi \vdash \mathsf{list}(\hat{\tau}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{Ty}}(\mathsf{list}(\tau)) \leadsto \mathsf{list}(\tau) \dashv \Delta$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TElabSVar} \\ t: \kappa \in \Phi \\ \hline \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}(t) \leadsto t \dashv \cdot \\ \hline \Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathtt{S}_{\kappa}(t) \leadsto t \dashv \cdot \\ \end{array}$

TElabSUVar

$$\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathtt{KHole} \leadsto (t)^u \dashv u :: \mathtt{KHole}$$

$$\frac{t \not\in \mathsf{dom}(\Phi)}{\Phi \vdash t \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|t|\!\!)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{TElabSHole}}{\Phi \vdash (\!\!|)^u \Rightarrow \mathsf{KHole} \leadsto (\!\!|)^u \dashv u :: \mathsf{KHole}}$$

TElabSNEHole

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}{\Phi \vdash (|\hat{\tau}|)^u \Rightarrow \text{KHole} \leadsto (|\tau|)^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \text{KHole}}$$

 $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$ $\hat{\tau}$ analyzes against kind κ_1 and elaborates to τ

TElabASubsume

$$\frac{\hat{\tau} \neq (\!(\!)^u \qquad \hat{\tau} \neq (\!(\hat{\tau}'\!)\!)^u \qquad \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa' \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \qquad \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa' \lesssim \kappa}{\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Phi \vdash \mathbb{N}^u \Leftarrow \kappa \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N}^u \dashv u \cdots \kappa}$$

$$\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf TElabAEHole} & & {\bf TElabANEHole} \\ \hline {\bf \Phi} \vdash (\!(\!)\!)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (\!(\!)\!)^u \dashv u :: \kappa & & {\bf \Phi} \vdash (\!(\hat{\tau})\!)^u \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto (\!(\tau)\!)^u \dashv \Delta, u :: \kappa \\ \hline \end{array}$$

 $\Phi_1 \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2$ ρ matches against $\tau : \kappa$ extending Φ if necessary

$$\frac{t \text{ valid}}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd t \dashv \Phi, t :: \kappa} \qquad \frac{\text{RESEHole}}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd (\!\!\parallel\!) \dashv \Phi} \qquad \frac{\text{RESVarHole}}{\Phi \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd (\!\!\parallel\!) \dashv \Phi}$$

 $\Gamma; \Phi \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau \leadsto d \dashv \Delta$ e synthesizes type τ and elaborates to d

ESDefine

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_1 \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta_1 \\ & \Phi_1 \vdash \tau : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2 \qquad \Gamma; \Phi_2 \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto d \dashv \Delta_2 \\ \hline & \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \mathsf{type} \ \rho = \hat{\tau} \ \mathsf{in} \ e \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leadsto \mathsf{type} \ \rho = \tau : \kappa \ \mathsf{in} \ d \dashv \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \end{split}$$

 $\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi \vdash d : \tau$ d is assigned type τ

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{DEDefine} \\ \underline{\Phi_1 \vdash \tau_1 : \kappa \rhd \rho \dashv \Phi_2} & \Delta; \Gamma; \underline{\Phi_2 \vdash d : \tau_2} \\ \underline{\Delta; \Gamma; \Phi_1 \vdash \text{type } \rho = \tau_1 : \kappa \text{ in } d : \tau_2} \end{array}$$

Theorem 1 (Well-Kinded Elaboration)

- (1) If $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \ then \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa$
- (2) If $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta \ then \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa$

This is like the Typed Elaboration theorem in the POPL19 paper.

Theorem 2 (Elaborability)

- (1) $\exists \Delta \ s.t. \ if \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa \ then \ \exists \hat{\tau} \ such \ that \ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$
- (2) $\exists \Delta \ s.t. \ if \ \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa \ then \ \exists \hat{\tau} \ such \ that \ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau \dashv \Delta$

This is similar but a little different from Elaborability theorem in the POPL19 paper. Choose the Δ that is emitted from elaboration and then there's an $\hat{\tau}$ that elaborates to any of the τ forms. Elaborability and Well-Kinded Elaboration implies we can just rely on the elaboration forms for the premises of any rules that demand kind synthesis/analysis.

Theorem 3 (Type Elaboration Unicity)

(1) If
$$\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_1 \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1$$
 and $\Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Rightarrow \kappa_2 \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2$ then $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$,

$$\begin{array}{l} \tau_1 = \tau_2, \ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \\ \text{(2) If } \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau_1 \dashv \Delta_1 \ \textit{and} \ \Phi \vdash \hat{\tau} \Leftarrow \kappa \leadsto \tau_2 \dashv \Delta_2 \ \textit{then} \ \tau_1 = \tau_2, \\ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \end{array}$$

This is like the Elaboration Unicity theorem in the POPL19 paper.

Theorem 4 (Kind Synthesis Precision) If $\Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \kappa_1 \text{ and } \Delta; \Phi \vdash \tau \Leftarrow \kappa_2 \text{ then } \Delta; \Phi \vdash \kappa_1 \lesssim \kappa_2$

Kind Synthesis Precision says that synthesis finds the most precise kappa possible for a given input type. This is somewhat trivial, but interesting to note because it means we can expect singletons wherever possible.